Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON REGULAR SELF-INJECTIVE RINGS

VASILY CATEFORIS

Vol. 30, No. 1

September 1969

ON REGULAR SELF-INJECTIVE RINGS

VASILY C. CATEFORIS

If R is a right non-singular ring (with 1) and Q is its (R. E. Johnson) maximal right quotient ring, then the R-epimorphism $\sum_i p_i \otimes q_i \to \sum p_i q_i$ from $(Q \otimes_R Q)_R$ to Q_R is not in general a monomorphism; in this paper we show that it is if, and only if, for each $q \in Q$, $(R:q) = \{r \in R \mid qr \in R\}$ contains a finitely generated large right ideal of R. As a corollary to this we obtain: a (Von Neumann) regular ring R is right self-injective if and only if every finitely generated nonsingular right R-module is projective.

All rings are associative rings with identity and all modules are unitary.

Let R be a ring. If M_R is a right R-module, then $Z(M_R)$ denotes its singular submodule [5, p.106]. A ring S containing R (with same identity) is a right quotient ring of R if R_R is large [5, p.60] in S_R . If S is a right quotient ring of R it is easy to see that $Z((M \otimes_R S)_R) =$ $Z((M \otimes_R S)_S)$ and hereafter we write $Z(M \otimes S)$. Also for any left R-module R^N we write $M \otimes N$ for $M \otimes_R N$, if no ambiguity arises.

A ring is regular (in the sense of Von Neumann) if every finitely generated right (left) ideal is generated by an idempotent [12]. For all homological notions the reader is referred to [1].

1. Rings for which $Z(Q \otimes_R Q) = (0)$. Let R be a ring with $Z(R_R) = 0$ and let Q be its maximal right quotient (MRQ) ring [5, p. 106]. It is easy to see that the mapping $\sum p_i \otimes q_i \rightarrow \sum p_i q_i$ of $(Q \otimes_R Q)_R$ onto Q_R is an R-isomorphism if and only if $Z(Q \otimes_R Q) = (0)$. The latter condition does not always hold (see remarks following Theorem 2.1). In this section we obtain a characterization of those rings R with $Z(R_R) = (0)$ for which $Z(Q \otimes_R Q) = (0)$.

Some definitions and consequences thereof are needed. The notion of a closed submodule is well known; we use it in the following sense:

DEFINITION 1.1. A submodule B_R of a right *R*-module A_R is closed in *A* if *B* has no proper essential extension [5, p. 91] in *A*, i.e., if *C* is a submodule of *A*, containing *B* with *B* large in *C*, then B = C.

Since every module has an injective essential extension in any injective module that contains it [e.g., 5, p. 92], if A_R is injective and B_R is closed in A_R , then B is itself injective, hence a direct summand of A [5, Prop. 6, p. 90].

The following lemma can be found in [9, Lemma 2.3, p. 226] and

is stated here for easy reference:

LEMMA 1.2. (a) If B_R is a submodule of A_R and Z(A/B) = (0), then B_R is closed in A_R .

(b) If B_R is closed in A_R and $Z(A_R) = (0)$, then Z(A/B) = (0).

We recall the following definitions from [2]:

DEFINITION 1.3. A right *R*-module *M* is essentially finitely generated (M_R is EFG) if *M* contains a finitely generated large submodule.

DEFINITION 1.4. A right *R*-module *M* is essentially finitely related $(M_R \text{ is EFR})$ if there exists an exact sequence $0 \to K_R \to F_R \to M_R \to 0$ with F_R finitely generated free and $K_R \text{ EFG}$.

We remark that if M_R is EFR then and exact sequence $0 \to K_R \to F_R \to M_R \to 0$ will have the property that K_R is EFG whenever F_R is finitely generated free; this follows by a result of Schanuel's contained in [10, p. 369].

A module M_R is nonsingular if $Z(M_R) = (0)$. For brevity we write FGNS for "finitely generated nonsingular". We need the following characterization of a FGNS right *R*-module, which is EFR.

