# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

REAL C\*-ALGEBRAS

THEODORE WINDLE PALMER

Vol. 35, No. 1

September 1970

# REAL C\*-ALGEBRAS

# T. W. PALMER

Several variants of the classical Gelfand-Neumark characterization of complex  $C^*$ -algebras are here extended to characterize real  $C^*$ -algebras up to isometric\*-isomorphism and also up to homeomorphic \*-isomorphism. The proofs depend on norming the complexification of the real algebra and applying the author's characterization of complex  $C^*$ -algebras to the result. L. Ingelstam has obtained similar but weaker results by an entirely different method.

An involution on  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a map (\*):  $\mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  which is a conjugate linear involutive antiautomorphism. A generalized involution is an involution except that it may be either an automorphism or an antiautomorphism (Generalized involutions have been considered previously by B. Yood [12]. If  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}^0 \bigoplus \mathfrak{A}^1$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  graded real algebra, then  $x^0 + x^1 \to x^0 - x^1$  is an automorphic generalized involution, and conversely the sets of hermitian and skew hermitian elements in a real algebra with an automorphic generalized involution give a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ grading.) An algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with a [generalized] involution is called a [generalized] \*-algebra. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is also a Banach algebra and the norm and involution satisfy  $||x^* x|| = ||x||^2$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a [generalized]  $B^*$ -algebra.

If  $\varkappa$  is a real or complex Hilbert space, then  $[\varkappa]$ , the Banach algebra of all bounded linear transformations from  $\measuredangle$  into  $\measuredangle$ , is a  $B^*$ algebra when the involution is defined as the map assigning to each element its Hilbert space adjoint. A subset of a generalized \*-algebra is called self adjoint if it is closed under the involution. A self adjoint subalgebra is called a \*-subalgebra. Obviously a norm closed \*-subalgebra of  $[\varkappa]$  is also a B\*-algebra. A homomorphism  $\varphi$  from an algebra X with generalized involution into [1] is called a \*-representation if  $\varphi(x^*) = \varphi(x)^*$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . A Banach generalized \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  will be called a  $C^*$ -algebra if there is an isometric \*-representation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  on some Hilbert space. In this case the generalized involution is in fact antiautomorphic. A generalized \*-algebla  $\mathfrak{A}$ is called hermitian if and only if  $-h^2$  has a quasi-inverse in  $\mathfrak{A}$  for each hermitian element h in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , skew hermitian if and only if  $j^2$  has a quasi-inverse in  $\mathfrak{A}$  for each skew hermitian element j in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Α \*-algebra is called symmetric if and only if  $-x^*x$  has a quasiinverse in  $\mathfrak{A}$  for each x in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Complex B\*-algebras are necessarily symmetric and therefore hermitian. However the complex numbers, C considered as a real Banach algebra with the identity map as

involution are an example of a nonhermitian real  $B^*$ -algebra. The existence of an involution or generalized involution is a much weaker condition on a real algebra than on a complex algebra since the identity map is an involution on any commutative real algebra and a generalized involution on any real algebra.

It is well known that any complex  $B^*$ -algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra. See [4] for a proof and further references (cf. [2], [11]). The analogous result for real  $B^*$ -algebras is false without further restriction. In fact we prove the following theorem which extends results of L. Ingelstam [5, 17.7, 18.6, 18.7, 18.8].

THEOREM 1. The following are equivalent for a real Banach generalized \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ :

- (1)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a C\*-algebra.
- (2)  $||x||^2 \leq ||x^*x + y^*y||$  for all x, y in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- (3)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a hermitian generalized  $B^*$ -algebra.

A complex \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with an identity is a C\*-algebra if and only if  $||z^*|| ||z|| \leq ||z^*z||$  for all normal elements z in  $\mathfrak{A}$  [3, 2.5], and any complex \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a C\*-algebra if and only if the same inequality holds for all elements x in  $\mathfrak{A}$  [11]. It is not known whether these results generalize to real hermitian \*-algebra.

We call a generalized \*-algebra  $C^*$ -equivalent if and only if it is homeomorphically \*-isomorphic to some  $C^*$ -algebra. Thus a generalized \*-algebra is  $C^*$ -equivalent if and only if it has a homeomorphic \*-representation on some Hilbert space.

