Pacific Journal of Mathematics

RITT'S QUESTION ON THE WRONSKIAN

DAVID G. MEAD AND B. D. MCLEMORE

Vol. 35, No. 2

October 1970

RITT'S QUESTION ON THE WRONSKIAN

D. G. MEAD AND B. D. MCLEMORE

Among the questions for investigation at the end of his Colloquium Publication, Differential Algebra, J. F. Ritt suggested the study of special differential ideals, in particular those generated by the Wronskians. In this paper we obtain a test for an element to be a member of a certain (algebraic) ideal, and apply this result to the differential ideal generated by the second order Wronskian.

Let $y_i, z_j, i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be independent indeterminants over a field F. We work in the ring $R = F[y_i, z_j]$. Let (a, b), with aand b integers satisfying $0 \le a < b$, represent the determinant

$$egin{array}{c|c} y_a & z_a \ y_b & z_b \end{array}$$

and call a + b the weight of this determinant. If F is of characteristic zero and $y_i(z_i)$ is considered to be the i^{th} derivative of y(z), then W = (0, 1) is the Wronskian of y and z. Using the Wronskian as a model, we consider ideals

$$I_t = (W_0, W_1, \cdots, W_t)$$
,

where W_i is any fixed linear combination with nonzero coefficients in F, of all determinants of weight i + 1. For $P \in R$ we obtain a constructive procedure to determine if $P \in I = I_0 \cup I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots$. In fact, the procedure can be applied directly to polynomials in expressions $P(a_1, b_1) \cdots P(a_n, b_n)$. This work is similar to that of Levi [3] for the differential ideals $[y^p]$ and [uv] as well as [1], [2], [4], [5], and [6]. Our results are a generalization, for n = 2, of those in [1] to a general ring.

It is known ([1]) that the exponent of $\{I\}$ with respect to I is infinite. We will see that if $P \in \{I\}$ then $P \cdot Q \in I$ if Q is a power product of sufficient degree in y_i, z_j with small i and j, while if $P \notin I$ then $P \cdot Q \notin I$ for all power products Q if i and j are large. In §2 we obtain a particular basis for R as a vector space over F, a subset of which provides a basis of R modulo I. This leads directly to canonical forms for elements of R and a constructive test for an element of R to be in I. (Although it is known ([7], p. 34) that the Wronskian is zero if and only if y and z are linearly dependent, the Ritt-Randenbush Theorem of Zeros ([7], p. 27) informs us that one cannot distinguish by zeros, elements which are in $\{I\}$ from those in I. Thus

a test for membership in I cannot be stated in terms of solutions.)

1. Ordering. We order *m*-tuples, $X = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, with each x_i a rational number, lexicographically, and say that $X' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_m)$ is higher than X if $x_1 < x'_1$ or $x_i = x'_i$ for $i \leq h - 1$ and $x_h < x'_h$.

We consider elements of R-F, called δ -terms, which are expressed in the form

$$P = y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_k} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_l} (a_1, b_1) \cdots (a_n, b_n)$$

and let $S = \{i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_l, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n\}$ be the set of subscripts of $P, k + n = \deg_y P, l + n = \deg_z P$. Comparing only elements with the same set of subscripts, the same degree in y, and the same degree in z, we partially order R by

$$(n + 1)^{-1}$$
, $a_1 + b_1$, $a_2 + b_2$, ..., $a_n + b_n$, i_1 , ..., i_k , b_1 , ..., b_n

where we assume $a_1 + b_1 \leq a_2 + b_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n + b_n$ and $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_k$. (We also assume $a_i < b_i$ for all *i*.) It is clear that this is indeed a partial ordering and that if P > P' then PQ > P'Q for all $Q \neq 0$.

We say that the δ -term P is replaceable if

$$P = \sum c_i Q_i$$
 with $c_i \in F$

where each Q_i is a δ -term comparable with P and lower than P (in the ordering just described). If for each Q_i the difference with P occurs before b_i , we say that P is *s*-replaceable.

2. Basis.

DEFINITION. The δ -term P is called a λ -term if (1) n = 0 or $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$ and $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$; (2) $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l$; (3) $a_n \leq i_1$ and $a_n \leq j_1$.

In this section we show that the set of λ -terms is a basis of R.

LEMMA 1. If P is a δ -term which fails to satisfy (1) of the definition of a λ -term, then P is s-replaceable.

Proof. Assume $a_1 < a_2$ and $b_2 < b_1$, and consider the fourth order determinant

$$D = egin{bmatrix} y_{a_1} & y_{b_1} & y_{a_2} & y_{b_2} \ z_{a_1} & z_{b_1} & z_{a_2} & z_{b_2} \ 0 & y_{b_1} & y_{a_2} & y_{b_2} \ 0 & z_{b_1} & z_{a_2} & z_{b_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Subtracting the third row from the first, the fourth from the second and then expanding by minors of the first two rows, we see that D = 0. Expanding D (in the original form) by minors of the first two rows and using D = 0 we find:

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) + (a_1, b_2)(a_2, b_1)$$

Now, since $a_1 < a_2 < b_2 < b_1$, it follows that $a_1 + a_2$ and $a_1 + b_2$ are both less than $a_1 + b_1$ and $a_2 + b_2$. Thus each product on the right side of the equation is lower than $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2)$. It follows that P is *s*-replaceable.

