

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **OPERATOR-VALUED FEYNMAN INTEGRALS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL FUNCTIONALS**

GERALD WILLIAM JOHNSON AND DAVID LEE SKOUG

# OPERATOR-VALUED FEYNMAN INTEGRALS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL FUNCTIONALS

G. W. JOHNSON AND D. L. SKOUG

Let  $C[a, b]$  denote the space of continuous functions  $x$  on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be an orthonormal set of functions of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ . Let

$$F(x) = f\left(\int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t), \dots, \int_a^b \alpha_n(t) dx(t)\right).$$

Recently, Cameron and Storvick defined certain operator-valued function space integrals, and, in particular, an operator-valued Feynman integral. In their setting, we give existence theorems as well as explicit formulas for the function space integrals of functionals  $F$  as above. We also study the properties of the operators which arise by "integrating" this type of functional.

Insofar as possible we adopt the definitions and notation of our earlier paper [6]. For a better motivated definition of the operator-valued function space integrals  $I_i(F)$  and  $J_q(F)$  see [3] and [4]. Throughout the paper we assume that  $F$  has the form given above where  $f$  is a measurable function on  $R_n$ .

Four cases arise: (a) The normalized constant function  $\alpha_0(t) \equiv (b-a)^{-1/2}$  is orthogonal to  $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . (b)  $\alpha_0 \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , say  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$  for convenience. (c)  $\alpha_0 \notin \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  but  $\alpha_0 \in \text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . In this case, one may choose a new orthonormal basis  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  for  $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  such that  $\alpha_0 = \beta_1$ . Now by an appropriate change in  $f$ , one has case (b). (d)  $\alpha_0 \notin \text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  and  $\alpha_0$  is not orthogonal to  $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . In this case one may choose a basis  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1}\}$  for  $\text{span}\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  such that  $\alpha_0 = \beta_1$ . Again after making an appropriate change in  $f$ , we are back to case (b) except that the dimension is raised by one. Examples of cases (a) and (b) are easily given. Choose one of the standard orthonormal sets on  $[a, b]$ . Pick out a finite subset. If the constant function is not included, we have (a); if it is included, we have (b). Cases (c) and (d) will be illustrated in § 4 of the paper; (c) in connection with the important example of functions of independent increments. Throughout, the hypotheses are made on the function  $f$  which arises after the conversion has been made, if necessary, to cases (a) or (b).

To obtain the existence of  $I_i(F)$  for  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$  we require only that  $f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \exp[-p(u_1^2 + \dots + u_n^2)]$  be integrable for all  $p > 0$ . For the existence of  $J_q(F)$  we require the integrability of  $f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . In both cases, the restriction on  $f$  is much weaker than in [2] or [9]

where Cameron's earlier definition of the Feynman and related integrals was employed to study functionals of the same type. It is perhaps worth mentioning that the existence theorems of this paper are the first results in the theory (see [3], [4], and [6]) in which the functional  $F$  is allowed to be unbounded.

In our earlier work [6], the existence of  $I_\lambda(F)$  was obtained quite readily but the existence of  $J_q(F)$  was more difficult to establish. Here, the situation is reversed. In establishing the existence of  $I_\lambda(F)$ , a probabilistic interpretation of  $I_\lambda^q(F)$  for  $\lambda > 0$  allows us to write  $I_\lambda^q(F)$  in a more manageable form.

To obtain the existence of  $J_q(F)$ , one needs to show that it is the weak operator limit of  $I_\lambda(F)$  as  $\lambda$  goes to  $-iq$  along the line  $p - iq$ ,  $p > 0$ . We get a stronger result than this; in case (a), as in [6], we show that  $J_q(F)$  is the strong operator limit of  $I_\lambda(F)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$  through the right half plane. In case (b), we actually get  $J_q(F)$  as the limit in operator norm of  $I_\lambda(F)$ . Also, as in [6], we get the existence of  $J_q(F)$  for every  $q \neq 0$ . In this respect, our results resemble the "deterministic theorem for  $J_q(F)$ " from [4], an improvement over Theorem 5 of [3] which gave existence of  $J_q(F)$  for almost every  $q$ . The type of functional dealt with in those two theorems is quite different from ours however. In our case, the operators arising as the function space integrals will turn out to be convolution operators, and so, known results on such operators [5, p. 951-964] can be applied to give information on  $I_\lambda(F)$  and  $J_q(F)$ .