THEOREM 2. The following are equivalent for a real Banach generalized \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(1)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $C^*$ -equivalent.

(2) There is a constant C such that  $||z^*|| ||z|| \leq C ||z^*z + w^*w||_{4}$  for all commuting pairs of normal elements z, w in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(3)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is hermitian and there is a constant C such that  $||z^*|| ||z||_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C ||z^*z||$  for all normal elements z in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

(4) At is hermitian and skew hermitian and there is a constant: C such that  $||k||^2 \leq C ||k^2||$  for all hermitian and all skew hermitian elements k in A.

The real group algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_1$ -norm and an involution given by  $(a + b\gamma)^* = a - b\gamma$  where  $\gamma$  is the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  satisfies condition (4) except that it is not skew hermitian. Also the algebra C of complex numbers with the identity map as involution satisfies (3) and (4) except that it is not hermitian. The equivalence of (1) and (4) can be regarded as a real and noncommutative version of B. Yood's result [12, 4.1(4)] or as a real version of his Theorem 2.7 in [13] as extended by a remark in [10]. Notice that condition (2), (3), (4) do not assume the continuity of the involution nor do they put any restriction on nonnormal elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . In these respects Theorem 2 significantly strengthens Theorem 17.6 of L. Ingelstam in [5].

S. Shirali and J. W. M. Ford have recently shown [10] that a complex Banach algebra with a hermitian real linear involution is symmetric. Their arguments also show that a real hermitian and skew hermitian Banach \*-algebra is symmetric. Although the full force of the real version of this result could be avoided in our arguments it is noted in Lemma 1 because of its general interest.

The theorems are all proved by embedding the real algebra in a complex algebra and using a recent result of the author on complex  $C^*$ -algebras:

THEOREM A ([7]). A complex Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with an identity element 1 of norm one is isometrically isomorphic to some complex  $C^*$ -algebra if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the linear span of

$$\mathfrak{A}_{H} = \{h \in \mathfrak{A} : || \exp(ith) || \leq 1, \forall t \in \mathbf{R} \}$$
.

In this case each element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a unique decomposition x = h + ikwith  $h, k \in \mathfrak{A}_{H}$ . Furthermore the map  $h + ik \rightarrow h - ik$  is an involution on  $\mathfrak{A}$  and any isometric isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into a C\*-algebra is a \*-isomorphism relative to this involution.

2. Embedding in a complex  $C^*$ -algebra. The fundamental tool used in this paper is described in Proposition 1 at the end of this section. For convenience we establish some notation to use throughout the paper.

If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a real algebra, we shall denote the associated complex algebra by  $\mathfrak{B}$ . That is,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the set of formal expressions x + iywith x and y in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and the obvious algebraic operations. Recall that the spectrum of an element in a real algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is defined to be its usual spectrum in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Notice that with this convention a real algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with generalized involution is hermitian if and only if each hermitian element in  $\mathfrak{A}$  has real spectrum, is skew hermitian if and only if each skew hermitian element has purely imaginary spectrum, and a \*-algebra is symmetric if and only if  $x^*x$  has nonnegative spectrum for each element x in  $\mathfrak{A}$  [8, 4.1.7 and 4.7.6]. Clearly a complex \*-algebra is skew hermitian if and only if it is hermitian. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a generalized involution, then  $\mathfrak{B}$  will be endowed with the generalized involution  $(x + iy)^* = x^* - iy^*$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an algebra without an identity then  $\mathfrak{A}^{1}$  will represent the algebra (under the obvious operation) of all formal expressions x + t with x in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and t a scalar. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is normed  $\mathfrak{A}^{1}$  is given the norm ||x + t|| = ||x|| + |t| unless  $\mathfrak{A}$  is assumed to be a generalized  $B^{*}$ -algebra in which case the norm

$$||x + t|| = \sup \{||xu + tu||: u \in \mathfrak{A}, ||u|| = 1\}$$

is used instead. If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Banach algebra the first norm on  $\mathfrak{A}^{1}$  is complete, and if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $B^*$ -algebra so is  $\mathfrak{A}^{1}$  with the second norm [8, 4.1.13].

