

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A NOTE ON PROPOSITION OBSERVABLES**

STANLEY P. GUDDER

# A NOTE ON PROPOSITION OBSERVABLES

STANLEY P. GUDDER

We consider some questions which were brought up in a previous paper: (1) If the product of two proposition observables is a proposition observable, do the corresponding propositions split? (2) What is the relationship, if any, between the concepts of compatibility and simultaneity of proposition observables? It is shown that the answer to (1) is yes and as a corollary we find the partial answer to (2) that compatibility implies simultaneity. It is also proved that the sum of two proposition observables is a proposition observable if and only if the corresponding propositions are orthogonal and also that  $(x_a \circ x_b)^n$  converges weakly to  $x_a \wedge b$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We assume that all observables are defined on a quite full logic satisfying conditions  $U$  and  $E$ . (See [1] for definitions and notation.) Recall that an observable  $x$  is a *proposition observable* if  $\sigma(x) \subset \{0, 1\}$ . (These observables are also called *questions*, cf. [3].) If  $x(\{1\}) = a$  we denote  $x$  by  $x_a$ . It is clear that proposition observables behave, in some respects, like orthogonal (self-adjoint) projections on a Hilbert space and for this reason it is natural to consider certain properties of projections and ask whether these properties are retained by proposition observables. For example, it is easy to show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are orthogonal projections then  $A + B$  is an orthogonal projection if and only if  $AB = BA = 0$  and  $AB$  is an orthogonal projection if and only if  $AB = BA$  ([4], Th. 13.4). Also if  $C$  is the orthogonal projection on the range of  $A$  intersected with the range of  $B$  then  $C$  is the strong operator limit of the sequence  $A, BA, ABA, BABA, \dots$  ([4] Th. 13.7). We show that these results generalize to proposition observables. Recall that the *product*  $x \circ y$  of two bounded observables  $x, y$  is  $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}[(x + y)^2 - x^2 - y^2]$  and that  $x$  and  $y$  are *compatible* if  $x \circ (z \circ y) = (x \circ z) \circ y = (x \circ y) \circ z$  for all bounded observables  $z$ .

## 2. The theorems.

LEMMA 1. *The following statements are equivalent. (i)  $a \leftrightarrow b$ . (ii)  $m(a) = 1, m(a \wedge b) = 0$  implies  $m(b) = 0$  for any state  $m$ . (iii)  $\sigma(x_a + x_b) \subset \{0, 1, 2\}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a = (a - a \wedge b) + (a \wedge b)$  and  $b = (b - a \wedge b) + (a \wedge b)$ ,  $a \leftrightarrow b$  if and only if  $(a - a \wedge b) \perp (b - a \wedge b)$ . But the latter holds if and only if  $m(a - a \wedge b) = 1$  implies  $m(b - a \wedge b) = 0$ . This last condition is equivalent to (ii) and hence (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Since  $\sigma(x_a + x_b) \subset [0, 2]$  applying Lemma 6.2 [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(a) + m(b) &= m(x_a + x_b) = \int_{[0,2]} \lambda m[(x_a + x_b)(d\lambda)] \\ &= m[(x_a + x_b)(\{1\})] + m(a \wedge b) + m[(x_a + x_b)(\{0, 1, 2\}')] . \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sigma(x_a + x_b) \subset \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $m(a) = 1$ ,  $m(a \wedge b) = 0$  then

$$m(b) = m[(x_a + x_b)(\{1\})] - 1 = 0 ,$$

and hence  $a \leftrightarrow b$ . Conversely if  $a \leftrightarrow b$  it easily follows that

$$m[(x_a + x_b)(\{1\})] = m(a \wedge b') + m(b \wedge a')$$

for every state. It then follows that  $(x_a + x_b)(\{0, 1, 2\}') = 0$  and hence  $\sigma(x_a + x_b) \subset \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The following statements are equivalent. (i)  $x_a \circ x_b$  is a proposition observable. (ii)  $x_a \circ x_b = x_{a \wedge b}$ . (iii)  $a \leftrightarrow b$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 6.6 [1] that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). To show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) suppose  $x_a \circ x_b$  is a proposition observable. Define the continuous function  $f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - \lambda)$ . Since a proposition observable is its own square we have

$$\{0, 1\} \supset \sigma(x_a \circ x_b) = \sigma[\tfrac{1}{2}((x_a + x_b)^2 - (x_a + x_b))] = \sigma[f(x_a + x_b)] .$$

Applying the spectral mapping theorem (Theorem 4.2 [2]) we have  $f(\sigma(x_a + x_b)) \subset \{0, 1\}$ . We then conclude that  $\sigma(x_a + x_b) \subset \{0, 1, 2\}$  and using Lemma 1 we have that  $a \leftrightarrow b$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $x_a$  and  $x_b$  are compatible, then  $x_a \leftrightarrow x_b$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x_a$  and  $x_b$  are compatible, then

$$(x_a \circ x_b)^2 = (x_a \circ x_b) \circ (x_a \circ x_b) = ((x_a \circ x_b) \circ x_a) \circ x_b = (x_a \circ x_b) \circ x_b = x_a \circ x_b$$

and hence  $x_a \circ x_b$  is a proposition observable. Applying Theorem 2,  $a \leftrightarrow b$  and hence  $x_a \leftrightarrow x_b$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** *The following statements are equivalent. (i)  $x_a + x_b$  is a proposition observable. (ii)  $a \perp b$ . (iii)  $\sigma(x_a + x_b) \subset [0, 1]$ . (iv)  $x_a \circ x_b = 0$ .*

*Proof.* From Theorem 2 and Theorem 6.7 [1] we deduce that (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and it is trivial that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Now (iii) is equivalent to  $0 \leq m(a) + m(b) \leq 1$  or  $m(a) \leq 1 - m(b)$  for every state  $m$ . But

this last statement is equivalent to (ii) and thus (iii) and (ii) are equivalent.

