Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THEOREMS ON CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF SERIES

S. MUKHOTI

Vol. 33, No. 2

April 1970

THEOREMS ON CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF SERIES

S. Mukhoti

1.1. We consider the Cesaro summability, for integral orders, of the series

(1.1)
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} d_{\nu} .$$

In this paper we establish equivalence theorems for the series (1.1) which are valid for a substantial class of sequences d_{ν} including $e^{-\nu}$ and $\nu^{-\delta}$. Results of this character, but not overlapping with those in this paper, were given by Hardy and Littlewood and by Andersen. Andersen's result was extended by Bosanquet and Chow, and further extended by Bosanquet.

Notation. 1.2. We write
$$A_n^0 = A_n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n$$
, $A_n^k = A_0^{k-1} + A_1^{k-1} + \cdots + A_n^{k-1}$

and we get the identities: See Hardy [8].

(1.2)
$$A_n^k = \sum_{\nu=0}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} A_{\nu} ,$$

(1.3)
$$A_n^k = \sum_{\nu=0}^n B_{n-\nu}^k a_{\nu} ,$$

where

(1.4)
$$B_{n-\nu}^{k} = \binom{n-\nu+k}{k};$$

 $E_n^k = A_n^k$ when $a_0 = 1$, $a_n = 0$, for n > 0, i.e., when $A_n = 1$, for all n. Hence

(1.5)
$$E_n^k = \binom{n+k}{k} \sim \frac{n^k}{k!}.$$

If

(1.6)
$$\frac{A_n^k}{E_n^k} \to A, \text{ when } n \to \infty,$$

or equivalently if

(1.7)
$$\frac{k!A_n^k}{n^k} \to A, \text{ when } n \to \infty,$$

then we say that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is summable (C, k) to sum A and we write

(1.8)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = A(C, k)$$
.

1.3. Statement of lemma and identiy. We write

$$\varDelta d_n = d_n - d_{n-1}, \, \varDelta^k u_n = \varDelta \varDelta^{k-1} u_n \qquad (k \ge 2)$$

and $\varDelta^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} u_n = u_n$.

We shall use the following well-known identity:

(1.9)
$$\Delta^k(u_n v_n) = \sum_{\nu=0}^k \binom{k}{\nu} \Delta^\nu u_n \Delta^{k-\nu} v_{n-\nu} .$$

LEMMA A. In order that

(1.10)
$$t_m = \sum C_{m,n} S_n \to S(m \to \infty) , \qquad (m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$$

whenever

 $(1.11) S_n \to S (n \to \infty) ,$

it is necessary and sufficient that

(1.12) (i) $\sum |C_{m,n}| < H$,

where H is independent of m;

(1.13) (ii) $C_{m,n} \rightarrow 0$,

for each n, when $m \rightarrow \infty$;

(1.14) (iii) $\sum C_{m,n} \to 1$, when $m \to \infty$.

Lemma A is mentioned by Hardy [8, Th. 2], which is due to Toeplitz [12]. Toeplitz considers only *triangular* transformations, in which $C_{m,n} = 0$ for n > m. The extension to general transformations was made by Steinhaus [11].

2. Statement and proof of the theorem.

THEOREM (the cases $k = 1, 2, \cdots$). Suppose that $d_n > for \ n \ge 0$, and

$$(2.1) \quad (i) \qquad \qquad d_{n+1}^k = o(n^k) \ as \ n \to \infty \ ,$$

(2.2) (ii)
$$(1/B_n^k) \sum_{m=0}^n B_m^k \left| \varDelta^k \left\{ \varDelta(1/d_{m+k+1}) \sum_{\nu=m+k}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} \right\} \right| = O(1) ,$$

(Δ operating on m).

Then necessary and sufficient conditions for

(2.3) (I)
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu}d_{\nu}$$
 to be summable (C, k) to S

are that

(2.4) (II)
$$-\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} S_{\nu} dd_{\nu+1}$$
 should be summable (C, k) to S

and

(2.5) (III)
$$S_n d_{n+1} = o(1) (C, k) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

where

$$S_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu .$$

Proof. We have

(2.7)
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} d_{\nu} = S_{n} d_{n+1} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} S_{\nu} \Delta d_{\nu+1}$$

i.e.,
$$C_{n} = F_{n} - G_{n},$$

1.e.,

and hence

(2.8)
$$C_n^k = F_n^k - G_n^k$$
.

