Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON NETS OF CONTRACTIVE MAPS IN UNIFORM SPACES

GERALD L. ITZKOWITZ

Vol. 35, No. 2

October 1970

ON NETS OF CONTRACTIVE MAPS IN UNIFORM SPACES

G. L. Itzkowitz

R. B. Fraser and S. Nadler have recently proved the following theorem: If X is a locally compact metric space, if $f_n \rightarrow f_0$ pointwise, where each f_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ is a contractive map with fixed point a_n , then $f_n \rightarrow f_0$ uniformly on compacta, and $a_n \rightarrow a_0$. Their method of proof actually showed more. In fact it implied that if a_0 was a fixed point of f_0 , and if U is a compact neighborhood of a_0 , then there exists a natural number N(U) such that if $n \ge N(U)$ then f_n had a fixed point $a_n \in U$, and $a_n \rightarrow a_0$. In 1963, W. J. Kammerer and R. H. Kasriel proved a theorem giving conditions for existence and uniqueness of fixed points of a general type contractive map on a uniform space. Edelstein in 1965, was able to considerably strengthen their results and achieved a significant extension of the Banach fixed point theorem. In this paper we show that the theorem of Fraser and Nadler may be extended with minor alteration to include locally compact uniform spaces. It was evident in the context of uniform spaces that the covergent sequences of their theorem should be replaced by covergent nets. Our method of proof is similar to their proof and used Edelstein's fixed point theorem.

1. Some preliminary results. In what follows let (X, \mathfrak{U}) be a uniform space and let f be a mapping of X into itself. Let \mathfrak{B} be a symmetric base for the uniformity \mathfrak{U} .

DEFINITION A. f is said to be a \mathfrak{B} -contraction if for each $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $(x, y) \in U$, $x \neq y$, a $W \in \mathfrak{B}$ exists such that $(f(x), f(y)) \in W \subset$ int U, and $(x, y) \notin W$.

DEFINITION B. f is said to be \mathfrak{B} -contractive if for each $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $(x, y) \in U$, $x \neq y$, a $W \in \mathfrak{B}$ exists such that $(f(x), f(y)) \in W \subset U$ and $(x, y) \notin W$.

DEFINITION C. \mathfrak{B} is said to be ample if whenever $(x, y) \in U \in \mathfrak{B}$ there is $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $(x, y) \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$.

REMARK. Except for minor changes the above terminology agrees with that used in the papers of Rhodes [8], Brown and Comfort [1], Kamerer and Kasriel [5], Edelstein [3], and Knill [7]. In addition, throughout we will use notation as standard in Kelley [6]. NOTATION. If \mathfrak{B} is a base for the uniformity \mathfrak{U} we will write $\overline{\mathfrak{B}} = \{\overline{B}: B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$. Note that if \mathfrak{B} is ample then $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a base.

LEMMA 1.1. Let \mathfrak{B} be an ample base for \mathfrak{U} , let f be a \mathfrak{B} -contraction, and let $a_0 \in X$, be such that $\overline{U}[a_0]$ is compact for some $U \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then there is $V \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that

$$ar{V}[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})] \subset \operatorname{int} \, U[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})]$$

and $f(x) \in \overline{V}[f(a_0)]$ if $x \in \overline{U}[a_0]$.

Proof. Let $x \in \overline{U}[a_0]$ be arbitrary. Then there is $W_x \subset \operatorname{int} U$, $W_x \in \mathfrak{B}$, such that $f(x) \in \operatorname{int} W_x[f(a_0)]$. Since the map $g: (a_0, x) \mapsto f(x)$ is continuous and \mathfrak{B} is ample, there is $U_x \subset \overline{U}_x \subset W_x$, $U_x \in \mathfrak{B}$, and $V_x \in \mathfrak{U}$, such that $x \in V_x[a_0]$, $f(x) \in U_x[f(a_0)]$ and if $y \in V_x[a_0]$ then $f(y) \in U_x[f(a_0)]$. Observe that the neighborhoods $\{V_x[a_0]: x \in \overline{U}[a_0]\}$ are an open cover of $\overline{U}[a_0]$ and so there is a finite subcover $V_1[a_0], \ldots, V_k[a_0]$ of $\overline{U}[a_0]$. Furthermore,

$$egin{aligned} f(ar{U}[a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]) & \subset igcup_{i=1}^k f(V_i[a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]) \subset igcup_{i=1}^k U_i[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})] \ & \subset igcup_{i=1}^k ar{U}_i[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})] \subset igcup_{i=1}^k W_i[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})] \subset \operatorname{int} U[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})] \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$ satisfies $V \in \mathfrak{U}$,

$$ar{V}[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})] = igcup_{i=1}^k U_i[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})] \subset \operatorname{int} U[f(a_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})]$$
 ,

and

$$f(x) \in \overline{V}[f(a_0)]$$
, if $x \in \overline{U}[a_0]$,

the lemma is proved.

