# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# A NEW PROOF OF THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR DOUBLY-HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

HENRY B. MANN, JOSEPHINE MITCHELL AND LOWELL SCHOENFELD

Vol. 27, No. 3 March 1968

# A NEW PROOF OF THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR DOUBLY-HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

### H. B. MANN, JOSEPHINE MITCHELL AND LOWELL SCHOENFELD

Let f be a real-valued Lebesque integrable function on a domain  $\Omega$  in Euclidean space  $E_{2m}$ , and let f be doubly-harmonic on  $\Omega$  so that it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{ab}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{ab}^2} = 0$$
 for  $k=1,2,\cdots,m$ .

In this paper, a new proof of the maximum principle is given for nonconstant functions f satisfying the preceding conditions.

The proof depends on the fact that the associated forms

$$\varphi_{p}(H;f) = \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_n = p} \frac{h_1^{r_1} \cdots h_n^{r_n}}{r_1! \cdots r_n!} \left( \frac{\partial^{p} f}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{r_n}} \right)_{x = A},$$

where  $A \in \Omega$ , are either indefinite or identically 0 for each  $p \ge 1$ . The authors previously proved this under weaker hypotheses on f, but the proof used the strong form of the maximum principle for solutions of linear elliptic partial differential equations of the second order with constant coefficients. By means of the theory of distributions, the authors now prove that the  $\varphi_p(H;f)$  have the stated property without using the maximum principle. Consequently, they obtain a new proof of this principle.

1. Introduction. We say that f is doubly-harmonic on a domain  $\Omega \subset E_{2m}$  if it is a real-valued function defined on  $\Omega$  such that the equations

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{2k-1}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{2k}^2} = 0 , \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$$

hold for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2m}) \in \Omega$ . Such a function f is necessarily harmonic on  $\Omega$  since on adding the m equations (1) we see that f satisfies the Laplace equation

$$(2) \qquad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{n-1}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{n-1}^2} = 0.$$

Moreover, the class of doubly-harmonic functions contains each function that is the real part of a function of m complex variables which is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ ; this can be seen from the Cauchy-Riemann equations applied to each complex variable  $x_{2k-1} + ix_{2k}$  separately. Obviously, if m=1, the class of doubly-harmonic functions coincides with the class of harmonic functions.

2. Two lemmas. Throughout, we use the notation of our earlier

paper [3]. In particular,  $\varphi_p(H; f)$ , which depends also on a point  $A \in \Omega$ , is defined in (2) of [3], and  $\theta = (0, \dots, 0)$  denotes the origin.

LEMMA 1. If f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree q defined on  $E_n$  and if  $A = \theta$ , then

$$\varphi_p(H; f) = \begin{cases} f(H) & \text{if } p = q \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* First, consider the special case

$$f_0(X) = cx_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}$$

where  $s_1 + \cdots + s_n = q$ . On applying the definition of  $D_X^{|R|}$  in (1) of [3], we get

$$D_X^{(R)}f_0=r_1!\cdots r_n! \ cinom{s_1}{r_1}\cdots inom{s_n}{r_n}x_1^{s_1-r_1}\cdots x_n^{s_n-r_n}$$

so that  $D_X^{|R|}f_0 = 0$  if  $r_j > s_j$  for some j. And if  $r_j < s_j$  for some j, then  $(D_X^{|R|}f_0)_{X=\theta} = 0$ . In the remaining case in which  $r_j = s_j$  for all j, i.e., R = S, we have  $D_X^{|R|}f_0 = S!c$ . Hence, from (2) of [3], we obtain  $\varphi_v(H; f_0) = 0$  if  $p \neq q$  and

$$arphi_q(H;f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = rac{1}{S!} h_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{s_1} \cdots h_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{s_n} S! c = f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(H)$$
 .

Second, consider the case of a general homogeneous polynomial f of degree q. Then f is a linear combination of terms of the kind  $f_0$ . Since  $\varphi_q(H; f)$  is linear in the last argument, the result for f follows from the result for each of the  $f_0$ .

LEMMA 2. If P(x, y) is a harmonic polynomial, then it is either a constant or is an indefinite function.

*Proof.* Suppose P is not a constant so that it is of exact degree  $p \ge 1$ . Then

$$P(x, y) = J_p(x, y) + J_{p-1}(x, y) + \cdots + J_0(x, y)$$
,

where  $J_k(x, y)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k and  $J_p$  is not identically 0. If p = 1, then P is clearly indefinite. We therefore assume that  $p \ge 2$ . Then

$$0=\Big(rac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+rac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\Big)P(x,y)=I_{p-2}(x,y)+I_{p-3}(x,y)+\cdots+I_0(x,y)$$

where, for  $0 \le k \le p-2$ ,

$$I_k(x, y) = \Big(rac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + rac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\Big) J_{k+2}(x, y)$$

