Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A COMPARISON OF TWO NATURALLY ARISING UNIFORMITIES ON A CLASS OF PSEUDO-PM SPACES

ZBIGNIEW FIEDOROWICZ

Vol. 34, No. 1

May 1970

A COMPARISON OF TWO NATURALLY ARISING UNIFORMITIES ON A CLASS OF PSEUDO-PM SPACES

Z. FIEDOROWICZ

In this paper, we shall consider an important class of probabilistic pseudometric spaces, the so-called pseudometrically generated spaces, i.e., spaces with a collection of pseudometrics on which a probability measure has been defined. Specifically, we shall examine the relationship between the uniformity introduced on the space probabilistically by means of the so-called ε , λ uniform neighborhoods and the uniformity obtained by considering all the uniform neighborhoods generated by each of the pseudometrics as a subbase.

A probabilistic metric (PM) space is a pair (S, \mathscr{F}) where S is a set, \mathscr{F} is a mapping from $S \times S$ into \varDelta , the set of all one-dimensional left continuous distribution functions, whose value $\mathscr{F}(p, q)$ at any $(p, q) \in S \times S$ is usually denoted by F_{pq} , satisfying

- (I) $F_{pp} = H$
- (II) $F_{pq} = H$ implies p = q
- $(\text{III}) \quad F_{pq}(0) = 0$
- (IV) $F_{pq} = F_{qp}$

(V) $F_{pq}(x) = F_{qr}(y) = 1$ implies $F_{pr}(x + y) = 1$, where H is the distribution function defined by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0, \ x \leq 0 \\ 1, \ x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

A Menger space is a triple (S, \mathcal{F}, T) where (S, \mathcal{F}) is a PM space, T is a mapping (called a t-norm) from the unit square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ into [0, 1] which is nondecreasing in each place, symmetric, associative, satisfies boundary condition

$$T(a, 1) = a ,$$

and with the additional property

$$(\operatorname{Vm})F_{pr}(x+y) \geq T(F_{pq}(x), F_{qr}(y))$$

A probabilistic pseudometric (pseudo-PM) space is a pair (S, \mathscr{F}) satisfying (I), (III), (IV), and (V). Similarly, a pseudo-Menger space is a triple (S, \mathscr{F}, T) satisfying (I), (III), (IV), and (Vm).

For further information on the basic properties of PM spaces, the reader is referred to Schweizer and Sklar [3].

DEFINITION 1. A metrically generated (MG) space is a PM space (S, \mathscr{F}) together with a probability space $(\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{R}, \mu)$ such that \mathscr{D} is a set of metrics on S and such that for any $(p, q) \in S \times S$ and any x > 0

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \{d \in \mathscr{D} \colon d(p, q) < x\} \in \mathscr{B}$$

and

$$(2) F_{pq}(x) = \mu\{d \in \mathscr{D}: d(p, q) < x\}.$$

A pseudometrically generated (pseudo-MG) space is a pseudo-PM space (S, \mathcal{F}) together with a probability space $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R}, \mu)$ of pseudometrics on S such that conditions (1) and (2) hold.

In the sequel, we will use the notation $(S, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ to denote MG and pseudo-MG spaces.

In his paper [5], R. Stevens showed that any MG space is a Menger space under the *t*-norm T_m where

$$T_m(a, b) = \max \{a + b - 1, 0\}$$
.

His proof may be easily generalized to show that any pseudo-MG space is a pseudo-Menger space under T_m .

DEFINITION 2. Let S be a set and let \mathscr{D} be a collection of pseudometrics on S. Then the gage uniformity of \mathscr{D} on S (denoted by $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$) is the uniformity generated by the following subbase

$$[\{(p, q) \in S \times S : d(p, q) < x\}]_{d \in \mathscr{Q}, x > 0}.$$

It is shown in Kelley [1] that any uniformity on a set may be regarded as the gage uniformity of some collection of pseudometrics on that set.

THEOREM 1. Let (S, \mathcal{F}, T) be a pseudo-Menger space with the property that $\sup_{x\leq 1} T(x, x) = 1$. Then the sets

$$U(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{ (p, q) \in S \times S : F_{pq}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \}$$

form a base for a pseudometrizable uniformity on S.