**COROLLARY 5.** *The following statements are equivalent. (i)  $x_b - x_a$  is a proposition observable. (ii)  $a \leq b$ . (iii)  $\sigma(x_b - x_a) \subset [0, 1]$ . (iv)  $x_a \circ x_b = x_a$ .*

*Proof.* Replace  $b$  by  $b'$  in Corollary 4.

We say that a sequence of observables  $x_n$  converges weakly to an observable  $x$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n) = m(x)$  for every state  $m$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *The sequence of observables  $(x_a \circ x_b)^n$  converges weakly to the proposition observable  $x_{a \wedge b}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $z = x_a \circ x_b$  and again let  $f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 - \lambda)$ . Then using the spectral mapping theorem and the fact that  $\sigma(x_a + x_b) \subset [0, 2]$  we have

$$\sigma(z) = \sigma(f(x_a + x_b)) = f(\sigma(x_a + x_b)) \subset f([0, 2]) \subset [-1/8, 1].$$

Since

$$m(z^n) = \int_{[-1/8, 1]} \lambda^n m[z(d\lambda)] + m[z(\{1\})]$$

we have

$$|m(z^n) - m[z(\{1\})]| \leq \int_{[-1/8, 1]} |\lambda|^n m[z(d\lambda)].$$

Applying the dominated convergence theorem, the integral approaches zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(z^n) = m[z(\{1\})]$ . Applying Lemma 6.2 [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(\{1\}) &= f(x_a + x_b)(\{1\}) = (x_a + x_b)[f^{-1}(\{1\})] \\ &= (x_a + x_b)(\{-1, 2\}) = (x_a + x_b)(\{2\}) = a \wedge b \end{aligned}$$

and since  $m(x_{a \wedge b}) = m(a \wedge b)$ , the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 7.** *The sequence of observables  $I - [I - x_a] \circ (I - x_b)^n$  converges weakly to the proposition observable  $x_{a \vee b}$ .*

**REMARKS.** Strictly speaking Theorem 6 is not exactly a generalization of Von Neumann's Theorem 13.7 [4], however it is probably the most natural form that a corresponding result would take under these more general circumstances. Notice that our definition of compatibility is stronger than that given in [1].

## REFERENCES

1. S. P. Gudder, *Uniqueness and existence properties of bounded observables*, Pacific J. Math. **19** (1966), 81-93.
2. ———, *Spectral methods for a generalized probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1965), 428-442.
3. G. W. Mackey, *The mathematical foundations of Quantum mechanics*, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1963.
4. J. von Neumann, *Functional operators*, Vol. II, Princeton University Press, 1950.

Received August 9, 1967. While conducting research for this paper the author was supported by NSF contract GP-6764.

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. ROYDEN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

*Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan*

Patrick Robert Ahern, <i>On the geometry of the unit ball in the space of real annihilating measures</i> .....	1
Kirby Alan Baker, <i>Equational classes of modular lattices</i> .....	9
E. F. Beckenbach and Gerald Andrew Hutchison, <i>Meromorphic minimal surfaces</i> .....	17
Tae Ho Choe, <i>Intrinsic topologies in a topological lattice</i> .....	49
John Bligh Conway, <i>A theorem on sequential convergence of measures and some applications</i> .....	53
Roger Cuppens, <i>On the decomposition of infinitely divisible probability laws without normal factor</i> .....	61
Lynn Harry Erbe, <i>Nonoscillatory solutions of second order nonlinear differential equations</i> .....	77
Burton I. Fein, <i>The Schur index for projective representations of finite groups</i> .....	87
Stanley P. Gudder, <i>A note on proposition observables</i> .....	101
Kenneth Kapp, <i>On Croisot's theory of decompositions</i> .....	105
Robert P. Kaufman, <i>Gap series and an example to Malliavin's theorem</i> .....	117
E. J. McShane, Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr. and V. M. Warfield, <i>Invariant extensions of linear functionals, with applications to measures and stochastic processes</i> .....	121
Marvin Victor Mielke, <i>Rearrangement of spherical modifications</i> .....	143
Akio Osada, <i>On unicity of capacity functions</i> .....	151
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Some <math>5/2</math> transitive permutation groups</i> .....	157
Harold L. Peterson, Jr., <i>Regular and irregular measures on groups and dyadic spaces</i> .....	173
Habib Salehi, <i>On interpolation of <math>q</math>-variate stationary stochastic processes</i> .....	183
Michael Samuel Skaff, <i>Vector valued Orlicz spaces generalized <math>N</math>-functions. I</i> .....	193
A. J. Ward, <i>On <math>H</math>-equivalence of uniformities. II</i> .....	207
Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Algebras satisfying the descending chain condition for subalgebras</i> .....	217
G. K. White, <i>On subgroups of fixed index</i> .....	225
Martin Michael Zuckerman, <i>A unifying condition for implications among the axioms of choice for finite sets</i> .....	233