# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON WITT'S THEOREM FOR UNIMODULAR QUADRATIC FORMS. II

DONALD GORDON JAMES

Vol. 33, No. 3

May 1970

# ON WITT'S THEOREM FOR UNIMODULAR QUADRATIC FORMS, II

## D. G. JAMES

An integral generalization of Witt's theorem for unimodular quadratic forms over the ring of integers in a local field is established.

1. In the first part of this paper [1] we established a Witt theorem for unimodular quadratic forms over the rational integers, provided the signature of the form was sufficiently small. We shall now use these methods to obtain a similar theorem for arbitrary unimodular quadratic forms over the ring of integers in a local field in which 2 is a prime. These theorems are important because they enable us to determine the essentially distinct representations of a quadratic form by a unimodular form. We hope to expand on this in a later paper.

Let F be a local field in which 2 is a prime,  $\circ$  the ring of integers in F and  $\mathfrak{u}$  the group of units in  $\circ$ . We need only assume that the residue class field  $\mathfrak{o}/2\mathfrak{o}$  is perfect. We preserve as much of the notation in [1] as possible, but now the underlying ring will be  $\circ$  and not the rational integers Z. Thus L will be a free  $\circ$ -module of finite rank, endowed with a bilinear symmetric unimodular form  $\varphi: L \times L \to \mathfrak{o}$ . We denote  $\varphi(\alpha, \beta)$  by  $\alpha \cdot \beta$ . Details on the structure of L are contained in O'Meara [2, 3]. We recall that L is *improper* if  $\alpha^2 \in 2\mathfrak{o}$  for all  $\alpha \in L$ ; otherwise L is *proper*.

A vector  $\alpha \in L$  is called *primitive* if  $\alpha = 2\beta$ , with  $\beta \in L$ , is impossible. As in Wall [5] and our earlier paper [1], the crucial concept is that of a characteristic vector. We only define these when L is a proper lattice; in this case L has an orthogonal basis, that is  $L = \langle \hat{\xi}_1 \rangle \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus \langle \hat{\xi}_n \rangle$ . A vector  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \hat{\xi}_i \in L$  is called *characteristic* if its orthogonal complement  $\langle \alpha \rangle^{\perp}$  contains no vectors of unit norm. If  $\alpha$  is primitive, this is equivalent to

$$a_i^2 \hat{arsigma}_i^2 \equiv a_j^2 \hat{arsigma}_j^2 \ ( ext{mod } 2) \ , \qquad \qquad 1 \leq i, \, j \leq n \ .$$

Hence, in particular,  $a_i \in \mathfrak{u}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and this reduces to the definition in [1]. If  $\alpha$  is a primitive characteristic vector, we define  $T(\alpha) \in$  $\mathfrak{o}/2\mathfrak{o}$  by  $T(\alpha) \equiv a_i^2 \xi_i^2 \pmod{2}$ . This definition is independent of the basis of L (see also Trojan [4]). If  $\langle \alpha \rangle^{\perp}$  is proper, or if L is improper, we define  $T(\alpha) = 0$ ; also let  $T(2^s \alpha) = T(\alpha)$  for  $s \geq 0$ . We shall prove the following.

**THEOREM.** Let  $\varphi: J \to K$  be an isometry between the primitive

sublattices J and K of L. Then  $\varphi$  extends to an isometry of L if and only if  $T(\alpha) = T(\varphi(\alpha))$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ .

When the rank of J is 1, this is the same as Theorem 2.1 of Trojan [4]. We shall recover this as a special case. For local fields in which 2 is a unit the theorem remains true, but there is no need to consider characteristic vectors. Essentially the following proof of the theorem goes through in a much simpler manner.