It is also convenient to introduce once and for all the following notation for the sets of hermitian, skew hermitian, unitary, normal and positive elements in a generalized \*-algebra:

$$\mathfrak{A}_{H} = \{h \in \mathfrak{A} : h = h^*\}, \ \mathfrak{A}_{J} = \{j \in \mathfrak{A} : -j = j^*\},\ \mathfrak{A}_{U} = \{u \in \mathfrak{A} : uu^* = u^*u = 1\}, \ \mathfrak{A}_{N} = \{z \in \mathfrak{A} : z^*z = z^*z\},\ \mathfrak{A}_{+} = \{h \in \mathfrak{A}_{U}: h \text{ has nonnegative real spectrum}\}.$$

Notice that this is only one of several possible notions of positivity. It will be convenient to use  $\mathfrak{A}_{G}$  to denote  $\mathfrak{A}_{H} \bigcup \mathfrak{A}_{J}$  in a (real or complex) generalized \*-algebra. Denote the spectrum and spectral radius of an element x in a Banach algebra by  $\sigma(x)$  and  $\nu(x)$ , respectively. Note that  $\sigma(x^*) = \{\overline{\lambda} : \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$  so that  $\nu(x) = \nu(x^*)$  for all x in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

LEMMA 1. (Shirali and Ford [10].) A real hermitian and skew hermitian Banach \*-algebra is symmetric.

**Proof.** Ford's square root lemma [1] is proved for a real Banach \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  by applying the original proof to the complexification  $\mathfrak{G}$ of a closed maximal commutative \*-subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  which contains h, and noting that  $u = \lim h_n$  lies in the natural image of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Lemmas 1 through 5 of [10] now follow for real \*-algebras without essential change. The proof is completed by constructing the real commutative \*-subalgebra  $\mathfrak{S}$  as in [10] and noting that  $\theta$  is defined on the complexification of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

We note that the proof of Ford's square root lemma holds even for real Banach generalized \*-algebras.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a (real or complex) Banach generalized \*-algebra. Let there be a constant C such that  $||k||^2 \leq C ||k^2||$  for all  $k \in \mathfrak{A}_{G}$ . Then

(a)  $||k|| \leq C \nu(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathfrak{A}_{G}$ .

(b) The involution is continuous.

(c) If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is hermitian and lacks an identity then  $||k + t||^2 \leq 9C^2 ||(k + t)^2||$  for all  $k + t \in (\mathfrak{A}^1)_G$ .

(d) Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be hermitian and if the involution is antiautomorphic let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be skew hermitian. Then  $\mathfrak{A}_+$  is closed under addition.

*Proof.* (a)  $||k|| \leq (CC^2 \cdots C^{2^{n-1}})^{2^{-n}} ||k^{2^n}||^{2^{-n}}$ 

(b) This follows from Theorem 3.4 in [12].

(c) If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is real  $(\mathfrak{A}^{1})_{J} = \mathfrak{A}_{J}$  and if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is complex the inequality for elements in  $(\mathfrak{A}^{1})_{J}$  follows from the inequality for elements in  $(\mathfrak{A}^{1})_{H}$ . Thus let  $h \in \mathfrak{A}_{H}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . By replacing h by -h if necessary we can assume that  $\nu(h)$  is the greatest real number in  $\sigma(h)$ . Let the convex hull of  $\sigma(h)$  be [-r, s]. Then r and  $s = \nu(h)$  are nonnegative since  $\mathfrak{A}$  lacks an identity, and  $\sigma(h + t) \subseteq [-r + t, s + t]$ .

Case 1.  $t \ge 0$ . Then  $C\nu(h+t) = C(s+t) \ge ||h|| + |t| = ||h+t||$ .

Case 2.  $0 > t \ge r-s/2$ . Then  $3C \nu (h+t) = 3C (s+t) \ge 3C (s+(r-s/2)) \ge 3C (S/2) \ge C(s-(r-s/2) \ge C(s+|t|) \ge ||h+t||$ .

Case 3. r-s/2 > t. Then  $3C \nu (h+t) = 3C (r-t) \ge 3C(r-(2/3))$  $(r-s/2) - 1/3 t) \ge C (s-t) \ge || h + t ||$ . Thus in any case  $3C \nu (h+t)$  $\ge || h + t ||$  so that  $|| h + t ||^2 \le 9C^2 \nu (h+t)^2 = 9C^2 \nu (h+t))^2) \le 9C^2$  $|| (h + t)^2 ||$ .