PROPOSITION 1.5. Let R be a ring with $Z(R_R) = (0)$ and MRQring Q. A FGNS right R-module M_R is EFR if and only if $Z(M \otimes Q) = (0)$.

Proof. if part. $M \otimes Q$ is Q-projective [9, Th. 2.7, p. 227] and hence M_R is EFR by Proposition 1.7 [2].

only if part. $M \otimes Q$ is Q-projective by Proposition 1.7 [2] and hence $Z(M \otimes Q) = (0)$ since Z(Q) = (0).

Now we state and prove the main result of this section:

THEOREM 1.6. Let R be a ring with $Z(R_R) = (0)$ and MRQ ring Q. The following statements are then equivalent:

(a) If M_R is a FGNS right R-module, then $Z(M \otimes Q) = (0)$.

(b) $_{R}Q$ is flat and $Z(Q \bigotimes_{R} Q) = (0)$.

(c) $(R;q) = \{x \in R \mid qx \in R\}$ is EFG for every $q \in Q$.

(d) If B_R is a closed submodule of F_R , free of finite rank, then B_R is EFG.

*Proof.*¹ (a) *implies* (b). If I is any finitely generated right

¹ The author wishes to express his appreciation to the referee for indicating a cyclic proof (given here) to this theorem.

ideal of R, it follows from (a) that $Z(I \otimes Q) = (0)$ and hence ${}_{R}Q$ is flat by Theorem 1.9 [2]. Now assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \otimes q_i \in Z(Q \otimes_{R} Q)$. If $B_R = p_1R + \cdots + p_nR \subseteq Q_R$, then the sequence $0 \to B \otimes Q \to Q \otimes_{R} Q$ is exact and $\sum p_i \otimes q_i \in Z(B \otimes Q)$. But $Z(B \otimes Q) = (0)$ from (a) so $\sum p_i \otimes q_i = 0$ and $Z(Q \otimes_{R} Q) = (0)$. We have (b).

(b) implies (c). Let $q \in Q$; the inclusion map $qR + R \to Q$ induces the exact sequence $0 \to (qR + R) \otimes Q \to Q \otimes_R Q$ by flatness of $_RQ$ and thus $Z((qR + R) \otimes Q) = (0)$ since $Z(Q \otimes_R Q) = (0)$. It follows by Proposition 1.5 that qR + R is EFR and hence in the exact sequence (by canonical maps) $0 \to K \to R \oplus R \to qR + R \to 0$, the module K is EFG where $K = \{(r, -qr) \mid r \in (R; q)\}$. But $K \cong_R (R; q)$ so that (R; q)is EFG for each $q \in Q$ and we have (c).

(c) *implies* (d). Since B_R is closed in F_R it follows from Lemma 1.2 (b) that Z(F/B) = (0) and thus by Proposition 1.5 B will be EFG if $Z(F/B \otimes Q) = (0)$. Now if a module N_R is large in a module M_R , then $Z(M_R) = (0)$ if and only if $Z(N_R) = (0)$, so it suffices to show that F/B is large in $(F/B \otimes Q)_R$ as we may consider F/B a submodule of $(F/B \otimes Q)_{\mathbb{R}}$ [8, Prop. 2.2]. Since for any $q \in Q$, (R; q) is large in $R_{\mathbb{R}}$ by Proposition 1.1 (vi) [2], it follows easily from (c) and regularity of Q that (R:q)Q = Q for all $q \in Q$. Now let $0 \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes q_i \in F/B \otimes Q$. Since $(R; q_1)Q = Q$, there exists $r_1 \in (R; q_1)$ such that $0 \neq (\sum x_i \otimes q_i)r_1$. Let j_1 be the first index $1 < j_1 \leq n$ such that $q_{j_1}r_1 \neq 0$. By the same argument there exists $r_2 \in (R; q_j, r_1)$ such that $(\sum x_i \otimes q_i)(r_1 r_2) \neq 0$. Continuing the process we obtain $r_1, \dots, r_m, m \leq n$ elements of R such that $q_i(r_1r_2\cdots r_m)\in R$, $i=1,2,\cdots,n$ and $(\sum x_i\otimes q_i)(r_1r_2\cdots r_m)\neq (0)$. We set $t = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_m \in R$ and we have $0 \neq (\sum x_i \otimes q_i) t = \sum x_i(q_i t) \otimes 1$ an element of F/B in $F/B \otimes Q$. Hence F/B is large in $(F/B \otimes Q)_R$ and thus $Z(F/B \otimes Q) = (0)$; we have (d).

