

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **QUALITATIVE BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF A THIRD ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION**

JOHN WILLARD HEIDEL

# QUALITATIVE BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF A THIRD ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

J. W. HEIDEL

**This paper investigates the behavior of nonoscillatory solutions and the existence of oscillatory solutions of the differential equation**

$$y''' + p(t)y' + q(t)y^r = 0$$

**where  $p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  are continuous and real valued on a half axis  $[a, \infty)$  and  $r$  is the quotient of odd positive integers. The two cases  $p(t), q(t) \leq 0$  and  $p(t), q(t) \geq 0$  are discussed.**

**One theorem improves an oscillation criterion of Waltman [16]. Other results supplement those obtained by Lazar [10].**

1. In this paper, real valued solutions of

$$(1.1) \quad y''' + p(t)y' + q(t)y^r = 0$$

are investigated where  $p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  are continuous real valued functions defined on some interval  $[a, \infty)$  with  $a > 0$ . Furthermore  $q(t)$  is not eventually (i.e., for sufficiently large  $t$ ) identically zero.  $r$  is assumed to be the quotient of odd integers. This insures that solutions with real initial conditions are real and also that the negative of a solution of (1.1) is also a solution of (1.1).

Motivation for the study of this equation comes from two directions. The equation

$$y''' + p(t)y' + q(t)y = 0$$

has been studied extensively. Some recent papers are those of Gregus [3], Hanan [5], Lazer [10], and Svec [15]. On the other hand, the equation

$$y^{(n)} + q(t)y^r = 0, \quad n \geq 2$$

has been investigated by Licko and Svec [11] for  $r \neq 1$ , by Kiguradze [9] for  $r < 1$ , and by Mikusinski [12] for  $r = 1$ . Equation (1.1) has been studied recently by Waltman [16].

A solution of (1.1) is said to be continuable if it exists on  $[a_1, \infty)$  for some  $a_1 \geq a$ . A nontrivial solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it is continuable and has zeros for arbitrarily large  $t$ . A nontrivial solution of (1.1) is called nonoscillatory if it is continuable and not oscillatory.

Two cases,  $p(t) \leq 0, q(t) \leq 0$  and  $p(t) \geq 0, q(t) \geq 0$  are discussed

in this paper. Most of the theorems deal with the behavior of non-oscillatory solutions. However, in each of the two cases considered, these results lead to criteria for the existence of oscillatory solutions. For the case  $p(t) \geq 0$  and  $q(t) \geq 0$ , an oscillation criterion of Waltman [16] is generalized.

Unless otherwise stated all results are new for the linear as well as the nonlinear case. The existence of nonoscillatory solutions is not discussed in this paper. For the linear case Lazer [10] has shown that they exist under general conditions. It can be easily verified that most theorems in this paper are precise in some sense. Examples are given only where they seem to be particularly illustrative.

Since this paper discusses the behavior of continuable solutions, the following two theorems are of interest. The first one shows that all solutions of (1.1) are continuable if  $r \leq 1$ . The second shows that under certain conditions the noncontinuable solutions of (1.1) have an infinite number of zeros on a finite interval.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $r \leq 1$  and  $[t_0, b]$  is an arbitrary compact interval such that  $a \leq t_0$ , then any solution of (1.1) which exists at  $t_0$  can be continued on  $[t_0, b]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $|p(t)| + 1 \leq M$  and  $|q(t)| \leq M$  on  $[t_0, b]$ . Write (1.1) in vector form

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{y}' = f(t, \bar{y}) \quad \bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

where  $f_1(t, \bar{y}) = y_2$ ,  $f_2(t, \bar{y}) = y_3$ , and  $f_3(t, \bar{y}) = -q(t)y_1' - p(t)y_2$ . Then to a solution  $y$  of (1.1) corresponds a solution  $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  where  $y = y_1$ ,  $y' = y_2$ , and  $y'' = y_3$ .

Define  $U(t, u) = M(u + 1)$ . Then  $\|f(t, \bar{y})\| \leq U(t, \|\bar{y}\|)$ . The theorem now follows from a theorem of Wintner (Hartman [6, p. 29]).

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose that  $p(t) \geq 0$ ,  $q(t) \geq 0$ , and  $p'(t) \leq 0$  and continuous. Then any solution of (1.1) which is not continuable has an infinite number of zeros on a finite interval.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the solution  $y(t)$  exists and has only a finite number of zeros on  $[t_0, b)$  where  $b < \infty$ . Then there is a  $t_1 > t_0$  such that  $y(t) \neq 0$  on  $[t_1, b)$ . Suppose that  $y(t) > 0$  on  $[t_1, b)$ . Then  $y'''(t) + p(t)y'(t) \leq 0$  on  $[t_1, b)$ . By integrating twice the last inequality from  $t_1$  to  $t$ ,  $t_1 < t$ , it is seen that  $y(t)$  is bounded on  $[t_1, b)$ . Now, by integrating (1.1) twice between  $t_1$  and  $t$ ,  $t_1 < t$ , it is seen that both  $y'(t)$  and  $y''(t)$  are bounded on  $[t_1, b)$ . Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} [(y(t))^2 + (y'(t))^2 + (y''(t))^2] < \infty$$

and  $y(t)$  may be extended beyond  $b$  (see [2, p. 61]). This proves the theorem.

2. The case  $p(t) \leq 0$  and  $q(t) \leq 0$  is considered in this section. The first lemma is a generalization of a result of Lazer [10, p. 448].

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $p(t) \leq 0$  on  $[a, \infty)$ . Suppose that on the same interval  $q(t) < 0$  if  $0 < r < 1$  and  $q(t) \leq 0$  if  $r \geq 1$ . If  $y(t)$  is a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1), then there is a number  $c \geq a$  such that either  $y(t)y'(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq c$  or  $y(t)y'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq c$ .*

*Proof.* If  $r \geq 1$  then solutions of (1.1) are unique. Therefore the argument given by Lazer [10, p. 448] for the linear case proves the lemma.

If  $0 < r < 1$  proceed as follows. Suppose that  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  where  $a \leq t_0$ . It is asserted that the zeros of  $y'(t)$  are isolated in  $[t_0, \infty)$ . To show this let  $t \geq t_0$  be an accumulation point for zeros of  $y'(t)$ . Then  $y'(t_1) = 0$  by continuity of  $y'(t)$ . By Rolle's Theorem,  $t_1$  is an accumulation point for the zeros of  $y''(t)$ . Hence  $y''(t_1) = 0$  by continuity of  $y''(t)$ . Similarly  $y'''(t_1) = 0$ . But since  $q(t_1) \neq 0$  and  $y(t_1) > 0$  this contradicts the fact that  $y(t)$  is a solution of (1.1).