Finally we mention that the class of functionals studied here neither includes nor is included in the class studied earlier [6]. The most obvious difference is that, in the present case,  $F(x)$  may depend upon the values of  $x$  throughout  $[a, b]$  whereas a functional  $F$  of the form  $F(x) = f_1(x(t_1)) \cdots f_n(x(t_n))$  depends only on the values of  $x$  at  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ .

**2. The operator  $I_\lambda(F)$ .** We let  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $F$  be as before. For convenience we let  $e_\lambda(u) = \lambda^{1/2} [2\pi(b-a)]^{-1/2} \exp(-\lambda u^2/2(b-a))$  and let  $*$  denote the operation of convolution. The following theorem establishes the existence of  $I_\lambda(F)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be such that*

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \exp[-p(u_1^2 + \dots + u_n^2)]$$

*is integrable on  $R_n$  for all  $p > 0$ . Then the operator  $I_\lambda(F)$  exists for all  $\lambda$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ . (a) If  $\alpha_0$  is orthogonal to  $\operatorname{span} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , then  $I_\lambda(F)$  is given by the formula*

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (I_\lambda(F)\psi)(\xi) &= d_\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_\lambda(v - \xi) \psi(v) dv \\ &= [d_\lambda e_\lambda(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_\lambda &= (\lambda/2\pi)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot (n) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ &\quad \exp [-\lambda(v_1^2 + \dots + v_n^2)/2] dv_1 \dots dv_n, \end{aligned}$$

$\psi \in L_2$  and  $-\infty < \xi < \infty$ . (b) If  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$ , then  $I_\lambda(F)$  is given by the formula

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (I_\lambda(F)\psi)(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_\lambda(v - \xi) e_\lambda(v - \xi) \psi(v) dv \\ &= [h_\lambda(v) e_\lambda(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_\lambda(u) &= (\lambda/2\pi)^{(n-1)/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot (n-1) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u(b-a)^{-1/2}, v_2, \dots, v_n) \\ &\quad \exp [-\lambda(v_2^2 + \dots + v_n^2)/2] dv_2 \dots dv_n, \end{aligned}$$

$\psi \in L_2$  and  $-\infty < \xi < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (b) We first establish the existence of the operator  $I_\lambda^{an}(F)$ . Let  $\psi \in L_2$ . For  $\lambda > 0$  the following Wiener integral exists and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{C_0[a, b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2}x + \xi) \psi(\lambda^{-1/2}x(b) + \xi) dx \\ &= \int_{C_0[a, b]} f\left(\lambda^{-1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t), \dots, \lambda^{-1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_n(t) dx(t)\right) \\ &\quad \psi\left(\lambda^{-1/2}(b-a)^{1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t) + \xi\right) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot (n) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda^{-1/2}u_1, \dots, \lambda^{-1/2}u_n) \psi(\lambda^{-1/2}(b-a)^{1/2}u_1 + \xi) \\ &\quad \exp [-\tfrac{1}{2}(u_1^2 + \dots + u_n^2)] du_1 \dots du_n \\ &= [h_\lambda(v) e_\lambda(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

which is in  $L_2$  since  $h_\lambda e_\lambda$  is in  $L_1$ . Now for  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$  let

$$A(\lambda; \psi)(\xi) = [h_\lambda(v) e_\lambda(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi).$$

Then  $A(\lambda; \psi)$  is in  $L_2$  for  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ . Furthermore for any  $\phi \in L_2$ , an application of Morera's theorem (together with the Fubini theorem and the Cauchy Integral theorem) to  $(A(\lambda; \psi), \phi)$ , as in [3, p. 533] enables us to conclude that  $A(\lambda; \psi)$  is analytic (as a vector valued

function) in  $\lambda$  for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ . But for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$A(\lambda; \psi)(\xi) = \int_{C_0[a, b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2}x + \xi) \psi(\lambda^{-1/2}x(b) + \xi) dx ,$$

and so  $I_\lambda^{an}(F)$  exists for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$  and is given by

$$(I_\lambda^{an}(F)\psi)(\xi) = [h_\lambda(v)e_\lambda(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi) .$$