is a homogeneous form of degree k. If not all  $I_k$  are identically 0, then there is a largest index  $r \ge 0$  such that  $I_r$  is not identically 0. Then

$$0 = I_r(x, y) + I_{r-1}(x, y) + \cdots + I_0(x, y)$$

with  $I_r(a, b) \neq 0$  for some a, b. Hence, for all real t,

$$egin{aligned} 0 &= I_r(ta,\,tb) \,+\, I_{r-1}(ta,\,tb) \,+\, \cdots \,+\, I_0(ta,\,tb) \ &= t^r I_r(a,b) \,+\, t^{r-1} I_{r-1}(a,\,b) \,+\, \cdots \,+\, I_0(a,\,b) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I_r(a,b) \neq 0$ , this equation can hold for at most  $r \leq p-2$  values of t and we have a contradiction. Consequently, each  $I_k$  is identically 0 so that each  $J_j$  is harmonic. Since  $J_p$  is harmonic, we can apply Mann's result [2] (with  $A=\theta$ ) to deduce that  $\varphi_p(h,k;J_p)$  is either an indefinite form in h,k or is a constant (actually 0). By Lemma 1 above,  $\varphi_p(h,k;J_p)$  is just  $J_p(h,k)$  which has exact degree  $p \geq 2$ ; consequently, it is not a constant and hence is indefinite. Therefore, for suitable  $h_0, k_0$  and  $h_1, k_1$  we have  $J_p(h_0, k_0) < 0 < J_p(h_1, k_1)$ . Hence

$$P(th_0, tk_0) = t^p J_p(h_0, k_0) + t^{p-1} J_{p-1}(h_0, k_0) + \cdots + J_0(h_0, k_0) < 0$$

if t is positive and large enough. Likewise,  $P(th_1, tk_1) > 0$  if t is positive and large enough. Thus P is indefinite.

3. The main results. We begin with the following result which extends Theorem 2 of [3].

THEOREM 1. If f is a Lebesgue integrable doubly-harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega \subset E_{2m}$ , then f is analytic on  $\Omega$ . And if  $A \in \Omega$ , then the forms  $\varphi_p(H; f)$  are doubly-harmonic functions on  $E_{2m}$  such that for each  $p \geq 1$  either the form is indefinite or is identically zero.

*Proof.* As remarked earlier, f satisfies the Laplace equation (2). Since it is integrable, the expression  $\int_{\Omega} f(X) \psi(X) dX$ , for a test function  $\psi$ , defines a distribution as we remarked in the proof of Theorem 1 of [3]. This distribution also satisfies the elliptic equation (2). By Corollary 4.4.1 on p. 114 of Hörmander [1], it follows that the function f is analytic on  $\Omega$ .

Consequently, the forms  $\varphi_p(H; f)$  are defined; and by the corollary of the lemma in [3], these forms are doubly-harmonic on  $E_{2m}$ . Suppose that for some  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\varphi_p(H; f)$  is not indefinite; then we may as-

sume it is always nonnegative. If we fix  $h_3, \dots, h_{2m}$  then  $\varphi_p(H; f)$  becomes a harmonic polynomial in  $h_1, h_2$ . By Lemma 2, it is either indefinite or is independent of  $h_1, h_2$ ; since it is nonnegative, it cannot be indefinite and hence must be independent of  $h_1, h_2$ . That is,  $\varphi_p(H; f)$  depends only  $(h_3, \dots, h_{2m})$ . Similarly, it is independent of  $h_3, h_4$  so that it depends only on  $(h_5, \dots, h_{2m})$ . Continuing in this way, we see that  $\varphi_p(H; f)$  depends only on  $(h_{2m-1}, h_{2m})$ ; finally, it is independent of  $(h_{2m-1}, h_{2m})$  as well so that  $\varphi_p(H; f)$  is actually a constant. This constant is  $\varphi_p(\theta; f) = 0$  since  $p \ge 1$ . This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. If f is a nonconstant Lebesgue integrable doubly-harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega \subset E_{2m}$ , then it does not assume a maximum at a point in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Suppose, on the contrary, that f assumes a maximum at a point  $A \in \Omega$ . The preceding theorem shows that f is analytic in  $\Omega$ ; it therefore has a Taylor expansion about A given by

$$f(X) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varphi_r(X - A; f)$$
.