If  $y'(t)$  has at most one zero in  $(t_0, \infty)$  the lemma is clear. If  $y'(t)$  has two or more zeros in  $(t_0, \infty)$  proceed as follows. Consider two consecutive zeros, say  $t_2$  and  $t_3$ , of  $y'(t)$  satisfying  $t_0 < t_2 < t_3$ . Multiplying (1.1) by  $y'(t)$  and integrating by parts between  $t_2$  and  $t_3$  yields

$$-\int_{t_2}^{t_3} (y''(s))^2 ds + \int_{t_2}^{t_3} p(s)(y'(s)) ds + \int_{t_2}^{t_3} q(s)(y(s))^r y'(s) ds = 0.$$

Since the first two terms are nonpositive,  $q(t) < 0$ , and  $y(t) > 0$ , it follows that  $y'(t) < 0$  for  $t$  in  $(t_2, t_3)$ . Since the zeros of  $y'(t)$  are isolated, this argument can be repeated to show that  $y'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq t_2$ . This proves the lemma.

**REMARK.** On the basis of the preceding lemma it will be assumed throughout the rest of this section that

$$\begin{array}{ll} p(t) \leq 0 & t \text{ in } [a, \infty) \\ \text{(H)} \quad q(t) < 0, 0 < r < 1 & t \text{ in } [a, \infty) \\ q(t) \leq 0, r \geq 1 & t \text{ in } [a, \infty) . \end{array}$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $f(t)$  be a real valued function defined in  $[t_0, \infty)$  for some real number  $t_0 \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $f(t) > 0$  and that  $f'(t)$ ,  $f''(t)$  exist for  $t \geq t_0$ . Suppose also that if  $f'(t) \geq 0$  eventually, then*

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = A < \infty$ . Then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |t^\alpha f''(t) - \alpha t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)| = 0$$

for any  $\alpha \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* First suppose that  $f'(t)$  has both positive and negative values for arbitrarily large  $t$ . Then the function  $G(t)$  defined by  $G(t) = t^\alpha f''(t) - \alpha t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)$  has both positive and negative values for arbitrarily large  $t$ . Therefore, by the intermediate value theorem,  $G(t) = 0$  for arbitrarily large  $t$ . Thus the lemma is proved in this case.

Now suppose that  $f'(t)$  is eventually either nonpositive or non-negative. It is asserted that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)| = 0.$$

To show this, the mean value theorem is used to write

$$\frac{t_c^{\alpha-1}}{c} (f(2c) - f(c)) = t_c^{\alpha-1} f'(t_c)$$

where  $t_0 \leq c$  and  $1 < c < t_c < 2c$ . Therefore

$$|t_c^{\alpha-1} f'(t_c)| \leq 2 |f(2c) - f(c)|.$$

The right side tends to zero as  $c$  becomes infinite since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)$  exists and is finite.

It follows that either  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\alpha-1} f'(t) = 0$  or  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)$  does not exist. In the first case it is claimed that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |t^\alpha f''(t)| = 0$ . To show this the mean value theorem is again used to write

$$\frac{t_d^\alpha}{d} (f'(2d) - f'(d)) = t_d^\alpha f''(t_d).$$

where  $t_0 \leq d$  and  $1 < d < t_d < 2d$ . Therefore

$$|t_d^\alpha f''(t_d)| \leq |2(2d)^{\alpha-1} f'(2d) - 2^\alpha d^{\alpha-1} f'(d)|.$$

Again, the right side tends to 0 as  $d \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\alpha-1} f'(t) = 0$  by assumption. It follows that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |t^\alpha f''(t) - \alpha t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)| = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)$  does not exist. Since

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)| = 0,$$

there is a sequence  $(t_n)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n^{\alpha-1} f'(t_n) = 0$  and  $|t_n^{\alpha-1} f''(t_n) + (\alpha - 1) t_n^{\alpha-2} f'(t_n) - (t_n^{\alpha-1} f'(t_n))'|_{t_n} = 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -(\alpha - 1) t_n^{\alpha-2} f'(t_n) = 0$  it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [t_n f''(t_n) - \alpha t_n^{\alpha-1} f'(t_n)] = 0.$$

Therefore the case where  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\alpha-1} f'(t)$  does not exist is disposed of and the lemma is proved.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Suppose that (H) is satisfied,  $-\infty < -M \leq p(t)t^\alpha$  in  $[a, \infty)$  and  $\int_a^\infty s^\alpha q(s)ds = -\infty$  for some  $\alpha \leq 2$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) such that  $y(t)y'(t) \leq 0$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $y(t) > 0$  and hence  $y'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Suppose  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = A > 0$ . Multiply (1.1) by  $t^\alpha$  and integrate between  $t_0$  and  $t$ ,  $t > t_0$  to obtain

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & s^\alpha y''(s) \Big|_{t_0}^t - s^{\alpha-1} y'(s) \Big|_{t_0}^t + \alpha(\alpha-1) \int_{t_0}^t s^{\alpha-2} y'(s) ds \\ & - M \int_{t_0}^t y'(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t s^\alpha q(s)(y(s))^r ds \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms  $\alpha(\alpha-1) \int_{t_0}^t s^{\alpha-2} y'(s) ds$  and  $-M \int_{t_0}^t y'(s) ds$  are both bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  since  $y(t)$  has finite limit and  $\alpha \leq 2$ . Therefore (2.1) can be written

$$(2.2) \quad t^\alpha y''(t) - \alpha t^{\alpha-1} y'(t) \geq K - \int_{t_0}^t s^\alpha q(s)(y(s))^r ds$$

where  $K$  is a finite constant. Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = A > 0$ , the right hand side of (2.2) tends to  $\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . However, by Lemma 2.2

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |t^\alpha y''(t) - \alpha t^{\alpha-1} y'(t)| = 0.$$

This contradiction proves the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Suppose that (H) holds and that  $\int_a^\infty sp(s)ds > -\infty$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then  $y'(t)y(t) > 0$  eventually.*

*Proof.* Let  $y(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) and assume that  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . The assertion is then that  $y'(t) > 0$  eventually. Suppose not. Then by Lemma 2.1, there is a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $y'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ .

Pick  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that  $\int_{t_2}^\infty sp(s)ds \geq -1$ . Multiply (1.1) by  $t$  and integrate by parts between  $t_2$  and  $t$ ,  $t_2 < t$ , to obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & sy''(s) \Big|_{t_2}^t - y'(s) \Big|_{t_2}^t + y'(t) \int_{t_2}^t sp(s)ds \\ & - \int_{t_2}^t y''(s) \int_{t_2}^s up(u)duds = - \int_{t_2}^t sq(s)(y(s))^r ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $-y'(t) \geq y'(t) \int_{t_2}^t sp(s)ds \geq 0$ , (2.3) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} ty''(t) - 2y'(t) + y'(t_2) - \int_{t_2}^t y''(s) \int_{t_2}^s up(u)duds \\ \geq t_2 y''(t_2) - \int_{t_2}^t sq(s)(y(s))^r ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $y''(t) \leq 0$  eventually is impossible with  $y'(t) \leq 0$  and  $y(t) > 0$ . Suppose that  $y''(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_2$  (change  $t_2$  if necessary). Then

$$-\int_{t_2}^t y''(s) \int_{t_2}^s up(u)duds \leq \int_{t_2}^t y''(s)ds = y'(t) - y'(t_2).$$

Therefore (2.4) becomes

$$(2.5) \quad ty''(t) - y'(t) \geq t_2 y''(t_2) - \int_{t_2}^t sq(s)(y(s))^r ds.$$

By lemma 2.2  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} ty''(t) - y'(t) = 0$ . But this contradicts the fact that the right hand side of (2.5) is positive and increasing. Thus the theorem is proved for the case  $y''(t) \geq 0$ .