We first reduce to the case where L has maximal Witt index 2. (that is, the space FL is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes). We adjoin a unimodular lattice U to L so that  $L' = L \oplus U$  has maximal Witt index. Thus, if  $L = H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_m \oplus \langle \xi_1 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle \xi_s \rangle$ where  $H_1, \dots, H_m$  are hyperbolic planes, we take  $U = \langle \zeta_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus$  $\langle \zeta_s \rangle$  where  $\zeta_i^2 = -\xi_i^2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Let  $J' = J \oplus U$ ,  $K' = K \oplus U$  and extend  $\varphi$  to J' by defining  $\varphi(\zeta_i) = \zeta_i$ . A similar extension is done if L is improper, but now U may be taken as an improper lattice (see the classification of unimodular lattices in O'Meara [3, p. 852]). We observe that  $T(\alpha) = T(\varphi(\alpha))$  for all  $\alpha \in J'$ . If L' is improper, this is trivial. If L is proper (and  $U \neq \{0\}$ ), then no vector  $\alpha \in J$  will be characteristic in L'. However, new characteristic vectors may be created. Thus, if  $\alpha \in J$  is characteristic in L, and  $T(\alpha) \equiv a \pmod{2}$ where  $a \in \mathfrak{u}$ , then  $\alpha' = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{s} u_i \zeta_i$  is characteristic in L' if  $u_i \in \mathfrak{u}$ are chosen such that  $u_i^2 \zeta_i^2 \equiv a \pmod{2}$ . Clearly  $T(\alpha') = T(\varphi(\alpha'))$ . If we prove the theorem for lattices of maximal Witt index, it holds for L', and restricting the extension of  $\varphi$  back to L gives the general result.

We may now assume that L has the form

$$L = H_1 \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus H_m \bigoplus B$$

where  $H_i = \langle \lambda_i, \mu_i \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , are hyperbolic planes, and  $B = \langle \xi, \rho \rangle$ where  $\xi^2 = d$ ,  $\xi \cdot \rho = 1$  and  $\rho^2 = 0$ . If L is improper, we may take d = 0; otherwise  $d \in \mathfrak{u}$ .

3. The proof will be by induction on the rank r(J) of J. We consider now r(J) = 1. Let  $J = \langle \alpha \rangle$  and  $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta \in K$ . Let

(1) 
$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i \lambda_i + b_i \mu_i) + u\xi + v\rho$$

Case 1. If  $\alpha^2 \in \mathfrak{u}$ , then u (and d) are units. Apply the isometry

$$\theta_1: \langle \lambda_i, \mu_i \rangle \bigoplus \langle \xi, \rho \rangle \rightarrow \langle \lambda_i, \mu_i + x \rho \rangle \bigoplus \langle \xi - x \lambda_i, \rho \rangle$$

where  $x = a_i/u \in \mathfrak{o}$ . Then

$$heta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(a_i\lambda_i+b_i\mu_i+u\xi+v
ho)=b_i\mu_i+u\xi+(v+xb_i)
ho$$
 .

After applying a succession of such isometries we may assume  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \mu_i + u\xi + v\rho$ . Then

$$L = \langle lpha, \, 
ho 
angle \oplus \langle u \lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - b_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} 
ho, \, \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} 
angle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle u \lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle m} - b_{\scriptscriptstyle m} 
ho, \, \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle m} 
angle$$

and each  $\langle u\lambda_i - b_i\rho, \mu_i \rangle$  is a hyperbolic plane. Doing the same for  $\beta$ , and cancelling hyperbolic planes ([2, 93:14]), we may reduce to the case  $L = \langle \alpha \rangle \bigoplus \langle \alpha_i \rangle = \langle \beta \rangle \bigoplus \langle \beta_i \rangle$ , where the result is obvious by considering the determinant of L.

Case 2. Now suppose  $\alpha^2 \notin \mathfrak{u}$ , but that at least one of  $a_i, b_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , is a unit, say  $a_i \in \mathfrak{u}$ . Then

$$(2) L = \langle \alpha, \mu_1 \rangle \bigoplus U$$

with  $\langle \alpha, \mu_1 \rangle$  a hyperbolic plane. If we can also obtain

$$(3) L = \langle \beta, \mu \rangle \oplus V$$

with  $\langle \beta, \mu \rangle$  a hyperbolic plane, then  $U \cong V$ , and we are reduced to considering  $\alpha, \beta \in H = \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle$ . Write  $\alpha = a\lambda + b\mu, \beta = a'\lambda + b'\mu$ , where without loss of generality we can take  $a, a' \in \mathfrak{u}$ .  $\alpha^2 = \beta^2$  implies ab = a'b'. Apply  $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle \rightarrow \langle a'/a\lambda, a/a'\mu \rangle$ , to complete the proof.

If L is improper, (3) is clear. If L is proper, (2) shows that  $\alpha$  and hence  $\beta$  are not characteristic vectors. But if all the coefficients of  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_i$  in  $\beta$  are in 20,  $\beta$  would be characteristic (see Case 3). Hence we can obtain the splitting (3).

