# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD TO BE LOCALLY SYMMETRIC

KOUEI SEKIGAWA AND SHÛKICHI TANNO

Vol. 34, No. 1 May 1970

# SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD TO BE LOCALLY SYMMETRIC

### Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno

In a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold the scalar curvature is constant and each k-th covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor vanishes. In this note, we show that if the covariant derivatives of the Riemannian curvature tensor satisfy some algebraic conditions at each point, then the Riemannian manifold is locally symmetric.

Let R be the Riemannian curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold  $M^m$  with a positive-definite metric tensor g. Manifolds and tensors are assumed to be of class  $C^\infty$  unless otherwise stated. We denote by  $\nabla$  the Riemannian connection defined by g. For tangent vectors X and Y, we consider R(X, Y) as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point. A conjecture by K. Nomizu [4] is that  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$  on a complete and irreducible manifold  $M^m(m \ge 3)$  implies  $\nabla R = 0$ , that is,  $M^m$  is locally symmetric. Here we consider some additional conditions.

For an integer k and tangent vectors  $V_k, \dots, V_1$  at a point p of  $M^m$ , we adopt a notation:

$$egin{aligned} (
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle V}^{\scriptscriptstyle k}R) &= (V_{\scriptscriptstyle k},\ V_{\scriptscriptstyle k-1},\ \cdots,\ V_{\scriptscriptstyle 1};\ 
abla^{\scriptscriptstyle k}R) \ &= (V_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\scriptscriptstyle t}\ V_{\scriptscriptstyle k-1}^{\scriptscriptstyle s}\ \cdots\ V_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle T}
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle k}\nabla_{\scriptscriptstyle k}\cdots\ 
abla_{\scriptscriptstyle r}R_{\scriptscriptstyle had}^{\scriptscriptstyle a}) \;, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_k^t$ , etc., are components of  $V_k$ , etc., and  $\nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R_{bcd}^a$  are components of the k-th covariant derivative  $\nabla^k R$  of R in local coordinates.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $M^m(m \ge 3)$  be a real analytic Riemannian manifold. Assume that

- (1.0) the restricted holonomy group is irreducible,
- (1.1)  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ ,
- (1.2)  $R(X, Y) \cdot (\nabla_v^k R) = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \cdots$

Then  $M^m$  is locally symmetric.

Here we note that condition (1.0) means that it holds at some, hence every, point and condition (1.1), and (1.2), mean that for any point p and for any tangent vectors  $X, Y, V_k, \dots, V_1$  at p, they hold.

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $M^m(m \ge 3)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Assume (1.1) and (1.2) and that

(1.0)' the infinitesimal holonomy group is irreducible at every point. Then  $M^m$  is locally symmetric.

Propositions 1 and 2 are essentially related to the following results.

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $M^m(m \ge 3)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that the restricted holonomy group  $H^0$  (the infinitesimal holonomy group H', resp.) is irreducible, and R is invariant by  $H^0$  (H', resp.). Then  $M^m$  is locally symmetric.

PROPOSITION 3'. (J. Simons [5], p. 233) Let  $M^m$  ( $m \ge 3$ ) be an irreducible Riemannian manifold. Assume that R is invariant by the holonomy group H. Then  $M^m$  is locally symmetric.

Proposition 3 is a generalization of a result by A. Lichnerowicz ([2], p. 11), which contains an assumption of compactness. We remark here that condition (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(1.2)' R(X, Y) \cdot (\nabla_{V_k} \nabla_{V_{k-1}} \cdots \nabla_{V_1} R) = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where  $X, Y, V_k, \dots, V_1$  are vector fields on  $M^m$ .

With respect to Nomizu's conjecture and the above propositions we have

Theorem 4. Let  $M^m$   $(m \ge 3)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that

- (i) the scalar curvature S is constant,
- (ii)  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_{V} R = 0$ ,
- (iv)  $R(X, Y) \cdot (X, V; \nabla^2 R) = 0$ ,

(or (iv)'  $R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_x \nabla_y R = 0$  for vector fields).

Then  $M^m$  is locally symmetric.

Theorem 5. Let  $M^m$   $(m \ge 3)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that

- ( i ) the Ricci curvature tensor  $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$  is parallel;  $abla R_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}=0,$
- (ii)  $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_{V} R = 0$ .