Let  $\sigma: [a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = b]$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$  and let  $I_\lambda^o(F)$  be defined by (4.7) of [3] or (2) of [6]. We must show that  $I_\lambda^o(F) \rightarrow I_\lambda^{an}(F)$  in the weak operator topology as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ . This will establish the existence of  $I_\lambda^{eq}(F)$  (and hence of  $I_\lambda(F)$ , the common value of  $I_\lambda^{eq}(F)$  and  $I_\lambda^{an}(F)$ ) and verify (2). We begin with an outline of the proof. Using the general multivariate normal probability density function, we obtain an alternate expression for  $I_\lambda^o(F)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . This expression and the old expression agree on the real axis and are both analytic throughout the right half-plane; hence they agree for all  $\lambda$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ . Using the new expression for  $I_\lambda^o(F)$  we are able to prove the necessary limit statement; the key here is showing that the covariance matrix associated with the multivariate normal density function converges to the identity matrix.

As is pointed out in [3, p. 530], for  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(I_\lambda^o(F)\psi)(\xi) = \int_{C_0[a, b]} f\left(\int_a^b \alpha_1(t) d(\lambda^{-1/2}x_o + \xi), \dots, \int_a^b \alpha_n(t) d(\lambda^{-1/2}x_o + \xi)\right) \cdot \psi(\lambda^{-1/2}x(b) + \xi) dx .$$

But, as  $\alpha_1(t) \equiv (b - a)^{-1/2}$ , we have

$$(I_\lambda^o(F)\psi)(\xi) = \int_{C_0[a, b]} f\left(\lambda^{-1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t), \lambda^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_2(t_j) [x(t_j) - x(t_{j-1})], \dots, \lambda^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_n(t_j) [x(t_j) - x(t_{j-1})]\right) \psi\left((b - a)^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t) + \xi\right) dx .$$

Now let  $X_1^\sigma$  denote the random variable on the Wiener space  $C_0[a, b]$  defined by  $X_1^\sigma(x) = \int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t)$ . It is well known [7] that  $X_1^\sigma$  is distributed normally with mean 0 and variance 1; i.e.,  $X_1^\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$ . Also  $[x(t_j) - x(t_{j-1})] \sim N(0, t_j - t_{j-1})$  and, for  $j \neq k$ ,  $[x(t_j) - x(t_{j-1})]$  and  $[x(t_k) - x(t_{k-1})]$  are independent. Hence for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , the random variable  $X_i^\sigma$  defined by  $X_i^\sigma(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i(t_j) [x(t_j) - x(t_{j-1})]$  satisfies

$$X_i^\sigma \sim N\left(0, \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i^2(t_j) (t_j - t_{j-1})\right) .$$

Now let us consider the covariance matrix  $C_\sigma$  associated with the random variables  $X_1^\sigma, \dots, X_n^\sigma$ . Since each  $X_i^\sigma$  has mean 0, the  $ik^{\text{th}}$  entry,  $a_{ik}^\sigma$ , of  $C_\sigma$  is given by  $\int_{C_0[a, b]} X_i^\sigma X_k^\sigma dx$ . We will now show

that  $C_\sigma \rightarrow I$  in operator norm as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$  where  $I$  denotes the  $n$  by  $n$  identity matrix. It suffices to show that  $a_{ik}^\sigma \rightarrow \delta_{ik}$  (the Kronecker  $\delta$ ) as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\{(t_j - t_{j-1})^{-1/2}[x(t_j) - x(t_{j-1})]\}_{j=1}^m$  is a family of independent random variables each distributed  $N(0, 1)$  we obtain