(d) If the involution is antiautomorphic this follows from Lemma 1 and [8, 4.7.10] and in any case is an intermediate step in the proof of Lemma 1. If the involution is automorphic then  $\mathfrak{A}_{H}$  is a \*-subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in which every element satisfies  $||h||^{2} \leq C ||h^{2}||$ and has real spectrum. Then  $\mathfrak{A}_{H}$  is semisimple by [12, 3.5] and thus is commutative by [6, Th. 4.8]. Thus  $\mathfrak{A}_{+} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_{H}$  is closed under addition since the spectrum is subadditive in a commutative algebra.

The existence of C such that  $||k||^2 \leq C ||k^2||$  for all  $k \in \mathfrak{A}_G$  is equivalent to the existence of B or D such that  $||k|| \leq B\nu(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathfrak{A}_G$  or  $||z|| \leq D\nu(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{A}_N$ , since  $||z|| \leq ||(z+z^*)/2|| + ||(z-z^*)/2||$  $\leq C(\nu(z) + \nu(z^*)) = 2C\nu(z)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a real hermitian and skew hermitian Banach generalized \*-algebra. Let there be a constant C such that  $||k||^2 \leq C ||k^2||$  for each  $k \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{G}}$ . Then there is a complex  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  and a homeomorphic \*-isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

*Proof.*  $\mathfrak{A}^1$  is hermitian and skew hermitian. Thus using Lemma 2(c) we may assume  $\mathfrak{A}$  has an identity element. We will define a

norm on  $\mathfrak{B}$  which makes it a complex Banach algebra satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem A. The norm  $|| \cdot ||_{\sigma}$  for  $\mathfrak{B}$  is defined to be the Minkowski functional of the convex hull of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}$ , or directly:

 $||x + iy||_{U} = \inf \{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j : x + iy = \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j u_j; t_j \in \mathbf{R}, t_j \ge 0; u_j \in \mathfrak{B}_{U} \}.$ (This norm has been used previously by Russo and Dye [9]).

In order to prove that this expression is always finite and in fact a complete norm, it is easiest to introduce another norm  $||| \cdot |||$  on  $\mathfrak{B}$ which is obviously finite and complete and then compare  $|| \cdot ||_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $||| \cdot |||$ . Let |||x + iy||| = ||x|| + ||y|| for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ . With respect to this norm  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a real Banach generalized \*-algebra.

By Lemma 2(b) the involution in  $\mathfrak{A}$  is continuous. Let a constant such that  $||x^*|| \leq B ||x||$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  then x = h + j where  $h = (x + x^*)/2 \in \mathfrak{A}_H$  and  $j = (x - x^*)/2 \in \mathfrak{A}_J$ . Clearly ||h|| and ||j|| are bounded by  $(1 + B) ||x||/2 \leq B ||x||$ .

Let s be a real number greater than B ||x||. Then the power series for  $V = \cos^{-1}(h/s)$  and  $w = \sinh^{-1}(j/s)$  converge and  $h = s [\exp(iv) + \exp(-iv)]/2$ ,  $j = s [\exp(w) + (-\exp(-w))]/2$  with each exponential in  $\mathfrak{B}_{U}$ . Similarly iy can be expressed as a positive real linear combination of elements in  $\mathfrak{B}_{U}$ . Thus  $||x + iy||_{U}$  is always finite and in fact  $||x + iy||_{U} \leq 2B(||x|| + ||y||) = 2B|||x + iy|||$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

It is obvious from the definition that  $|| \cdot ||_{v}$  is a norm for a real linear space. However  $\mathfrak{B}$  is also a complex normed algebra with respect to  $|| \cdot ||_{v}$  since  $\mathfrak{B}_{v}$  is a multiplicative group closed under multiplication by complex numbers of norm one. Furthermore the involution is an isometry.