Then  $M^m$  is locally symmetric.

In Theorems 4 and 5, if m=2, then  $\nabla R_1=0$  implies  $\nabla R=0$ .

In Theorem 5, if  $M^m$  is compact, (iii) can be dropped (A. Lichnerowicz [2], or K. Yano [6], p. 222).

In §2 we reduce proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 to that of Proposi-

tion 3, and next we reduce proofs of Propositions 3 and 3' to that of Theorem 4. In §3 we prove Theorems 4 and 5.

2. Holonomy algebras. Conditions (1.1) and (1.2) imply that

(2.1) 
$$[R(X, Y), (\nabla_{\nu}^{k}R)(A, B)] = (\nabla_{\nu}^{k}R)(R(X, Y)A, B) + (\nabla_{\nu}^{k}R)(A, R(X, Y)B)$$

for  $k=0,1,\cdots$ , where  $\nabla^{0}R$  means R, and [T,T'] for linear transformations T,T' means TT'-T'T.

Now we show

LEMMA 2.1. The condition (2.1) implies

(2.2) 
$$[(\nabla_w^j R)(X, Y), (\nabla_v^k R)(A, B)] = (\nabla_v^k R)((\nabla_w^j R)(X, Y)A, B) + (\nabla_v^k R)(A, (\nabla_w^j R)(X, Y)B)$$

for  $j, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . And (2.1) is equivalent to

(2.3) 
$$[(\nabla_{w}^{j}R)(X, Y), R(A, B)] = R((\nabla_{w}^{j}R)(X, Y)A, B) + R(A, (\nabla_{w}^{j}R)(X, Y)B)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ 

*Proof.* We prove (2.2) by induction in j and by tensor calculus in local coordinates. By (2.1), (2.2) holds for (j, k) = (0, k), k = 0, 1, 2,  $\cdots$ . Assume that (2.2) holds for (j - 1, k), (j - 2, k),  $\cdots$ , (0, k),  $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then, denoting by  $\nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R_{uxy}^r$  the j-th covariant derivative of R and by  $\nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u$  the k-th covariant derivative of R, we show

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{l} \nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^{\,p}_{\,uxy} \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^{\,u}_{\,qab} - \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^{\,p}_{\,uab} \nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^{\,u}_{\,qxy} \\ = \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^{\,p}_{\,anb} \nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^{\,v}_{\,axy} + \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^{\,p}_{\,aav} \nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^{\,v}_{\,bxy} \ . \end{array}$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla_t \nabla_s & \cdots \nabla_r R^p_{uxy} \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^u_{qab} - \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{uab} \nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^u_{qxy} \\ &= \nabla_t (\nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^p_{uxy} \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^u_{qab}) \\ &- \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^p_{uxy} \nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^u_{qab} \\ &- \nabla_t (\nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{uab} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^u_{qxy}) \\ &+ \nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{uab} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^u_{qxy} \\ &= \nabla_t (\nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{qvb} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^u_{qxy} + \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{qav} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^v_{bxy}) \\ &- \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^p_{uxy} \nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^u_{qab} \qquad \text{(by (2.2) for } (j-1, k)) \\ &+ \nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{uab} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^u_{qxy} \\ &= \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{qvb} \nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^v_{qxy} + \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{qav} \nabla_t \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^v_{bxy} \end{split}$$

$$+ (\nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{uab} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^u_{qxy} - \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^p_{uxy} \nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^u_{qab})$$

$$+ (\nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{qub} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^v_{axy} + \nabla_t \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R^p_{qav} \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R^v_{bxy}).$$

The second and third terms vanish by (2.2) for (j-1, k+1). Therefore we have (2.4).

Similarly we can show that (2.3) implies (2.2), including (2.1).

By the theory of holonomy groups (cf. A. Nijenhuis [3]), the set of linear transformations

$$(2.5) R(X, Y), (\nabla_{W}R)(X, Y), (\nabla_{W}^{2}R)(X, Y), \cdots$$

for X, Y,  $W_1$ ,  $\cdots \in M_p$ , the tangent space to M at p of M, spans a Lie algebra  $h'_p$  called the infinitesimal holonomy algebra at p.  $h'_p$  generates the infinitesimal holonomy group  $H'_p$  which is a subgroup of the local holonomy group  $H^*_p = H^0_p(U)$ . Clearly  $H^*_p$  is a subgroup of the restricted holonomy group  $H^0_p$ . If a Riemannian manifold is real analytic we have  $H' = H^* = H^0$ .