$$a_{ik}^\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i(t_j) \alpha_k(t_j) (t_j - t_{j-1})$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $a_{ii}^\sigma = 1$  for all  $\sigma$ . For  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ,  $a_{ii}^\sigma$  is an approximating sum for the Riemann integral  $\int_a^b \alpha_i^2(t) dt = 1$  and so  $a_{ii}^\sigma \rightarrow 1$  as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ . For  $i \neq k$ ,  $a_{ik}^\sigma$  is an approximating sum for the Riemann integral  $\int_a^b \alpha_i(t) \alpha_k(t) dt = 0$  and so for  $i \neq k$ ,  $a_{ik}^\sigma \rightarrow 0$  as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $C_\sigma \rightarrow I$  in operator norm as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus for  $\|\sigma\|$  sufficiently small,  $C_\sigma$  is a positive-definite matrix and is invertible and has a positive determinant [1]; we assume throughout the remainder of the proof that  $\|\sigma\|$  is small enough so that  $C_\sigma$  has these properties. Thus  $C_\sigma \rightarrow I$ ,  $C_\sigma^{-1} \rightarrow I$  and  $|C_\sigma|^{-1/2} \rightarrow 1$  as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ . Now let  $\phi(v_1, \dots, v_n) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |C_\sigma|^{-1/2} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}((v_1, \dots, v_n), C^{-1}(v_1, \dots, v_n))\}$  be the multivariate normal density function associated with  $X_1^\sigma, \dots, X_n^\sigma$  [1]. Here  $(\cdot)$  refers to the inner product. Then we can write [8, p. 41],

$$(3) \quad (I_\lambda^\sigma(F)\psi)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot (n) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda^{-1/2}v_1, \dots, \lambda^{-1/2}v_n) \phi(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\ \cdot \psi((b-a)^{1/2}\lambda^{-1/2}v_1 + \xi) dv_1 \dots dv_n$$

which upon a change of variables becomes

$$(4) \quad (I_\lambda^\sigma(F)\psi)(\xi) = \lambda^{n/2} (2\pi)^{-n/2} (b-a)^{-1/2} |C_\sigma|^{-1/2} \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot (n) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f((u_1 - \xi)(b-a)^{-1/2}, u_2, \dots, u_n) \psi(u_1) \\ \cdot \exp[-\lambda(((u_1 - \xi)(b-a)^{-1/2}, u_2, \dots, u_n), \\ C_\sigma^{-1}((u_1 - \xi)(b-a)^{-1/2}, u_2, \dots, u_n))/2] du_1 \dots du_n.$$

We now have our alternate expression for  $I_\lambda^\sigma(F)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . This formula defines an operator-valued analytic function of  $\lambda$  for  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$  as can be shown in the usual manner [3, p. 533] by applying Morera's theorem. To check the details of this, one should keep in mind the properties of  $C_\sigma$  and may also wish to consult the remainder of this proof.

Now (4) and the defining expression for  $I_\lambda^\sigma(F)$  are equal to the same Wiener integral for  $\lambda > 0$  and both expressions are analytic for  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ . Thus (4) gives  $I_\lambda^\sigma(F)$  whenever  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ .

Now let  $\lambda$  be fixed ( $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ ) and let  $\psi, \psi_0 \in L_2$ . We finish by showing  $(I_\lambda^\sigma(F)\psi, \psi_0) \rightarrow (I_\lambda^{2n}(F)\psi, \psi_0)$  as  $\|\sigma\| \rightarrow 0$ . It will suffice to

show this for an arbitrary sequence of partitions  $\{\sigma_k\}$  such that  $\|\sigma_k\| \rightarrow 0$ . Comparing (4) and (2) carefully and recalling that  $C_{\sigma_k}^{-1} \rightarrow I$  and  $|C_{\sigma_k}|^{-1/2} \rightarrow 1$ , we see that the proof of (b) will be finished if we can justify an application of the dominated convergence theorem to

$$(5) \quad (2\pi)^{n/2} \lambda^{-n/2} (b-a)^{1/2} |C_{\sigma_k}|^{1/2} (I_{\lambda}^{\sigma_k}(F)\psi, \psi_0) .$$

Now since  $C_{\sigma_k}^{-1} \rightarrow I$ , it is easy to see that there exists  $N$  such that  $k \geq N$  implies

$$((w_1, \dots, w_n), C_{\sigma_k}^{-1}(w_1, \dots, w_n)) \geq \frac{1}{2}((w_1, \dots, w_n), (w_1, \dots, w_n))$$

for all vectors  $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ . Hence for  $k \geq N$ , a dominating function is given by

$$|f((u_1 - \xi)(b-a)^{-1/2}, u_2, \dots, u_n)| |\psi(u_1)| |\psi_0(\xi)| \\ \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}{4} [(u_1 - \xi)^2 (b-a)^{-1} + u_2^2 + \dots + u_n^2] \right\}$$

which is integrable by our hypotheses. Thus the proof of (b) is finally complete.