Any element  $u \in \mathfrak{B}_{U}$  can be written as u = h + j + i(k + g) with  $h, k \in \mathfrak{A}_{H}$  and  $j, g \in \mathfrak{A}_{J}$ . Taking the real part of the equations  $u^{*} u = 1$  and  $uu^{*} = 1$  we get

$$egin{array}{ll} h^2-j^2+k^2-g^2+hj-jh+ky-gk=1\ h^2-j^2+k^2-g^2+jh-hj+gk-kg=1\ . \end{array}$$

Thus  $h^2 - j^2 + k^2 - g^2 = 1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is hermitian and skew hermitian,  $h^2$ ,  $k^2$ ,  $-j^2$  and  $-g^2$  all belong to  $\mathfrak{A}_+$ . Thus by Lemma 2(d)  $-j^2 + k^2 - g^2 \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ . Therefore  $\sigma(h^2) \leq \sigma(1 - (-j^2 + k^2 - g^2)) \leq [0, 1]$ and  $\nu(h) \leq 1$ . Similarly  $\nu(j) \leq 1$ ,  $\nu(k) \leq 1$  and  $\nu(g) \leq 1$ . Thus

$$||u||| = ||h + j|| + ||k + g|| \le ||h|| + ||j|| + ||k|| + ||g|| \le 4C$$

for all  $u \in \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}$ . Thus if  $x + iy = \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}u_{j}$  with  $t_{j} \ge 0$  and  $u_{j} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}$ then  $|||x + iy||| \le (\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}) |||u_{j}||| \le 4C \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}$ . Therefore  $|||x + iy||| \le 4C ||x + iy|||$  $\le 4C ||x + iy||_{\sigma}$  for all x + iy in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

Since  $|| \cdot ||_v$  is equivalent to a complete norm it is a complete

norm. Thus  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a complex Banach algebra with an identity element of norm one. Furthermore  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the linear span of  $\mathfrak{B}_{H}$ . For each hin  $\mathfrak{B}_{H}$ ,  $\exp(ith)$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}_{U}$  and hence  $||\exp(ith)||_{U} \leq 1$ . Therefore  $(\mathfrak{B}, || \cdot ||_{U})$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem A and is a complex  $C^*$ -algebra with respect to its involution.

We must still show that the natural map of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a homeomorphism. This is true since, for all x in  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $||x||_{\sigma} \leq 2B |||x||| = 2B ||x|| \leq 8BC ||x||_{\sigma}$ .

COROLLARY 1. Any generalized \*-algebra satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 1 has an antiautomorphic involution.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a real hermitian and skew hermitian generalized  $B^*$ -algebra. Then there is a complex  $C^*$ -algebra and a real isometric \*-isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathfrak{A}$  as embedded in  $(\mathfrak{B}, || \cdot ||_{\mathcal{U}})$  as described in Proposition 1. Using Lemma 2(a), Corollary 1 and the fact that a  $C^*$ -algebra is a  $B^*$ -algebra we get

 $||x||^2 = ||x^*x|| = \nu(x^*x) = ||x^*x||_{\mathcal{U}} = ||x||_{\mathcal{U}}^2$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

Thus the embedding is an isometry.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We need three more lemmas. The first one records the connection between real and complex \*-representations.

LEMMA 3. Let  $\varphi$  be an isometric \*-representation of the [real, respectively, complex] B\*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  on the [real, respectively, complex] Hilbert space  $\measuredangle$ . Then there is a natural isometric \*-representation  $\psi$  of the [complex, respectively, real] algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  associated with  $\mathfrak{A}$  on the complex, respectively, real] Hilbert space  $\mathscr{K}$  associated with  $\measuredangle$ .

*Proof.* If  $\varkappa$  is real let  $\mathscr{K}$  be the set of formal expressions  $\xi + i\eta$  where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  belong to  $\varkappa$ . The inner product in  $\mathscr{K}$  is given by

$$(\xi + i\eta, \zeta + i\mu) = (\xi, \zeta) + i(\eta, \zeta) - i(\xi, \mu) + (\eta, \mu)$$

and thus the norm in  $\mathscr{K}$  is given by  $||\xi + i\eta||^2 = ||\xi||^2 + ||\eta||^2$ . The complex  $B^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  associated to the real  $B^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is that defined in the proof of Proposition 1. The typical element of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is of the form x + iy with x and y elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Define  $\psi$  by

$$\psi(x+iy)(\xi+i\eta)=arphi(x)\xi+iarphi(x)\eta+iarphi(y)\xi-arphi(y)\eta$$
 .

It is easy to check that this is a \*-isomorphism, and that the image is closed in the norm of  $[\mathscr{H}]$ . Thus the complex \*-algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be provided with a *B*\*-norm pulled back through  $\psi$ . This norm must agree with the *B*\*-norm defined in the proof of Proposition 1. Thus  $\psi$  is an isometry.

