# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON SOME EXTREMAL SIMPLEXES

MIR MASWOOD ALI

Vol. 33, No. 1 March 1970

# ON SOME EXTREMAL SIMPLEXES

# MIR M. ALI

Let A be a fixed point in n-dimensional Euclidean space. Let  $B_1, B_2, \cdots, B_{n+1}$  be the vertices of a simplex  $S_n$  of n-dimensions, that is, the n+1 vertices do not lie on a (n-1) dimensional subspace. Let  $d_i$ , assumed to be positive, be the distance of  $B_i$  from A, and let  $l_{ij}$  be the cosine of the angle between the straight lines  $AB_i$  and  $AB_j$  for  $i, j=1, 2, \cdots, n+1$ . Let  $\pi_i$  denote the (n-1)-dimensional hyperplane passing through all the vertices of  $S_n$  except  $B_i$ , let  $p_i$ , assumed positive, be the perpendicular distance of  $\pi_i$  from A, and let  $m_{ij}$  denote the cosine of the angle between the normals from A to  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_j$  for  $i, j=1, 2, \cdots, n+1$ . The present paper deals with the following problems.

- (a) An expression for the content of  $S_n$ ,  $C(S_n)$  say, in terms of  $d_i$  and  $l_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$  is first obtained. Then leaving  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n+1}$  fixed, values of  $l_{ij}$ , say  $l_{ij}^*$ , are determined in such a manner that  $C(S_n)$  is a maximum, and the maximum value of  $C(S_n)$  is obtained for the two cases that arise: (i) when A is inside  $S_n$ , (ii) when A is outside  $S_n$ . The latter case does not arise when  $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_{n+1}$ .
- (b) An expression for  $C(S_n)$  is obtained in terms of  $p_i$  and  $m_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ . Then leaving  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n+1}$  fixed, values for  $m_{ij}$ , say  $m_{ij}^*$ , are determined in such a manner that  $C(S_n)$  is a minimum, and such  $C(S_n)$  is computed for the two cases that arise depending on (i) whether A is inside  $S_n$  or (ii) A is outside  $S_n$ . The latter case does not arise when

$$p_1=p_2=\cdots=p_{n+1}$$
 .

The results are stated below.

(a) The content of  $S_n$ , max  $C(S_n)$  and  $l_{ij}^*$  are given by

(1.1) 
$$n!C(S_n) = |(l_{ij}d_id_j + 1)|^{1/2}$$

(1.2) 
$$\max (n!C(S_n))^2 = -u^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (d_i^2 - u)$$

(1.3) 
$$l_{ij}^* = u/(d_id_j)$$
 for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ ;  $i \neq j$ ,

where u satisfies the equation

$$(1.4) 1 + u \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} (d_i^2 - u)^{-1} = 0.$$

The unique negative root for u in (1.4) corresponds to the case when A is inside  $S_n$ . When the relation

$$d_1=d_2=\cdots=d_{n+1}$$

is not satisfied, the smallest positive root for u in (1.4) corresponds to the case when A is outside  $S_n$ . Other roots for u in (1.4), if any, are inadmissible.

(b) The content  $C(S_n)$ , min  $(C(S_n))$  and  $m_{ij}^*$  are given by

$$(1.5) (n!C(S_n))^2 = |(p_i p_j + m_{ij})|^n / \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} |M_{ii}|$$

where  $|M_{ii}|$  is the cofactor of  $m_{ii}$  in  $|(m_{ij})|$  and

(1.6) 
$$\min (n!C(S_n))^2 = -v^{-1}n^{2n}\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(p_i^2-v)$$

and

(1.7) 
$$m_{ij}^* = v/(p_i p_j)$$
 for  $i \neq j$ ;  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ ;

where v satisfies the equation

$$(1.8) 1 + v \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (p_i^2 - v)^{-1} = 0.$$

The unique negative root for v in (1.8) corresponds to the case when A is inside  $S_n$ . When the relation

$$p_1=p_2=\cdots=p_{n+1}$$

is not satisfied, the smallest positive root for v in (1.8) corresponds to the case when A is outside  $S_n$ . All other roots, if any, are inadmissible.

When  $d_1=d_2=\cdots=d_{n+1}$ , we obtain the special result that the largest simplex inscribed in a sphere of n-dimensions is a regular one, while when  $p_1=p_2=\cdots=p_{n+1}$  the smallest simplex circumscribing a sphere is a regular one.

The coordinates of  $B_i$  referred to a n-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system with origin at A will be denoted by  $(x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,n})$ .  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  will denote a general point in the n-space.