The above theorem was proven by Schweizer, Sklar, and Thorp [4]. Since pseudo-MG spaces are pseudo-Menger spaces under T_m , a continuous *t*-norm, it follows that the sets

$$egin{aligned} U(arepsilon,\,\lambda) &= \{(p,\,q)\in S imes S: {F}_{pq}(arepsilon)>1-\lambda\} \ &= \{(p,\,q):\mu\{d\in \mathscr{D}: d(p,\,q)1-\lambda\} \end{aligned}$$

form a base for a uniformity on the pseudo-MG space $(S, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. This uniformity will be referred to as the \mathcal{F} uniformity and will be denoted by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Given a pseudo-MG space $(S, \mathscr{F}; \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$, it follows from the above that we can put two uniformities on S, namely the gage uniformity $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$ and the \mathscr{F} uniformity $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}}$. A natural question that arises is whether there is any relationship between the two uniformities. We shall first examine this question for pseudo-MG spaces generated by a countable family of pseudometrics.

THEOREM 2. If $(S, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a pseudo-MG space and \mathcal{D} is countable, then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Proof. We shall first show that $\mathscr{B} = 2^{\mathscr{D}}$.

First of all, since for any $(p, q) \in S \times S$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

 $\{d\in \mathscr{D}\colon d(p,\,q)<arepsilon\}\in \mathscr{B}$,

it follows that its complement $\{d: d(p, q) \ge \varepsilon\}$ is also μ -measurable. Similarly

$$\{d \colon d(p, \ q) \leq \varepsilon\} = igcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{d \colon d(p, \ q) < \varepsilon + rac{1}{n}
ight\} \in \mathscr{B} \;.$$

Hence, we have for any $(p, q) \in S \times S$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\{d \colon d(p,\,q) = arepsilon\} = \{d \colon d(p,\,q) \leqq arepsilon\} \cap \{d \colon d(p,\,q) \geqq arepsilon\} \in \mathscr{B} \,\,.$$

Now pick any $d_0 \in \mathscr{D}$ and well order $\mathscr{D} - \{d_0\}$ as

 $\{d'_1, d'_2, \cdots\}$.

Now since $d_0 \neq d_k'$, there is a pair $(p_k, q_k) \in S \times S$ for which

$$d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(p_{\scriptscriptstyle k},\,q_{\scriptscriptstyle k})
eq d_{\scriptscriptstyle k}'(p_{\scriptscriptstyle k},\,q_{\scriptscriptstyle k})$$
 .

Hence it follows that

$$\{d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\} = igcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{d \colon d(p_k,\,q_k) = d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(p_k,\,q_k)\} \in \mathscr{B} \; .$$

Since any subset of \mathscr{D} is a countable union of unit sets $\{d\}$, it follows that $\mathscr{B} = 2^{\mathscr{D}}$.

To show that $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$, it suffices to show that any base element $U(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ of $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}}$, contains a base element

$$V=igcap_{d\,arepsilon\,A}\{(p,\,q)\colon d(p,\,q)$$

of $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$, where A is a finite subset of \mathscr{D} and each $\varepsilon_d > 0$. Well order \mathscr{D} as

$$\{d_1, d_2, \cdots\}$$
.

Clearly

$$\mu(\mathscr{D}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu\{d_k\} = 1$$
 .

Pick n large enough so that

$$\mu\Bigl(igcup_{k=1}^n\{d_k\}\Bigr)=\sum\limits_{k=1}^n\mu\{d_k\}>1-\lambda$$
 .

Let V be defined by

$$V=igcap_{k=1}^n\left\{(p,\,q)\colon d_k(p,\,q) .$$

Clearly, if $(p_0, q_0) \in V$, then

$$igcup_{k=1}^n \{d_k\} {\buildrel \subseteq} \{d{\buildrel :} \ d(p_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, \ q_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) < arepsilon\}$$

and

$$1-\lambda<\mu\Bigl(igcup_{k=1}^n\left\{d_k
ight\}\Bigr)\leq \mu\{d:d(p_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,q_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) ,$$

so that $(p_0, q_0) \in U(\varepsilon, \lambda)$. In other words,

 $V \subseteq U(\varepsilon, \lambda)$,

which is what we wished to prove.