Now consider the case where  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathscr{A}$  are complex. The associated real algebra and vector space are obtained by merely restricting scalar multiplication to the real numbers. The inner product and norm in  $\mathscr{K}$  are  $(\xi, \eta)_{\mathscr{K}} = \operatorname{Re}(\xi, \eta)_{\mathscr{K}}, ||\xi||_{\mathscr{K}} = ||\xi||_{\mathscr{K}}$ . Thus  $\varphi$  considered as a \*-representation of a real algebra coincides with  $\psi$ .

**LEMMA 4.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach generalized \*-algebra. Let there be a constant C such that  $||z^*|| ||z|| \leq C ||z^*z + w^*w||$  for all commuting elements z and w in  $\mathfrak{A}_N$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is hermitian and skew hermitian.

**Proof.** Any  $k \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{G}}$  lies in some closed maximal commutative \*-subalgebra  $\mathfrak{E}$  [8, 4.1.3] where it has the same spectrum as in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . By Lemma 2(b) there is a constant B such that  $||z||^2 \leq B ||z^*|| ||z|| \leq BC ||z^*z + w^*w||$  when z and w lie in  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{E}$  satisfies Theorem 4.2.3 in [8] so that it is hermitian and skew hermitian. Thus  $\mathfrak{A}$  is also.

LEMMA 5. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Banach generalized \*-algebra satisfying  $||z^*|| ||z|| \leq C ||z^*z||$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{A}_N$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is skew hermitian.

**Proof.** Let B be the bound for the generalized involution guaranteed by Lemma 2(b). Then the involution in  $\mathfrak{A}^1$  is also bounded by B. For an arbitrary skew hermitian element j of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $e^j(e^j)^* = e^j e^{-j} = 1 = (e^j)^*(e^j)$  is  $\mathfrak{A}^1$ . If z + t is in  $(\mathfrak{A}^1)_U$ , then  $z^*z + tz^* + tz = 0$  and  $t^2 = 1$ . Thus  $||z||^2 \leq B ||z^*|| ||z|| \leq BC ||z^*z|| \leq BC (1 + B) ||z||$ , so  $||z + t|| \leq BC (1 + B) + 1$ . Applying this to  $e^{nj}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  gives  $\nu(e^j) = \nu(e^{-j}) = 1$ . Therefore the spectrum of  $e^j$  lies on the unit circle and the spectrum of j is purely imaginary.

Proof of Theorem 1.  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Consider  $\mathfrak{A}$  as embedded in  $[\mathscr{A}]$  for a suitable Hilbert space  $\mathscr{A}$ . Then for x and y in  $[\mathscr{A}]$ .

$$\begin{split} || x ||^2 &= \sup \left\{ || x \xi ||^2 \right\} \leq \sup \left\{ || x \xi ||^2 + || y \xi ||^2 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ (x^* x \xi, \xi) + (y^* y \xi, \xi) \right\} = \sup \left\{ ((x^* x + y^* y) \xi, \xi) \right\} \\ &\leq || x^* x + y^* y || \end{split}$$

where each supremum is over all  $\xi \in \mathscr{A}$  with  $||\xi|| \leq 1$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Lemma 4.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Lemma 5, Corollary 2 and Lemma 3.

Note that without changing this proof, condition (2) of Theorem 1 can be weakened to:  $||x||^2 \leq ||x^*x||$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$  and there exists a constant C such that  $||z^*|| ||z|| \leq C ||z^*z + w^*w||$  for all commuting pairs z and w in  $\mathfrak{A}_N$ . This is essentially the condition  $Dc^*$  in [5, 18.6].

Proof of Theorem 2.  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ : Theorem 1 and Lemma 2b. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Lemma 4. (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Lemma 5. (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Proposition 1 and Lemma 3.

The following corollary bears the same relationship to Theorem 2 that [5, 18.7] bears to Theorem 1 or [5, 18.6].

COROLLARY 3. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a real normed generalized \*-algebra. Let there be a constant C such that  $||x||^2 \leq C ||x^*x + y^*y||$  for all x and y in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a homeomorphic \*-representation on some Hilbert space.

