Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DILATIONS OF RAPIDLY DECREASING FUNCTIONS

SAV ROMAN HARASYMIV

Vol. 31, No. 2

December 1969

DILATIONS OF RAPIDLY DECREASING FUNCTIONS

S. R. HARASYMIV

Let S be the space of rapidly decreasing indefinitely differentiable functions on the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and suppose that $\phi \in S$. We attempt to characterize the closed vector subspace of S which is generated by the set of all functions of the form

$$(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \rightarrow \phi(a_1x_1 + b_1, \cdots, a_nx_n + b_n)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ are real numbers, with a_1, \dots, a_n nonzero. We also consider an analogous approximation problem in the space S' of temperate distribution on \mathbb{R}^n .

1. Notation. We write \mathbb{R}^n for the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space. Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{R}^n are defined component-wise. If $k \leq n$ is a positive integer and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we write x_k for the *k*-th component of *x*. The set \mathbb{R}^* is defined by $\mathbb{R}^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_k \neq 0 \text{ for all } k\}$.

The Pontryagin character group of \mathbb{R}^n is identified with \mathbb{R}^n . We denote typical elements of \mathbb{R}^n by x, y, \dots , or by χ, ξ, \dots if we are thinking of \mathbb{R}^n as its own character group. If $\chi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then the bounded continuous character determined by χ is defined by

$$x \rightarrow \exp\left(-2\pi i \chi \circ x\right)(x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$
.

If W is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $C^{\infty}(W)$ will denote the set of functions which are defined in W and are indefinitely differentiable there. D(W) will denote the set of indefinitely differentiable functions with compact support in W. D'(W) will be written for the space of distributions which have support in W. The space of rapidly decreasing indefinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n and the space of temperate distributions on \mathbb{R}^n will be designated by S and S', respectively. We shall always assume that S' is equipped with the strong topology $\beta(S', S)$. For details of these spaces, see Schwartz [4] and [5].

If f is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n , then Z(f) will denote the set of zeros of f.

Throughout, we use the standard notations of the calculus of n variables; see, for example, Hörmander [3, p. 4]. If $k \leq n$ is a positive integer, then j_k will denote the projection of R^n onto its k-th factor: $j_k(x) = x_k$ for all $x \in R^n$. In general, if α is a multi-index then j^{α} will be written for the function on R^n which is defined by $j^{\alpha}(x) = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ for all $x \in R^n$.

Suppose that $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Then the function $\phi^a \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is defined by $\phi^a(x) = \phi(ax)(x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a *dilation*

of ϕ . If $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then the function $\phi_b \in D(\mathbb{R}_n)$ which is defined by $\phi_b(x) = \phi(x+b)$ is called a *translate* of ϕ . The dilation u^a and translate u_b of an arbitrary distribution $u \in D'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined as follows: we set $u^a(\phi) = |1/j(a)| u(\phi^{a^{-1}})$ and $u_b(\phi) = u(\phi_b)$ for all $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is easy to see that for any distribution u, $\operatorname{supp} u^a = a^{-1}$. $\operatorname{supp} u$; and that if $u \in S'$ then $u^a \in S'$ and $\hat{u}^a = |1/j(a)| \hat{u}^{a^{-1}}$. The latter statement may be verified by direct computation, or by reference to relation (5.15.14) in Edwards [1]. Finally, if u is a function then $u^a(x) = u(ax)$ and $u_b(x) = u(x+b)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. Preliminaries. We gather into this section some results which we need in what follows. In our arguments we find it convenient to use the following notation. If ψ is an arbitrary function in S and w is an arbitrary temperate distribution then we shall write $\psi \bigtriangledown w$ for the function on R^{\sharp} which is defined by $\psi \bigtriangledown w(x) = w(\psi^{\sharp})(x \in R^{\sharp})$.