2. Extremal simplex determined by the distance of vertices. The content of  $S_n$  is given by (Sommerville, p. 124)  $n!C(S_n) = |V|$  where

(2.1) 
$$V = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} & 1 \\ x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{2,n} & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n+1,1} & \cdots & x_{n+1,n} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $(n!C(S_n))^2 = |VV'| = |(w_{ij})|$  say, where

$$(2.2) w_{ij} = 1 + s_{ij} ext{ for } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1; ext{ and }$$

$$(2.3) \qquad (s_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{2,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n+1,1} & \cdots & x_{n+1,n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} \\ x_{2,1} & \cdots & x_{2,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n+1,1} & \cdots & x_{n+1,n} \end{bmatrix}'$$

$$(2.4) = (l_{ij}d_id_j).$$

Hence we have proved (1.1).

We note that  $s_{ii}=d_i^2$ , for  $i=1,2,\cdots,n+1$ . From (2.3) we also note that the rank of  $(s_{ij})$  is less than n+1 so that  $|(s_{ij})|=0$  and  $(s_{ij})$  is semi-positive definite. Further we note that both  $(s_{ij})$  and  $(w_{ij})$  are symmetric matrices and since  $B_1,\cdots,B_{n+1}$  do not lie on a (n-1)-dimensional subspace, we must have  $|(w_{ij})|\neq 0$ , in fact,  $|(w_{ij})|>0$  since  $(w_{ij})$  is positive definite. Our problem of maximizing  $C(S_n)$  with respect to the  $l_{ij}$ ,  $i\neq j$ , for given values of  $d_i$ ,  $d_i>0$ , may be re-stated as follows.

We must maximize  $|(w_{ij})|$  over the class of symmetric matrices  $(s_{ij})$  or  $(w_{ij})$  with respect to  $s_{ij}$ , i,  $j = 1, \dots, n + 1$ , subject to the conditions:  $|(s_{ij})| = 0$  and  $s_{ii} = d_i^2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ . Further  $(s_{ij})$  should be semipositive definite and  $|w_{ij}| \neq 0$ .

Let  $\theta$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n+1}$  be Lagrange multipliers. We seek the extreme values of the function L with respect to  $s_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$ , where

$$L = |\,w_{ij}\,| \,-\, heta\,|\,s_{ij}\,| \,+\, \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i(s_{ii}\,-\,d_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2})$$
 .

Hence  $s_{ij}$  must satisfy

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{2} \, rac{\partial L}{\partial s_{ij}} &= \mid W_{ij} \mid - \, heta \, \mid S_{ij} \mid = \, 0 \; ext{ for } \; i 
eq j, \, i, j, \; = \, 1, \, \cdots, \, n \, + \, 1 \end{aligned}$$
 and  $rac{\partial L}{\partial s_{ij}} = \mid W_{ii} \mid - \, heta \, \mid S_{ii} \mid + \, \mu_i = \, 0 \; ext{ for } \; i = \, 1, \, \cdots, \, n \, + \, 1 \; ;$ 

where  $|W_{kl}|$  and  $|S_{kl}|$  denote co-factors of  $w_{kl}$  and  $s_{kl}$  in  $|(w_{ij})|$  and  $|(s_{ij})|$  respectively.

This implies that

$$\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n+1} w_{kj}\!\cdot\!rac{1}{2}rac{\partial L}{\partial s_{ij}}+w_{ki}rac{\partial L}{\partial s_{ii}}=0$$

so that

$$\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n+1} w_{kj} \, | \, W_{ij} \, | \, - \, heta \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n+1} w_{kj} \, | \, S_{ij} \, | \, + \, \mu_i w_{ki} = 0$$
 .

Let  $k \neq i$ ; then using (2.2),  $w_{kj} = 1 + s_{kj}$  and by the well-known property that expansions in terms of alien co-factors vanish identically (Aitken, p. 51) we finally obtain

$$- heta \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n+1} |\, S_{ij} \,| \, + \, \mu_i w_{ki} = 0$$

so that  $s_{ki} = w_{ki} - 1 = \theta/\mu_i \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |S_{ij}| - 1$ , for all  $k \neq i$ . Since the above expression for  $s_{ki}$  is constant for values of  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ ,  $k \neq i$ , we conclude that the elements of the *i*th column of  $(s_{ij})$ , except

 $s_{ii}=d_i^2$ , must be equal. Since  $s_{ij}$  is a symmetric matrix, the above property extends to the rows of  $(s_{ij})$  and it is easily seen that the extreme values of L correspond to values  $s_{ij}^*$  of  $s_{ij}$  where

$$(2.5) s_{ij}^* = u \text{ for } i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$$

while

$$s_{ii}^* = d_i^2, i = 1, \dots, n+1$$
.

Now u can be determined from the relation  $|s_{ij}| = 0$  so that we must have

(2.6) 
$$\begin{vmatrix} d_1^2 & u & \cdot & \cdot & u \\ u & d_2^2 & \cdot & \cdot & u \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ u & u & \cdot & \cdot & d_{n+1}^2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Let us define the determinant

$$(2.7) D_k(x; a_1, \cdots, a_k) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & x & \cdots & x \\ x & a_2 & \cdots & x \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x & x & \cdots & a_k \end{vmatrix}.$$

From the relation due to Grabeiri (1874) (see Muir, vol. 3, 4, p. 110), or by subtracting the first row of the above determinant from the remaining rows and by the use of Cauchy expansion in terms of the first row and first column, we have

(2.8) 
$$D_k(x; a_1, \dots, a_k) = \left(1 + x \sum_{i=1}^k (a_i - x)^{-i}\right) \prod_{i=1}^k (a_i - x)$$
.