LEMMA 2. If P is a δ -term which fails to satisfy (2) of the definition of a λ -term, then P is s-replaceable.

Proof. Assume $i_k > j_1$ and let $a = i_k$, $b = j_1$. Note that $y_a z_b = -(b, a) + y_b z_a$, and each term on the right is lower than $y_a z_b$. It follows that P is s-replaceable.

LEMMA 3. If P is a δ -term which fails to satisfy (3) of the definition of a λ -term, then P is s-replaceable.

Proof. Assume $i_1 < a_n$ and consider the third order determinant

$$D = egin{bmatrix} y_c & y_a & y_b \ y_c & y_a & y_b \ z_c & z_a & z_b \end{bmatrix}$$

where $c = i_1, a = a_n$, and $b = b_n$. Expanding D by minors of the first row and using D = 0, we find

$$y_{c}(a, b) = y_{a}(c, b) - y_{b}(c, a)$$
.

Again, since c < a, each term on the right is lower than P and it follows that P is *s*-replaceable. (The other case $j_1 < a_n$ is treated similarly.)

The three lemmas show that if P is a δ -term which is not a λ -term, then P is replaceable. Since the number of δ -terms with a fixed set of subscripts is finite, this replacement process must terminate. Thus we have proved

THEOREM 1. The λ -terms span R.

We now complete the proof that the λ -terms are a basis of R.

THEOREM 2. The λ -terms are linearly independent over F.

Proof. Assume the λ -terms are dependent and let

(1)
$$\sum c_i P_i = 0$$

where the P_i are λ -terms and $c_i \in F$, with some $c_i \neq 0$. It is clear that we may assume that each P_i has the same set of subscripts, S, and the same degree, d, in y. Let d be minimal; that is, we assume the λ -terms with degree in y less than d are linearly independent. (Clearly, the λ -terms of degree zero in y are independent.) We rewrite (1) in the form

$$(2) \qquad \qquad \sum c_i P_i = c_0 P_0$$

where for each P_i on the left the number of determinants in P_i is positive, while P_0 is a power product of y's and z's. Of all the terms on the left with $c_i \neq 0$, let $b = \max b_i$ where (a_i, b_i) is the determinant of minimum weight in P_i . We note that for all $i, a_i = a = \min$ mum number in S.

In (2), let $y_i = y_a$ and $z_i = z_a$ for i < b. If, by this substitution, P_i becomes \overline{P}_i , we see that although some \overline{P}_i may be zero, not all of them are. Also each \overline{P}_i which is not zero is a λ -term, and has (a, b) as the determinant of lowest weight. Then, with $\overline{P}_i = (a, b)\overline{Q}_i$ we have

$$(2) \qquad (a, b) \sum c_i \overline{Q}_i = c_0 \overline{P}_0$$

and if $T = \sum c_i \overline{Q}_i$,

$$(3) (a, b)T = c_0 \bar{P}_0 .$$

But on the left side of (3) is the expression $y_b z_a T$ which cannot appear on the right since a < b and \overline{P}_0 is a λ -term. Thus T = 0. But $T = \sum c_i \overline{Q}_i$, some $c_i \overline{Q}_i \neq 0$, and each nonzero \overline{Q}_i is a λ -term of degree d - 1 in y. However, d was the minimum degree in y for which λ -terms were dependent. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2, and also concludes the proof that the λ -terms are a basis of R.

3. Canonical forms.

DEFINITION. Let P be a λ -term. P is called a β -term if: (1) $a_1 > 0$ (2) $a_i < a_{i+1}$ for all i(3) $b_i < b_{i+1}$ for all i.

LEMMA 4. If the λ -term P is not a β -term, then P is replaceable, modulo I

Proof. If $a_1 = 0$, expand $P(a_1, b_1)^{-1} W_{b_1-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{I}$ and solve for *P*. Similarly, if $a_{k-1} = a_k$, or if $b_k = b_{k+1}$, expand $P(a_k, b_k)^{-1} W_k \equiv$ $0 \pmod{I}$ where $h = a_k + b_k - 1$ and solve for *P*. In each case it is easy to see that every λ -term obtained is lower than *P*, and, since every term which is not a λ -term is *s*-replaceable, it follows that *P* is itself replaceable. Again, because there are a finite number of λ terms with a given set of subscripts, this process must terminate. Thus we have proved half of

THEOREM 3. Every element in R is expressible as a linear combination, with coefficients in F, of a finite number of distinct terms

(*)

$$PW_aW_b\cdots W_r$$

where P is a β -term or 1. This expression, which may be of degree zero in the W's, is unique.