The condition (2.3) implies that

$$[T, R(A, B)] = R(TA, B) + R(A, TB)$$

for any  $T \in h'_p$ . This says that R is invariant by T. Therefore, for any element  $\alpha \in H'_p$  we have

(2.7) 
$$\alpha R(A, B)C = R(\alpha A, \alpha B)\alpha C \quad \text{for } A, B, C \in M_p.$$

Thus, we have reduced proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 to proof of Proposition 3.

Since (2.7) or (2.6) is equivalent to (2.1), condition (2.7) implies conditions (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 4. Consequently, if we show that, under the conditions in Proposition 3 (3', resp.), the scalar curvature S is constant, then Proposition 3 (3', resp.) will follow from Theorem 4.

Let  $E_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$ , be an orthonormal basis at p. Then the Ricci curvature tensor  $R_1$  is given by

$$R_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(X,\ Y) = \sum\limits_i g(R(X,\,E_i)\,Y,\,E_i)$$
 .

Since R is invariant by H' or  $H^0$  or H, we have  $R_1(X, Y) = R_1(\alpha X, \alpha Y)$  for any  $\alpha \in H'$ , or  $H^0$  or H. Since H' or  $H^0$  or H is irreducible, we have some real number  $\lambda$  so that  $R_1 = \lambda g$  at p. Because p is an arbitrary point of M and  $m \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda$  is constant on M, and hence  $S = m\lambda$  is constant.

3. Proofs of Theorems 4 and 5. To prove theorems it suffices to show two propositions below.

Proposition 3.1. On  $M^m$   $(m \ge 3)$  assume that

- (i) the scalar curvature S is constant,
- (ii)  $(R(X, Y) \cdot R)(X, V) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $(R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_{V} R)(X, Y) V = 0$ ,
- (iv)  $(R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_{V} R_{1})(V, X) = 0$ ,
- $(V) (R(X, Y) \cdot (X, V; \nabla^2 R_1)(V, Y) = 0,$
- $(\text{or } (\textbf{v})' \quad (R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_{\textbf{x}} \nabla_{\textbf{v}} R_{\textbf{1}})(V, Y) = 0 \text{ for vector fields}).$

Then we have  $\nabla R = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{E_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis at p of M. Put  $X = E_x$ ,  $Y = E_y$ ,  $V = E_v$  in (iii) and take a sum on x, y, v. Then we have

$$R^{irxy} 
abla_v R^{\ v}_{\ r\,xy} - R^{rvxy} 
abla_v R^{i}_{\ rxy} - R^{rx}_{\ xy} 
abla_v R^{iv}_{\ r} - R^{ry}_{\ xy} 
abla_v R^{ivx}_{\ r} = 0$$
 .

The third and fourth terms vanish. We apply the second Bianchi identity to the first two terms;

$$egin{aligned} R^{irxy}(-
abla_xR^{\;v}_{\;\;yv}-
abla_yR^{\;v}_{\;\;vx}) &= -2R^{irxy}
abla_yR_{rx}\;, \ -R^{rvxy}(-
abla_iR_{rvxy}-
abla_rR_{vixy}) &= R^{rvxy}
abla_iR_{rvxy}+R^{rvxy}
abla_rR_{vixy} &= R^{rvxy}
abla_iR_{rvxy}+R^{rvxy}
abla_rR_{irxy}\;. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$-4R^{irxy}\nabla_yR_{rx}+R^{rvxy}\nabla^iR_{rvxy}=0.$$

Likewise, (iv) implies that

$$R^{rvx}_{y}\nabla_{v}R_{rx} + R^{rx}_{xy}\nabla_{v}R^{v}_{r} = 0.$$

And (v) implies that

$$(3.3) R^{rvxy}\nabla_x\nabla_vR_{ry} + R^{r}_y{}^{xy}\nabla_x\nabla_vR_r^v = 0.$$

For (v)' we assume that  $E_i$  are local vector fields such that  $(\nabla E_i)_p = 0$  and  $\{E_i\}$  forms an orthonormal basis at p. Then we have the same (3.3).