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that $\eta \in S$ and $s \in S'$ are such that

(2.1)
$$\eta^a \circ s = 0 \quad for \ all \ a \in R^{\sharp}.$$

Then for each multi-index α it is true that

$$(2.2) D^{\alpha}\eta^{\alpha}\circ j^{\alpha}s=0 for all \ a\in R^{\sharp}.$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. The assertion is certainly true if $|\alpha| = 0$. Thus, we assume that $\alpha > 0$ and that

$$(2.3) D^{\beta}\eta^{a} \circ j^{\beta}s = 0 for all a \in R^{*}$$

whenever β is a multi-index such that $|\beta| < |\alpha|$. We have to show that these assumptions entail that

$$(2.4) D^{\alpha}\eta^{a}\circ j^{\alpha}s=0 for all \ a\in R^{\sharp}.$$

With this end in view, let $a \in R^{\sharp}$ be arbitrary but fixed. If ϕ is an arbitrary function in $D(R^{n})$ then Lemma 2.5 (b) in Harasymiv [2] tells us that the function $\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s$ is indefinitely differentiable on R^{\sharp} and that

(2.5)
$$j^{\alpha}(a) \circ D^{\alpha}[\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s](a) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\beta}[j^{\beta}D^{\beta}\eta) \bigtriangledown \phi s](a)$$

where $C^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \alpha ! / \beta ! (\alpha - \beta) !$. If we notice that for each β we have $(j^{\beta}D^{\beta}\eta)^{\alpha} = j^{\beta} \circ D^{\beta}\eta^{\alpha}$ then (2.5) may be rewritten in the form

(2.6)
$$j^{\alpha}(a) \circ D^{\alpha}[\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s](a) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\beta} \circ \phi s(j^{\alpha} D^{\beta} \eta^{a}) \\ = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\beta} \circ D^{\beta} \eta^{a} \circ j^{\beta} s(\phi) .$$

Now, if β is a multi-index such that $\beta < \alpha$ then certainly $|\beta| < |\alpha|$. We may therefore invoke the inductive hypothesis (relation (2.3)) and deduce from (2.6) that

(2.7)
$$D^{\alpha} \eta^{a} \circ j^{\alpha} s(\phi) = j^{\alpha}(a) \circ D^{\alpha}[\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s](a) .$$

Next we notice that, because of relation (2.1), we have for each $x \in R^*$ the equality

$$egin{aligned} &\eta igvee \phi s(x) = \phi s(\eta^x) \ &= \eta^x \circ s(\phi) \ &= 0 \;. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the function $\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s$ vanishes everywhere on R^* and consequently the same must be true for each of its derivatives. If we combine this fact with relation (2.7) we find that

$$(2.8) D^{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha} \circ j^{\alpha} s(\phi) = 0 .$$

Now, the function $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was arbitrarily chosen. Thus we infer from (2.8) that $D^a \eta^a \circ j^a s = 0$. Since $a \in \mathbb{R}^s$ was arbitrarily chosen, we now conclude that (2.4) holds. This completes the proof of the validity of the inductive step and hence of the lemma.

COROLLARY 2.2. Suppose that $\eta \in S$ and $s \in S'$ are such that

 $\eta^a \circ s = 0$ for all $a \in R^{\sharp}$.

Then for each multi-index α

$$\mathrm{supp} \ (j^lpha s) \subset \cap \ \{ a \circ Z(D^eta \eta) \colon eta \leqq lpha, \ a \in R^{\sharp} \} \;.$$

In the proof of the next lemma only, we shall use the following notation. If s is a temperate distribution such that $j^{\alpha}s = 0$ for at least one multi-index α then we shall write q(s) for the nonnegative integer which is defined by $q(s) = \min\{|\alpha|: j^{\alpha}s = 0\}$. Otherwise, we shall write $q(s) = \infty$.

REMARK. We notice that $\operatorname{supp} s \cap R^* \neq \emptyset$ whenever s is a temperate distribution such that $q(s) = \infty$. This is a consequence of the fact that every temperate distribution is of finite order.

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose that $\eta \in S$ and $s \in S'$ are such that for each multi-index α

(2.9)
$$\operatorname{supp} (j^{\alpha}s) \subset \cap \{a \circ Z(D^{\beta}\eta) \colon \beta \leq \alpha, a \in R^{\sharp}\}.$$

Then it is true that

(2.10)
$$\eta^a \circ s = 0 \quad for \ all \ a \in R^*$$
.