(a) In this case we note that for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\psi \in L_2$  the following Wiener integral exists and is given by

$$\int_{C_0[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2}x + \xi) \psi(\lambda^{-1/2}x(b) + \xi) dx \\ = \int_{C_0[a,b]} f \left( \lambda^{-1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t), \dots, \lambda^{-1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_n(t) dx(t) \right) \\ \cdot \psi \left( \lambda^{-1/2} (b-a)^{1/2} \int_a^b \alpha_0(t) dx(t) \right) dx \\ = (2\pi)^{-(n+1)/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot (n+1) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda^{-1/2}u_1, \dots, \lambda^{-1/2}u_n) \psi(\lambda^{-1/2}u + \xi) \\ \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(u^2 + u_1^2 + \dots + u_n^2) \right\} du du_1 \dots du_n \\ = [d_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi) .$$

The remainder of the proof in this case is similar to the proof of the above case and is omitted.

Using the lemma from [6] and results on convolution operators found in [5, p. 951-964], we easily obtain the following corollary.  $I_{\lambda}(F)^{\#}$  will denote the adjoint of  $I_{\lambda}(F)$ .

**COROLLARY.** *For all  $\lambda$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ ,  $I_{\lambda}(F)$  is a normal operator. In case (a): (i)  $I_{\lambda}(F)^{\#}$  is given by the formula*

$$(I_{\lambda}(F)^{\#} \psi)(\xi) = [\bar{d}_{\lambda} \bar{e}_{\lambda}(u)] * [\psi(u)](\xi) .$$

(ii)  $\|I_\lambda(F)\| = |d_\lambda|$ . (iii) The spectrum of  $I_\lambda(F)$  consists entirely of continuous spectrum and is  $\{0\} \cup \{d_\lambda e^{-(b-a)y^2/2\lambda} : -\infty < y < \infty\}$ . (iv) The range of  $I_\lambda(F)$  is contained in the set of equivalence classes of  $L_2$  which contain a continuous function. (v) If  $d_\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $I_\lambda(F)$  is one-to-one. In case (b): (i)  $I_\lambda(F)^*$  is given by the formula

$$(I_\lambda(F)^*\psi)(\xi) = [\bar{h}_\lambda(-u)\bar{e}_\lambda(u)] * [\psi(u)](\xi).$$

(ii)  $\|I_\lambda(F)\| = \sup \{|\mathcal{F}(h_\lambda e_\lambda)(y)| : -\infty < y < \infty\}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier transform. (iii) The spectrum of  $I_\lambda(F)$  is the closure of the range of  $\mathcal{F}(h_\lambda e_\lambda)$ .

### 3. The Operator $J_q(F)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Assume  $f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is integrable on  $R_n$ . Then the operator  $J_q(F)$  exists for all  $q \neq 0$ . (a) If  $\alpha_0$  is orthogonal to span  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , then  $J_q(F)$  is given by

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} (J_q(F)\psi)(\xi) &= d_{-iq} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{-iq}(v - \xi) \psi(v) dv \\ &= [d_{-iq} e_{-iq}(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\psi \in L_2$ , where the integral is interpreted in the mean [3, p. 521]. Furthermore  $J_q(F)$  is the strong operator limit of  $I_\lambda(F)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$  in the right half plane. (b) If  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1$ , then  $J_q(F)$  is given by

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (J_q(F)\psi)(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{-iq}(v - \xi) e_{-iq}(v - \xi) \psi(v) dv \\ &= [h_{-iq}(v) e_{-iq}(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\psi \in L_2$ . In this case  $J_q(F)$  is the limit in operator norm of  $I_\lambda(F)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$  in the right half plane.

**REMARK.** (i) In case (a),  $J_q(F)$  is not the limit in operator norm of  $I_\lambda(F)$  since, if  $d_{-iq} \neq 0$ ,  $J_q(F)$  lies in the open set of invertible operators [5, p. 862] while by the corollary above we see that  $I_\lambda(F)$  is never invertible. (ii) The integrability of

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_n) \exp \{-p(u_1^2 + \dots + u_n^2)\}$$

for all  $p > 0$  is not sufficient to insure the existence of  $J_q(F)$ , in fact the boundedness of  $f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  is not sufficient.