REMARK. It should be noted that the proof went through by means of a standard compactness argument because a contraction fmaps a point of $\overline{U}[a_0]$ into the interior of $\overline{U}[f(a_0)]$. Thus any contractive map g on $\overline{U}[a_0]$ that sends $x \in \overline{U}[a_0]$ into int $\overline{U}[g(a_0)]$, for each $x \in \overline{U}[a_0]$, could be substituted for f in the lemma. We shall be applying this fact in the future in the special case where $g(a_0) = a_0$.

DEFINITION D. A uniform space (X, \mathfrak{U}) is U-chainable, if for each pair $x, y \in X$, a finite sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = y$ exists such that $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in U \in \mathfrak{U}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Such a sequence is called a U-chain. COROLLARY 1.2. If $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ is symmetric then $\overline{U}[x]$ is U-chainable, for each $x \in X$.

Proof. If $y, r \in \overline{U}[x]$, there is $z \in U[y] \cap U[x]$ and $s \in U[r] \cap U[x]$, so that $(y, z) \in U$, $(z, x) \in U$, $(x, s) \in U$, and $(s, r) \in U$.

DEFINITION E. Let $\xi \in X$ then $X^f(\xi) = \{y: y \text{ is a cluster point of the sequence } \{f^n(\xi)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\}$. Here $f^n[x] = f(f^{n-1}(x))$.

THEOREM 1.3. (M. Edelstein [3]). Let \mathfrak{B} be an open ample base for (X, \mathfrak{U}) , a U-chainable uniform space for some $U \in \mathfrak{B}$, and let $f: X \to X$ be B-contractive. If $X^f(\xi) \neq \emptyset$, for some ξ , if $x \in X^f(\xi)$, and if $X^f(y) \neq \emptyset$ for each $y \in U[x]$, then f has the unique fixed point x.

REMARK. The theorem above is a slightly weaker form of the theorem of M. Edelstein. The condition $X^{f}(\xi) \neq \emptyset$, for some ξ is needed in the theorem as may be seen by the following example.

Let $G = R \times Z$ where R denotes the real line with the usual topology, and Z denotes the integers with the discrete topology. This G is locally compact, σ -compact, locally connected, and even metrizable. Let $f: G \to G$ be defined by $f(x, k) = (\frac{1}{2}x, k + 1)$. Then f is a contraction on the base $\mathfrak{B} = \{U^*: (\xi, y) \in U^* \text{ if and only if } y \in \xi(U \times \{0\}), \text{ where } U \text{ is a basic open neighborhood of } 0 \in R\}$. However $X^{f}(\xi) = \emptyset$ for every $\xi \in G$, and clearly f has no fixed point.

We note that W. J. Krammerer and R. K. Kasriel [5] proved a slightly weaker form of 1.3. They showed that if \mathfrak{B} is an ample base for (X, \mathfrak{U}) , a *U*-chainable uniform space $(U \in \mathfrak{B})$, and if some iterate of X under f is compact, where f is \mathfrak{B} -contractive, then f has a unique fixed point.

COROLLARY 1.4. Let \mathfrak{B} be an ample open base of the uniformly locally compact uniform space (X, \mathfrak{U}) . Let $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ be such that $\overline{U}[x]$ is compact for all $x \in X$. Suppose that

(i) f is \mathfrak{B} -contractive

(ii) there exists an $x \in X$ such that f maps U[x] into itself. Then the following occur

(i) f has exactly one fixed point y in $\overline{U}[x]$.

(ii) the filter base $f^{n}[\overline{U}[x]]$ converges to y

(iii) for each $\overline{V} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ there exists k such that $f^{k}(\overline{U}[x]) \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{V}[f^{k}(x)]$. Furthermore, if X is U-chainable, then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof. We may observe that f is continuous so that $f(\overline{U}[x]) \subset \overline{U}[x]$. Also \overline{U} is U-chainable by 1.2. Let G be the restriction of f

to $\overline{U}[x]$. Then $g: \overline{U}[x] \to \overline{U}[x]$ and $\overline{U}[x]$ is compact. Thus g and \overline{U} satisfy the conditions of the theorem of Kamerer and Kasriel so that $\overline{U}[x]$ has exactly one fixed point of g, say y. This proves (i).