Proof. If $q(s) = \infty$ then (see the remark above) $\operatorname{supp} s \cap R^* \neq \emptyset$. Relation (2.9) then is easily seen to entail that $\eta = 0$ on the whole of R^* ; and the assertion of the lemma becomes a triviality.

It remains to show that Lemma 2.3 is true in the case when q(s) is finite. We shall do this via induction on q(s). More precisely, we shall use induction to demonstrate that the following statement is true for each nonnegative integer m.

 P_m : Let $s \in S'$ be a temperate distribution with q(s) = m. Then (2.10) is true for every function $\eta \in S$ which satisfies (2.9).

If m = 0 there is nothing to prove. Thus, assume that m > 0; and that P_k is true for each nonnegative integer k < m. We have to show that these assumptions imply the truth of P_m .

Choose a multi-index α such that $|\alpha| = q(s) = m$. Since m > 0, it follows that $\alpha_k > 0$ for some positive integer $k \leq n$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\alpha_1 > 0$.

Now let ϕ be an arbitrary function in $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Reference to Lemma 2.5 (b) in Harasymiv [2] shows that

$$(2.11) j_1 D_1(\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s) = \eta \bigtriangledown \phi s + (j_1 D_1 \eta) \bigtriangledown \phi s \quad \text{on } R^{\sharp}.$$

Next notice that $q(j_1s) \leq |\alpha| - 1 = m - 1$. In view of this, it is easy to see that the distribution $j_1s \in S'$ and the function $D_1\eta \in S$ satisfy the hypotheses of P_k for some nonnegative integer k < m. We may therefore appeal to the inductive hypothesis and assert that $(D_1\eta)^x \circ j_1s = 0$ for all $x \in R^{\sharp}$. This in turn entails that $(j_1D_1\eta)^x \circ s = 0$ for all $x \in R^{\sharp}$. Therefore for each $x \in R^{\sharp}$

$$egin{aligned} (j_1D_1\eta) igarpi \phi s(x) &= \phi s((j_1D_1\eta)^x) \ &= (j_1D_1\eta)^x \circ s(\phi) \ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of this last identity, relation (2.11) may be rewritten in the form

$$(2.12) j_1 D_1(\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s) = \eta \bigtriangledown \phi s on R^{\sharp}.$$

In a similar way (using in turn Lemma 2.5 (b) in Harasymiv [2] and the inductive hypothesis) it can be shown that

(2.13)
$$j_1^2 D_1^2(\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s) = \eta \bigtriangledown \phi s$$
 on R^{\sharp} .

Relations (2.12) and (2.13) together entail that on R^* we have the identity

$$egin{aligned} \eta \bigtriangledown \phi s &= j_1 D_1 (\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s) \ &= j_1 D_1 (j_1 D_1 (\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s)) \ &= j_1 D_1 (\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s) + j_1^2 D_1^2 (\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s) \ &= \eta \bigtriangledown \phi s + \eta \bigtriangledown \phi s \;. \end{aligned}$$

It follows for this last identity that $\eta \bigtriangledown \phi s = 0$ on R^{\sharp} ; whence we see that for each $a \in R^{\sharp}$

$$egin{array}{ll} \eta^a \circ s(\phi) &= \phi s(\eta^a) \ &= \eta igararrow \phi s(a) \ &= 0 \, \, . \end{array}$$

Since $\phi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was arbitrary, we infer that (2.10) holds. This establishes the truth of P_m ; and the proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete.