THEOREM 3. Let $(S, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a pseudo-MG space with the property that \mathcal{D} is countable, and μ is nonzero on all nonempty measurable subsets of \mathcal{D} . Then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. In view of the preceding theorem, it is sufficient to show $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}}$. In the proof of the preceding theorem we have already shown that all subsets of \mathscr{D} are μ -measurable. It follows that $\mu(\{d_0\}) > 0$ for any $d_0 \in \mathscr{D}$. Now, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mu\{d: d(p, q) < \varepsilon\} > 1 - \mu\{d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\} ext{ implies } d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(p, q) < \varepsilon$$
 .

It follows that

$$U(arepsilon,\ \mu\{d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\}) {\,\sqsubseteq\,} \{(p,\ q): d_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(p,\ q) < arepsilon\}$$
 .

Taking finite intersections, we have that any base element of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$ contains a base element of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathscr{T}}$ and the desired result is an immediate consequence of this.

THEOREM 4. Let $(S, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a pseudo-MG space such that \mathcal{D} is countable. Let $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be defined by

$$\mathscr{D}' = \{d \in \mathscr{D} \colon \mu\{d\} > 0\}$$
 .

Then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{D}'}$.

Proof. Let $(\mathscr{D}', \mathscr{B}', \mu')$ be the probability space naturally induced by $(\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$. By the previous theorem, the \mathscr{F}' uniformity of $(S, \mathscr{F}'; \mathscr{D}', \mathscr{B}', \mu'), \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}'}$, is equivalent to $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}'}$. Since $\mathscr{D} - \mathscr{D}'$ is a countable union of sets of μ -measure 0,

$$F_{pq}^{\prime}(x) = \mu^{\prime}\{d \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime} \colon d(p, q) < x\} = \mu\{d \in \mathscr{D} \colon d(p, q) < x\} = F_{pq}(x) \; ,$$

so that $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}'} = \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}'}.$

Thus, we have essentially solved our problem for spaces generated by a countable family of pseudometrics. It is reasonable to ask whether any of these results can be extended to arbitrary pseudo-MGspaces. The following example shows that this is not the case.

EXAMPLE 1. Let S be the set of all real-valued measurable functions on the unit interval [0, 1]. For any $t \in [0, 1]$ define a pseudometric d_t on S by

$$d_t(f, f^*) = |f(t) - f^*(t)|$$

for any f, f^* in S. Let $\mathscr{D} = \{d_i : t \in [0, 1]\}$, and let μ be the probability measure on \mathscr{D} induced by the Lebesgue measure on [0, 1]. Let $\mathscr{F} : S \times S \to \Delta$ be defined by

$$\mathscr{F}(f, f^*)(x) = \mu\{d_i: d_i(f, f^*) < x\}$$
.

Hence $(S, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a pseudo-*MG* space. (The pseudometrics d_t may be interpreted as giving the distance between two particles at time t)

It is easy to show that $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}}$ are not even comparable. For two particles may be close to one another at any finite number of instants but still be far away from each other the rest of the time. Conversely, given our finite number of instants we can find two particles which are far apart at these instants but arbitrarily close to each other at all other times.

However, the question still remains, whether any of our results on countably generated pseudo-MG spaces can be generalized to the uncountable case when sufficiently strong restrictions are placed upon the generating family of pseudometrics. A natural restriction that comes to mind is the requirement that all the pseudometrics be comparable.

DEFINITION 3. Two pseudometrics d_1 and d_2 on a set S are said to be *comparable* if one of the following relations holds

(i) $d_1(p, q) \ge d_2(p, q)$ for all $(p, q) \in S \times S$; or

(ii) $d_2(p, q) \ge d_1(p, q)$ for all $p, q \in S \times S$.

DEFINITION 4. A linearly ordered set (S, \leq) is said to be *countably* bounded if there exists a countable subset $A \subseteq S$ such that for every element $s \in S$, there exists an element $\alpha \in A$ such that $s \leq \alpha$.

The real numbers with the usual ordering are countably bounded where as the collection of ordinals less than the first uncountable is not countably bounded.

