

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

HOMEOMORPHISM GROUPS OF DENDRONS

BEVERLY L. BRECHNER

HOMEOMORPHISM GROUPS OF DENDRONS

BEVERLY L. BRECHNER

Professor J. Dugundji has asked the following question. Is the space of homeomorphisms of a contractible, locally contractible, space necessarily locally contractible? In this paper we answer the question in the negative by showing that the spaces of homeomorphisms of certain dendrons are not locally contractible. Specifically, we will show that any "special" dendron (see Definition 2.3) has a zero dimensional, non-discrete, group of homeomorphisms.

Conventions and notation. If X is a metric continuum, $G(X)$ will denote the group of all homeomorphisms of X , with the following metric topology:

$$d(g, h) = \text{lub}_{x \in X} \{d(g(x), h(x))\}.$$

The double arrow in $f: A \twoheadrightarrow B$ means *onto*.

By a *dendron* is meant a locally connected metric continuum containing no simple closed curves.

See [3] and [4] for further discussion of dendrons.

2. Homeomorphisms between similar dendrons.

DEFINITION 2.1. A set B is an *order basis* for a dendron D if and only if every point x of D has arbitrarily small neighborhoods U_x , with boundaries in B , such that the number of points on $\text{Bd } U_x$ is less than or equal to the order of x [3, p. 277].

DEFINITION 2.2. Let D be a dendron, B an order basis for D . Let $\mathcal{W}: W_1, \dots, W_n$ be a cover of D of mesh $< \varepsilon$ with the following properties for each i :

- (1) W_i is a continuum which is the closure of an open set,
- (2) $\text{Bd } W_i$ is a finite subset of B , and
- (3) $W_i \cap W_j = \text{Bd } W_i \cap \text{Bd } W_j$ for each $j \neq i$.

Then \mathcal{W} is called a *regular ε -cover with respect to B* .

DEFINITION 2.3. Let S be a nonempty set, finite or infinite, of positive integers greater than or equal to three. Let \mathcal{D}_S be the class of all dendrons with a dense set of cut points of order n , for each $n \in S$, and no cut points of order $k \geq 3$, if $k \notin S$. An element of \mathcal{D}_S for any such S is called a *special dendron*. Two special dendrons D_1 and D_2 are called *similar* if and only if there exists a class \mathcal{D}_S to

which they both belong.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let D be a dendron and let B be an order basis for D containing all the cut points of order greater than or equal to three. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a regular ε -cover with respect to B .*

Proof. See Lemma 4.1 of [2].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let X and Y be dendrons and let $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$. Let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be sequences of closed covers of X and Y respectively, such that*

- (1) \mathcal{U}_i is a regular ε_i -cover of X ,
- (2) \mathcal{V}_i is a regular ε_i -cover of Y ,
- (3) \mathcal{U}_{i+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_i ; \mathcal{V}_{i+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{V}_i ,
- (4) \mathcal{U}_i and \mathcal{V}_i have the same number of elements,
- (5) The elements of \mathcal{U}_i and \mathcal{V}_i can be so named that $U_{i,j} \cap U_{i,k} \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $V_{i,j} \cap V_{i,k} \neq \varnothing$,
- (6) $U_{i+1,j} \subseteq U_{i,k}$ if and only if $V_{i+1,j} \subseteq V_{i,k}$.

Then X and Y are homeomorphic.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Then there exists a tower of sets $\{U_{i,j_x}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $x = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty U_{i,j_x}$. Let $h(x) = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty V_{i,j_x}$. It may be shown, by a standard argument, that $h(x)$ is well-defined, and is a homeomorphism of X onto Y . (See, for example, Theorem 11 of [1].)

LEMMA 2.3. *Let D be a dendron and let $\mathcal{U}: U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$ be a regular ε -cover. Let P be the set of points of intersection of the various elements of the cover; that is, $p \in P$ if and only if there exist i, j such that $U_i \cap U_j = p$. Then the elements of P can be so named that $D - \{p_1\}$ has exactly one component which meets $P - \{p_1\}$, if this set is not empty.*