3. Some results about approximation. Let $\phi \in S$. We shall write $T[\phi]$ for the closed vector subspace of S generated by the set of all functions which have the form $x \to \phi(ax + b)(x \in \mathbb{R}^n)$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Similarly, if $u \in S'$ then we shall write T[u] for the closed vector subspace of S' generated by the set of distributions $\{(u_b)^a : a \in \mathbb{R}^*, b \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that $\phi \in S$ and that $\psi \in S$. Then $\psi \in T[\phi]$ if and only if for each multi-index α

$$(3.1) Z(D^{\alpha}\hat{\psi}) \supset \cap \{a \circ Z(D^{\beta}\hat{\phi}) \colon \beta \leq \alpha, a \in R^{\sharp}\}.$$

Proof. If we bear in mind the Hahn-Banach theorem then the necessity of (3.1) is easy to verify. To establish sufficiency, consider any distribution $u \in S'$ such that

(3.2)
$$\phi^a * u = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in R^*.$$

Relation (3.2) is seen (if we remember the comment about the Fourier transform of a dilation which was made in § 1) to entail that

(3.3)
$$\hat{\phi}^a \circ \hat{u} = 0$$
 for all $a \in R^*$.

Next notice that relation (3.1) implies that for each multi-index α

$$(3.4) \quad \cap \left\{ a \circ Z(D^{\beta} \widehat{\psi}) \colon \beta \leqq \alpha, \, a \in R^{\sharp} \right\} \supset \cap \left\{ a \circ Z(D^{\beta} \widehat{\phi}) \colon \beta \leqq \alpha, \, a \in R^{\sharp} \right\} \, .$$

In view of (3.3) and (3.4), we may apply successively Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, and deduce that $\hat{\psi} \circ \hat{u} = 0$. Hence also

$$\psi \ast u = 0 .$$

An appeal to the Hahn-Banach theorem now yields the information

that $\psi \in T[\phi]$.

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose that $u \in S'$ and that $w \in S'$. Then $w \in T[u]$ if and only if for each multi-index α

(3.5)
$$\operatorname{supp} (j^{\alpha} \hat{w}) \subset \overline{\cap \{a \circ \operatorname{supp} (j^{\alpha} \hat{u}) \colon a \in R^{\sharp}\}}.$$

Proof. Once again the "only if" part is obvious. It remains to establish the truth of the reversed implication; and, according to the Hahn-Banach theorem, we will have done this if we succeed in showing that

whenever $\phi \in S$ is such that

$$(3.7) u^a * \phi = 0 for all a \in R^*.$$

Thus, suppose that $u \in S'$ is such that (3.7) holds. Then it is clear that $\phi^a * u = 0$ for all $a \in R^{\sharp}$. Reference to Corollary 2.2 now tells us that for each $b \in R^{\sharp}$ we have

$$b^{-1}\circ \mathrm{supp}\ (j^lpha \widehat{u}) = \mathrm{supp}\ (j^lpha \widehat{u}^b) \subset \cap \left\{ a\circ Z(D^eta \widehat{\phi}) \colon eta \leqq lpha, \, a\in R^st
ight\}$$
 .

It now follows that for each multi-index α

$$(3.8) \qquad \overline{\cup \left\{ a \circ \mathrm{supp} \ (j^a \widehat{u}) \colon a \in R^* \right\}} \subset \cap \left\{ a \circ Z(D^\beta \widehat{\phi}) \colon \beta \leqq \alpha, \, a \in R^* \right\} \, .$$

Relations (3.5) and (3.8) allow us to invoke Lemma 2.3 and assert that $\hat{\phi} \circ \hat{w} = 0$; whence we conclude that (3.6) holds.

4. An alternative form of the results. Theorems 3.1 and may be phrased in such a way that Fourier transform do not figure explicitly in their statement. We give below this alternative form of our previous results.

THEOREM 4.1. Suppose that $\phi \in S$ and that $\psi \in S$. Then $\psi \in T[\phi]$ if and only if the following statement is true:

If $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ is a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ is a set nonnegative integers such that

(4.1)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} x_{n_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots x_{n_k}^{\beta_k} \phi(x_1, \cdots, x_n) dx_{n_1} \cdots dx_{n_k} = 0$$

everywhere for each set $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ of nonnegative integers such that $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_k \leq \alpha_k$, then

(4.2)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} x_{n_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{n_k}^{\alpha_k} \psi(x_1, \cdots, x_n) dx_{n_1} \cdots dx_{n_k} = 0$$

everywhere.

Proof. We first prove the "if" part. To this end, we assume that (4.2) is always implied by (4.1). We have to show that this assumption entails that condition (3.1) in the statement of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.