Hence from (2.6) u must satisfy the equation

(2.9) 
$$\left(1 + u \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (d_i^2 - u)^{-1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (d_i^2 - u) = 0.$$

From (2.2) and (2.5) the extreme value of  $(n!C(S_n))^2$  for any u satisfying (2.9) is equal to

$$egin{align} D_{n+1}(1+u;1+d_1^2,\,\cdots,1+d_{n+1}^2)\ &=\Big(1+(1+u)\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1}(d_i^2-u)^{-i}\Big)\Big(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n+1}(d_i^2-u)\Big)\ &=\Big(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1}(d_i^2-u)^{-i}\Big)\Big(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n+1}d_i^2-u)\Big) \end{split}$$

by the use of (2.9).

Since u = 0 does not satisfy (2.6), we immediately obtain from

(2.9) that the expression (2.10) is equal to

$$(2.11) -u^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (d_i^2 - u)$$

which is the extreme value of  $(n!C(s_n))^2$  in terms of u. In order that the content is nonzero we must have  $u \neq d_i^2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ . This statement along with (2.9) implies that u must satisfy the equation

$$(2.12) 1 + u \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (d_i^2 - u)^{-1} = 0.$$

The roots for u, temporarily assuming that  $d_1, \dots, d_{n+1}$  are distinct, can be located by Decartes rule of signs by checking the signs of the left-handside of (2.12) for values of u, equal to  $-\infty$ , 0,  $+\infty$  and in the neighborhood of  $d_i^2$ ,  $i=1,\dots,n+1$ . Relabelling  $d_i$  such that  $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_{n+1}$ , it is easily verified that all the roots for u are real, say  $u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}$  and may be labelled in such a manner that

$$(2.13) u_1 < 0 < d_1^2 < u_2 < d_2^2 < \cdots < u_{n+1} < d_{n+1}^2.$$

Consider the characteristic roots of  $(s_{ij}^*)$  given by  $|s_{ij}^* - \lambda I| = 0$ . By (2.5) and (2.7)  $\lambda$  must satisfy  $D_{n+1}(u; d_1^2 - \lambda, \dots, d_{n+1}^2 - \lambda) = 0$ . Hence from (2.9)

$$\left(1+u\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(d_i^2-\lambda-u)^{-1}
ight)\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(d_i^2-\lambda-u)=0$$
 .

By similar method as used to obtain (2.13) we find that the roots for  $\lambda$  may be so labelled that  $\lambda_1=0$  and

$$d_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} < \lambda_{i+1} + u < d_{i+1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$$
  $i = 1, \, \cdots, \, n$  .

In order that all the roots for  $\lambda$  are nonnegative it is easily seen that the relation

$$(2.14) d_2^2 - u > \lambda_2 \ge 0$$

must be satisfied so that we must have  $u < d_2^2$ . From (2.13) we find that the only admissible roots for u are  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .

To establish (1.4) it only remains to show that  $u_1$  corresponds to the case when A is inside the extremal simplex whereas  $u_2$  corresponds to the case when A is outside the extremal simplex.

Consider the equation of  $\pi_i$ , passing through all the vertices of  $S_n$  except  $B_i$  having the coordinates  $(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$ , given by

$$L_i(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = 0 ,$$

where

$$L_i(x_1,\, \cdots, x_n) = egin{bmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,n} & 1 \ dots & dots & dots \ x_{i-1,1} & \cdots & x_{i-1,n} & 1 \ x_1 & \cdots & x_n & 1 \ x_{i+1,1} & \cdots & x_{1+1,n} & 1 \ dots & dots & dots \ x_{n+1,1} & \cdots & x_{n+1,n} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now A and  $B_i$  lie on the same side of  $\pi_i$  if and only if  $L_i(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$ .  $L_i(0, \dots, 0) > 0$  while A and  $B_i$  lie on opposite sides of  $\pi_i$  if and only if  $L_i(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$ .  $L_i(0, \dots, 0) < 0$ .