Suppose now that  $y''(t)$  has positive and negative values for arbitrarily large  $t$ . Then there is a sequence of points  $(t_n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $t_2 < t_3$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ , with the following properties:

- (i)  $t_i < t_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ ,
- (ii)  $y''(t_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ ,
- (iii)  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y'(t_i) = 0$ .

The existence of such a sequence  $(t_n)$  is clear since  $y'(t) \leq 0$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$ .

Now, let  $L = \int_{t_3}^{\infty} up(u)ds$ .  $L > -1$  by the choice of  $t_3 > t_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{t_3}^t y''(s) \int_{t_3}^s up(u)duds &= \int_{t_3}^t y''(s) \left( \int_s^{\infty} up(u)du - L \right) ds \\ &= \int_{t_3}^t y''(s) \int_s^{\infty} up(u)duds - L \int_{t_3}^t y''(s)ds \\ &\leq \int_{t_3}^t y''(s) \int_s^{\infty} up(u)duds - y'(t_3). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (2.4) (replacing  $t_2$  by  $t_3$ ) gives

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} ty''(t) - 2y'(t) + \int_{t_3}^t y''(s) \int_s^{\infty} up(u)duds \\ \geq -\int_{t_3}^t sq(s)(y(s))^r ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Q(s) = \int_s^{\infty} up(u)ds$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{t_3}^t y''(s)Q(s)ds &= y''(t)\int_{t_3}^t Q(s)ds - \int_{t_3}^t y'''(s)\int_{t_3}^s Q(u)duds \\
 &= y''(t)\int_{t_3}^t Q(s)ds + \int_{t_3}^t p(s)y'(s)\int_{t_3}^s Q(u)duds \\
 &\quad + \int_{t_3}^t q(s)(y(s))^r\int_{t_3}^s Q(u)duds \\
 &\leq y''(t)\int_{t_3}^t Q(s)ds + \int_{t_3}^t q(s)(y(s))^r\int_{t_3}^s Q(u)duds \\
 &\leq y''(t)\int_{t_3}^t Q(s)ds - \int_{t_3}^t (s - t_3)q(s)(y(s))^rds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality depends on the fact that  $|Q(u)| \leq 1$ . Substituting this into (2.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 &ty''(t) - 2y'(t) + y''(t)\int_{t_3}^t Q(s)ds \\
 &- \int_{t_3}^t (s - t_3)q(s)(y(s))^rds \geq - \int_{t_3}^t sq(s)(y(s))^rds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two terms gives

$$(2.7) \quad ty''(t) - 2y'(t) + y''(t)\int_{t_3}^t Q(s)ds \geq -t\int_{t_3}^t q(s)(y(s))^rds.$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $t_i$  in (2.7) where  $(t_i)$  is the sequence defined above yields

$$(2.8) \quad -2y'(t_i) \geq -t_i\int_{t_3}^{t_i} q(s)(y(s))^rds.$$

The right hand side of (2.8) is positive and increasing in  $t_i$  while the left hand side of (2.8) converges to zero as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . This contradiction proves the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Suppose (H) holds and that  $-2/t^2 \leq p(t) \leq 0$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then  $y(t)y'(t) > 0$  eventually.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . It is to be shown that  $y'(t) > 0$  eventually. Suppose to the contrary (by Lemma 2.1) that  $y'(t) \leq 0$  eventually, say for  $t \geq t_0$ . Because of the assumption on  $p(t)$ , (1.1) can be written

$$(2.9) \quad y'''(t) - (2/t^2)y'(t) + q(t)(y(t))^r \geq 0$$

for  $t \geq t_0$ . Since  $y''(t) < 0$  eventually is impossible ( $y'(t) \leq 0$  and  $y(t) > 0$ ), pick  $t_1 > t_0$  such that  $y''(t_1) \geq 0$ . Now multiply (2.9) by  $t^2$  and integrate by parts between  $t_1$  and  $t$ ,  $t > t_1$ , to obtain



$$(2.10) \quad t^2 y''(t) - 2ty'(t) \geq t_1^2 y''(t_1) - 2t_1 y'(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t s^2 q(s)(y(s))^r ds.$$

The right hand side of (2.10) is positive and increasing for large  $t$ . However by Lemma 2.2, with  $\alpha = 2$ , it follows that the  $\liminf$  of the left side of (2.10) is zero. This contradiction proves the theorem.

It is noteworthy that in the last two theorems no restriction was placed on the magnitude of  $q(t)$ . The following example shows the sharpness of the last result.

EXAMPLE. The equation  $y''' - (K/t^2)y' + q(t)y^r = 0$ ,  $t > 1$  where

$$q(t) = -\frac{K-2}{t^3(\log t)^{2-r}} + \frac{6}{t^3(\log t)^{3-r}} + \frac{6}{t^3(\log t)^{4-r}}$$

has the solution  $y(t) = (\log t)^{-1}$ . If  $K > 2$  then  $q(t) < 0$  eventually.

THEOREM 2.6. Suppose that (H) holds and that  $\int_a^\infty s^2 q(s) ds = -\infty$  and that  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) such that  $y'(t)y(t) > 0$  eventually. Then  $|y(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* It may be assumed that  $y(t) > 0$  and  $y'(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Multiply (1.1) by  $t^2$  and integrate from  $t_0$  to  $t$  obtaining

$$(2.11) \quad t^2 y''(t) - 2ty'(t) + 2y(t) \geq -\int_{t_0}^t s^2 q(s)(y(s))^r ds + K$$

where  $K$  is a constant. Since  $y'''(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , it follows that  $y''(t)$  is eventually of one sign. If  $y''(t) > 0$  eventually, the proof is complete. If  $y''(t) < 0$  eventually, then, since the right side of (2.11) tends to  $\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and all nonconstant terms on the left side of (2.11) except  $2y(t)$  are negative,  $y(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the theorem.

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $p(t), q(t) \leq 0$ ,  $r > 1$  and  $\int_a^\infty s^2 q(s) ds = -\infty$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) such that  $y'(t)y(t) > 0$  eventually, then  $y''(t)y'(t) \geq 0$  eventually and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y''(t)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y'(t)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| = \infty$ .

*Proof.* It may be supposed that  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Thus  $y'(t) > 0$  eventually, say for  $t \geq t_0$ . This implies that  $y'''(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  which shows that  $y''(t)$  is eventually of one sign. It is asserted that  $y''(t) \geq 0$  eventually. Suppose to the contrary that  $y''(t) \leq 0$  eventually, say for  $t \geq t_0$ .