Thus, let α be a multi-index; and let $\chi \in \cap \{a \circ Z(D^{\beta}\hat{\phi}) : \beta \leq \alpha, a \in R^{*}\}$. Without any loss of generality, assume that $\chi_{1} = \cdots = \chi_{k} = 0$ and $\chi_{k+1} \neq 0, \dots, \chi_{n} \neq 0$. It is easy to verify that this entails that $\xi \in \cap \{Z(D^{\beta}\hat{\phi}) : \beta \leq \alpha\}$ whenever $\xi \in R^{n}$ is such that $\xi_{1} = \cdots = \xi_{k} = 0$. It follows that if $\{\beta_{1}, \dots, \beta_{k}\}$ is a set of nonnegative integers such that $\beta_{1} \leq \alpha_{1}, \dots, \beta_{k} \leq \alpha_{k}$, and $\{\xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_{n}\}$ is an arbitrary set of real numbers, then

(4.3)

$$\int_{R} \cdots \int_{R} x_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{\beta_{k}} \phi(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}) \\
\times \exp\left[-2\pi i(\xi_{k+1}x_{k+1} + \cdots)\right] dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n} \\
= (-i)^{\beta_{1} + \dots + \beta_{k}} D_{1}^{\beta_{1}} \cdots D_{k}^{\beta_{k}} \hat{\phi}(0, \dots, 0, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_{n}) \\
= 0.$$

In view of (4.3), we infer that (4.1) holds for each set $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ of nonnegative integers such that $\beta_1 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_k \leq \alpha_k$. Our initial assumption now allows us to assert that (4.2) holds; whence it follows readily that $\chi \in Z(D^{\alpha}\hat{\psi})$. Since $\chi \in \cap \{a \circ Z(D^{\beta}\hat{\phi}): \beta \leq \alpha, a \in R^*\}$ was arbitrary, we conclude that condition (3.1) in the statement of Theorem 3.1 is indeed satisfied.

The "only if" portion of Theorem 4.1 may be established by carrying out an analogous computational argument and then using Theorem 3.1; or, alternatively, we may employ the Hahn-Banach theorem directly and reach the same conclusion.

THEOREM 4.2. Suppose that $u \in S'$ and that $w \in S'$. Then $w \in T[u]$ if and only if $D^{\alpha}w = 0$ whenever α is a multi-index such that $D^{\alpha}u = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $D^{\alpha}w = 0$ whenever α is a multi-index such that $D^{\alpha}u = 0$; but suppose that, contrary to Theorem 3.2, there exists a multiindex β such that supp $(j^{\beta}\hat{w}) \not\subset \bigcup \{a \circ \text{supp}(j^{\beta}\hat{u}) : a \in R^{*}\}$. Then we may choose $\chi \in \text{supp}(j^{\beta}\hat{w})$ such that

(4.4)
$$\chi \notin \overline{\bigcup \{a \circ \operatorname{supp} (j^{\beta} \hat{u}) \colon a \in R^{*}\}}$$
.

Without loss of generality, assume that $\chi_1 \neq 0, \dots, \chi_k \neq 0$ and $\chi_{k+1} = \dots = \chi_n = 0$.

We assert that

$$(4.5) \qquad \qquad \operatorname{supp}\,(j^{\beta}\widehat{u}) \subset Z(j_{1}) \cup \cdots \cup Z(j_{k}) \;.$$

For if there were a $\hat{\xi} \in \text{supp}(j^3\hat{u})$ such that $\hat{\xi} \in \bigcap_{r=1}^k [\mathbb{R}^n \setminus Z(j_r)]$ then we would have $\hat{\xi}_1 \neq 0, \dots, \hat{\xi}_k \neq 0$; and it would follow that

$$\chi \in \overline{\, \cup \, \{a \xi \colon a \in R^{\sharp}\} \, \subset \, \cup \, \{a \circ \mathrm{supp} \ (j^{eta} \widehat{u}) \colon a \in R^{\sharp}\}}$$

which would contradict (4.4).