Since f is not a constant, there is some integer  $p \geq 1$  such that  $\varphi_r(H;f)$  is identically 0 for each  $r=1,2,\cdots,p-1$ , but  $\varphi_p(H;f)$  is not identically 0. Inasmuch as the previous theorem shows that  $\varphi_p(H;f)$  is indefinite, there is some  $C=(c_1,\cdots,c_{2m})$  such that  $\varphi_p(C;f)>0$ . Then  $C\neq\theta$  so that  $c\equiv||C||>0$ . Applying Taylor's theorem with remainder, we have for all B in some neighborhood of A

$$f(B) - f(A) = \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \varphi_r(B-A;f) + \varphi_p^*(B-A;f) = \varphi_p^*(B-A;f)$$

where, on putting n=2m,

$$arphi_p^*(H;f) = \sum_{|R|=q} \frac{1}{R!} h_1^{r_1} \cdots h_n^{r_n} (D_X^{|R|} f)_{X=D}$$

and  $D = A + \vartheta(B - A)$ ,  $0 < \vartheta < 1$ , is a suitable point on the line segment joining A and B. If we define

$$arepsilon = rac{1}{2} \cdot rac{p!}{(nc)^p} arphi_p(C;f)$$
 ,

then there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all R with |R| = p,

$$|(D_{X}^{|R|}f)_{X=Y} - (D_{X}^{|R|}f)_{X=A}| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $||Y-A|| < \delta$ . Consequently, on taking  $B = A + \lambda C$  and  $0 < \lambda < \delta/c$ , we find

$$egin{aligned} \mid arphi_p^*(\lambda C;f) - arphi_p(\lambda C;f) \mid & \leq arepsilon \sum_{|R|=p} rac{1}{R!} (\lambda \mid c_1 \mid)^{r_1} \cdots (\lambda \mid c_n \mid)^{r_n} \ & \leq rac{arepsilon}{p!} (\lambda \mid c_1 \mid + \cdots + \lambda \mid c_n \mid)^p \leq rac{arepsilon}{p!} (\lambda nc)^p = rac{1}{2} \lambda^p arphi_p(C;f) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since f(A) is maximal,

$$egin{aligned} 0 & \geq f(A + \lambda C) - f(A) = arphi_p^*(\lambda C; f) \geq arphi_p(\lambda C; f) - rac{1}{2} \lambda^p arphi_p(C; f) \ & = \lambda^p arphi_p(C; f) - rac{1}{2} \lambda^p arphi_p(C; f) \ & = rac{1}{2} \lambda^p arphi_p(C; f) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ . This contradiction proves the theorem.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Lars Hörmander, Linear partial differential operators, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 116 (1963), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- 2. H. B. Mann, On a property of harmonic functions, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 414.
- 3. H. B. Mann, Josephine Mitchell, and Lowell Schoenfeld, Properties of differential forms in n real variables, Pacific J. Math. 21 (1967), 525-529.

Received September 12, 1967. Sponsored by the Mathematics Research Center, United States Army, Madison, Wisconsin, under Contract No. DA-31-124-ARO-D-462.

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER MADISON, WISCONSIN,

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY STATE COLLEGE, PENNSYLVANIA

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY STATE COLLEGE, PENNSYLVANIA

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### **EDITORS**

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. R. PHELPS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal,
but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 27, No. 3

March, 1968

Charles A. Akemann, Invariant subspaces of $C(G)$	421
Dan Amir and Zvi Ziegler, Generalized convexity cones and their duals	425
Raymond Balbes, $On(J, M, m)$ -extensions of order sums of distributive	
lattices	441
Jan-Erik Björk, Extensions of the maximal ideal space of a function	
algebra	453
Frank Castagna, Sums of automorphisms of a primary abelian group	463
Theodore Seio Chihara, On determinate Hamburger moment problems	475
Zeev Ditzian, Convolution transforms whose inversion function has complex	
roots in a wide angle	485
Myron Goldstein, On a paper of Rao	497
Velmer B. Headley and Charles Andrew Swanson, <i>Oscillation criteria for</i>	
elliptic equations	501
John Willard Heidel, Qualitative behavior of solutions of a third order	
nonlinear differential equation	507
Alan Carleton Hindmarsh, <i>Pick's conditions and analyticity</i>	527
Bruce Ansgar Jensen and Donald Wright Miller, Commutative semigroups	
which are almost finite	533
Lynn Clifford Kurtz and Don Harrell Tucker, An extended form of the	
mean-ergodic theorem	539
S. P. Lloyd, Feller boundary induced by a transition operator	547
Henry B. Mann, Josephine Mitchell and Lowell Schoenfeld, <i>A new proof of</i>	
the maximum principle for doubly-harmonic functions	567
Robert Einsohn Mosher, <i>The product formula for the third obstruction</i>	573
Sam Bernard Nadler, Jr., Sequences of contractions and fixed points	579
Eric Albert Nordgren, Invariant subspaces of a direct sum of weighted	
shifts	587
Fred Richman, <i>Thin abelian p-groups</i>	599
Jordan Tobias Rosenbaum, Simultaneous interpolation in H <sub>2</sub> . II	607
Charles Thomas Scarborough, <i>Minimal Urysohn spaces</i>	611
Malcolm Jay Sherman, <i>Disjoint invariant subspaces</i>	619
Joel John Westman, Harmonic analysis on groupoids	621
William Jennings Wickless, Quasi-isomorphism and TFM rings	633
Minoru Hasegawa, Correction to "On the convergence of resolvents of	
operators"	641