Proof. Let p'_1 be any element of P . If there exist at least two components of $D - \{p'_1\}$ which contain elements of $P - \{p'_1\}$, let V_1 be one of these components, and consider the points of P in V_1 . Let p'_2 be any such point of P . Now consider $D - \{p'_2\}$. One component of this set contains p'_1 . If no other components contain points of P , then let $p'_2 = p_1$, and we are through. If there are other components containing points of P , let V_2 be such a component. We note that $p'_1 \notin V_2$. Let p'_3 be any element of P in V_2 . Consider $D - \{p'_3\}$. We note that p'_1 and p'_2 are in the same component of this set, since p'_2 separates p'_1 from p_3 . If this is the only component containing points of P , let $p'_3 = p_1$, and we are through. If there are other components containing points of P , we continue the above process. This process

can be continued only a finite number of times, since P is finite. Therefore, at the last stage we have the required p_1 .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let X and Y be similar dendrons, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let A and B be order bases for X and Y respectively, each containing all the cut points of order greater than or equal to three. Then X and Y admit regular ε -covers \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} with respect to A and B respectively, such that:*

- (1) \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} have the same number of elements, and
- (2) the elements of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} can be so named that $U_i \cap U_j \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $V_i \cap V_j \neq \varnothing$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}': U'_1, U'_2, \dots, U'_r$ be a regular ε -cover of X with respect to A . We may assume, without loss of generality, that the interiors of the elements of \mathcal{U}' are connected. Let y_0 be an endpoint of Y , and let W_1 be a connected neighborhood of y_0 , of diameter less than ε . Let y_1 be a point of order two in W_1 . Then y_1 is a point of order two in Y , and one of the two components of $Y - \{y_1\}$ is of diameter less than ε . Call this component W_2 . Let W'_2 be the other.

We will put a copy of \mathcal{U}' in \bar{W}_2 and a copy of a refinement of \bar{W}'_2 in an appropriate element of \mathcal{U}' to obtain the isomorphic covers \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} of the theorem. We do this in the following way. Let P be the set of points of X which are points of intersection of the elements of \mathcal{U}' ; that is, $p \in P$ if and only if there exists U'_i and U'_j such that $U'_i \cap U'_j = p$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists $p_1 \in P$ such that $X - \{p_1\}$ contains exactly one component meeting $P - \{p_1\}$. Let k_1 be the order of p_1 . Let q_1 be a point of W_2 of order k_1 . There are $(n_1 - 1)$ components of $X - \{p_1\}$ which do not contain elements of $P - \{p_1\}$. Let φ_1 assign the closures of these in any one-to-one manner to the closures of the $(n_1 - 1)$ components of $W_2 - \{q_1\}$ which do not contain y_1 . Let O_1 and O'_1 be the remaining components of $X - \{p_1\}$ and $\bar{W}_2 - \{q_1\}$ respectively, and let φ_1 assign \bar{O}_1 to \bar{O}'_1 .

We next break up \bar{O}'_1 to match \bar{O}_1 . \bar{O}_1 is a dendron containing p_1 as an endpoint, and \bar{O}'_1 is a dendron containing q_1 as an endpoint. \bar{O}_1 already has inherited a regular ε -cover by elements of \mathcal{U}' . By Lemma 2.3, there exists $p_2 \in P$ such that $\bar{O}_1 - \{p_2\}$ has exactly one component which contains points of $P - \{p_1, p_2\}$, if this set is not empty. Let k_2 be the order of p_2 . Let q_2 be a point of \bar{O}'_1 of order k_2 . Exactly one component of $\bar{O}_1 - \{p_2\}$ contains p_1 . Let φ_2 assign this component to the component of $\bar{O}'_1 - \{q_2\}$ which contains q_1 . Let φ_2 map the closures of the other components arbitrarily in a one-to-one manner to the closures of the components of $\bar{O}'_1 - \{q_2\}$ which do not contain q_1 . We will call the component of $\bar{O}_1 - \{p_2\}$ which con-

tains points of $P - \{p_1, p_2\}$ by the name O_2 , and we will call $\varphi_2(\bar{O}_2)$ by the name \bar{O}'_2 .