Since  $\nabla_{v}R_{r}^{v}=(1/2)\nabla_{r}S=0$ , by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$R^{rvxy}
abla_x
abla_vR_{ry}=0$$
 ,  $R^{rvxy}
abla_iR_{rvxy}=0$  .

On the other hand, in a Riemannian manifold generally we have

$$(3.4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \nabla^{h}\nabla_{h}(R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}) = 2(\nabla_{h}R_{ijkl}\nabla^{h}R^{ijkl}) \\ + 8R^{ijkl}\nabla_{i}\nabla_{k}R_{jl} + 4R^{ijkl}B^{h}_{jkl,hi} \,, \end{array}$$

where  $B_{jkl,ab}^i X^a Y^b$  are components of  $R(X, Y) \cdot R$  (A. Lichnerowicz [2], p. 10). Since (ii) is equivalent to  $B_{jkl,hi}^h = 0$ , we have  $\nabla_h R_{ijkl} = 0$ .

Proposition 3.2. On  $M^m$   $(m \ge 3)$  assume that

- (i)  $\nabla R_1 = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $(R(X, Y) \cdot R)(X, V) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $(R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_{V} R)(X, Y) = 0.$

Then we have  $\nabla R = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have (3.1) by (iii). Then we have  $\nabla_h(R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}) = 0$ . Therefore, (ii) and (3.4) show  $\nabla R = 0$ .

### REFERENCES

- 1. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry, Vol. I, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1963.
- 2. A. Lichnerowicz, Géométrie des groupes de transformations, Dunod, Paris, 1958.
- 3. A. Nijenhuis, On the holonomy groups of linear connections IA, IB, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Amsterdam 15 (1953), 233-249.
- 4. K. Nomizu, On hypersurfaces satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor, Tôhoku Math. J. **20** (1968), 46-59.
- 5. J. Simons, On the transitivity of holonomy systems, Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 213-234.
- 6. K. Yano, The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications, Amsterdam, North Holland Pub. Co., 1957.

Received October 6, 1969.

NIIGATA UNIVERSITY NIIGATA, JAPAN

Tôhoku University Sendai, Japan

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### **EDITORS**

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 34, No. 1

May, 1970

Johan Aarnes, Edward George Effros and Ole A. Nielsen, <i>Locally compact</i>	1
spaces and two classes of C*-algebras	1
Allan C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, <i>On a class of topological algebras</i>	17
John Dauns, Integral domains that are not embeddable in division rings	27
Robert Jay Daverman, On the number of nonpiercing points in certain	21
crumpled cubes	33
Bryce L. Elkins, Characterization of separable ideals	45
Zbigniew Fiedorowicz, A comparison of two naturally arising uniformities	
on a class of pseudo-PM spaces	51
Henry Charles Finlayson, Approximation of Wiener integrals of functionals	
continuous in the uniform topology	61
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Localization of the corona problem</i>	73
Alfred Gray and Paul Stephen Green, Sphere transitive structures and the	
triality automorphism	83
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, On generalized forms of aposyndesis	97
J. Jakubík, On subgroups of a pseudo lattice ordered group	109
Cornelius W. Onneweer, On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier	
series	117
Stanley Joel Osher, On certain Toeplitz operators in two variables	123
Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer and John Benson Wilbur, <i>On the</i>	
measurability of Perron integrable functions	131
Frank J. Polansky, On the conformal mapping of variable regions	145
Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno, Sufficient conditions for a Riemannian	
manifold to be locally symmetric	157
James Wilson Stepp, Locally compact Clifford semigroups	163
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, Frattini subalgebras of a class of solvable Lie	
algebras	177
George Szeto, The group character and split group algebras	183
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Homological dimension and splitting torsion</i>	
theories	193
David Bertram Wales, Finite linear groups of degree seven II	207
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., An isomorphic refinement theorem for	
Abelian groups	237
James Edward West, The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace	
of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces	257
Peter Wilker, Adjoint product and hom functors in general topology	269
Daniel Eliot Wulbert, A note on the characterization of conditional	20.5
expectation operators	285