According to the theorem of Kammerer and Kasriel [5], $\{y\} = \bigcup \{X^{g}(\xi): \xi \in \overline{U}[x]\}$. Let now $z \in \overline{U}[x]$, and let $V \in \mathfrak{B}$. Since $f^{n}(z) \to y$ there is an integer N(z) such that $n \geq N(z)$ implies that $f^{n}(z) \in V[y]$. Since f is continuous there is $W_{z} \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $f^{n}(W_{z}[z]) \subset V[y]$. Clearly the collection $\{W_{z}[z]: z \in \overline{U}[x]\}$ covers $\overline{U}[x]$ and so a finite subcollection $W_{1}[z_{1}], \dots, W_{k}[z_{k}]$ also covers $\overline{U}[x]$. But then if $n \geq N(z_{1}) + \dots + N(z_{k})$, it follows that $f^{n}(\overline{U}[x]) \subset V[y]$, proving (ii).

Let $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ and let $W \in \mathfrak{B}$ be such that $W \circ W \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{V}$, and $W \subset V$. By part (ii) there is k such that

$$f^k(ar U[x]) \subset W[y]$$
 .

Let $z \in \overline{U}[x]$, then

 $(f^k(z), y) \in W$ and $(y, f^k(x)) \in W$

so that $f^k(z) \in W \circ W[f^k(x)] \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{V}[f^k(x)]$. But then

 $f^k(\overline{U}[x]) \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{V}[f^k(x)]$

proving (iii).

The final statement of this corollary is evident from Edelstein's theorem.

REMARK. Condition (ii) was suggested by theorem due to Knill [7]; p. 453.

2. The main results. The above theorem of M. Edelstein and the resultant corollary allows us to choose the setting for a generalization of the theorem of R. B. Fraser and S. Nadler [4] on contractive maps with fixed points. It is evident that in a uniform space where sequential convergence does not suffice to describe topological properties it is natural to replace sequential convergence of functions by convergence of nets of functions. Thus we use the following.

THEOREM 2.1. Let (X, \mathfrak{U}) be uniformly locally compact and let \mathfrak{B} be an ample base. If $\{f_{\alpha}: \alpha \in D\}$ is a net of \mathfrak{B} -contractive maps, of X into itself, converging pointwise to f_0 , a \mathfrak{B} -contractive map, then f_{α} converges uniformly to f_0 on each compact set $K \subset G$.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ be arbitrary, and let $V \in \mathfrak{B}$ be such that $V \circ V \circ V \subset U$, $V \subset U$ (see Kelley [6], p. 180. Th. 8). Since $f_{\alpha} \to f_{0}$ pointwise there exists for each x, an $\alpha(x) \in D$, such that $\alpha \geq \alpha(x)$

implies that $(f_0(x), f_\alpha(x)) \in V$.

Clearly if K is compact the collection $\{V[x]: x \in K\}$ is a cover of K and hence is reducible to a finite subcover $V[x_1], \dots, V[x_k]$ of K (note that the collection $\{\text{int } V[x]: x \in K\}$ is an open cover of K). For each i, let $\alpha_i = \alpha(x_i) \in D$, be such that $(f_0(x_i), f_\alpha(x_i)) \in V$ if $\alpha \ge \alpha_i$.

Let $x \in K$ be arbitrary. Then there is $x_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ such that $(x_j, x) \in V$ and therefore $(f_{\alpha}(x_j), f_{\alpha}(x)) \in V$ for all α , since the f_{α} are \mathfrak{B} -contractive. Thus if $\alpha_0 \geq (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ we have for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ that $(f_0(x), f_{\alpha}(x)) \in V \circ V \circ V \subset U$. [Since $(f_0(x), f_0(x_j)) \in V, (f_0(x_j), f_{\alpha}(x_j)) \in V$, and $(f_{\alpha}(x_j), f_{\alpha}(x)) \in V$.]

REMARK. It is evident from the proof of 2.1 that the theorem is true if the $f_{\alpha}(f_0)$ are weakly contractive [5] or nonexpansive [3].

THEOREM 2.2. Let \mathfrak{B} be an ample open base of the uniformly locally compact uniform space (X, \mathfrak{U}) . Let $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ be such that $\overline{U}[x]$ is compact for all $x \in X$. Let $\{f_{\alpha} \colon X \to X \mid \alpha \in D\}$ be a net of $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ contractions, and let $f_{\alpha} \to f$ pointwise, where f is a $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ -contraction. If f has a fixed point y_0 , then

(i) there is $\alpha_0 \in D$ such that if $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ then f_α has exactly one fixed point in $\overline{U}[y_0]$, say y_α .