*Proof.* (a) The proof of this case follows from the theorem in [6]. (b) Let  $q \neq 0$  be given. Let  $K_q(F)$  denote the map defined by  $(K_q(F)\psi)(\xi) = [h_{-iq}(v) e_{-iq}(v)] * [\psi(v)](\xi)$ .  $K_q(F)$  is an operator since  $h_{-iq} e_{-iq}$  is in  $L_1$ . It will suffice to show that  $K_q(F)$  is the operator



norm limit of  $I_\lambda(F)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$ . However, by [5, p. 953], it suffices to show that  $h_\lambda e_\lambda$  converges in  $L_1$  norm to  $h_{-iq} e_{-iq}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -iq$ . But for all  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| \leq 2|q|$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ ,  $|h_\lambda(v) e_\lambda(v)|$  is dominated by the  $L_1$  function

$$g(v) = |q|^{n/2} \pi^{-n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot (n-1) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(v, v_2, \dots, v_n)| dv_2 \cdots dv_n.$$

Thus the result follows upon application of the dominated convergence theorem.

Again using [5, p. 951-964] and the lemma from [6], we easily obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY.** *In case (a): (i) For  $d_{-iq} \neq 0$ ,  $(d_{-iq})^{-1} J_q(F)$  is a unitary operator and so  $J_q(F)$  is a normal operator and  $\|J_q(F)\| = |d_{-iq}|$ . (ii)  $J_q(F)^*$  is given by the formula*

$$(J_q(F)^* \psi)(\xi) = \bar{d}_{-iq} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{iq}(v - \xi) \psi(v) dv$$

where the integral is interpreted in the mean. (iii) If  $d_{-iq} \neq 0$ ,  $J_q(F)$  is invertible as an element of  $\mathcal{L}(L_2)$ , and  $J_q(F)^{-1} = |d_{-iq}|^{-2} J_q(F)^*$ . In case (b): (i)  $J_q(F)$  is a normal operator. (ii)  $J_q(F)^*$  is given by the formula  $(J_q(F)^* \psi)(\xi) = [\bar{h}_{-iq}(-u) e_{iq}(u)] * [\psi(u)](\xi)$ .

(iii)  $\|J_q(F)\| = \sup \{|\mathcal{F}(h_{-iq} e_{-iq})(y)| : -\infty < y < \infty\}.$

(iv) The spectrum of  $J_q(F)$  is the closure of the range of  $\mathcal{F}(h_{-iq} e_{-iq})$ .

#### 4. Examples.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \exp \left\{ i \int_a^b [x(t) - x(a)] dt \right\} = \exp \left\{ i \int_a^b (b-t) dx(t) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ i(b-a)^{3/2} 3^{-1/2} \int_a^b (b-a)^{-3/2} 3^{-1/2} (b-t) dx(t) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now this is a functional of the type we are considering where

$$\alpha_1(t) = 3^{1/2} (b-a)^{-3/2} (b-t)$$

and  $f_0(u_1) = \exp \{i(b-a)^{3/2} 3^{-1/2} u_1\}$ . This illustrates case (d) of the introduction. If we let  $\beta_2(t) = 3^{1/2} (b-a)^{-3/2} (a+b-2t)$  then  $\{\beta_1 = \alpha_0, \beta_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\operatorname{span} \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}$ . Also  $\alpha_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2(t) + 3^{1/2} \beta_1(t))$ . Thus

$$F(x) = \exp \left\{ i(b-a)^{3/2} 2^{-1} 3^{-1/2} \int_a^b \beta_2(t) dx(t) + i(b-a)^{3/2} 2^{-1} \int_a^b \beta_1(t) dx(t) \right\}.$$

Thus the appropriate function is

$$f(u_1, u_2) = \exp(i(b-a)^{3/2} 2^{-1} 3^{-1/2} (u_2 + 3^{1/2} u_1))$$

and so case (b) of Theorem 1 is applicable. We obtain

$$h_\lambda(u) = \exp\{i(b-a)u/2 - (b-a)^3/24\lambda\}$$

and  $\mathcal{F}(h_\lambda e_\lambda)(y) = \exp\{-(b-a)^3/24\lambda - (b-a-2y)^2(b-a)/8\lambda\}$ . Thus, by the corollary, we see for example, that

$$\|I_\lambda(F)\| = \exp\left\{-\frac{(b-a)^3 \operatorname{Re} \lambda}{24 |\lambda|^2}\right\}.$$