(d) implies (a). Let $0 \to K_R \to F_R M_R \to 0$ be an exact sequence with F_R free of finite rank. It follows from Lemma 1.2 (a) that K_R is closed in F_R as Z(F/K) = (0), hence EFG by (d). Thus M_R is EFR and $Z(M \otimes Q) = (0)$ follows from Proposition 1.5.

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

2. Regular self-injective rings. We say that a ring R is right self-injective if R is injective as a right R-module. The main result in this section (Theorem 2.1) is a characterization of regular rings, which are right self-injective. In the commutative case, R.S. Pierce [7, Th. 24.5, p. 106] has given a sheaf-theoretic proof of a similar characterization. We give here a ring theoretic proof of the noncommutative version.

THEOREM 2.1 For any regular ring R with MRQ ring Q the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) R is right self-injective
- (b) Every FGNS right R-module is R-projective
- (c) Q_R is R-projective.

Proof. (a) *implies* (b). Let M_R be a FGNS right *R*-module and $0 \rightarrow K_R \rightarrow F_R \rightarrow M_R \rightarrow 0$ an exact sequence with F_R free of finite rank. By Lemma 1.2 (b) K_R is closed in F_R and since F_R is injective the above sequence splits: M_R is *R*-projective.

(b) implies (c). Let M_R be any FGNS right *R*-module. From (b) and [1, Chapter I, Th. 2.2] there exists finitely generated free *R*-module F_R such that $F_R \cong M_R \bigoplus B_R$ and hence $F \otimes Q \cong (M \otimes Q) \bigoplus$ $(B \otimes Q)$. Now $Z(M \otimes Q) = (0)$ follows from the fact that $Z(F \otimes Q) =$ (0), as Z(Q) = (0). We thus have (a) of Theorem 1.6 and hence (R; q)is EFG for each $q \in Q$. Since *R* is regular, $(R; q) = \{r \in R \mid qr \in R\} =$ *R* for all $q \in Q$ and hence $q \in R$, all $q \in Q$ so that R = Q and $Q_R = R_R$ is *R*-projective.

(c) *implies* (a). Since R_R is a cyclic (finitely generated) submodule of a projective *R*-module Q_R over a regular ring *R*, it follows by Kaplansky's Lemma 4, [4, p. 376] that R_R is a direct summand of Q_R , hence R = Q, as R_R is large in Q_R and thus *R* is right self-injective, as *Q* is [5, Corollary, p. 107].

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

REMARKS. (1) if R is any regular ring which is not right selfinjective and if Q is its MRQ ring, it follows from Theorem 2.1 above, that $Z(Q \otimes_R Q) \neq (0)$. The (weak) direct sum of infinitely many copies of a field together with the identity is such a ring with maximal (two-sided) quotient ring the direct product of the same copies of the field.

(2) The condition that R be regular, in Theorem 2.1, cannot be dropped. If R is a commutative integral domain with Q its field of quotients, then R = Q if and only if Q_R is projective; this, however, is not the case if R is an arbitrary (not regular) nonsingular ring. For example the complete ring of 2×2 matrices over a division ring is the maximal (two-sided) quotient ring of the subring of upper triangular matrices and it is right and left projective over the latter.