The sufficiency follows immediately from (2.8). Necessity. We are given that

 $C_n^k/B_n^k \to S \ as \ n \to \infty$, (2.9)

and it will be enough to prove that

$$(2.10) -G_n^k/B_n^k \to S \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

From (2.7) we have

(2.11)
$$\frac{-\frac{C_n \varDelta d_{n+1}}{d_{n+1}} = S_n \varDelta d_{n+1} - \frac{\varDelta d_{n+1}}{d_{n+1}} G_n, \\ = \frac{d_n (d_{n+1} \varDelta G_n - G_n \varDelta d_{n+1})}{d_n d_{n+1}} = d_n \varDelta \left(\frac{G_n}{d_{n+1}}\right).$$

Thus

(2.12)
$$\frac{G_n}{d_{n+1}} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{C_\nu}{d_\nu} \frac{\varDelta d_{\nu+1}}{d_{\nu+1}} ,$$

so

$$-G_n = d_{n+1} \sum_{
u=0}^n C_
u arDelta(1/d_{
u+1})$$
 ,

and hence

$$(2.13) \qquad -G_n^k = \sum_{\nu=0}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} \sum_{m=0}^{\nu} C_m \mathcal{L}(1/d_{m+1})$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^n C_m \mathcal{L}(1/d_{m+1}) \sum_{\nu=m}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1}$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^k C_m^k \mathcal{L}^k \Big\{ \mathcal{L}(1/d_{m+k+1}) \sum_{\nu=m+k}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} \Big\} .$$

It follows that

(2.14)
$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{G_n^k}{B_n^k} &= \frac{1}{B_n^k} \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{C_m^k}{B_m^k} \cdot B_m^k \mathcal{I}^k \Big\{ \mathcal{I}(1/d_{m+k+1}) \sum_{\nu=m+k}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} \Big\} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n T_m \gamma_{n,m} , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.15) T_m = C_m^k / B_m^k ,$$

and

(2.16)
$$\gamma_{n,m} = (-1)^k \frac{B_m^k}{B_n^k} \mathcal{I}^k \left\{ \mathcal{I}(1/d_{m+k+1}) \sum_{\nu=m+k}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} \right\} \,.$$

Hence

$$(2.17) \quad (\text{ i }) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{n} |\gamma_{n,m}| = (1/B_n^k) \sum_{m=0}^{n} B_m^k \left| \varDelta^k \Big\{ \varDelta (1/d_{m+k+1}) \sum_{\nu=m+k}^{n} B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} \Big\} \right| < H \text{ ,}$$

by hypothesis (ii).

Now, from (2.16), we have, for each m

(2.18)

$$\gamma_{n,m} = (-1)^{k} (B_{m}^{k}/B_{n}^{k}) \left[\varDelta^{k+1} (1/d_{m+k+1}) \sum_{\nu=m+k}^{n} B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} + \alpha_{k} \varDelta^{k} (1/d_{m+k}) \binom{n-m}{k-1} d_{m+k} + \cdots + \alpha_{1}^{1} \varDelta (1/d_{m+1}) \binom{n-m}{k-1} \varDelta^{k-1} d_{m+k} + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1}^{1} \varDelta (1/d_{m+1}) \varDelta^{k-1} \binom{n-m}{k-1} d_{m+1} \right],$$

$$(\alpha \text{ various constants})$$

using the identity (1.9).

Then from (2.18) it follows that for each m

(2.19)
$$\gamma_{n,m} = A_{n,m} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = A_{n,m} + o(1), \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where

$$(2.20) |A_{n,m}| < \frac{k!}{n^k} B_m^k |\Delta^{k+1}(1/d_{m+k+1})| \sum_{\nu=0}^n B_{n-\nu}^{k-1} d_{\nu+1} < \frac{K}{n^k} d_{n+1}^k = o(1)$$

for each *m*, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by hypothesis (i). Hence it follows from (2.19)-(2.20) that

(2.21) (ii) $\gamma_{n,m} \to 0$ for each m, as $n \to \infty$.