Case 3. Finally suppose  $\alpha^2 \in \mathfrak{u}$  and all  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  in (1) are nonunits. We may assume L is proper,  $u \in \mathfrak{u}$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{u}$ .

$$\langle \lambda_i, \, \mu_i 
angle \oplus \langle \hat{\xi}, \, 
ho 
angle 
ightarrow \langle \lambda_i, \, \mu_i - 2x(\xi - d
ho) + 2dx^2\lambda_i 
angle \oplus \langle \hat{\xi}, \, 
ho + 2x\lambda_i 
angle$$

can be used to reduce each coefficient  $a_i$  of  $\lambda_i$  in (1) to zero. Then

$$L=\langle lpha,\,\hat{arsigma}
angle\oplus\langle b_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(\hat{arsigma}-d
ho)-v\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,\cdots,\,b_{\scriptscriptstyle m}(\hat{arsigma}-d
ho)-v\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle m},\,\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle m}
angle\,.$$

Since  $\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle$  is now isotropic and  $\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle^{\perp}$  is improper, it follows that  $\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle^{\perp}$  is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are now characteristic. We therefore have a similar splitting  $L = \langle \beta, \xi \rangle \bigoplus U$ , with U a sum of hyperbolic planes. Thus we may reduce to the case  $L = \langle \xi, \rho \rangle$  with  $\alpha = 2u\xi + v\rho$  and  $\beta = 2u_1\xi + v_1\rho$ .  $T(\alpha) = T(\beta)$  implies  $v \equiv v_1 \pmod{2}$ . If  $u_1/u \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , put  $c = u_1/u \in \mathfrak{u}$  and apply

$$ig< \xi,\,
ho
ight
angle 
ightarrow ig< c \hat{arsigma} + rac{1}{2}c^{-1}d(1-c^2)
ho,\,c^{-1}
ho
ight
angle$$
 ,

sending  $\alpha$  into  $\beta$ . If  $du_1/(du + v) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , put  $c = du_1/(du + v)$ 

and apply  $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle \rightarrow \langle c\xi + \frac{1}{2}dc^{-1}(1-c^2)\rho, 2cd^{-1}\xi - c\rho \rangle$ , sending  $\alpha$  into  $\beta$ . Since  $\alpha^2 = \beta^2$ , we have  $u^2d + uv = u_1^2d + u_1v_1$ , from which it follows that one of these two cases must occur. This completes the proof for r(J) = 1.

4. Using methods similar to those in [1], we now obtain canonical embeddings of an image of J in L. We only elaborate on the details that are substantially different. We assume  $2r(J) \ge r(L)$ ; if 2r(J) < r(L) it is clear how to modify the arguments that follow.

Let  $J = \langle \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_i \rangle$  where, by eliminating the coefficients of  $\xi$  and  $\rho$ , we may assume  $\alpha_i^2 = 2c_i$  with  $c_i \in \mathfrak{o}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and none of the  $\alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ , are characteristic vectors. As in [1], we may apply isometries to L, and again writing the image of J as

$$J = \langle \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_s \rangle$$
,

obtain

where  $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j = a_{ij}$  for i > j. Eliminating the coefficients of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m-1}$  we may assume

(4) 
$$\alpha_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{mi} \mu_i + \zeta$$

where  $\zeta \in H_m \bigoplus B$ . If  $\zeta$  is not primitive, at least one  $a_{mi}$  is a unit, say  $a_{mk} \in \mathfrak{u}$ . We now apply the isometry

$$egin{aligned} & heta_2&:\langle\lambda_k,\,\mu_k
angle\oplus\langle\lambda_{k+1},\,\mu_{k+1}
angle\oplus\cdots\oplus\langle\lambda_{m-1},\,\mu_{m-1}
angle\oplus\langle\xi,\,
ho
angle
ightarrow \ &\langle\lambda_k+\,c_k
ho,\,\mu_k-\,
ho
angle\oplus\langle\lambda_{k+1}+\,a_{k+1,k}
ho,\,\mu_{k+1}
angle\oplus\cdots \ &\oplus\langle\lambda_{m-1}+\,a_{m-1,k}
ho,\,\mu_{m-1}
angle\oplus\langle\xi-\,c_k\mu_k+\,\lambda_k-\,a_{k+1k}\mu_{k+1}\ &-\cdots-\,a_{m-1k}\mu_{m-1}+\,c_k
ho,\,
ho
angle\,. \end{aligned}$$

This leaves fixed each  $\alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ , but

$$heta_{2}(lpha_{m}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{mi} \mu_{i} - a_{mkl} 
ho + heta_{2}(\zeta) \;.$$

Use the  $\alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , to eliminate any  $\lambda_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , occurring in  $\theta_2(\alpha_m)$  and obtain a new vector of the form (4), but now  $\zeta$  is primitive.