We next proceed to characterize those rings with singular right ideal zero over which every FGNS right module is projective. First the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. If R is a ring with $Z(R_R) = (0)$ and MRQ ring Q, then every FGNS right R-module M can be embedded in a finitely generated free right Q-module F_o . **Proof.** Set $B_q = M \otimes Q$, a finitely generated right Q-module and let $F_q \to B_q \to 0$ be an exact sequence with F_q free of finite rank. As F_q is Q-injective (since Q_q is) and $Z(B/Z(B_q)) = (0)$ by [3, Prop. 2.3, p. 270] it follows that $B = Z(B) \otimes C$ where C is isomorphic to a direct summand of F_q [9, Th. 2.10, p. 119]. Now the sequence $0 \to M \to M \otimes Q = B$ is exact [8, Prop. 2.2, 117] and since $M \cap Z(B) = (0)$ the sequence $0 \to M \to C$ is exact. Since $C \subseteq F_q$ the last monomorphism is the sought embedding.

THEOREM 2.3. For a ring R the following are equivalent: (a) $Z(R_R) = (0)$ and every FGNS right R-module M_R is projective. (b) R is right semi-hereditary, Q_R is flat and $Z(Q \bigotimes_R Q) = (0)$.

Proof. (a) *implies* (b). R is clearly right semi-hereditary and Q is flat as a right R-module since it is the direct limit of its finitely generated right R-submodules, each one projective by (a). Finally $Z(Q \bigotimes_R Q) = (0)$ as in (b) *implies* (c) of Theorem 2.1.

(b) implies (a). $Z(R_R) = (0)$ since R is right semi-hereditary. Now let M_R be a FGNS right R-module. By Lemma 2.2 we may consider M a submodule of some F_Q , free Q-module of finite rank. Since Q_R is flat it follows that F_Q is flat as a right R-module and thus M_R is flat since submodules of flat modules are flat over a semihereditary ring (i.e., $WGD(R) \leq 1$). We may conclude that M_R is projective if we show that $M \otimes Q$ is projective as a right Q-module [9, Th. 2.8, p. 228]. To this end consider an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_R \rightarrow$ $G_R \rightarrow M_R \rightarrow 0$ with G_R free of finite rank. Since $_RQ$ is also flat [2, Th. 2.1, Rks. (ii)] we obtain the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \otimes Q \rightarrow G \otimes Q \rightarrow$ $M \otimes Q \rightarrow 0$, which splits since $Z(M \otimes Q) = (0)$ and $G \otimes Q \cong G_Q$ is a Q-free module, which is Q-injective being of finite rank.

An obvious corollary of the last theorem is the well-known characterization of a Prüfer domain as an integral domain over which every finitely generated torsion-free module is projective.

The final result in this paper is a characterization of semi-simplicity of the MRQ ring of a right hereditary [1] ring. First a lemma:

LEMMA 2.4. Let R be a ring with $Z(R_R) = (0)$, its MRQ ring Q and the property that every closed right ideal of R is EFG. If B_Q is any right ideal of Q, then $(R \cap B_Q)Q = B_Q$.

Proof. Obviously $(B \cap R)Q \subseteq B$. Let $q \in B$; then qQ = eQ for some $e^2 = e \in Q$ is a closed right ideal of Q and hence $qQ \cap R$ is a closed right ideal of R, [11, Th.2, p.7] hence EFG. In particular

 $(qQ \cap R)Q = fQ, f^2 = f \in Q$, is Q-injective and since $(qQ \cap R)Q \subseteq qQ$, it is a direct summand of qQ. But $(qQ \cap R)Q$ is a large right R - (Q-) submodule of qQ, so that $(qQ \cap R)Q = qQ$. Since $qQ \cap R \subseteq B \cap R$ we have $qQ = (qQ \cap R)Q \subseteq (B \cap R)Q$ and hence $q \in (B \cap R)Q$. We have $B \subseteq (B \cap R)Q$.

THEOREM 2.5. Suppose R is a right hereditary ring and Q its MRQ ring. The following are equivalent:

(a) Q is semi-simple.

(b) $Z(Q \bigotimes_R Q) = (0).$

Proof. (a) *implies* (b). Every Q-module is Q-projective [1, p. 11] hence nonsingular as Z(Q) = (0); we have $Z(Q \bigotimes_{R} Q) = (0)$.