Now by direct multiplication of the determinant  $L_i(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$  with the transpose of the determinant  $L_i(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  we obtain

$$L_i(x_{i,1},\, \cdots,\, x_{i,n}) \cdot L_i(0,\, 0,\, \cdots,\, 0) = egin{bmatrix} 1 + s_{11} & 1 + s_{12} & \cdots 1 \cdots 1 + s_{1\,\,n+1} \ 1 + s_{21} & 1 + s_{22} & \cdots 1 \cdots 1 + s_{2\,\,n+1} \ dots & dots & dots \ 1 + s_{n+1,\,\,1} & 1 + s_{n+1,\,\,2} \cdots 1 & 1 + s_{n+1\,\,n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now assume that  $S_n$  is an extremal simplex so that from (2.5)  $s_{\nu\nu}=d^2_{\nu}, \ \nu=1, \cdots, n+1$  and  $s_{\nu k}=u, \ \nu\neq k, \ \nu, \ k=1, \cdots, n+1$ . Then in the last determinant each entry in the i-th column is 1, the jth diagonal entry is  $d^2_j+1$  for  $j\neq i, j=1, \cdots, n+1$  while the remaining entries are 1+u. Subtracting (1+u) times the i-th column from the remaining columns we immediately obtain

$$egin{aligned} L_i(x_{i,1},\, \, \cdots,\, x_{i,n}) \cdot L_i(0,\, \, \cdots,\, 0) &= (d_i^2 - u)^{-1} \prod\limits_{j=1}^{n+1} (d_j^2 - u) \ &= rac{-u^{-1} \prod\limits_{1}^{n+1} (d_j^2 - u)}{(-u^{-1}(d_i^2 - u))} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since from (2.11) the numerator of the last expression is positive, we find that A and  $B_i$  lie on the same side of  $\pi_i$  if and only if

$$-u^{-1}(d_i^2-u)>0$$
 ,

while they lie on opposite sides of  $\pi_i$  if and only if  $-u^{-1}(d_i^2-u)<0$ .

Since  $-u_2^{-1}(d_2^2-u_2)<0$  and  $-u_1^{-1}(d_1^2-u_1)>0$ , it is readily checked that we have proved (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) in the case when  $d_1, \dots, d_{n+1}$  are distinct.

Necessary modifications are easily made when some or all of the  $d_i$  are not distinct.

Finally we remark that the simplex corresponding to  $u_1$  has larger

content than that for  $u_2$ . This is because

$$d_i^2 - u_1 > d_i^2 - u_2 > 0$$
 for  $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ 

and

$$-u_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(d_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}-u_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})=1-rac{d_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}{u_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}>\!1-d_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\!/u_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}=-u_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}(d_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}-u_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})\;,$$

so that

$$(2.15) -u_1^{-1} \prod_{1}^{n+1} (d_j^2 - u_1) > -u_2^{-1} \prod_{1}^{n+1} (d_j^2 - u_2).$$

We also note that when  $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_{n+1}$  (1.4) has a unique negative root for u and the point A corresponding to this value of u must lie inside the extremal simplex.

3. Simplex determined by distances of faces. We recall that the (n-1)-dimensional hyperplane  $\pi_i$  passes through all the vertices of  $S_n$  except  $B_i$ . The distance of  $\pi_i$  from A is  $p_i$ . The point  $B_i$  does not lie on  $\pi_i$  but does lie on all the remaining n hyperplanes

$$\pi_i, j \neq i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$$
.

Let  $\pi_i$  be given by (in normal form)

$$\pi_{i}: e_{i,1}x_{1} + e_{i,2}x_{2} + \cdots + e_{i,n}x_{n} = e_{i,n+1}$$

where for notational convenience we have written

$$(3.2) p_i = e_{i,n+1} ,$$

and  $e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,n}$  are the direction cosines of the normal to  $\pi_i$ , so that we have

(3.3) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^k e_{i,j} e_{k,j} = m_{ik}; \ i, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1; \ m_{ii} = 1.$$

The notations used in this section will be listed first and some relations needed later will be established in order to avoid future digression.

We define the (n+1) imes (n+1) matrix E in double suffix notation as

$$(3.4) E = (e_{i,j})$$

and  $E_{i,j}$  will denote the co-factor of  $e_{i,j}$  in E. We also define the  $(n+1)\times(n+1)$  matrix M as

$$(3.5) M = (m_{ij})$$

and  $M_{ij}$  as co-factor of  $m_{ij}$  in M. Let  $\sigma_i$  denote the signature of  $|E_{i,n+1}|$  so that

(3.6) 
$$\sigma_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 \; \ \mathrm{if} \; \mid E_{i,n+1} \mid > 0 \\ & \ \mathrm{for} \; \; i=1,\, \cdots,\, n+1 \; . \\ -1 \; \ \mathrm{if} \; \mid E_{i,n+1} \mid < 0 \end{array} \right.$$

We remark here that  $E_{i,n+1}$  is nonsingular. This is because

$$\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_{i-1}, \pi_{i+1}, \cdots, \pi_{n+1}$$

have one and only one point in common, namely  $(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$ . Since  $\pi_i$  does not pass through the above common point, it is easily seen that the matrix E is also nonsingular, so that

$$|E| \neq 0 \text{ and } |E_{i,n+1}| \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

Furthermore it is easily seen that

$$|E_{i,\,n+1}|=\sigma_i\,|E_{i,\,n+1}E_{i,\,n+1}'|^{1/2}=\sigma_i\,|\,M_{ii}\,|^{1/2}$$
 for  $i=1,\,\cdots,\,n+1$ 

where the radical above as well as all radicals appearing in this paper will be always taken as positive. Hence from (3.2) and (3.4) we have