We note that O_2 has at most two boundary points in P . We next break up \bar{O}'_2 . By Lemma 2.3, there exists $p_3 \in O_2$ such that $\bar{O}_2 - \{p_3\}$ has exactly one component which meets $P - \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$, if this set is not empty. Let k_3 be the order of p_3 . We choose a point q_3 in \bar{O}'_2 such that (1) the order of q_3 is k_3 , and (2) q_i separates q_j from q_k if and only if p_i separates p_j from p_k , $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$. In case p_i does not separate p_j from p_k , $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$, let A_1 be the unique arc from p_1 to p_2 , and let A'_1 be the unique arc from p_3 to A_1 . Let k'_3 be the order of point $A_1 \cap A'_1$. In this case, we additionally require that q_3 have the corresponding property; that is, if B_1 is the arc from q_1 to q_2 and B'_1 is the arc from q_3 to B_1 then the order of the point $B_1 \cap B'_1$ is also k'_3 . Now $\bar{O}_2 - \{p_3\}$ has exactly one component which meets $P - \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$, if this set is not empty. Look at the boundary points of this component, and let φ_3 take this component to the component of $\bar{O}'_2 - \{q_3\}$ with the corresponding boundary points. Let φ_3 map the closures of the remaining components arbitrarily to the closures of the remaining components of $\bar{O}'_2 - \{q_3\}$, except that if one of the components of $\bar{O}_2 - \{p_3\}$ has p_1 (or p_2) as an additional boundary point, let φ_3 take the closure of this component to the closure of the component of $\bar{O}'_2 - \{q_3\}$ with q_1 (or q_2) as an additional boundary point.

We continue this process inductively, choosing q_n so that q_n separates q_i from q_j , ($i, j < n$), if and only if p_n separates p_i from p_j . Further, we ask that if the component of $X - \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}\}$ which contains p_n has p_i ($i < n$) as a boundary point, then the component of $W_2 - \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{n-1}\}$ which contains q_n has q_i as a boundary point. We also require that the order of q_n equal the order of p_n . In case p_n does not separate any pair $p_i p_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, let A_n be the union of the arcs joining the pairs of points p_i, p_j for $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$. Let A'_n be the arc joining p_n to A_n . Let the order of the point $A_n \cap A'_n$ be k'_n . In this case we additionally require that q_n have the corresponding property; that is, if B_n is the union of the arcs joining q_i and q_j , $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, and if B'_n is the arc from q_n to B_n . Then (a) the order of the point $B_n \cap B'_n$ is k'_n , and (b) A'_n and B'_n are isomorphic trees, the isomorphism determined by $p_i \rightarrow q_i$. We define φ_n from the set of closures of components of $\bar{O}_{n-1} - \{p_n\}$ to the set of closures of components of $\bar{O}'_{n-1} - \{q_n\}$ so that if $\varphi_n(\bar{O}) = \bar{O}'$ and p_i is a boundary point of \bar{O} , then q_i is a boundary point of \bar{O}' .

After a finite number of steps, we use the last p_i in P . Let φ be the one-to-one function determined by $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k$, where k is the number of points in P , and $\varphi(U') = \varphi_j(U')$ for some j such that U' contains no points of P in the j th step of the above process, and where $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$.

Let $\varphi(\bar{U}'_i) = \bar{V}'_i$ in \bar{W}_2 , and call this cover of \bar{W}_2 by the name \mathcal{V}' . Let \bar{V}'_i be the element of \mathcal{V}' which contains y_1 . Consider $\varphi^{-1}(\bar{V}'_i) = \bar{U}'_i$. If \bar{U}'_i is an "end element" of \mathcal{U}' , that is, the boundary of \bar{U}'_i contains exactly one point in P , let x_1 be any point of order two in \bar{U}'_i , and let W_2'' be the component of $X - \{x_1\}$ which does not contain the points of P .

If U'_i is not an end element, let $Q = \{q_i \mid q_i \text{ corresponds to } p_i \text{ in } P\}$, and let B the finite tree which is the union of arcs joining the points of Q on the boundary of \bar{V}'_i , and let B' be the arc joining y_1 to B . Let $y = B \cap B'$ and let k be the order of y . Note that $k \geq 3$. Now let A be the finite tree which is the union of the arcs joining the points of P on the boundary of U'_i . A is isomorphic to B . Choose a point $x \in A$, of order k , such that x separates p_i from p_j in A if and only if y separates q_i from q_j in B . Let x_1 be any point of order 2 of a component of $A - \{x\}$ whose only boundary point is x . Let W_2'' be the component of $X - \{x_1\}$ which does not contain points of P .