Multiply (1.1) by  $t^2/(y(t))^r$  and integrate between  $t_0$  and  $t$  obtaining

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^2 y'''(s) ds}{(y(s))^r} = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^2 p(s) y'(s) ds}{(y(s))^r} - \int_{t_0}^t s^2 q(s) ds .$$

Expand the first integral by parts, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^2 y'''(s) ds}{(y(s))^r} &= \frac{t^2 y''(t)}{(y(t))^r} + r \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^2 y''(s) y'(s) ds}{(y(s))^{r+1}} - \frac{2t y'(s)}{(y(t))^r} \\ &\quad - 2r \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s (y'(s))^2 ds}{(y(s))^{r+1}} - \frac{2(r-1)}{(y(t))^{r-1}} + K \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is a constant. All of the nonconstant terms on the left side of (2.12) are negative while the right side tends to  $\infty$ . This contradiction shows that  $y''(t) \geq 0$  eventually.

Clearly  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \infty$ . To show that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t)^2 = \infty$  proceed as follows. Since  $y'''(t) \geq 0$  eventually, there is a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  and an  $A > 0$  such that  $y''(t) \geq 2A$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Thus  $y(t) \geq A(t - t_1)$ . Now integrate (1.1) between  $t_1$  and  $t$ , replacing  $y(s)$  by  $A(s - t_1)^2$  to obtain

$$(2.13) \quad y''(t) - y''(t_0) \geq - \int_{t_1}^t p(s) y'(s) ds - \int_{t_1}^t A^r q(s) (s - t_1)^{2r} ds .$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} -A^r \int_{t_1}^t q(s) (s - t_1)^{2r} ds &\geq -A^r \int_{t_1}^{t_1+1} q(s) (s - t_1)^{2r} ds \\ &\quad - A^r \int_{t_1+1}^t q(s) (s - t_1)^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq t_1 + 1$ , and the divergence of  $\int_{t_1+1}^{\infty} q(s) (s - t_1)^2 ds$  is equivalent to the divergence of  $\int_{t_1+1}^{\infty} q(s) s^2 ds$ , the right hand side, and therefore the left hand side, of (2.13) tends to  $\infty$ . This proves the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $p(t) \leq 0$ ,  $q(t) \leq 0$ , and suppose that*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s) u(s) ds = -\infty, \quad t_0 > \max\{1, a\}$$

where  $u(t)$  is one of the functions

$$t^{2-\alpha}, \quad t^2 (\log t)^{-1-\alpha}, \quad t^2 (\log t)^{-1} (\log (\log t))^{-1-\alpha}$$

for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) with  $r = 1$  such that  $y'(t)y(t) > 0$  eventually, then  $y''(t)y'(t) \geq 0$  eventually and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y''(t)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y'(t)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| = \infty .$$

REMARK. This sequence of functions was used by Hille [7] in different circumstances.

*Proof.* As before it may be supposed that  $y(t) > 0$ ,  $y'(t) > 0$ , and  $y'''(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Therefore  $y''(t)$  is eventually of one sign. Assume that  $y''(t) \leq 0$  eventually, say for  $t \geq t_0$ . Therefore  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = B$  exists and  $0 \leq B < \infty$ . Suppose that  $B > 0$ . Then  $y'(t) \geq B > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , which implies that  $y(t) \geq B(t - t_0)$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Now multiply (1.1) by  $u(t)/t$  to obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{y'''(t)u(t)}{t} &= -\frac{p(t)y'(t)u(t)}{t} - \frac{Bq(t)(t - t_0)u(t)}{t} \\ &\geq -\frac{Bq(t)u(t)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq 2t_0$ . Integrating (2.14) between  $2t_0$  and  $t$  gives

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{2t_0}^t \frac{y'''(s)u(s)ds}{s} \geq -\frac{B}{2} \int_{2t_0}^t q(s)u(s)ds.$$

Evaluating the left hand side of (2.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2t_0}^t \frac{y'''(s)u(s)ds}{s} &= \frac{y''(t)u(t)}{t} + \int_{2t_0}^t \frac{y''(s)u(s)ds}{s^2} - \frac{y'(t)u'(t)}{t} \\ &\quad - \int_{2t_0}^t \frac{y'(s)u'(s)ds}{s^2} + \int_{2t_0}^t \frac{y'(s)u''(s)ds}{s} + K \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is a constant. Since  $y'(t) \geq 0$  and  $y''(t) \leq 0$ ,  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} y'(s)s^{-1}u''(s)ds$  is finite. Therefore the left hand side of (2.15) consists of bounded or negative terms while the right hand side of (2.15) tends to  $\infty$ . This contradiction shows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$ .

It follows by L'hospital's rule that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (y(t)/t) = 0$ . Thus there is a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $y(t) \leq t$  for  $t \geq t_1$ .

Now, multiply (1.1) by  $u(t)/y(t)$  and integrate from  $t_1$  to  $t$ , obtaining

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{t_1}^t \frac{y'''(s)u(s)ds}{y(s)} \geq -\int_{t_1}^t q(s)u(s)ds.$$

The right hand side of (2.16) tends to  $\infty$ . Evaluating the left hand side gives

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \frac{y'''(s)u(s)ds}{y(s)} &= K + \frac{y''(t)u(t)}{y(t)} + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(y'(s))^2 u'(s)ds}{(y(s))^2} - \frac{y'(t)u'(t)}{y(t)} \\ &\quad - \int_{t_1}^t \frac{(y'(s))^2 u'(s)ds}{(y(s))^2} + (\log y(t))u''(t) - \int_{t_1}^t (\log y(s))u'''(s)ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is a constant.

Since  $y(t) \leq t$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , the last two terms in (2.17) are bounded. Since the other terms on the right hand side of (2.17) are constant or are negative, a contradiction to (2.16) is obtained. This shows that  $y''(t) \geq 0$  eventually.

It follows immediately that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \infty$ . Since  $u'''(t) \leq 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u''(t) = D$  exists and  $0 \leq D < \infty$ . Consider the function  $f(t) = kt^2$  where  $k = (D + 1)/2$ . Then  $(u(t)/f(t)) < 1$  eventually by L'hospital's rule so that  $f(t) > u(t)$  eventually. Therefore  $\int_{t_2}^{\infty} s^2 q(s) ds = -\infty$  since  $\int_{t_2}^{\infty} f(s) q(s) ds = -\infty$ . Since  $y'''(t) \geq 0$ ,  $y''(t) \geq 2A$  for  $t \geq t_2$  for some  $A > 0$  and some  $t_2 \geq t_1$ . Thus  $y(t) = A(t - t_2)^2$  for  $t \geq t_2$ . Now integrate (1.1) between  $t_2$  and  $t$  to get

$$y''(t) - y''(t_2) \geq -A \int_{t_2}^t q(s)(s - t_2)^2 ds.$$

since  $-A \int_{t_2}^{\infty} (s - t_2)^2 q(s) ds = \infty$ ,  $y''(t) \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the theorem.