In [3] the functional

$$F_0(x) = \exp\left\{i \int_a^b x(t) dt\right\}$$

is considered. But  $(I_\lambda(F_0)\psi)(\xi) = e^{i\xi(b-a)}(I_\lambda(F)\psi)(\xi)$ , so that  $I_\lambda(F_0)$  is simply  $I_\lambda(F)$  followed by the unitary operator of multiplication by  $e^{i\xi(b-a)}$ . In particular,  $\|I_\lambda(F_0)\| = \|I_\lambda(F)\|$ .

EXAMPLE 2. (Functions of independent increments.) Let

$$\sigma: [a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b]$$

be a partition of  $[a, b]$ . Let

$$F(x) \equiv g(x(t_1) - x(a), x(t_2) - x(t_1), \dots, x(b) - x(t_{n-1})).$$

We wish to illustrate how such functionals may be treated in the framework of our theorems. We consider the case where  $n = 3$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x(t_1) - x(a), x(t_2) - x(t_1), x(b) - x(t_2)) \\ &= g\left((t_1 - a)^{1/2} \int_a^{t_1} \alpha_1(t) dx(t), (t_2 - t_1)^{1/2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha_2(t) dx(t), (b - t_2)^{1/2} \int_{t_2}^b \alpha_3(t) dx(t)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_1(t) \equiv (t_1 - a)^{-1/2} \chi_{[a, t_1)}(t), \alpha_2(t) \equiv (t_2 - t_1)^{-1/2} \chi_{[t_1, t_2)}(t),$$

and  $\alpha_3(t) \equiv (b - t_2)^{-1/2} \chi_{[t_2, b]}(t)$  is an orthonormal set. This situation illustrates case (c) of the introduction. Accordingly, we seek another orthonormal basis  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$  for  $\operatorname{span}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  with  $\beta_1 = \alpha_0$ . Routine but tedious computations show that we may take

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2(t) &= (b - t_1)^{1/2} (t_1 - a)^{-1/2} (b - a)^{-1/2} \chi_{[a, t_1)}(t) \\ &\quad - (t_1 - a)^{1/2} (b - t_1)^{-1/2} (b - a)^{-1/2} \chi_{[t_1, b]}(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_3(t) &= (b - t_2)^{1/2}(t_2 - t_1)^{-1/2}(b - t_1)^{-1/2}\chi_{[t_1, t_2]}(t) \\ &\quad - (t_2 - t_1)^{1/2}(b - t_2)^{-1/2}(b - t_1)^{-1/2}\chi_{[t_2, b]}(t) .\end{aligned}$$

Then writing the  $\alpha_j$ 's in terms of the  $\beta_j$ 's and letting

$$\begin{aligned}& f(u_1, u_2, u_3) \\ \equiv & g((t_1 - a)^{1/2}(b - a)^{-1/2}[(b - t_1)^{1/2}u_2 \\ & + (t_1 - a)^{1/2}u_1], (t_2 - t_1)^{1/2}[-(t_2 - t_1)^{1/2}(t_1 - a)^{1/2}(b - t_1)^{-1/2}(b - a)^{-1/2}u_2 \\ & + (b - t_2)^{1/2}(b - t_1)^{-1/2}u_3 \\ & + (t_2 - t_1)^{1/2}(b - a)^{-1/2}u_1], (b - t_2)^{1/2}[-(b - t_2)^{1/2}(t_1 - a)^{1/2}(b - t_1)^{-1/2}(b - a)^{-1/2}u_2 \\ & - (t_2 - t_1)^{1/2}(b - t_1)^{-1/2}u_3 + (b - t_2)^{1/2}(b - a)^{-1/2}u_1]) ,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$F(x) = f\left(\int_a^b \beta_1(t)dx(t), \int_a^b \beta_2(t)dx(t), \int_a^b \beta_3(t)dx(t)\right)$$

which is case (b). In connection with Theorem 2 we mention that if  $g$  is integrable, so also is  $f$ .