There are now two cases to consider.

Case 1.  $\alpha_m$  not characteristic and  $\alpha_m^2 \in 20$ . It is possible that  $\zeta$ 

is characteristic in  $H_m \oplus B$ . If this is the case, at least one  $a_{mi}$  is a unit, and another isometry of the form  $\theta_2$ , but with  $\langle \hat{\varsigma}, \rho \rangle$  replaced by  $\langle \lambda_m, \mu_m \rangle$ , will introduce a term  $a_{mi}\mu_m$  into  $\zeta$ . We may therefore assume  $\zeta$  is not characteristic, and  $\alpha_m$  has the form

$$lpha_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{mi} \mu_i + \lambda_m + c_m \mu_m$$

(after applying an isometry to  $H_m \oplus B$ ). We may now take

$$\alpha_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{m+1i} \mu_i + u\hat{\varsigma} + v\rho$$

As above (with  $\zeta$ ), we may arrange that  $u\xi + v\rho$  is primitive. First, assume that u is a unit. Then, changing the basis of  $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle$  to  $\langle u\xi, u^{-1}\rho \rangle$ , we may assume u = 1. This gives us the canonical embeddius of  $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1} \rangle$  we desire; all the coefficients  $a_{ij}, c_i$  and v are uniquely determined by  $\alpha_i \cdot \alpha_j$  and  $\alpha_i^2$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq m + 1$ . If now 2r(J) > r(L), we eliminate the  $\lambda_i$  and  $\xi$  terms in  $\alpha_{m+2}$  so that it takes the form

$$lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle m+2} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^m b_i \mu_i + b_i 
ho$$
 .

Hence  $\alpha_{m+2}^2 = 0$ . If  $b_k \in \mathfrak{u}$ , say, then  $\langle \alpha_{m+2}, \alpha_k \rangle$  is a hyperbolic plane splitting L and J. Its image under  $\varphi$  will be a hyperbolic plane splitting L and K. Cancelling these hyperbolic planes reduces the rank of J and we are finished by induction. (The invariants of vectors in the new J and K will still correspond.) If  $b_i \in 20$  and  $b \in \mathfrak{u}$ , then  $\alpha_{m+2}$  is characteristic. Also  $\alpha_{m+1} \cdot \alpha_{m+2} \in \mathfrak{u}$ . In this case  $\langle \alpha_{m+1}, \alpha_{m+2} \rangle^{\perp} \cong$  $H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_m$  (since it is improper with maximal Witt index). We may now cancel  $\langle \alpha_{m+1}, \alpha_{m+2} \rangle$  with its image and we are again finished by induction.

Now assume  $u \in 20$  and hence  $\alpha_{m+1}^2 \in 20$ . Then changing the basis of  $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle$  to  $\langle v^{-1}\xi, v\rho \rangle$ , we may assume

$$lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle m+1} = \sum\limits_{\scriptscriptstyle i=1}^{\scriptscriptstyle m} a_{\scriptscriptstyle m+1i} \mu_i + 2 u \hat{arepsilon} + 
ho$$
 .

Notice that  $\alpha_{m+1}^{\circ} \in 40$ , so that if any  $a_{m+1i}$  is a unit, say  $a_{m+1k} \in \mathfrak{u}$ , then  $\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_{m+1} \rangle$  is a hyperbolic plane. In this case we can cancel and reduce the rank of J. Thus we may assume all  $a_{m+1i} \in 20$ , so that if L is proper,  $\alpha_{m+1}$  is characteristic. This gives our canonical embedding of  $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1} \rangle$ . If now 2r(J) > r(L), we eliminate the  $\lambda_i$  and  $\rho$  terms in  $\alpha_{m+2}$ , so that it takes the form

$$lpha_{{}_{m+2}}=\sum\limits_{i=1}^m b_i \mu_i +b \hat{arsigma}$$
 .