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.8 and the hypotheses of either Theorem 2.4 or Theorem 2.5 hold. Suppose also that  $\int_a^{\infty} s^4(p'(s) - 2q(s))ds = \infty$ . Then (1.1), with  $r = 1$ , has oscillatory solutions.*

*Proof.* This corollary follows immediately from Theorem's 2.4, 2.5, 2.8 and a theorem of Lazer [10, p. 449].

For the sake of completeness a theorem is stated which considers the case  $0 < r < 1$ . This theorem can be proved in a similar manner as a theorem of Licko and Svec [11]. However an easier proof can be given by proceeding as in Theorem 2.7 and using Lemma 3.1. The details are omitted here. See also Kiguradze [9, p. 101].

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $p(t) \leq 0$ ,  $q(t) < 0$ , and  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} s^{2r} q(s) ds = -\infty$ . Suppose  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) with  $0 < r < 1$  and such that  $y(t)y'(t) > 0$ . Then  $y'(t)y''(t) > 0$  eventually and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y'''(t)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y'(t)| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| = \infty.$$

3. In this section the case  $p(t) \geq 0$  and  $q(t) \geq 0$  is considered. The first lemma is an easy adaptation of a result of Kiguradze [8, 649 (Soviet Math.)] and will not be proved here.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $f(t)$  be a continuous nonnegative function defined on  $[t_0, \infty)$  for some  $t_0 \geq 0$ . If  $f^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $f^{(n-k)}(t) \geq 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , on  $[t_0, \infty)$  then there are constants  $A_k > 0$ ,  $k = 1, \dots$ ,*

$n - 1$  such that

$$\frac{f(t)}{f^{(n-k)}(t)} \geq A_k t$$

for sufficiently large  $t$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $p(t) \geq 0$  and  $q(t) \geq 0$ . Suppose also that

$$(i) \quad \int_a^\infty s^{2r} q(s) ds = \infty \text{ if } 0 < r < 1;$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_a^\infty u(s)q(s)ds = \infty \text{ if } r = 1 \text{ where } u(t) \text{ is one of the functions } t^{2-\alpha}, t^2 (\log t)^{-1-\alpha}, t^2 (\log t)^{-1} \log (\log t)^{-1-\alpha}, \dots \text{ for some } \alpha > 0;$$

$$(iii) \quad \int_a^\infty s^{1+r} q(s) ds = \infty \text{ if } 1 < r.$$

If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then  $|y(t)|$  is not eventually nondecreasing.

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that  $|y(t)|$  is eventually nondecreasing. It may be supposed that  $y(t) > 0$  and thus that  $y'(t) \geq 0$  for large  $t$ , i.e.,  $t \geq t_0$ . Therefore, by (1.1),  $y''(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . This implies that  $y''(t) > 0$  eventually, in fact, for  $t \geq t_0$ . The three cases are now considered separately.

Case (i). First of all, choose  $t_1 \geq t_0$  and  $A > 0$  such that  $y(t)/y''(t) \geq At^2$  for  $t \geq t_1$  (use Lemma 3.1). Now divide (1.1) by  $(y''(t))^r$  and integrate between  $t_1$  and  $t$ ,  $t > t_1$ , to obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{(y''(t_1))^{1-r} - (y''(t))^{1-r}}{1-r} \geq A^r \int_{t_1}^t s^{2r} q(s) ds.$$

Since  $r < 1$  and  $y''(t)$  is positive and decreasing, the right hand side of (3.1) is bounded, contradicting the integral condition (i).

Case (ii). As before, choose  $t_1 \geq t_0$  and  $A > 0$  such that  $y(t)/y''(t) \geq At^2$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . Now multiply (1.1) by  $u(t)/y(t)$  and integrate between  $t_1$  and  $t$ ,  $t \geq t_1$ , to obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{t_1}^t \frac{y'''(s)u(s)ds}{y(s)} \leq - \int_{t_1}^t q(s)u(s)ds.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \frac{y'''(s)u(s)ds}{y(s)} &= \frac{y''(t)u(t)}{y(t)} - \frac{y''(t_1)u(t_1)}{y(t_1)} \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t \frac{y''(s)u(s)y'(s)ds}{(y(s))^2} - \int_{t_1}^t \frac{y''(s)u'(s)ds}{y(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y''(t)/y(t) \leq A^{-1}t^{-2}$  for  $t \geq t_1$ ,  $\int_{t_1}^\infty \frac{y''(s)u'(s)ds}{y(s)}$  is finite. Therefore

all terms on the left hand side of (3.2) are bounded, constant, or positive while the right hand side of (3.2) tends to  $-\infty$ . This proves the theorem for case (ii).

Case (iii). By Lemma 3.1 there is a  $t_2 \geq t_0$  such that  $y(t)/y'(t) \geq Bt$  for some  $B > 0$  and for  $t \geq t_2$ . Multiply (1.1) by  $t/(y'(t))^r$  and integrate from  $t_2$  to  $t$  to obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{t_2}^t \frac{sy'''(s)ds}{(y'(s))^r} \leq - \int_{t_2}^t sp(s)(y'(s))^{1-r}ds - B^r \int_{t_2}^t s^{1+r}q(s)ds.$$

Integrating by parts the left hand member of (3.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^t \frac{sy'''(s)ds}{(y'(s))^r} &= \frac{ty''(t)}{(y'(t))^r} - \frac{t_2y''(t_2)}{(y'(t_2))^r} \\ &+ r \int_{t_2}^t (y''(s))^2s(y'(s))^{-1-r}ds + \frac{(y'(t))^{1-r}}{(r-1)} - \frac{(y'(t_2))^{1-r}}{(r-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the integral condition (iii) the right side of (3.3) tend to  $-\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  while all terms on the left side are either positive or constant. This contradiction proves the theorem for this case.

REMARK. It is easy to construct examples showing the sharpness of this theorem in all three cases.

The next lemma is an easy generalization of a lemma proved by Lazer [10, p. 454] for the linear case.

LEMMA 3.3. Suppose that  $p(t) \geq 0, q(t) \geq 0$ , and  $p'(t) \leq 0$  in  $[a, \infty)$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory, eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that  $F(y(c)) \geq 0$  for some  $c \in [a, \infty)$  where

$$F(y(t)) = (y'(t))^2 - 2y(t)y''(t) - p(t)(y(t))^2,$$

then there is a  $d \geq c$  such that  $y(t) > 0, y'(t) > 0, y''(t) > 0$  and  $y'''(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq d$ .

REMARK. In the linear case of Lemma 3.3 the condition  $p'(t) \leq 0$  may be replaced by the weaker condition  $2q(t) - p'(t) \geq 0$ .

COROLLARY 3.4. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 are fulfilled. Let  $y(t)$  be a continuable solution of (1.1) defined at some  $t_0 \geq a$ . Then  $y(t)$  is nonoscillatory if and only if  $F(y(t)) < 0$  for all  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ .

Proof. If  $F(y(t)) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , it is clear that  $y(t)$  can't have any zeros for  $t \geq t_0$ . Hence  $y(t)$  is nonoscillatory.