THEOREM 5. Let $(S, \mathscr{F}; \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ be a pseudo-MG space, such that any two pseudometrics of \mathscr{D} are comparable. If \mathscr{D} is countably bounded under the induced linear ordering, then $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$.

Proof. If \mathscr{D} has an upper bound, this result may be proven very easily. If \mathscr{D} does not have an upper bound, then neither does A, the countable bounding set, and we can construct from A a strictly increasing sequence $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that for every $d \in \mathscr{D}$, there exists a k such that $d < d_k$.

Let (p_k, q_k) be a point of $S \times S$ such that $d_k(p_k, q_k) < d_{k+1}(p_k, q_k)$. Let A_k be defined by

$$A_{k} = \{ d \in \mathscr{D} \colon d(p_{k},\,q_{k}) < d_{k+1}(p_{k},\,q_{k}) \}$$
 .

It is obvious that $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ forms an increasing sequence of μ -measurable sets. It is also obvious that $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k = \mathscr{D}$, whence $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(A_k) = \mu(\mathscr{D}) = 1$. Thus for any $\lambda > 0$ there exists a N such that $\mu(A_N) > 1 - \lambda$. Hence for any $d \in A_N$

$$d_{\scriptscriptstyle N+1}(p,\,q) implies $\,d(p,\,q)$$$

and

$$\mu\{d: d(p, q) < arepsilon\} \geqq \mu(A_{\scriptscriptstyle N}) > 1 - \lambda$$
 ,

so that

$$\{(p, q) \colon d_{\scriptscriptstyle N+1}(p, q) < arepsilon\} \subseteq U(arepsilon, \lambda)$$

which proves that $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$.

Theorem 5 might seem to indicate that perhaps $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$ holds for all pseudo-*MG* spaces with comparable pseudometrics. But even this is false as the following example shows.

EXAMPLE 2. Let Ω denote the set of all ordinal numbers less than the first ordinal having the power of the continuum. Let φ denote a one-to-one correspondence from the closed unit interval I = [0, 1] onto Ω . Now define a function $f_y: I \to I$ for every $y \in I$ as follows:

$$f_y(x) = egin{cases} 1, ext{ if } arphi(x) \leq arphi(y) \ x/4, ext{ if } arphi(x) > arphi(y) \end{cases}$$

Also define for every $y \in I$ a function $d_y: I \times I \rightarrow R$ by

$$d_y(x_1, x_2) = egin{cases} 0, & ext{if } x_1 = x_2 \ f_y(x_1) + f_y(x_2), & ext{if } x_1
eq x_2 \ . \end{cases}$$

Define a measure μ on the Boolean σ -algebra \mathscr{B} of $2^{\mathscr{D}}$ (where $\mathscr{D} = \{d_y : y \in I\}$) consisting of all subsets of \mathscr{D} which have a cardinal numbers less than that of the continuum and of the complements of these sets by

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if card } (A) < \mathbb{C} \\ 1, \text{ if card } (\mathscr{D} - A) < \mathbb{C} \end{cases}.$$

One may easily verify that μ satisfies all the conditions for a probability measure.

It may also be easily verified that d_y is a metric on I for every $y \in I$ and that $\varphi(y_1) < \varphi(y_2)$ implies that $d_{y_1}(x_1, x_2) \leq d_{y_2}(x_1, x_2)$ for every $(x_1, x_2) \in I \times I$.

To show I, \mathcal{D} , and μ determine an *MG*-space, it suffices to show that for $(x_0, z_0) \in I \times I$ and any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, the set

$$\{d_y\in \mathscr{D}\colon d_y(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\, z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})$$

is μ -measurable. If $x_0 = z_0$ or $\varepsilon_0 > 2$, this is obviously true. If $\varepsilon_0 \leq 2$, let $x' = \varphi^{-1} \{ \max \{ \varphi(x_0), \varphi(z_0) \} \}$. Then

$$A = \{d_y \in \mathscr{D} \colon d_y(x_0, \, z_0) < arepsilon_0 \leqq 2\} \sqsupseteq \{d_y \in \mathscr{D} \colon arphi(y) < arphi(x')\} = B \; ,$$

since if $\varphi(x') \leq \varphi(y)$ held, then $\varphi(x_0) \leq \varphi(y)$ and $\varphi(z_0) \leq \varphi(y)$ and

$$d_y(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})=f_y(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})+f_y(z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})=1+1=2$$
 .