Now let \mathcal{E}' be a regular ε -cover of W_2' . Let \mathcal{E}'' be a copy of \mathcal{E}' in W_2'' , using the same procedure as above, and making sure in the first step that the component in W_2' which contains y_1 corresponds to the component in W_2'' which contains x_1 . \mathcal{E}'' is obtained in a finite number of steps. Let $\psi: \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}''$ be the one-to-one function obtained.

The subdivisions \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} of X and Y obtained in this manner are regular ε -covers of X and Y respectively, and are isomorphic; that is, there is a one-to-one function $f: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that $U_i \cap U_j \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $f(U_i) \cap f(U_j) \neq \varnothing$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let X and Y be two similar dendrons containing endpoints $\{a, b\}$ and $\{c, d\}$ respectively. Then there exists a homeomorphism $h, h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h(a) = c$ and $h(b) = d$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We show that there exist regular ε -covers \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} for X and Y respectively, and a one-to-one function φ from \mathcal{U} onto \mathcal{V} such that (1) $U_i \cap U_j \neq \varnothing$ if and only if

$$\varphi(U_i) \cap \varphi(U_j) \neq \varnothing,$$

and (2) φ takes the element of \mathcal{U} containing "a" to the element of \mathcal{V} containing "c," and the element of \mathcal{U} containing "b" to the element of \mathcal{V} containing "d."

Let x_1 be a point of order two separating a from b in X , and let A_1 and B_1 be the two components of $X - \{x_1\}$. Let y_1 be a point of order two separating c from d in Y , and let C_1 and D_1 be the two components of $Y - \{y_1\}$. Let \mathcal{U}' be a regular ε -cover of X which is

the union of a regular ε -cover of A_1 and a regular ε -cover of B_1 . Let \mathcal{V}' be a regular ε -cover of Y such that \mathcal{V}' is the union of a regular ε -cover of C_1 and a regular ε -cover of D_1 and such that there exists a one-to-one function $\varphi: \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$, constructed with care as in Lemma 2.4, so that:

(1) φ takes each element containing x_1 to an element containing y_1 ;

(2) φ takes each element which is a subset of A_1 to an element which is a subset of C_1 , and each element which is a subset of B_1 to an element which is a subset of D_1 , and

(3) φ takes the element containing " a " to the element containing " c ," and the element containing " b " to the element containing " d ."

Now let $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$. For each ε_i we construct isomorphic covers \mathcal{U}_i and \mathcal{V}_i with properties of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} above, and such that (1) \mathcal{U}_i is a refinement of \mathcal{U}_{i-1} and \mathcal{V}_i is a refinement of \mathcal{V}_{i-1} , and (2) $U_{ij} \subseteq U_{i-1,k}$ if and only if $V_{ij} \subseteq V_{i-1,k}$. Clearly this may be done by using the care used in the construction of the isomorphic covers of Lemma 2.4, and applying this to each element of \mathcal{U}_{i-1} , when constructing \mathcal{U}_i . Then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that there is a homeomorphism h , $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $h(a) = b$ and $h(c) = d$.

3. The main theorem. In this section we show that the space of homeomorphisms of a special dendron is zero dimensional and nowhere discrete. Thus it is not locally contractible.

DEFINITION 3.1. A topological space is called *contractible* if and only if there exists a continuous function $F: X \times I \rightarrow X$ such that

- (1) $F(x, 0) = x$, and
- (2) $F(x, 1)$ is constant,

for all $x \in X$.

DEFINITION 3.2. X is called *locally contractible* if and only if for each $x \in X$ and neighborhood U of x , there are a neighborhood V of x and a continuous function $F: V \times I \rightarrow U$ such that

- (1) $F(v, 0) = v$, and
- (2) $F(v, 1)$ is constant, for some constant in U , all $v \in V$.

REMARK. A dendron is a locally connected continuum. Therefore a component V of any open set U is also open. Further, its closure is again a dendron. It is well-known that a dendron is contractible (See [3]), and thus it is also locally contractible.