Now suppose that  $F(y(t_1)) \geq 0$  for some  $t_1 \geq t_0$ . By Theorem 3.2

and Lemma 3.3  $y(t)$  is not nonoscillatory and eventually positive. Suppose that  $y(t)$  is nonoscillatory and eventually negative. Then  $-y(t)$  is nonoscillatory and eventually positive. But  $F(-y(t_1)) = F(y(t_1)) \geq 0$ . Thus a contradiction to Theorem 3.2 Lemma 3.3 and is again obtained. Therefore  $y(t)$  is oscillatory.

REMARK. Corollary 3.4 is an oscillation criterion for (1.3). It improves a theorem of Waltman [16] since  $y(t_2) = 0$  implies that  $F(y(t_2)) \geq 0$ .

The remainder of this section investigates further the behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of (1.3). The following lemma is due to Nehari [14, p. 431].

LEMMA 3.5. *If  $u'' + p(t)u = 0$  has no oscillatory solutions in  $[a, \infty)$  and  $v(t)$  is any function of class  $C^1$  on  $[b, c]$  such that  $v(b) = 0$  and  $v(t) \neq 0$  on  $(b, c)$ , then*

$$\int_b^c (v'(s))^2 ds > \int_b^c p(s)(v(s))^2 ds$$

where  $a \leq b < c$ .

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $p(t) \geq 0$ ,  $q(t) > 0$ , and suppose that  $u'' + p(t)u = 0$  is nonoscillatory. If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) then there is a  $d > a$  such that either  $y(t)y'(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq d$  or  $y(t)y'(t) < 0$  for  $t \geq d$ .*

REMARK. Two known sufficient conditions that  $u'' + p(t)u = 0$  be nonoscillatory are that  $\int_a^\infty sp(s)ds < \infty$  or that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^2 p(t) \leq \frac{1}{4}$  ([2, p. 103] and [6, p. 362]).

*Proof.* Suppose that  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Suppose that  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ,  $t_0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ , are consecutive zeros of  $y'(t)$ . The proof of the isolation of zeros of  $y'(t)$  given in the first part of Lemma 2.1 did not depend on the sign of  $p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  and hence applies here. Now multiply (1.1) by  $y'(t)$  and integrate by parts between  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  to obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} p(s)(y'(s))^2 ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} q(s)(y(s))^r (y'(s)) ds = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (y''(s))^2 ds$$

since  $y'(t_1) = y'(t_2) = 0$ . By Nehari's Lemma

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} p(s)(y'(s))^2 ds < \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (y''(s))^2 ds.$$

Therefore  $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} q(s)(y(s))^r y'(s) ds > 0$  and since  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are consecutive

zeros of  $y'(t)$ , this implies that  $y'(t) > 0$  on  $(t_1, t_2)$ . Therefore  $y'(t) > 0$  between any two successive zeros greater than  $t_0$ . Since the zeros of  $y'(t)$  are isolated, this shows that  $y(t)$  is monotone for  $t \geq t_0$ .

EXAMPLE. It will be shown that the condition  $u'' + p(t)u = 0$  is nonoscillatory is not sharp. In fact, all nonoscillatory solutions of a

$$(3.4) \quad y''' + \frac{2}{t^2}y' + q(t)y^r = 0$$

are monotone. To see this, let  $y(t) > 0$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (3.4) and suppose that  $y'(t)$  has positive and negative values for arbitrarily large  $t$ . Pick  $t_2 \geq t_0$  such that  $y'(t_2) = 0$  and  $y''(t_2) < 0$ . Now multiply (3.4) by  $t^2$  and integrate by parts between  $t_2$  and  $t$ ,  $t > t_2$  to obtain

$$(3.5) \quad t^2 y''(t) - 2t y'(t) \leq - \int_{t_2}^t s^2 q(s) (y(s))^r ds.$$

The right side of (3.5) is negative and decreasing while the left side of (3.5) equals zero for arbitrarily large values of  $t$  (see Lemma 2.2). This contradiction shows that  $y'(t)$  is eventually either nonpositive or nonnegative and hence that  $y(t)$  is monotone. On the other hand, by Kneser's criterion (Hartman [6, p. 362]),  $u'' + (2/t^2)u = 0$  has only oscillatory solutions.

EXAMPLE. The equation

$$y''' + y' + \left( \frac{1/t^2 + 6/t^4}{(1 + \sin t + 1/t)^r} \right) y^r = 0$$

has the nonoscillatory, nonmonotone solution  $y(t) = 1 + \sin t + 1/t$ . A precise condition on  $p(t)$  needed to prove Theorem 3.6 remains to be determined.

THEOREM 3.7. Let  $p(t) \geq 0$ ,  $q(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\int_a^\infty s^2 q(s) ds = \infty$ , and  $t^2 p(t) \leq M < \infty$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solutions of (1.1) such that  $y(t)y'(t) \leq 0$  eventually, then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $y(t) > 0$  and hence that  $y'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0 > a_1$ . Multiply (1.1) by  $t^2$  and integrate by parts from  $t_0$  to  $t$  to obtain

$$(3.6) \quad t^2 y''(t) - 2t y'(t) + (2 + M)y(t) + K + \int_{t_0}^t s^2 q(s) (y(s))^r ds \leq 0$$

where all constants have been combined to give  $K$ . If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) > 0$ ,



then it follows from (3.6) that  $y''(t) < 0$  eventually. But since  $y'(t) \leq 0$  eventually, this contradicts  $y(t) > 0$ . Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $p(t) \geq 0$ ,  $q(t) \geq 0$ ,  $p'(t) \leq 0$ , and  $\int_a^\infty q(s)ds = \infty$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0 \geq a$ . Multiply (1.1) by  $y(t)$  and integrate from  $t_0$  to  $t$  obtaining

$$(3.7) \quad y(t)y''(t) - \frac{(y'(t))^2}{2} + \frac{p(t)(y(t))^2}{2} - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{p(s)(y(s))^2 ds}{2} \\ + \int_{t_0}^t q(s)(y(s))^{r+1} ds + K = 0$$

where  $K$  is a constant. Suppose that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = A > 0$ . By Theorem (3.2)  $y(t)$  can't be eventually monotone nondecreasing. Therefore either  $y'(t)$  has arbitrarily large zeros or  $y'(t) < 0$  eventually.

Suppose first that there is a sequence  $\{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t_i < t_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that  $y'(t_i) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Replacing  $t$  by  $t_n$  in (3.7) shows that  $y''(t_n)$  is eventually negative. This contradiction shows that the first case is impossible.