If  $b \in \mathfrak{u}$ , then  $\alpha_{m+1} \cdot \alpha_{m+2} \in \mathfrak{u}$ .  $\langle \alpha_{m+1}, \alpha_{m+2} \rangle$  is isotropic since we obtain an isotropic vector by eliminating the  $\xi$  term between  $\alpha_{m+1}$  and  $\alpha_{m+2}$ . Since  $\alpha_{m+1}$  is characteristic, it follows that

We may therefore cancel  $\langle \alpha_{m+1}, \alpha_{m+2} \rangle$  with its image under  $\varphi$  and finish by induction. If  $b \notin \mathfrak{u}$ , then  $\alpha_{m+2}^2 \in 4\mathfrak{o}$ . If now  $b_k \in \mathfrak{u}$ ,

$$\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_{m+2} \rangle \cong H$$

and may be cancelled with its image. This completes this case.

In summary; we need only consider 2r(J) = r(L) and

$$J = \langle \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{m+1} \rangle$$

where

$$lpha_{_1} = \lambda_{_1} + c_1 \mu_1 \ lowbreak_m = a_{_{m1}} \mu_1 + \cdots + a_{_{mm-1}} \mu_{_{m-1}} + \lambda_m + c_m \mu_m \ lpha_{_{m+1}} = egin{cases} 2a_{_{m+11}} \mu_1 + \cdots + 2a_{_{m+1m}} \mu_m + 2u \xi + 
ho \ a_{_{m+11}} \mu_1 + \cdots + a_{_{m+1m}} \mu_m + \xi + v 
ho \end{cases}$$

according as  $\alpha_{m+1}$  is characteristic, or not.

Case 2.  $\alpha_m$  characteristic. Then we may take  $\alpha_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{mi}\mu_i + \zeta$  where  $\zeta \in H_m \bigoplus B$ . Since  $\alpha_m$  is characteristic,  $a_{mi} \in 20$  and hence  $\zeta$  is primitive and characteristic. Applying an isometry to  $H_m \bigoplus B$ , we may assume  $\zeta = 2u\xi + v\rho$ , and changing the basis of  $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle$  we may take v = 1. We may now assume that  $\alpha_{m+1}$  has the form

$$lpha_{{m+1}}=\sum\limits_{\imath=1}^{m-1}a_{{m+1}i}\mu_i+c\hat{z}+e\lambda_m+f\mu_m$$
 .

If  $c \in 20$ ,  $\alpha_{m+1}^2 \in 20$  and  $\alpha_{m+1}$  is not characteristic. Therefore, this vector could be used as  $\alpha_m$  in Case 1 and there is no need to consider it again here. Thus  $c \in \mathfrak{u}$ .

If neither e nor f are units, apply the isometry

$$egin{aligned} &\langle arepsilon, \, 
ho 
angle \oplus \langle \lambda_m, \, \mu_m 
angle \to \langle arepsilon + \lambda_m, \, 
ho - 2u\lambda_m 
angle \oplus \langle \lambda_m, \, \mu_m - (1 + 2ud)
ho \ &+ 2uarepsilon + 2uarepsilon + 2uarepsilon + 2u(1 + ud)\lambda_m 
angle \,. \end{aligned}$$

This leaves  $\alpha_m$  fixed and in  $\alpha_{m+1}$  changes the coefficient of  $\lambda_m$  to a unit. Eliminating any  $\rho$  term between  $\alpha_m$  and  $\alpha_{m+1}$ , we can take

$$lpha_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{m+1i} \mu_i + c \hat{z} + \lambda_m + c_m \mu_m$$
.

Again, if 2r(J) > r(L), we may assume  $\alpha_{m+2}$  has the form

$$lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle m+2} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^m b_i \mu_i + b \xi$$
 .

Eliminate the  $\xi$  term between  $\alpha_{m+1}$  and  $\alpha_{m+2}$  to obtain a noncharacteristic vector with norm 2*a*. This could have been taken as our  $\alpha_m$  in Case 1.

This concludes the investigation of the embedding of J in L. From now on we consider 2r(J) = r(L), and there are essentially three embeddings possible, two from Case 1 and one from Case 2.