*Proof.* The generalized involution is continuous since  $||x||^2 \leq C ||x^*x|| \leq C ||x^*|| ||x||$ . Thus the completion of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a generalized \*-algebra which satisfies the same inequality and hence satisfies Theorem 2.

The author wishes to thank S. Shirali and J. W. M. Ford for supplying a prepublication copy of [10], C. E. Rickart for telling him of reference [5], and the referee for pointing out an error in the original version of Lemma 1.

#### References

1. J. W. M. Ford, A square root lemma for Banach \*-algebras, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 521-522.

2. I. Gelfand and M. Neumark, On the embedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space, Mat. Sbornik (N.S.) 12(1943), 197-213.

- 3. B. W. Glickfeld, A metric characterization of C(X) and its generalization to C\*algebras, Illinois J. Math. **10**(1966), 547-556.
- 4. J. G. Glimm and R. V. Kadison, Unitary operators in C\*-algebras, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 547-556.

6. I. Kaplansky, Normed algebras, Duke Math. J. 16 (1949), 399-418.

<sup>5.</sup> L. Ingelstam, Real Banach algebras, Ark. Math. 5 (1964), 239-279.

7. T. W. Palmer, Characterizations of C\*-algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 538-540.

8. C. E. Rickart, General theory of Banach algebras, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.

9. B. Russo and H. A. Dye, A note on unitary operations in C\*-algebras, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 413-416.

10. S. Shirali and J. W. M. Ford, Symmetry in complex involutory Banach algebras II Duke Math. J. **37** (1970), 275-280.

11. B. J. Vowden, On the Gelfand-Neumark theorem, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 725-731.

12. B. Yood, Topological properties of homomorphisms between Banach algebras, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 155-167.

13. B. Yood, Faithful \*-representations of normed algebras, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 345-363.

Received March 6, 1969. A preliminary version of this article was presented to the American Mathematical Society, Abstract No. 663-468. The author thanks the University of Kansas for its support of his research.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS LAWRENCE, KANSAS

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD PIERCE

J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B.

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	* * *
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
OSAKA UNIVERSITY	TRW SYSTEMS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 35, No. 1September, 1970

B. D. Arendt and C. J. Stuth, <i>On the structure of commutative periodic semigroups</i>	1
B. D. Arendt and C. J. Stuth, <i>On partial homomorphisms of semigroups</i>	7
Leonard Asimow, <i>Extensions of continuous affine functions</i>	11
Claude Elias Billigheimer, Regular boundary problems for a five-term	
recurrence relation	23
Edwin Ogilvie Buchman and F. A. Valentine, A characterization of the parallelepiped in $E^n$	53
Victor P. Camillo, A note on commutative injective rings	59
Larry Jean Cummings, <i>Decomposable symmetric tensors</i>	65
J. E. H. Elliott, On matrices with a restricted number of diagonal values	79
Garth Ian Gaudry, <i>Bad behavior and inclusion results for multipliers of type</i>	
(p, q)	83
Frances F. Gulick, <i>Derivations and actions</i>	95
Langdon Frank Harris, On subgroups of prime power index	117
Jutta Hausen, The hypo residuum of the automorphism group of an abelian	
<i>p-group</i>	127
R. Hrycay, Noncontinuous multifuctions	141
A. Jeanne LaDuke, On a certain generalization of $p$ spaces	155
Marion-Josephine Lim, Rank preservers of skew-symmetric matrices	169
John Hathway Lindsey, II, On a six dimensional projective representation of	
the Hall-Janko group	175
Roger McCann, Transversally perturbed planar dynamical systems	187
Theodore Windle Palmer, <i>Real C*-algebras</i>	195
Don David Porter, Symplectic bordism, Stiefel-Whitney numbers, and a	
Novikov resolution	205
Tilak Raj Prabhakar, On a set of polynomials suggested by Laguerre	
polynomials	213
B. L. S. Prakasa Rao, <i>Infinitely divisible characteristic functionals on locally</i>	
convex topological vector spaces	221
John Robert Reay, <i>Caratheodory theorems in convex product structures</i>	227
Allan M. Sinclair, <i>Eigenvalues in the boundary of the numerical range</i>	231
David R. Stone, <i>Torsion-free and divisible modules over matrix rings</i>	235
William Jennings Wickless, A characterization of the nil radical of a	
ring	255