Now recall that every temperate distribution is of finite order. In view of this, relation (4.5) ensures the possibility of choosing a set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ of nonnegative integers such that $j_1^{\alpha_1} \dots j_k^{\alpha_k} \circ j^{\beta_k} \hat{u} = 0$. It then follows that

$$(4.6) D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_k^{\alpha_k} \circ D^{\beta} u = 0 .$$

On the other hand, $j_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots j_k^{\alpha_k}(\chi) = \chi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \chi_k^{\alpha_k} \neq 0$. Since $\chi \in \text{supp}(j^{\beta}\hat{w})$, we conclude that $\chi \in \text{supp}(j_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots j_k^{\alpha_k} \circ j^{\beta}\hat{w})$. Thus

$$(4.7) D_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots D_k^{\alpha_k}\circ D^{\beta}w\neq 0.$$

Relations (4.6) and (4.7) together contradict our initial assumption. Hence we infer that condition (3.5) in the statement of Theorem 3.2 is satisfied, and that $w \in T[u]$.

The "only if" part of Theorem 4.2 may be obtained as an immediate consequence of either Theorem 3.2 or the Hahn-Banach theorem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. E. Edwards, *Functional analysis: theory and applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.

2. S. R. Harasymiv, A note on approximation of distributions by quasi-analytic functions, (to appear, J. Austral. Math. Soc.)

3. L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1963.

4. L. Schwartz, Théorie des distributions, Tome I, Hermann, Paris, 1950.

5. ____, Théorie des distributions, Tome II, Hermann, Paris, 1951.

Received February 16, 1968.

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN Stanford University Stanford, California

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

BASIL GORDON University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY * OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION OSAKA UNIVERSITY TRW SYSTEMS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 31, No. 2 December, 1969

Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, Quasi-injective modules and stable torsion	
<i>classes</i>	277
J. Adrian (John) Bondy, <i>On Ulam's conjecture for separable graphs</i>	281
which the singular submodule is a direct summand for every module	289
Rafael Van Severen Chacon, Approximation of transformations with continuous	
spectrum	293
Raymond Frank Dickman and Alan Zame, <i>Functionally compact spaces</i> Ronald George Douglas and Walter Rudin, <i>Approximation by inner</i>	303
functions	313
John Walter Duke, A note on the similarity of matrix and its conjugate	
transpose	321
Micheal Neal Dyer and Allan John Sieradski, Coverings of mapping	
spaces	325
Donald Campbell Dykes, <i>Weakly hypercentral subgroups of finite groups</i>	337
Nancy Dykes, <i>Mappings and realcompact spaces</i>	347
Edmund H. Feller and Richard Laham Gantos, Completely injective	
semigroups	359
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, Semi-square-summable Fourier-Stieltjes	
transforms	367
Samuel Irving Goldberg and Kentaro Yano, Integrability of almost	
cosymplectic structures	373
Seymour Haber and Charles Freeman Osgood, <i>On the sum</i> $\sum \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t}$ and	
numerical integration	383
Sav Roman Harasymiv, <i>Dilations of rapidly decreasing functions</i>	395
William Leonard Harkness and R. Shantaram, <i>Convergence of a sequence of</i>	
transformations of distribution functions	403
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., A note on the outer Galois theory of rings	417
James Donald Kuelbs, Abstract Wiener spaces and applications to	
analysis	433
Roland Edwin Larson, <i>Minimal</i> T_0 -spaces and minimal T_D -spaces	451
A. Meir and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>On Ilyeff's conjecture</i>	459
Isaac Namioka and Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Tensor products of compact convex</i>	
<i>sets</i>	469
James L. Rovnyak, On the theory of unbounded Toeplitz operators	481
Benjamin L. Schwartz, <i>Infinite self-interchange graphs</i>	497
George Szeto, On the Brauer splitting theorem	505
Takayuki Tamura, Semigroups satisfying identity $xy = f(x, y)$	513
Kenneth Tolo, <i>Factorizable semigroups</i>	523
Mineko Watanabe, On a boundary property of principal functions	537
James Juei-Chin Yeh, Singularity of Gaussian measures in function spaces with	
factorable covariance functions	547