(3.9) 
$$|E| = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} p_i |E_{i,n+1}| = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} \sigma_i p_i |M_{ii}|^{1/2} = 
ho \; ext{(say)} \; .$$

D will denote the diagonal matrix

(3.10) 
$$D = \text{Diag.}(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1})$$

and let

$$(3.11) R = (r_{ij}) = D^{-1}MD^{-1}$$

so that  $r_{ii} = p_i^{-2}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ . Since

$$M = egin{bmatrix} e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,1} & \cdots & e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,n} \ dots & & dots \ e_{\scriptscriptstyle n+1,1} & \cdots & e_{\scriptscriptstyle n+1,n} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,1} & \cdots & e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1,n} \ dots & & dots \ e_{\scriptscriptstyle n+1,1} & \cdots & e_{\scriptscriptstyle n+1,n} \end{bmatrix}'$$

we also remark that M and consequently R are symmetric positive semi-definite matrices, so that |M| = 0 and |R| = 0.

Finally, it follows that

(3.12) 
$$|M_{ii}| = |R_{ii}| \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} p_j^2\right) / p_i$$
.

To obtain the content  $C(S_n)$ , we will use the formula (2.1). Since  $(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n})$  lies on  $\pi_j$ ;  $j \neq i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$ , we may directly solve for  $x_{i,j}$  from the following n linear equations:

$$egin{bmatrix} e_{1,1}, & \cdots, e_{1,n} \ dots & dots \ e_{i-1,1}, & \cdots, e_{i-1,n} \ e_{i+1,1}, & \cdots, e_{i+1,n} \ dots \ e_{n+1,1}, & \cdots, e_{n+1,n} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} x_{i,1} \ x_{i,2} \ dots \ e_{i-1,n+1} \ dots \ e_{i-1,n+1} \ dots \ e_{i+1,n+1} \ dots \ e_{n+1,n+1} \end{bmatrix} .$$

A simple calculation shows that (see (3.4))

$$x_{i,j} = (-1)^{n-j} (-1)^{i+j} \mid E_{i,j} \mid / ((-1)^{n+1+i} \mid E_{i,n+1} \mid)$$
 .

Hence we obtain

$$x_{i,j} = -|E_{i,j}|/|E_{i,n+1}|; i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$$
.

Substituting these values in |V| of (2.1) and factoring out -1 from each of the first n columns of V and also factoring out  $|E_{i,n+1}|^{-1}$  from the ith row of V for  $i=1,\dots,n+1$ , we readily obtain

$$egin{aligned} n!C(S_n) &= (-1)^n \mid \operatorname{Adj} E \mid \! / \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \mid E_{i,\,n+1} \mid \ &= (-1)^n \mid E \mid \! ^n \! / \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \mid E_{i,\,n+1} \mid \ \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\operatorname{Adj} E|$  is the adjoint determinant of |E|. In order to avoid the ambiguity of sign in  $C(S_n)$  we consider  $(n!C(S_n))^2$  instead and from (3.9) and (3.12) we obtain

$$egin{align} (n!C(S_n))^2 &= \mid E \mid^{2n} \!\! \int_{i=1}^{n+1} \mid E_{i,\,n+1} \mid^2 \ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sigma_i p_i \mid M_{ii} \mid^{1/2} 
ight)^{2n} \!\! \int_{i=1}^{n+1} \mid M_{ii} \mid \ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sigma_i \mid R_{ii} \mid^{1/2} 
ight)^{2n} \!\! \int_{i=1}^{n+1} \mid R_{ii} \mid \, . \end{split}$$

Our problem of minimization is equivalent to minimizing

$$\ln \! \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sigma_i \!\mid R_{ii} \!\mid^{1/2} \right)^{\!\!2} \! / \! \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} |R_{ii} \!\mid^{1/n} 
ight]$$

with respect to  $r_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$ , subject to the restriction that  $r_{ii} = p_i^{-2}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  and |R| = 0 over the class of symmetric matrices R.

Let  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\mu_{n+1}$  be Lagrange multipliers and we seek the extreme value of

$$L = ln \Big( \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} \sigma_i |\, R_{ii} \,|^{1/2} \Big)^2 - rac{1}{n} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} ln |\, R_{ii} \,|\, - \lambda |\, R \,|\, + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu_i (r_{ii} - p_i^{-2})$$
 .