5. Now assume that  $J = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1} \rangle$  has been canonically embedded in L in one of the above forms. Because of the similarity with the proofs in [1], we will assume  $\varphi(J) = K = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta \rangle$ , where  $\varphi(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and  $\varphi(\alpha_{m+1}) = \beta$ . We now apply isometries to L that leave  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  fixed and send  $\beta$  into  $\alpha_{m+1}$ . This will complete the proof of the theorem. Only the more involved cases are considered, the remaining cases may be handled similarly. First assume

$$lpha_{1} = \lambda_{1} + c_{1}\mu_{1} \ dots \ do$$

so that  $\alpha_{m+1}$  is a characteristic vector.  $\beta$  will also be characteristic, so we may write

$$eta=2{\sum\limits_{i=1}^m}{(b_i\lambda_i+d_i\mu_i)}+2e\xi+f
ho$$
 .

Since  $\beta$  is primitive,  $f \in \mathfrak{u}$ ; and since  $T(\alpha_{m+1}) = T(\beta)$ , it follows that  $f \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . We apply isometries to L that reduce, in turn, the coefficients  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  to zero. Assume  $b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}$  have been reduced to zero.<sup>1</sup> The isometry

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \lambda_k,\,\mu_k
angle\oplus\cdots\oplus\langle \lambda_m,\,\mu_m
angle\oplus\langle\xi,\,
ho
angle
ightarrow\langle\lambda_k\,+\,c_kx
ho,\,\mu_k\,-\,x
ho
angle\ &\oplus\langle\lambda_{k+1}\,+\,a_{k+1k}x
ho,\,\mu_{k+1}
angle\oplus\cdots\oplus\langle\lambda_m\,+\,a_{mk}x
ho,\,\mu_m
angle\ &\oplus\langle\xi-\,c_kx\mu_k\,+\,x\lambda_k\,-\,a_{k+1k}x\mu_{k+1}\,-\,\cdots\,-\,a_{mk}x\mu_m\ &+\,c_kx^2
ho,\,
ho
angle \end{aligned}$$

leaves  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  fixed. However, in  $\beta$  the coefficient of  $\lambda_k$  is changed from  $2b_k$  to  $2b_k + 2ex$ , which can be made zero by choice of x. In this manner reduce  $\beta$  to a vector with  $b_1 = \dots = b_m = 0$ . Since  $f \equiv 1$ (mod 2), an isometry in  $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle$  can be found sending  $2e\xi + f\rho$  into

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Using a symmetry in  $\langle \xi, \rho \rangle$ , we may assume that *e* is a unit.

 $2u\xi + \rho$ . This completes the proof in this case.

Finally, we consider the case where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m-1}$  are as above,  $\alpha_m = 2\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{mi}\mu_i + 2u\xi + \rho$  and

$$lpha_{m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{m+1i} \mu_i + c \xi + \lambda_m + c_m \mu_m$$
 ,

where  $\alpha_m = \varphi(\alpha_m)$  is characteristic and  $\alpha_{m+1}^2 \in \mathfrak{u}$ , so that  $c \in \mathfrak{u}$ . In this case we may write  $\beta = \varphi(\alpha_{m+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^m (b_i \lambda_i + d_i \mu_i) + e\xi + f\rho$  with  $e \in \mathfrak{u}$ . If neither  $b_m$  nor  $d_m$  is a unit, apply the isometry

$$ig< \xi, 
ho 
angle \oplus \langle \lambda_m, \, \mu_m 
angle 
ightarrow \langle \xi + \lambda_m, \, 
ho - 2u\lambda_m 
angle \oplus \langle \lambda_m, \, \mu_m + 2u\xi \ - (1 + 2ud)
ho + 2u(1 + ud)\lambda_m 
angle.$$