Let us take

$$a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}=1,\,a_{\scriptscriptstyle
u}=0,\,\,{
m for}\,\,\,{\color{black}\nu}\geq 1,\,\,{
m and}\,\,\,d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}=1$$

in

(2.22)
$$C_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\nu} d_{\nu}$$
.

Then we have, for $n \ge 0, C_n = 1$, and hence

(2.23)
$$C_n^k/B_n^k = 1$$
.

Next, since $C_{\nu} = 1$, $d_0 = 1$, we obtain from (2.12)

$$-G_n = d_{n+1} \sum_{
u=0}^n \varDelta(1/d_{
u+1}) = 1 - d_{n+1}$$
 ,

and hence

$$(2.24) \qquad \qquad -G_n^k/B_n^k=1-d_{n+1}^k/B_n^k \longrightarrow 1 \ \text{as} \ n \longrightarrow \infty \ ,$$

by hypothesis (i).

But this implies, from (2.14)-(2.15), that

(2.25) (iii)
$$-G_n^k/B_n^k = \sum_{m=0}^n \gamma_{n,m} \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
.

It follows that conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma A are satisfied, and hence

$$(2.26) -G_n^k/B_n^k \to S \text{ as } n \to \infty .$$

Note. Hypotheses (i) and (ii) of the Theorem are necessary. For suppose that $-G_n^k/B_n^k \to S$ as $n \to \infty$, whenever $C_n^k/B_n^k \to S$ as $n \to \infty$.

Then from (2.14)-(2.16), condition (i) of Lemma A must hold, but this implies (2.17) and hence hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 2.

Next, let us choose C_n so that (2.23) holds. Then (2.3) holds, with S = 1, and hence (2.24) holds. Hence it follows that $d_{n+1}^k/B_n^k =$

o(1) as $n \to \infty$, and this implies hypothesis (i) of the theorem.

Further the summability (C, k) of (2.4) can be improved to the summability (C, k - 1), by the following Lemma.

LEMMA B. If d_n is monotonically decreasing and

(2.27) (i) $n^{j} \varDelta^{j} d_{n+1} = O(d_{n+1})$,

(2.28) (ii) $n^{j} \Delta^{j} t_{n+1} = O(t_{n+1})$,

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$, where

$$(2.29)$$
 $t_n = 1/d_n$,

then

(2.30) (iii)
$$S_n d_{n+1} = o(1) (C, k) \rightarrow n S_n \Delta d_{n+1} = o(1) (C, k)$$
.

Proof. We have

$$(2.31) H_n = S_n d_{n+1} = o(1) (C, k) .$$

We will prove that

(2.32)
$$H_n g_n = o(1) (C, k) ,$$

where

(2.33)
$$g_n = \frac{n \varDelta d_{n+1}}{d_{n+1}} = n \varDelta d_{n+1} t_{n+1} .$$

By a theorem of Bosanquet [6, Th. 1], which is an extension of another theorem of Bosanquet [4, Lemma 1], it will be enough to prove that

(2.34)
$$\Delta^{j}g_{n} = O(n^{-j}), \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots k - 1,$$

and

(2.35)
$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{k} |\varDelta^{k} g_{\nu}| = O(n) .$$

Now

(2.36)
$$g_n = n \varDelta d_{n+1} t_{n+1} \leq K d_{n+1} t_{n+1} \leq K$$
,

by (2.44).

Next, using the identity (1.9), we have

(2.37)
$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{\Delta}^{k-1}g_{n}| &= \alpha_{1}n |\mathcal{\Delta}d_{n+1}| |\mathcal{\Delta}^{k-1}t_{n+1}| + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1}n |\mathcal{\Delta}^{k}d_{n+1}|t_{n+1-k+1} \\ &+ \alpha^{1} |\mathcal{\Delta}d_{n+1}| |\mathcal{\Delta}^{k-2}t_{n+1}| + \cdots + \alpha^{k-2} |\mathcal{\Delta}^{k-1}d_{n+1}| t_{n-1-k+2} \\ &\leq K/n^{k-1} \cdot \qquad (\alpha \text{ various constant}) \end{aligned}$$

The other conditions in (2.34) are easily obtained similarly, but it is well known that the inequalities for j = 0 and k - 1 imply those for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$: See Hardy and Littlewood [9].