(ii) $y_{\alpha} \rightarrow y_{0}$.

Furthermore if X is U-chainable then y is a unique fixed point of f and y_{α} , $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, are unique fixed points of f_{α} .

Proof. We observe that $\overline{U}[y_0]$ is a compact neighborhood of y_0 . Since f is a $\overline{\mathfrak{B}}$ -contraction there is $V \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that $\overline{V}[y_0] \subset U[y_0]$ and $f(x) \in \overline{V}[y_0]$ if $x \in \overline{U}[y_0]$ (1.1). By Theorem 33 in Kelley [6], there is $W \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that $W[\overline{V}[y_0]] \subset U[y_0]$.

By Theorem 2.1, $f_{\alpha} \to f$ uniformly on $U[y_0]$, and so there is α_0 such that $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ implies that $f_{\alpha}(x) \in W[f(x)]$ for all $x \in U[y_0]$. But then if $x \in U[y_0]$, and $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ we have

$$f_{lpha}(x)\in W{\circ}\, ar V[y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]{\,\subset\,} U[y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]$$

since $f(x) \in \overline{V}[y_0]$ and $f_{\alpha}(x) \in W[f(x)]$. This shows that f_{α} , $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ maps $U[y_0]$ into itself. By Corollary 1.4, $\overline{U}[y_0]$ contains exactly one fixed point of f_{α} , if $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$, proving (i).

Since we could repeat the above argument for each $V \in \mathfrak{B}$, $V \subset U$, it follows that $y_{\alpha} \to y_0$, proving (ii). The remainder of the proof is clear.

REMARK. The index α_0 above depends on W which in turn depends on U. This is the reason we may conclude $y_{\alpha} \rightarrow y_0$. Further-

more a straightforward application of 1.4 yields the fact that the fixed point y_{α} is an isolated fixed point of f_{α} .

THEOREM 2.3. Let \mathfrak{B} be an ample open base of the uniformly locally compact uniform space (X, \mathfrak{U}) . Let $U \in \mathfrak{B}$ be such that $\overline{U}[x]$ is compact for all $x \in X$, and suppose X is U-chainable. Let $\{f_{\alpha}: X \to X \mid \alpha \in D\}$ be a net of \mathfrak{B} -contractive maps, and let $f_{\alpha} \to f$ pointwise, where f is a \mathfrak{B} -contractive map.

If f has fixed point y_0 , then

(i) there is $lpha_{_0} \in D$ such that if $lpha \ge lpha_{_0}$ then $f_{_lpha}$ has exactly one fixed point $y_{_lpha} \in X$

(ii) $y_{\alpha} \rightarrow y_{0}$.

Proof. We observe that since f is \mathfrak{B} -contractive, there is by 1.4 and 1.1 an integer k and a $V \in \mathfrak{U}$, such that $\overline{V}[y_0] \subset U[y_0]$ and $f^k(x) \in V[y_0]$ if $x \in \overline{U}[y_0]$. By Theorem 33 in Kelley [6], there is $W \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that $W[\overline{V}[y_0]] \subset U[y_0]$.

By Theorem 2.1, (since g^k is a \mathfrak{B} -contractive map if g is) $f^k_{\alpha} \to f^k$ uniformly on $\overline{U}[y_0]$, and so there is α_0 such that $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ implies that $f^k_{\alpha}(x) \in W[f^k(x)]$ for all $x \in \overline{U}[y_0]$. But then if $x \in U[y_0]$, and $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ we have

 $f^k_{lpha}(x)\in W[\,ar V[\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]]\subset U[\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}]$

since $f^k(x) \in \overline{V}[y_0]$ and $f^k_{\alpha}(x) \in W[f(x)]$. This shows that f^k_{α} , $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ maps $U[y_0]$ into itself. For each $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, let n_j be the smallest integer such that $f^j_{\alpha}(y_0) \in U^{n_j}[y_0]$. Let $f^0_{\alpha} = id$, and $Y_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \overline{U}^n[f^j_{\alpha}(y_0)]$, where $n = \sup\{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}\}$. Then Y_{α} is compact (each $\overline{U}^{n_j}[x] = \overline{U} \circ \overline{U}^{n_j-1}[x]$ is compact for all $x \in X$), and U-chainable, and $f_{\alpha}: Y_{\alpha} \to Y_{\alpha}$. Thus the theorem of Kammerer and Kasriel [5] implies that f_{α} has a unique fixed point in Y_{α} . But then by 1.3 and the fact that $X^{f_{\alpha}}(\xi) \cap \overline{U}[y_0] \neq \emptyset$ for $\xi \in \overline{U}[y_0]$ (Since $f^k_{\alpha}: \overline{U}[y_0] \to \overline{U}[y_0]$) it follows that $\overline{U}[y_0]$ contains the fixed point y_{α} . The remainder of the proof follows as in 2.2.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. T. A. Brown and W. W. Comfort, New methods for expansion and contraction maps in uniform spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 483-486.