We have card $(B) < \mathbb{C}$ and so card $(A) < \mathbb{C}$. Hence A is μ -measurable and $\mu(A) = 0$.

We shall now show that the proper inclusion $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}}$ holds (instead of $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$). We have

$$U(1, \frac{1}{2}) = \{x_1, x_2) \in I \times I: \mu\{d_y: d_y(x_1, x_2) < 1\} > \frac{1}{2}\} = D_I$$

where D_I is the diagonal set on $I \times I$, since, as shown in the preceding paragraph, $x_1 \neq x_2$ implies

$$\mu\{d_y: d_y(x_1, x_2) < 1\} = 0$$
 .

To show that proper inclusion holds, assume the contrary. Then we would have to have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{ (x_{1}, x_{2}) : d_{y_{i}}(x_{1}, x_{2}) < \varepsilon_{i} \} = D_{I}$$

for some $\{d_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\varepsilon_i > 0$. Let

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min \{ \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_n \}$$
 and $d_{y_0} = \max \{ d_{y_1}, \cdots, d_{y_n} \}$.

Then

$$B = \{(x_1, x_2): d_{y_0}(x_1, x_2) < \varepsilon_0\} = D_I$$
 .

Since card $\{x: \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y_0)\} < \mathbb{C}$, there exist two points $x_0 \neq z_0$ in the open interval $(0, \varepsilon_0)$ such that $\varphi(x_0) > \varphi(y_0)$ and $\varphi(z_0) > \varphi(y_0)$. Thus

$$d_{y_0}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})=f_y(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})+f_y(z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})=(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}/4)+(z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}/4)\leq(arepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}/4)+(arepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}/4) ,$$

so that $(x_0, z_0) \in B$, but $(x_0, z_0) \notin D_I$, which contradicts our assumption.

Hence Theorem 5 cannot be extended to arbitrary pseudo-MG spaces with comparable pseudometrics. However, Theorem 5 does admit generalization in another direction. For it may be easily seen that a pseudo-MG space $(S, \mathscr{F}; \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ with comparable pseudometrics such that \mathscr{D} is countably bounded, also has the property that the gage uniformity of \mathscr{D} is also generated by some countable subfamily $\mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{D}$, for instance the countable bounding set; i.e., $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}} = \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{A}}$. We shall now show that in any pseudo-MG space with this property, $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$ holds. We shall derive this result by first proving an even more general result.

THEOREM 6. Let $(S, \mathscr{F}; \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ be a pseudo-MG space. Let \mathscr{R} be an arbitrary countable collection of pseudometrics upon S with the property that $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{A}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{A}}$. Then $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{A}}$.

Proof. Consider the countable collection of uniform neighborhoods

$$\mathscr{C} = \left\{ \left\{ (p, q) \colon d(p, q) < \frac{1}{n} \right\} \colon d \in \mathscr{B}, n = 1, 2, \cdots \right\}.$$

Well-order C as

$$\{V_1, V_2, V_3, \cdots\}$$
.

We shall now show that for every uniform neighborhood $U(\varepsilon, \lambda) \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$, there exists an M such that

$$igcap_{i=1}^{M} V_{i} \subseteq U(arepsilon, \lambda)$$
 .

For, consider the sets $A_m \subseteq \mathscr{D}$ defined as follows

$$A_m = \left\{ d \in \mathscr{D} \colon \bigcap_{i=1}^m V_i \subseteq \{(p, q) \colon d(p, q) < \varepsilon \} \right\}.$$

Obviously $\{A_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of sets. It is also very easy to show that $\lim_{m\to\infty} A_m = \mathscr{D}$. Now extend μ to an outer measure μ_0^* on \mathscr{D} by defining

$$\mu_0^*(A) = \inf \{\mu(B) \colon A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \in \mathscr{B} \}$$
.