 $r_{ij}$  must satisfy:

$$rac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ij}}=
ho^{-1}\sum\limits_{
u=1}^{n+1}rac{\partial \mid R_{
u
u}\mid}{\partial r_{ij}}rac{\sigma_{
u}}{\mid R_{
u
u}\mid^{1/2}}-rac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{
u=1}^{n+1}rac{1}{R_{
u
u}}rac{\partial \mid R_{
u
u}\mid}{\partial r_{ij}}-\lambdarac{\partial \mid R\mid}{\partial r_{ij}}=0\;, \ i
eq j,\,i,\,j=1,\,\cdots,\,n+1$$

and

$$rac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ii}} = 
ho^{-1} \sum_{
u=1}^{n+1} rac{\sigma_{
u}}{|R_{
u
u}|^{1/2}} rac{\partial |R_{
u
u}|}{\partial r_{ii}} - rac{1}{n} \sum_{
u=1}^{n+1} rac{1}{R_{
u
u}} rac{\partial |R_{
u
u}|}{\partial r_{ii}} - \lambda rac{\partial |R|}{\partial r_{ii}} + \mu_i = 0$$

where  $\rho$  is as defined in (3.9).

These equations reduce to

and

$$rac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ii}} = \sum\limits_{\stackrel{
u=1}{
u 
eq i}}^{n+1} (
ho^{-1} \sigma_{
u} |\, R_{
u
u}\,|^{-1/2} - n^{-1} |\, R_{
u
u}\,|^{-1}) |\, R_{
u
u|ii}\,|\, - \lambda |\, R_{ii}\,|\, + \, \mu = 0$$

where  $|R_{\nu\nu|ij}|$  is the co-factor of  $r_{ij}$  in  $|R_{\nu\nu}|$ .

Hence the minimizing values of  $r_{ij}$ ,  $r_{ij}^*$ , say, must satisfy the equations in  $r_{ij}$ :

$$r_{ii}=p_i^{-2}$$

and

$$(3.14) \qquad \qquad \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{ij} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ij}} + r_{ii} \frac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ii}} = 0$$

and

$$(3.15) \qquad \qquad \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j\neq i}}^{n+1} r_{kj} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ij}} + r_{kj} \frac{\partial L}{\partial r_{ii}} = 0.$$

After obvious simplification (3.14) yields

$$\sum\limits_{\stackrel{
u=1}{
u 
eq 
u}}^{n+1} \left( 
ho^{-1} \sigma_{
u} |\, R_{
u
u}\,|^{-1/2} - n^{-1} |\, R_{
u
u}\,|^{-1} 
ight) |\, R_{
u
u}\,| \, + \, \mu_i p_i^{-2} = 0 \,$$
 ,

or

$$\mu_i = p_i^2 \rho^{-1} \sigma_i R_{ii} .$$

From (3.15) we obtain for  $k \neq i$ ,

$$(3.17) \quad \sum_{\substack{j=1\\\nu\neq i,\ j}}^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{\nu=1\\\nu\neq i,\ j}}^{n+1} (\sigma_{\nu}|\,R_{\nu\nu}\,|^{-1/2}\rho^{-1}-\,n^{-1}|\,R_{\nu\nu}\,|^{-1})r_{kj}|\,R_{\nu\nu|ij}\,|\,+\,\mu_{i}r_{ki}=0\,\,.$$

After some calculations we obtain

$$(3.18) r_{ki} = \mu_i^{-1}(\sigma_k |R_{kk}|^{-1/2} \rho^{-1} - n^{-1} |R_{kk}|^{-1}) |R_{ik}|.$$

It is easily seen from (3.11) that  $|R_{ik}| = p_i p_k |M_{ik}|$  and

$$M_{ik} = |E_{i,n+1}||E_{k,n+1}|$$

and hence from (3.8),

$$|\,R_{ik}\,|\,=\,\sigma_{ik}|\,R_{ii}\,|^{1/2}|\,R_{kk}\,|^{1/2}$$

so that substituting for  $\mu_i$  from (3.16) in (3.18) we obtain

(3.19) 
$$p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} r_{ki} = 1 - n^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} 
ho \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle k} |\, R_{\scriptscriptstyle kk}\,|^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2}$$
 .

In obtaining (3.18) from (3.17), we illustrate the case for i = 1, n + 1 = 4 and k = 2, for the expression, for example:

The last expression is obtained from the coefficients of  $|R_{22}|^{-1/2}$ ; the coefficients of  $|R_{33}|^{-1/2}$  or  $|R_{11}|^{-1/2}$  are easily seen to vanish identically, since they represent expansion by alien co-factors.

In the summation appearing in (3.17) only the term with  $\nu=k$  survives;

$$\sum\limits_{\substack{j=1\j
eq k}}^{n+1} r_{kj} |\, R_{kk|ij}\, |\,$$

is the expansion of the determinant obtained by replacing the elements of the *i*-th row of |R| by those of the *k*-th row of |R| with the *k*-th row and *k*-th column deleted. Transferring the elements  $r_{ki}$  appearing in the *i*-th row to the *k*-th row, there results the minor of  $r_{ki}$  in |R|. Hence multiplying by  $(-1)^{i-k}$  and  $(-1)^{i+k}$  we obtain  $|R_{ki}|$ . It is thus seen that

$$\sum\limits_{\substack{j=1 \ i 
eq k}}^{n+1} r_{kj} |\, R_{kk|ij} \,| = |\, R_{ki} \,| \, = |\, R_{ik} \,|$$
 .