THEOREM 3.1 *Let X be a special dendron. Then $G(X)$ is nowhere discrete.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the identity is not isolated. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We show there exists an $h \in G(X)$, $h \neq e$, such that $d(h, e) < \varepsilon$. Let a, b be two endpoints of X , and let U be a connected neighborhood of b of diameter less than ε . Let p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 be points of U of order two such that p_1 separates a from b , p_2 separates p_1 from b , p_3 separates p_2 from b , and p_4 separates p_3 from b . Thus the unique arc from a to b contains these points in the order $a - p_1 - p_2 - p_3 - p_4 - b$. Exactly one component of $X - \{p_1, p_3\}$ contains p_2 . Call the closure of this component A . Exactly one component of $X - \{p_1, p_4\}$ contains p_2 (and p_3). Call the closure of this component A' . Exactly one component of $X - \{p_3\}$ contains p_4 (and b). Call the closure of this component B . Exactly one component of $X - \{p_4\}$ contains b . Call the closure of this component B' . Let h be a homeomorphism of X onto itself which carries A onto A' so that $h(p_1) = p_1$ and $h(p_3) = p_4$, and which carries B to B' so that $h(p_3) = p_4$ and $h(b) = b$. Let h be the identity on $X - (A \cup B)$. By Theorem 2.1, such a homeomorphism exists. Since h moves only points of $A \cup B$, and $A \cup B \subseteq U$, and $\text{diam } U < \varepsilon$, we know that $d(h, e) < \varepsilon$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let X be a special dendron. Then $G(X)$ is zero dimensional and is not locally contractible.*

Proof. Since X is special, the cut points of order greater than two are dense in X . Thus it follows from Theorem 4.1 of [2] that $G(X)$ is zero dimensional, and therefore no arcs exist in $G(X)$. But if $G(X)$ were locally contractible, there would exist a continuous function $F: U \times I \rightarrow G(X)$ which is not constant on $\{g\} \times I$, for some $g \in U$, where U is some open set in $G(X)$. But $F|_{\{g\} \times I}$ is a non-constant continuous function from an arc into $G(X)$, and therefore must contain an arc. This is a contradiction. It follows that $G(X)$ is not locally contractible.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. H. Bing, *A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum*. Duke Math. J. **15**, (1948), 729-742.
2. B. Brechner, *On the dimensions of certain spaces of homeomorphisms*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 516-48.
3. Karl Menger, *Kurventheorie*. Teubner, Leipzig and Berlin, 1932.
4. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*. AMS colloquium Publications, Vol. 28, Amer. Math. Society, Providence, R. I, 1942; reprint 1955.

Received January 30, 1968, and in revised form June 3, 1968.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Richard Arens and Donald George Babbitt, <i>The geometry of relativistic n-particle interactions</i>	243
Kirby Alan Baker, <i>Hypotopological spaces and their embeddings in lattices with Birkhoff interval topology</i>	275
J. Lennart (John) Berggren, <i>Finite groups in which every element is conjugate to its inverse</i>	289
Beverly L. Brechner, <i>Homeomorphism groups of dendrons</i>	295
Robert Ray Colby and Edgar Andrews Rutter, <i>QF - 3 rings with zero singular ideal</i>	303
Stephen Daniel Comer, <i>Classes without the amalgamation property</i>	309
Stephen D. Fisher, <i>Bounded approximation by rational functions</i>	319
Robert Gaines, <i>Continuous dependence for two-point boundary value problems</i>	327
Bernard Russel Gelbaum, <i>Banach algebra bundles</i>	337
Moses Glasner and Richard Emanuel Katz, <i>Function-theoretic degeneracy criteria for Riemannian manifolds</i>	351
Fletcher Gross, <i>Fixed-point-free operator groups of order 8</i>	357
Sav Roman Harasymiv, <i>On approximation by dilations of distributions</i>	363
Cheong Seng Hoo, <i>Nilpotency class of a map and Stasheff's criterion</i>	375
Richard Emanuel Katz, <i>A note on extremal length and modulus</i>	381
H. L. Krall and I. M. Sheffer, <i>Difference equations for some orthogonal polynomials</i>	383
Yu-Lee Lee, <i>On the construction of lower radical properties</i>	393
Robert Phillips, <i>Liouville's theorem</i>	397
Yum-Tong Siu, <i>Analytic sheaf cohomology groups of dimension n of n-dimensional noncompact complex manifolds</i>	407
Michael Samuel Skaff, <i>Vector valued Orlicz spaces. II</i>	413
James DeWitt Stein, <i>Homomorphisms of B^*-algebras</i>	431
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Torsionfree injective modules</i>	441
Richard R. Tucker, <i>The δ^2-process and related topics. II</i>	455
David William Walkup and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, <i>Lifting projections of convex polyhedra</i>	465
Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Large sublattices of a lattice</i>	477