Then  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are left fixed, and in  $\beta$  the coefficient of  $\lambda_m$  becomes  $e - 2uf + b_m + 2u(1 + ud)d_m \in \mathfrak{u}$ . Now apply the isometry

$$egin{aligned} &\langle\lambda_1,\,\mu_1
angle\oplus\cdots\oplus\langle\lambda_{m-1},\,\mu_{m-1}
angle\oplus\langle\xi,\,
ho
angle\oplus\langle\lambda_m,\,\mu_m
angle
ightarrow\ &\langle\lambda_1+c_1x\mu_m,\,\mu_1-x\mu_m
angle\oplus\langle\lambda_2+a_{21}x\mu_m,\,\mu_2
angle\oplus\cdots\oplus\ &\langle\lambda_{m-1}+a_{m-11}x\mu_m,\,\mu_{m-1}
angle\oplus\langle\xi,\,
ho+2a_{m1}x\mu_m
angle\oplus\ &\langle\lambda_m-c_1x\mu_1+x\lambda_1-a_{21}x\mu_2-\cdots-a_{m-11}x\mu_{m-1}\ &-2a_{m1}x(\xi-d
ho)+x^2(c_1+2da_{m1}^2)\mu_m,\,\mu_m
angle\,, \end{aligned}$$

which leaves  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  fixed. The coefficient of  $\lambda_1$  in  $\beta$  changes to  $b_1 + xb_m$ , and may be made zero. Reduce, in turn,  $b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}$  to zero. Finally, apply

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \hat{arsigma}, \, 
ho 
angle \oplus \langle \lambda_{m}, \, \mu_{m} 
angle & o \langle \hat{arsigma} + x \mu_{m}, \, 
ho - 2ux \mu_{m} 
angle \oplus \ &\langle \lambda_{m} - x 
ho + 2ux (\hat{arsigma} - d 
ho) + 2ux^{2} (1 + ud) \mu_{m}, \, \mu_{m} 
angle \,. \end{aligned}$$

In  $\beta$  the coefficient of  $\rho$  becomes  $f - b_m x(1 + 2ud)$ , which can be made zero. We have therefore mapped K onto J. This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### References

1. D. G. James, On Witt's theorem for unimodular quadratic forms, Pacific J. Math. 26 (1968), 303-316.

2. O. T. O'Meara, Introduction to quadratic forms, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.

3. \_\_\_\_\_, The integral representations of quadratic forms over local fields, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 843-878.

4. A. Trojan, The integral extension of isometries of quadratic forms over local fields, Canad. J. Math. 18 (1966), 920-942.

5. C. T. C. Wall, On the orthogonal groups of unimodular quadratic forms, Math. Ann. 147 (1962), 328-338.

Received May 23, 1969. This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

F. WOLE

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal. but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

RICHARD PIERCE

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 33, No. 3 May, 1970

Charles A. Akemann, <i>Approximate units and maximal abelian</i>	<b>E</b> 40
$C^*$ -subalgebras	543
Gail Atneosen, Wild points of cellular arcs in 2-complexes in $E^3$ and	<b>- - - -</b>
cellular hulls	551
John Logan Bryant and De Witt Sumners, <i>On embeddings of</i> 1- <i>dimensional</i> $\Gamma^4$	/
compacta in a hyperplane in $E^4$	555
H. P. Dikshit, On a class of Nörlund means and Fourier series	559
Nancy Dykes, Generalizations of realcompact spaces	57
Hector O. Fattorini, Extension and behavior at infinity of solutions of certain	-
linear operational differential equations	583
Neal David Glassman, <i>Cohomology of nonassociative algebras</i>	617
Neal Hart, Ulm's theorem for Abelian groups modulo bounded groups	63:
Don Barker Hinton, Continuous spectra of second-order differential	
operators	64
Donald Gordon James, On Witt's theorem for unimodular quadratic forms.	
<u>II</u>	64.
Melvin F. Janowitz, <i>Principal multiplicative lattices</i>	653
James Edgar Keesling, On the equivalence of normality and compactness in	
hyperspaces	65′
Adalbert Kerber, Zu einer Arbeit von J. L. Berggren über ambivalente	
Gruppen	669
Keizō Kikuchi, Various m-representative domains in several complex	
variables	67′
Jack W. Macki and James Stephen Muldowney, <i>The asymptotic behaviour</i>	(0)
of solutions to linear systems of ordinary differential equations	69.
Andy R. Magid, <i>Locally Galois algebras</i>	70′
T. S. Ravisankar, On differentiably simple algebras	72:
Joseph Gail Stampfli, <i>The norm of a derivation</i>	73′
Francis C.Y. Tang, <i>On uniqueness of central decompositions of groups</i>	74
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Some matrix factorization theorems. 1</i>	76.
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Some matrix factorization theorems</i> . <i>H.</i>	81