From (3.19) it is easily checked that we have

$$(3.20) p_i^2 p_k^2 r_{ik} = p_i^2 p_k^2 r_{ik},$$

for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n + 1$ , with  $i \neq k, j \neq k$ . Since the matrix

$$(p_i^2 r_{ii} p_i^2) = D^2 R D^2 = D^2 D^{-1} M D^{-1} D^2 = D M D = (p_i m_{ii} p_i)$$

is symmetric, and (3.20) implies that nondiagonal elements of each row or column of this matrix are equal we conclude, (in a manner analogous to (2.5)) that  $r_{ii}^* = p_i^{-2}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  and

$$p_i^2 r_{ij}^* p_j^2 = p_i p_j m_{ij}^* = v$$
 ,

say, for  $i \neq j$ ;  $i, j = 1, \dots, n + 1$  so that

$$m_{ii}^*=1 \qquad ext{for } i=1,\ \cdots,\ n+1 \ m_{ij}^*=rac{v}{p_ip_j} ext{ for } i
eq j; i,j=1,\ \cdots,\ n+1.$$

We obtain values of v by equating  $|r_{ij}^*| = 0$  or equivalently by setting  $|DMD| = |(p_i p_j m_{ij}^*)| = 0$ , where  $p_i p_j m_{ij}^* = v$ ,  $i \neq j$  and  $p_i^2 m_{ii}^* = p_i^2$ , and it is seen from (2.7) that v must satisfy

$$D_{n+1}(v; p_1^2, \cdots, p_{n+1}^2) = 0$$
 .

and hence

$$\left(1+v\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(p_i^2-v)^{-1}\right)\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(p_i^2-v)=0.$$

We also note from (3.13), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.12) that

$$egin{align} (n!C(S_{\scriptscriptstyle n}))^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} &= 
ho^{\scriptscriptstyle 2n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \left(\mid R_{ii}\mid^{-1} \cdot p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}
ight) \ &= p_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \mid R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}\mid^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=n}^{n+1} \left(
ho\mid R_{ii}\mid^{-1/2}
ight)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \;. \end{split}$$

But from (3.19) we have

$$ho\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle k} |\, R_{\scriptscriptstyle kk}\,|^{\scriptscriptstyle -1/2} = n (1\,-\,p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} r_{\scriptscriptstyle ki}^*)$$

so that  $\rho \sigma_k |R_{ii}|^{-1/2} = n(p_i^2 - v)/p_i$ , from (3.21). Also from (3.21), since  $r_{ij}^* = v/(p_i^2 p_j^2)$  and  $r_{ii}^* = p_i^{-2}$  it is easily seen that

$$egin{align} \mid R_{11} \mid \prod_{i=2}^{n+1} p_i^2 &= D_n(v;\, p_2^2,\, \cdots,\, p_{n+1}^2) \ &= \left(1 \,+\, v\, \sum\limits_{i=2}^{n+1} \,(p_i^2 \,-\, v)^{-1}
ight) \prod\limits_{i=2}^{n+1} \,(p_i^2 \,-\, v) \ &= (p_1^2 \,-\, v)^{-1} igg(-\, v(p_1^2 \,-\, v)^{-1} \,+\, igg) \end{array}$$

$$egin{align} +\ 1\ +\ v\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(p_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^2-v)^{-1}igg)\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(p_i^2-v) \ &=\ -v(p_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^2-v)^{-2}\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(p_i^2-v) \quad ext{from} \quad (3.22) \ . \end{array}$$

Substituting in (3.23) we readily find that

$$(3.24) (n!C(S_n))^2 = v^{-1}n^{2n}\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}(p_i^2 - v).$$

Thus (1.6) is proved.

In order that  $S_n$  is nondegenerate  $v \neq p_i^2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$ . Hence from (3.22) v must satisfy

$$(3.25) 1 + v \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (p_i^2 - v)^{-1} = 0.$$

Thus we have exactly the same equation as (2.12) with  $d_i$  replaced by  $p_i$  and u replaced by v. By exactly the same argument that follows (2.12) we conclude that, when  $p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}$  are distinct, if the roots of (3.25) are so labelled that the unique negative root of (3.25) is  $v_1$  and the smallest positive root for v is  $v_2$  and if the  $p_i$  are labelled so that  $p_1$  is the smallest and  $p_2$  the second smallest  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ , we have the two eligible roots of (3.26) as  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  satisfying

$$(3.26) v_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} < 0 < p_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} < v_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} < p_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \; .$$

It remains to prove that  $v_1$  corresponds to the case when A is inside  $S_n$  while  $v_2$  corresponds to the case when A is outside  $S_n$ .

We will prove that, for the extremal simplexes obtained above, the vertex  $B_i$  and the fixed point A lie on the same side of  $\pi_i$  if

$$p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}-v>0$$

while A and  $B_i$  lie on opposite sides if  $p_i^2 - v < 0$ .