Next we have

$$(2.38) | \Delta^{k}g_{n}| \leq \alpha_{1}n | \Delta d_{n+1}| | \Delta^{k}t_{n+1}| + \dots + \alpha_{k}n | \Delta^{k+1}d_{n+1}| t_{n+1-k} + \alpha^{1} | \Delta d_{n+1}| | \Delta^{k-1}t_{n+1}| + \dots + \alpha^{k-1} | \Delta^{k}d_{n+1}| t_{n+1-k+1} \leq K/n^{k}.$$

Hence

(2.39)
$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{k} \left| \varDelta^{k} g_{\nu} \right| \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{k} \frac{K}{\boldsymbol{\nu}^{k}} < Kn ,$$

and this completes the proof the lemma.

Next we will consider the case k = 0. Now we have

$$nS_n \varDelta d_{n+1} \leq K d_{n+1} S_n$$

by (2.27), and since

 $(2.40) S_n d_{n+1} = o(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty ,$

it follows that

$$(2.41) nS_n \varDelta d_{n+1} = o(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty .$$

Next since

(2.42)
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} S_{\nu} \varDelta d_{\nu+1}$$

is convergent, it follows from the definition that (2.42) is summable (C,-1).

In conclusion I wish to acknowledge my debts of gratitude to Prof. L. S. Bosanquet for suggesting the problem to me and for his valuable guidance and comments throughout the course of my work. I also appreciate several comments made by Mr. M. C. Austin and wish to record my appreciation of several suggestions for improvement made by Prof. D. Borwein.

References

1. A. F. Andersen, Comparison theorems in the theory of Cesàro summability, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 27 (1928), 39-71.

S. MUKHOTI

2. L. S. Bosanquet, Note on the Bohr-Hardy theorem, J. London Math. Soc. 17 (1942), 168-173.

3. ____, Note on convergence and summability factors, J. London Math. Soc. 20 (1945), 39-48.

4. ____, On convergence and summability factors in a Drichlet series, J. London Math. Soc. 23 (1948), 35-38.

5. ____, An extension of a theorem of Andersen, J. London Math. Soc. 25 (1950), 72-80.

6. ____, On convergence and summability factors in a sequence, Mathematika 1 (1954), 24-44.

7. L. S. Bosanquet and H. C. Chow, Some analogues of a theorem of Andersen, J. London Math. Soc. 16 (1941), 42-48.

8. G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford, 1949.

G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 11 (1913), 411-478.
, A theorem in the theory of summable divergent series, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 27 (1928), 327-348.

11. Steinhaus, Prace Matematyczno-fizyczne (Warsaw) 22 (1911), 121-134.

12. Toeplitz, Prace Matematyczno-fizyczne 113-119.

Received January 12, 1968, and in revised form January 29, 1969.

Indian Institute of Technology New Delhi

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

BASIL GORDON*

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

* Acting Managing Editor.

RICHARD PIERCE

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 33, No. 2 April, 1970

Raymond Balbes and Alfred Horn, <i>Projective distributive lattices</i>	273
John Findley Berglund, <i>On extending almost periodic functions</i>	281
Günter Krause, Admissible modules and a characterization of reduced left	
artinian rings	291
Edward Milton Landesman and Alan Cecil Lazer, <i>Linear eigenvalues and a</i>	
nonlinear boundary value problem	311
Anthony To-Ming Lau, <i>Extremely amenable algebras</i>	329
Aldo Joram Lazar, Sections and subsets of simplexes	337
Vincent Mancuso, <i>Mesocompactness and related properties</i>	345
Edwin Leroy Marsden, Jr., <i>The commutator and solvability in a generalized</i>	
orthomodular lattice	357
Shozo Matsuura, Bergman kernel functions and the three types of canonical	
domains	363
S. Mukhoti, <i>Theorems on Cesàro summability of series</i>	385
Ngô Van Quê, Classes de Chern et théorème de Gauss-Bonnet	393
Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar, Generalized Hamiltonian equations for convex	
problems of Lagrange	411
Ken iti Sato, On dispersive operators in Banach lattices	429
Charles Andrew Swanson, Comparison theorems for elliptic differential	
systems	445
John Griggs Thompson, Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local	
subgroups are solvable. II	451
David J. Winter, <i>Cartan subalgebras of a Lie algebra and its ideals</i>	537