(b) implies (a). By Remark (ii), Theorem 2.1, [2], $Z(R_R) = (0)$ and $_RQ$ is flat. Now (b) gives that every closed right ideal of R is EFG as a special case of Theorem 1.6 (d). We next show that Q is right hereditary and hence semi-simple by Osofsky's result [6]. Indeed, if B_Q is any right ideal of Q then $I = B \cap R$ is a right ideal of R, hence R-projective. Thus there exists a free R-module F_R such that $F_R \cong I_R \bigoplus A_R$ and hence $F \otimes Q \cong [I \otimes Q] \bigoplus [A \otimes Q]$. Since $F \otimes Q$ is a Q-free (isomorphic to) module, it follows that $I \otimes Q$ is Q-projective. Since $_RQ$ is flat, we have $I \otimes Q \cong IQ$ [5, Prop. 1, p. 132] and hence B_Q is Q-projective follows from Lemma 2.2, above, as $B_Q = (B \cap R)Q = IQ$.

This paper is based on a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation at the University of Wisconsin. The author is deeply indebted to Professor F.L. Sandomierski, his advisor, for supplying many of the ideas included here.

References

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; 1956.

2. V. C. Cateforis, Flat regular quotient rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear)

3. A. W. Goldie, Torsion-free modules and rings, J. of Algebra 1 (1964), 268-287.

4. I. Kaplansky, Projective modules, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 372-377.

5. J. Lambek, Lectures on rings and modules, Blaisdell Publishing Co., Waltham, Mass., 1966.

6. B. L. Osofsky, Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 645-650.

7. R. S. Pierce, *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Memoirs of the AMS, No. 70, Providence, R.I., 1967.

8. F. L. Sandomierski, Semi-simple maximal quotient rings, Trans. Amer. Math. 128 (1967), 112-120.

9. ____, Non-singular rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 225-230.

10. R. G. Swan, Groups with periodic cohomology, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1959), 368-370.

11. Y. Utumi, On quotient rings, Osaka Math. J. 8 (1956), 1-18.

12. J. Von Neumann On regular rings, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. **22** (1936), 707-713.

Received April 24, 1968.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN Stanford University Stanford, California

RICHARD PIERCE

J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

BASIL GORDON University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY * ж OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION UNIVERSITY OF OREGON TRW SYSTEMS OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 30, No. 1September, 1969

William Wells Adams, <i>Simultaneous diophantine approximations and cubic irrationals</i>	1
Heinz Bauer and Herbert Stanley Bear, Jr., The part metric in convex	
sets	15
L. Carlitz, A note on exponential sums	35
Vasily Cateforis, On regular self-injective rings	39
Franz Harpain and Maurice Sion, A representation theorem for measures on	47
infinite dimensional spaces	47
Richard Earl Hodel, <i>Sum theorems for topological spaces</i>	59
Carl Groos Jockusch, Jr. and Thomas Graham McLaughlin, <i>Countable</i>	
retracing functions and Π_2^0 predicates	67
Bjarni Jónsson and George Stephen Monk, Representations of primary	
Arguesian lattices	95
Virginia E. Walsh Knight, A continuous partial order for Peano	
continua	141
Kjeld Laursen, Ideal structure in generalized group algebras	155
G. S. Monk, Desargues' law and the representation of primary lattices	175
Hussain Sayid Nur, Singular perturbation of linear partial differential	
equation with constant coefficients	187
Richard Paul Osborne and J. L. Stern, <i>Covering manifolds with cells</i>	201
Keith Lowell Phillips and Mitchell Herbert Taibleson, Singular integrals in	
several variables over a local field	209
James Reaves Smith, Local domains with topologically T -nilpotent	
radical	233
Donald Platte Squier, <i>Elliptic differential equations with discontinuous</i>	
coefficients	247
Tae-il Suh, Algebras formed by the Zorn vector matrix	255
Earl J. Taft, Ideals in admissible algebras	259
Jun Tomiyama, On the tensor products of von Neumann algebras	263
David Bertram Wales, Uniqueness of the graph of a rank three group	271
Charles Robert Warner and Robert James Whitley, <i>A characterization of</i>	
regular maximal ideals	277