Now suppose that  $y'(t) < 0$  for  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ . Suppose that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = A > 0.$$

Since  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$ , pick a sequence  $\{u_n\} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u_i < u_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that  $y'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y'(u_i) < y'(u_{i+1})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $u_j^* = \sup\{t \in [u_j, u_{j+1}]: y'(t) = y'(u_j)\}$  for each  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . It follows that  $u_j \leq u_j^* < u_{j+1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  and that  $y'(u_j^*) = y'(u_j)$ . By the mean value theorem there is a  $v_j$  in the interval  $(u_j^*, u_{j+1})$  such that

$$y''(v_j) = \frac{y'(u_{j+1}) - y'(u_j^*)}{u_{j+1} - u_j^*} > 0.$$

By construction  $y'(v_j) > y'(u_j^*) = y'(u_j)$ . This is done in each  $[u_j, u_{j+1}]$  to obtain a sequence  $\{v_j\} \rightarrow \infty$  with the property that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} (2y''(v_j)y(v_j) - (y'(v_j))^2) \geq 0.$$

However, since  $p'(t) \leq 0$ ,  $\int_a^\infty q(s)ds = \infty$  and  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) > 0$ , it follows from (3.7) that

$$y''(t)y(t) - \frac{(y'(t))^2}{2} \longrightarrow -\infty$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This contradiction eliminates the second case and proves

the theorem.

REMARK. It is clear from the proof that in the linear case ( $r = 1$ ), the conditions  $p'(t) \leq 0$  and  $\int_a^\infty q(s)ds = \infty$  can be replaced by the condition  $\int_a^\infty (2q(s) - p'(s))ds = \infty$ .

The following two lemmas can be proved in exactly the same manner as they were proved by Lazer [10, p. 462, 463] for special cases.

LEMMA 3.9. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are fulfilled and that  $p'(t) \leq 0$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then  $(y'(t))^2 \leq Ky(t)$  eventually for some constant  $K$ . If  $r = 1$ , then the condition  $p'(t) \leq 0$  can be replaced by  $q(t) - p'(t) \geq 0$ .

LEMMA 3.10. Suppose the function  $f(t)$  is nonnegative, continuous, and differentiable in  $[a, \infty)$ . Suppose also that  $\int_a^\infty (f(s))^\alpha ds < \infty$  for some real  $\alpha > 0$  and that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = M > 0$ . Suppose  $0 < d \leq M/2$ . Then the set  $\{y'(t); t \in [a, \infty) \text{ and } d \leq y(t) \leq 2d\}$  is unbounded on  $(b, \infty)$  for any  $b > 0$ .

THEOREM 3.11. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 be satisfied if  $r \leq 1$  and suppose that  $\int_a^\infty s^2 q(s)ds = \infty$  if  $r > 1$ . In addition suppose that

(i)  $M/t^\alpha \leq q(t)$  for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $M > 0$ , and

(ii)  $p'(t) \leq 0$  and  $(p(t)t^\alpha)' + (t^\alpha)''' \leq 0$ .

If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that  $y(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Multiplying (1.1) by  $t^\alpha$  and using (i) of the hypothesis gives

$$t^\alpha y'''(t) + t^\alpha p(t)y'(t) + M(y(t))^r \leq 0.$$

Now integrate this inequality from  $t_0$  to  $t$  to obtain

$$H(y(t)) - H(y(t_0)) - \int_{t_0}^t [(s^\alpha)''' + (s^\alpha p(s))'] y(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t M(y(s))^r \leq 0$$

where

$$H(y(t)) = t^\alpha y''(t) - \alpha t^{\alpha-1} y'(t) + \alpha(\alpha-1)t^{\alpha-2} y(t) + t^\alpha p(t)y(t).$$

Therefore

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{t_0}^t M(y(s))^r \leq H(y(t_0)) - H(y(t))$$

and  $H(y(t))$  is nonincreasing in  $t$ .

It will be shown first that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ . Two cases are considered. Suppose first that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . Then  $(t^\alpha)''' \geq 0$  which by (ii) means that  $(p(t)t^\alpha)' \leq 0$ . This is equivalent to  $p'(t) \leq -\alpha p(t)/t \leq 0$ . Therefore Theorem 3.8 can be applied, which gives  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) > 0$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $y''(t_n) \geq 0$ ,  $y'(t_n) = 0$ , and  $y(t_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(y(t_n)) \geq 0$  which implies that  $H(y(t)) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  since  $H(y(t))$  is nonincreasing. By (3.8) it follows that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} (y(s))^r ds = \frac{H(y(t_0))}{M} < \infty.$$

But this contradicts Lemma 3.10, since  $(y'(t))^2 \leq Ky(t)$  eventually.

Now suppose that  $1 < \alpha$ . Recall that by hypothesis it is not possible that  $y'(t) \geq 0$  eventually. Suppose that  $y'(t) \leq 0$  eventually. Then  $y''(t) < 0$  eventually is impossible since  $y(t) > 0$  eventually. Thus, let  $\{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  be such that  $y''(t_n) \geq 0$ . Then  $H(y(t_n)) > 0$  and the theorem follows as in the preceding paragraph. Now suppose that  $y'(t)$  has arbitrarily large zeros. Then there is a sequence  $\{s_n\}$  such that  $y''(s_n) \geq 0$  and  $y'(s_n) = 0$ . Thus  $H(y(s_n)) > 0$  and the rest of the proof is as above. This proves that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ .

It follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$  since  $(y'(t))^2 \leq Ky(t)$ . To see that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = 0$ , proceed as follows. Since

$$y''(s) + p(s)y(s) \Big|_{t_0}^t = - \int_{t_0}^t q(s)(y(s))^r ds + \int_{t_0}^t p'(s)y(s) ds,$$

it follows that  $y''(t) + p(t)y(t)$  is nonincreasing. Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) + p(t)y(t) = L.$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t)y(t) = 0$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y''(t)| = 0$ , it follows that  $L = 0$  and therefore  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = 0$ .

REMARK. For  $\alpha > 2$  condition (ii) in the preceding theorem may be replaced by the weaker condition

(ii)'  $u'' + p(t)u = 0$  has no oscillatory solutions in  $[a, \infty)$ ,  $p(t)t^2$  is bounded, and  $p'(t) \leq 0$ .

The sufficiency of condition (ii)' is shown as follows. Application of Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 shows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ .  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = 0$  is established in the same way as before. It will now be shown that (ii) is stronger than (ii)' if  $\alpha > 2$ . Suppose that (ii) holds. Then  $(p(t)t^\alpha)' \leq 0$  which implies that  $p'(t) \leq -(\alpha/t)p(t)$ . Therefore by a comparison theorem (Birkhoff and Rota, [1, p. 22]) it follows that  $p(t) \leq -At^\alpha$  for some  $A > 0$ . Therefore, by Kneser's criterion

(Hartman [6, p. 362]),  $u'' + p(t)u = 0$  has no oscillatory solutions and clearly  $p(t)t^2$  is bounded for large  $t$ . Therefore (ii) implies (ii)' if  $\alpha > 2$ .

The following corollary is merely the refinement which the proof of Theorem 3.11 yields in the linear case. If  $\alpha = 0$  below, the condition is  $q(t) - p'(t) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ . Thus Corollary 3.12 is a supplement to a theorem of Lazer [10, p. 462] which allows  $q(t) - p'(t) \geq 0$  but requires  $q(t) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ .

**COROLLARY 3.12.** *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 3.2 for  $r = 1$  are satisfied and in addition that*

$$t^\alpha q(t) - (t^\alpha p(t))' \geq \varepsilon > 0$$

*for some  $0 \leq \alpha < 3$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) with  $r = 1$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y''(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ .*

The next theorem gives some information about the nonoscillatory solutions of (1.1) under different hypotheses than in Theorem 3.11.

**THEOREM 3.12.** *Let  $p(t) > 0$ ,  $q(t) \geq 0$ ,  $p'(t) \leq 0$ , and  $\int_0^\infty q(s)ds = \infty$ . If  $y(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), then  $y(t) = 0((p(t))^{-1})$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $y(t) > 0$  eventually. If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$ , there is nothing to prove. Therefore, suppose that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) > 0$ .

Note that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(y(t)) \leq 0$  by Corollary 3.4 and the fact that  $F(y(t))$  is nondecreasing in  $t$ . It is asserted that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(y(t)) = 0$ . Since  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$  (by Theorem 3.8), there is a sequence  $\{t_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $y''(t_n) \geq 0$ ,  $y'(t_n) = 0$  and  $y(t_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $y''(t)$  is bounded above (see the proof of Lemma 3.9),  $F(y(t_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(y(t)) = 0$ .

It is now asserted that  $y''(t) + p(t)y(t)$  has the limit  $0 \leq A < \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $y''(t) + p(t)y(t)$  is nonincreasing in  $t$ , the limit  $A < \infty$  exists, and since  $y''(t)$  has arbitrarily large zeros,  $A \geq 0$ . Now let  $\{s_n\}$  be such that  $y'(s_n) = 0$  and  $y(s_n) \geq B > 0$  for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(y(t)) = 0$ , it follows that

$$-[2y''(s_n) + p(s_n)y(s_n)]y(s_n) \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $y(s_n) \geq B > 0$ , it follows that  $2y''(s_n) + p(s_n)y(s_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $y''(s_n) + p(s_n)y(s_n) \rightarrow A$ , it follows that  $y''(s_n) \rightarrow -A$ . Therefore,  $p(s_n)y(s_n) \rightarrow 2A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\{s_n\}$  is an arbitrary sequence of relative maxima of  $y(t)$ ,  $p(t)y(t)$  is bounded. This proves the theorem.

The author wishes to thank Professor P. E. Waltman for his advice and encouragement.

## REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff and Gian-Carlo Rota, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Ginn and Company, Boston, 1962.
2. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw Hill Inc., New York, 1955.
3. M. Gregus, *Über die lineare homogene Differentialgleichung dritter Ordnung*, Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg Math.-Nat., XII/3, March 1963, 265-286.
4. W. Hahn, *Theory and Application of Liapunov's Direct Method*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1963.
5. M. Hanan, *Oscillation criteria for third-order linear differential equations*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 919-944.
6. P. Hartman, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1964.
7. E. Hille, *Nonoscillation theorems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1948), 234-252.
8. I. T. Kiguradze, *Oscillation properties of solutions of certain ordinary differential equations* (Russian), Dokl. AN SSSR **144** (1962) (translated: Soviet Math. **3** (1962), 649-652).
9. ———, *Concerning the question of oscillatory solutions of nonlinear differential equations* (Russian), Diff. Urav. **1** (1965), 995-1006.
10. A. C. Lazer, *The behavior of solutions of the differential equation*  

$$y''' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$
Pacific J. Math. (3) **17** (1966), 435-466.
11. I. Licko and M. Svec, *Le caractere oscillatoire des solutions de l'equation*  

$$y^{(n)} + f(x)y = 0, n \geq 1$$
Czechoslovak Math. J. **88** (1963), 481-491.
12. J. G. Mikusinski, *On Fite's oscillation theorems*, Colloquium Math. **2** (1949), 34-39.
13. W. Müller, *Zum Nachweis der Instabilität der Ruhelagen bei gewissen Differentialgleichungen*, Z. Ang. Math. Mech. **45** (1965), 359-360.
14. Z. Nehari, *Oscillation criteria for second order linear differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (85) **2** (1957), 428-445.
15. M. Svec, *Einige asymptotische und oszillatorische Eigenschaften der Differentialgleichungen  $y''' + A(x)y' + B(x)y = 0$* , Czechoslovak Math. J. **15** (1965), 378-393.
16. P. Waltman, *Oscillation criteria for third order nonlinear differential equations*, Pacific J. Math. (18) **2** (1966), 385-389.

Received July 26, 1967. This paper is the major part of the author's Ph. D. dissertation at the University of Iowa. This research was partially supported by a NASA traineeship.

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

AND

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Charles A. Akemann, <i>Invariant subspaces of <math>C(G)</math></i> .....	421
Dan Amir and Zvi Ziegler, <i>Generalized convexity cones and their duals</i> ....	425
Raymond Balbes, <i>On <math>(J, M, m)</math>-extensions of order sums of distributive lattices</i> .....	441
Jan-Erik Björk, <i>Extensions of the maximal ideal space of a function algebra</i> .....	453
Frank Castagna, <i>Sums of automorphisms of a primary abelian group</i> .....	463
Theodore Seio Chihara, <i>On determinate Hamburger moment problems</i> .....	475
Zeev Ditzian, <i>Convolution transforms whose inversion function has complex roots in a wide angle</i> .....	485
Myron Goldstein, <i>On a paper of Rao</i> .....	497
Velmer B. Headley and Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Oscillation criteria for elliptic equations</i> .....	501
John Willard Heidel, <i>Qualitative behavior of solutions of a third order nonlinear differential equation</i> .....	507
Alan Carleton Hindmarsh, <i>Pick's conditions and analyticity</i> .....	527
Bruce Ansgar Jensen and Donald Wright Miller, <i>Commutative semigroups which are almost finite</i> .....	533
Lynn Clifford Kurtz and Don Harrell Tucker, <i>An extended form of the mean-ergodic theorem</i> .....	539
S. P. Lloyd, <i>Feller boundary induced by a transition operator</i> .....	547
Henry B. Mann, Josephine Mitchell and Lowell Schoenfeld, <i>A new proof of the maximum principle for doubly-harmonic functions</i> .....	567
Robert Einsohn Mosher, <i>The product formula for the third obstruction</i> .....	573
Sam Bernard Nadler, Jr., <i>Sequences of contractions and fixed points</i> .....	579
Eric Albert Nordgren, <i>Invariant subspaces of a direct sum of weighted shifts</i> .....	587
Fred Richman, <i>Thin abelian <math>p</math>-groups</i> .....	599
Jordan Tobias Rosenbaum, <i>Simultaneous interpolation in <math>H_2</math>. II</i> .....	607
Charles Thomas Scarborough, <i>Minimal Urysohn spaces</i> .....	611
Malcolm Jay Sherman, <i>Disjoint invariant subspaces</i> .....	619
Joel John Westman, <i>Harmonic analysis on groupoids</i> .....	621
William Jennings Wickless, <i>Quasi-isomorphism and TFM rings</i> .....	633
Minoru Hasegawa, <i>Correction to "On the convergence of resolvents of operators"</i> .....	641