Let

$$L_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e_{i,1}x_1 + \dots + e_{i,n}x_n - e_{i,n+1}$$
.

Then  $L_i(0, \dots, 0) = -e_{i,n+1} = -p_i$ , and

$$egin{align} L_i(x_{i1},\, \cdots,\, x_{in}) \ &= -\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n+1} e_{i,j} |E_{i,j}|/|E_{i,n+1}| & ext{(by virtue of (3.5))} \ &= -|E|/|E_{i,n+1}| \ &= -p_{i} 
ho/\sigma_{i} |R_{ii}|^{1/2} & ext{(from (3.8) and (3.12))} \ &= -n p_{i} (1-p_{k}^{2} r_{ki}^{*}) & ext{(from (3.19))} \ &= -n p_{i} (1-v/p_{i}^{2}) & ext{(from (3.21))} \ \end{array}$$

Hence  $L_i(0, \dots, 0) \cdot L_i(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,n}) = n(p_i^2 - v)$ . Now the equation of  $\pi_i$  is  $L_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ . Hence  $p_i^2 - v > 0$  implies that A and  $B_i$  lie on the same side of  $\pi_i$  while  $p_i^2 - v < 0$  implies that A and  $B_i$  lie on opposite sides of  $\pi_i$ . Since  $p_i^2 - v_1$  is positive for  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  we conclude from (3.26) that corresponding to  $v_1$ , A is inside  $S_n$ . Also from (3.26) we find  $p_1^2 - v_2$  is negative so that corresponding to  $v_2$  the point A lies outside  $S_n$ . Hence it is readily checked that we have proved (1.5), (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8).

Finally, using an argument analogous to that used to obtain (2.15) we find that

$$-v_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}-v_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}
ight)>-v_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}\prod\limits_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}-v_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}
ight)$$

so that from (3.24) we conclude that the content of  $S_n$  corresponding to  $v_1$  is greater than the content of  $S_n$  corresponding to  $v_2$ .

Obvious modifications in the foregoing proofs are easily made. when some or all the  $p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}$  are equal.

When  $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_{n+1}$ , (3.25) has a unique negative solution for v and in this case A must lie inside the extremal simplex.

The author expresses his thanks to Professor H. S. M. Coxeter for his valuable association which led to this problem and for his keen interest in this work.

## REFERENCES

- 1. A. C. Aitken, Determinants and matrices, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1956.
- 2. T. Muir, The theory of determinants in the historical order of development, Volume 3, 4, Dover Publications Inc., 1920.
- 3. D. M. Y. Sommerville, An introduction to the geometry of N dimensions, Methuen, London, 1929.
- 4. D. Slepian, The content of some extreme simplexes, Pacific J. Math., (to appear).

Received July 23, 1969. This work was done at the University of Toronto, Department of Industrial Engineering, and the author was on leave of absence from the University of Western Ontario, Department of Mathematics.

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, AND UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### **EDITORS**

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 33, No. 1

March, 1970

Mir Maswood Ali, On some extremal simplexes
Silvio Aurora, On normed rings with monotone multiplication
Silvio Aurora, Normed fields which extend normed rings of integers
John Kelly Beem, Indefinite Minkowski spaces
T. F. Bridgland, Trajectory integrals of set valued functions
Robert Jay Buck, A generalized Hausdorff dimension for functions and
sets
Vlastimil B. Dlab, A characterization of perfect rings
Edward Richard Fadell, Some examples in fixed point theory
Michael Benton Freeman, Tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations and
uniform approximation
Barry J. Gardner, Torsion classes and pure subgroups
Vinod B. Goyal, Bounds for the solution of a certain class of nonlinear
partial differential equations
Fu Cheng Hsiang, On C, 1 summability factors of Fourier series at a given
point
Lawrence Stanislaus Husch, Jr., Homotopy groups of PL-embedding
spaces
Daniel Ralph Lewis, <i>Integration with respect to vector measures</i>
Marion-Josephine Lim, $\mathcal{L}-2$ subspaces of Grassmann product spaces
Stephen J. Pierce, Orthogonal groups of positive definite multilinear
functionals
W. J. Pugh and S. M. Shah, On the growth of entire functions of bounded
index
Siddani Bhaskara Rao and Ayyagari Ramachandra Rao, Existence of
triconnected graphs with prescribed degrees
Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar, On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential
mappings
R. Shantaram, Convergence of a sequence of transformations of distribution functions. II
Julianne Souchek, Rings of analytic functions
Ted Joe Suffridge, <i>The principle of subordination applied to functions of</i>
several variables
Wei-lung Ting, On secondary characteristic classes in cobordism
theory
Pak-Ken Wong, Continuous complementors on B*-algebras
Miyuki Yamada, <i>On a regular semigroup in which the idenpotents form a</i>
bandbanada, on a regular semigroup in which the thempotents form a
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·