2. Michael Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, J. London Math. Soc. 37 (1962), 74-79.

^{3.} ____, On nonexpansive mappings of uniform spaces, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. 68 (Indag. Math. 27) (1965), 47-51.

^{4.} R. B. Fraser, Jr. and S. B. Nadler, Jr., Sequences of contractive maps and fixed points (to appear in Pacific J. Math.)

^{5.} W. J. Kammerer and R. H. Kasriel, On contractive mappings in uniform spaces,

Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 288-290.

6. John L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, New York 1955.

7. R. J. Knill, Fixed points of uniform contractions, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 12 (1965), 449-455.

8. F. Rhodes, A generalization of isometries to uniform spaces, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 52 (1956), 399-405.

Received November 21, 1969, and in revised form February 12, 1970.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION OSAKA UNIVERSITY TRW SYSTEMS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

K. YOSHIDA

F. WOLE

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 35, No. 2 October, 1970

Valentin Danilovich Belousov and Palaniappan L. Kannappan, Generalized Bol	
functional equation	259
Charles Morgan Biles, Gelfand and Wallman-type compactifications	267
Louis Harvey Blake, A generalization of martingales and two consequent	
convergence theorems	279
Dennis K. Burke, On p-spaces and $w\Delta$ -spaces	285
John Ben Butler, Jr., Almost smooth perturbations of self-adjoint operators	297
Michael James Cambern, <i>Isomorphisms of</i> $C_0(Y)$ <i>onto</i> $C(X)$	307
David Edwin Cook, A conditionally compact point set with noncompact closure	313
Timothy Edwin Cramer, <i>Countable Boolean algebras as subalgebras and</i>	
homomorphs	321
John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, A v-integral representation for linear	
operators on spaces of continuous functions with values in topological vector	
<i>spaces</i>	327
Mary Rodriguez Embry, Similarities involving normal operators on Hilbert	
<i>space</i>	331
Lynn Harry Erbe, Oscillation theorems for second order linear differential	
equations	337
William James Firey, Local behaviour of area functions of convex bodies	345
Joe Wayne Fisher, <i>The primary decomposition theory for modules</i>	359
Gerald Seymour Garfinkel, <i>Generic splitting algebras for</i> Pic	369
J. D. Hansard, Jr., <i>Function space topologies</i>	381
Keith A. Hardie, <i>Quasifibration and adjunction</i>	389
G. Hochschild, <i>Coverings of pro-affine algebraic groups</i>	399
Gerald L. Itzkowitz, On nets of contractive maps in uniform spaces	417
Melven Robert Krom and Myren Laurance Krom, <i>Groups with free nonabelian</i>	417
subgroups	425
James Robert Kuttler, Upper and lower bounds for eigenvalues by finite	125
differences	429
Dany Leviatan, A new approach to representation theory for convolution	122
transforms	441
Richard Beech Mansfield, <i>Perfect subsets of definable sets of real numbers</i>	451
Brenda MacGibbon, A necessary and sufficient condition for the embedding of a	1.51
Lindelof space in a Hausdorff $\mathcal{K}\sigma$ space	459
David G. Mead and B. D. McLemore, <i>Ritt's question on the Wronskian</i>	467
Edward Yoshio Mikami, <i>Focal points in a control problem</i>	473
Paul G. Miller, <i>Characterizing the distributions of three independent n-dimensional</i>	115
random variables, X_1 , X_2 , X_3 , having analytic characteristic functions by the	
<i>joint distribution of</i> $(X_1 + X_3, X_2 + X_3)$	487
P. Rosenthal, On the Bergman integral operator for an elliptic partial differential	
equation with a singular coefficient	493
Douglas B. Smith, On the number of finitely generated O-group	499
J. W. Spellmann, <i>Concerning the domains of generators of linear semigroups</i>	503
Arne Stray, An approximation theorem for subalgebras of H_{∞}	511
Arnold Lewis Villone Self-adjoint differential operators	517