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $f(u_1, u_2) = e^{-(u_1^2 + u_2^2)}$  and let

$$F(x) = f\left(\int_a^b \alpha_1(t)dx(t), \int_a^b \alpha_2(t)dx(t)\right)$$

where  $\alpha_1(t) = (b - a)^{-1/2}$  and  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$  are orthonormal. In this case,  $h_\lambda(u) = \lambda^{1/2}(\lambda + 2)^{-1/2}e^{-u^2/(b-a)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}(he_\lambda)(y) = \lambda(\lambda + 2)^{-1}e^{-(b-a)y^2/2(\lambda+2)}$ . Thus for example  $\|I_\lambda(F)\| = |\lambda| |\lambda + 2|^{-1}$  and  $\|J_q(F)\| = |q| |2 - iq|^{-1}$ .

The authors would like to thank R. H. Cameron for a helpful conversation and Professors Cameron and Storvick for an opportunity to see an early version of [4].

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. T. W. Anderson, *An introduction to multivariate statistical analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1958.
2. R. H. Cameron, *The Ilstow and Feynman integrals*, J. Anal. Math. **10** (1962/1963), 287-361.
3. R. H. Cameron and D. A. Storvick, *An operator valued function space integral and a related integral equation*, J. Math. and Mech. **18** (1968), 517-52.
4. ———, *An integral equation related to the Schroedinger equation with an application to integration in function space* to appear in Bochner Memorial Volume.
5. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part II, Interscience Publishers, New York and London, 1964.
6. G. W. Johnson and D. L. Skoug, *Operator-valued Feynman integrals of certain finite-dimensional functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 774-780.

7. R. E. A. C. Paley, N. Wiener and A. Zygmund, *Notes on random functions*, Math. Zeit. **37** (1933), 647-68.
8. H. G. Tucker, *A graduate course in probability*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
9. D. L. Skoug, *Generalized Itô and Feynman integrals*, Pacific J. Math. **26** (1968), 171-92.

Received August 22, 1969. The second author wishes to thank the University of Nebraska Research Council for financial support.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA  
LINCOLN, NEBRASKA



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Shair Ahmad, <i>On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations</i> .....	289
Leonard Asimow and Alan John Ellis, <i>Facial decomposition of linearly compact simplexes and separation of functions on cones</i> .....	301
Kirby Alan Baker and Albert Robert Stralka, <i>Compact, distributive lattices of finite breadth</i> .....	311
James W. Cannon, <i>Sets which can be missed by side approximations to spheres</i> .....	321
Prem Chandra, <i>Absolute summability by Riesz means</i> .....	335
Francis T. Christoph, <i>Free topological semigroups and embedding topological semigroups in topological groups</i> .....	343
Henry Bruce Cohen and Francis E. Sullivan, <i>Projecting onto cycles in smooth, reflexive Banach spaces</i> .....	355
John Dauns, <i>Power series semigroup rings</i> .....	365
Robert E. Dressler, <i>A density which counts multiplicity</i> .....	371
Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>Primary rings and double centralizers</i> .....	379
Gary Allen Gislason, <i>On the existence question for a family of products</i> .....	385
Alan Stuart Gleit, <i>On the structure topology of simplex spaces</i> .....	389
William R. Gordon and Marvin David Marcus, <i>An analysis of equality in certain matrix inequalities. I</i> .....	407
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Operator-valued Feynman integrals of finite-dimensional functionals</i> .....	415
(Harold) David Kahn, <i>Covering semigroups</i> .....	427
Keith Milo Kendig, <i>Fibrations of analytic varieties</i> .....	441
Norman Yeomans Luther, <i>Weak denseness of nonatomic measures on perfect, locally compact spaces</i> .....	453
Guillermo Owen, <i>The four-person constant-sum games; Discriminatory solutions on the main diagonal</i> .....	461
Stephen Parrott, <i>Unitary dilations for commuting contractions</i> .....	481
Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>Extremal elements of the convex cone <math>A_n</math> of functions</i> .....	491
Peter Lewis Renz, <i>Intersection representations of graphs by arcs</i> .....	501
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Representation and series summability of complete biorthogonal sequences</i> .....	511
F. Dennis Sentilles, <i>The strict topology on bounded sets</i> .....	529
Saharon Shelah, <i>A note on Hanf numbers</i> .....	541
Harold Simmons, <i>The solution of a decision problem for several classes of rings</i> .....	547
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Finite transformation formulae involving the Legendre symbol</i> .....	559