It may be shown (see, for instance, Munroe [2], p. 99) that μ_0^* is a regular outer measure on \mathscr{D} . We then have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^*(A_m)=\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^*\Bigl(\lim_{m\to\infty}A_m\Bigr)=\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^*(\mathscr{D})=1$$
 .

Therefore, there exists an M such that

$$\mu_0^*(A_M) > 1 - \lambda$$
.

Now if $(p_0, q_0) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^M V_i$,

$$A_{\scriptscriptstyle M} {\,\sqsubseteq\,} \{d \,{\in\,} {\mathscr D} {\,:\,} d(p_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,q_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) < arepsilon\}$$
 .

Hence

$$\mu\{d\in \mathscr{D}\colon d(p_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,q_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})1-\lambda$$
 ,

so that $(p_0, q_0) \in U(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{M} V_i \subseteq U(\varepsilon, \lambda)$. This completes the proof that $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{R}}$.

COROLLARY. Let $(S, \mathscr{F}; \mathscr{D}, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$ be a pseudo-MG space. If there exists a countable collection \mathscr{R} of pseudometrics on S such that $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{R}} = \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$, then $\mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{F}} \subseteq \mathscr{U}_{\mathscr{D}}$.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank H. Sherwood, my research advisor, for his invaluable suggestions in helping me to prepare this paper.

Z. FIEDOROWICZ

References

1. J. L. Kelley, General topology, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1955.

2. M. E. Munroe, Introduction to measure and integration, Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, 1953.

3. B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 313-334.

4. B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, and E. Thorp, The metrization of statistical metric spaces, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 673-675.

5. R. R. Stevens, Metrically generated probabilistic metric spaces, Fund. Math. 61 (1968), 259-269.

Received August 19, 1969. This research was supported in part by NSF grants GY 4412, GY 5981, and GP 13773.

Illinois Institute of Technology

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION **OSAKA UNIVERSITY** TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 34, No. 1 May, 1970

| Johan Aarnes, Edward George Effros and Ole A. Nielsen, <i>Locally compact</i> | |
|--|-----|
| spaces and two classes of C*-algebras | 1 |
| Allan C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, <i>On a class of topological algebras</i> | 17 |
| John Dauns, Integral domains that are not embeddable in division rings | 27 |
| Robert Jay Daverman, On the number of nonpiercing points in certain | |
| crumpled cubes | 33 |
| Bryce L. Elkins, <i>Characterization of separable ideals</i> | 45 |
| Zbigniew Fiedorowicz, A comparison of two naturally arising uniformities | |
| on a class of pseudo-PM spaces | 51 |
| Henry Charles Finlayson, Approximation of Wiener integrals of functionals | |
| continuous in the uniform topology | 61 |
| Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Localization of the corona problem</i> | 73 |
| Alfred Gray and Paul Stephen Green, Sphere transitive structures and the | |
| triality automorphism | 83 |
| Charles Lemuel Hagopian, On generalized forms of aposyndesis | 97 |
| J. Jakubík, On subgroups of a pseudo lattice ordered group | 109 |
| Cornelius W. Onneweer, On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier | |
| series | 117 |
| Stanley Joel Osher, On certain Toeplitz operators in two variables | 123 |
| Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer and John Benson Wilbur, On the | |
| measurability of Perron integrable functions | 131 |
| Frank J. Polansky, On the conformal mapping of variable regions | 145 |
| Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno, <i>Sufficient conditions for a Riemannian</i> | |
| manifold to be locally symmetric | 157 |
| James Wilson Stepp, <i>Locally compact Clifford semigroups</i> | 163 |
| Ernest Lester Stitzinger, Frattini subalgebras of a class of solvable Lie | |
| algebras | 177 |
| George Szeto, <i>The group character and split group algebras</i> | 183 |
| Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Homological dimension and splitting torsion</i> | |
| theories | 193 |
| David Bertram Wales, <i>Finite linear groups of degree seven II</i> | 207 |
| Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., An isomorphic refinement theorem for | |
| Abelian groups | 237 |
| James Edward West, <i>The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace</i> | |
| of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces | 257 |
| Peter Wilker, <i>Adjoint product and hom functors in general topology</i> | 269 |
| Daniel Eliot Wulbert, A note on the characterization of conditional | |
| expectation operators | 285 |