Proof. For each term A of the form (*) we will obtain the highest λ -term, B, in the expression for A as a linear combination of λ -terms. The correspondence $A \rightarrow B$ is one-to-one, hence no linear combination of terms A of the form (*) can vanish, since the highest B cannot cancel.

Let A be a fixed term of the form (*). With our standard notation for P, and with $V_i = a_i + b_i$, we define a determinant C_h for every W_h in (*). If S = 1, n = 0, or $h + 1 < V_1$, let $C_h = (0, h + 1)$. If $V_k \leq h + 1 < V_{k+1}$, let $C_h = (a_k, h + 1 - a_k)$. Finally, if $V_n \leq h + 1$, let $C_h = (a_n, h + 1 - a_n)$. It is easy to see that $B = PC_aC_b \cdots C_r$ has the properties described above and this completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. The β -terms form a basis of $R \mod I$.

COROLLARY 2. A necessary and sufficient condition for an element of R to be in I is that none of the terms (*) of its canonical form is of degree zero in the W's.

COROLLARY 3. If P is a β -term of degrees d_1 and d_2 in y and z respectively, and of degree n in 2^{nd} order determinants, then the weight of $P \ge n(d_1 + d_2 + 2 - n)$.

Proof. The β -term of minimal weight and the desired degrees is $y_n^{d_1-n} z_n^{d_2-n}(1, 2)(2, 3) \cdots (n, n+1)$.

An equivalent statement of Corollary 3 is

COROLLARY 3'. If P is a λ -term of degree d_1 and d_2 in y and z respectively and of degree n in 2^{nd} order determinants and the weight of $P < n(d_1 + d_2 + 2 - n)$, then $P \in I$.

COROLLARY 4. If P is a λ -term of degree n in determinants, and

(a) Q is a power product in $y, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, z, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}$, and the degree of Q is large enough, then $P \cdot Q \in I$.

(b) Q is a power product in y_i and z_j , with $i, j \ge n$, then $PQ \in I$ if and only if $P \in I$.

References

1. A. P. Hillman, D. W. Forsland, and G.J. Giaccai, *Determinantal ideals with applications to differential algebra*. The University of New Mexico Technical Report, No. 143, August, 1967.

2. A. P. Hillman, D. G. Mead, K. B. O'Keefe, and E. S. O'Keefe, *Ideals generated by* products, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 717-719. MR 33 #5622.

3. H. Levi, On the structure of differential polynomials and on their theory of ideals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1942), 532-568. MR 3, 264.

4. D.G. Mead, Differential ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 420-432. MR 17, 123.

5. _____, A necessary and sufficient condition for membership in [uv], Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 470-473. MR 33 # 5623.

6. K. B. O'Keefe, and E. S. O'Keefe, *The differential ideal* [uv], Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 750-757. MR 33 # 5624.

7. J.F. Ritt, *Differential Algebra*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 33, New York, 1950. MR 12,7.

Received October 22, 1969. The second author was partially supported by N.S.F. grant GE-8186.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIVERSITY OF SANTA CLARA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION OSAKA UNIVERSITY TRW SYSTEMS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

K. YOSHIDA

F. WOLE

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 35, No. 2 October, 1970

Valentin Danilovich Belousov and Palaniappan L. Kannappan, Generalized Bol	
functional equation	259
Charles Morgan Biles, Gelfand and Wallman-type compactifications	267
Louis Harvey Blake, A generalization of martingales and two consequent	
convergence theorems	279
Dennis K. Burke, On p-spaces and $w\Delta$ -spaces	285
John Ben Butler, Jr., Almost smooth perturbations of self-adjoint operators	297
Michael James Cambern. <i>Isomorphisms of</i> $C_0(Y)$ <i>onto</i> $C(X)$	307
David Edwin Cook. A conditionally compact point set with noncompact closure	313
Timothy Edwin Cramer. Countable Boolean algebras as subalgebras and	
homomorphs	321
John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, A <i>v</i> -integral representation for linear	
operators on spaces of continuous functions with values in topological vector	
spaces	327
Mary Rodriguez Embry, Similarities involving normal operators on Hilbert	
<i>space</i>	331
Lynn Harry Erbe, Oscillation theorems for second order linear differential	
equations	337
William James Firey, Local behaviour of area functions of convex bodies	345
Joe Wavne Fisher. <i>The primary decomposition theory for modules</i>	359
Gerald Seymour Garfinkel. <i>Generic splitting algebras for</i> Pic	369
J. D. Hansard, Jr., <i>Function space topologies</i>	381
Keith A. Hardie. <i>Quasifibration and adjunction</i>	389
G Hochschild Coverings of pro-affine algebraic groups	399
Gerald I. Itzkowitz. On nets of contractive maps in uniform sparses	417
Melven Robert Krom and Myren Laurance Krom. Groups with tree pondhelion	417
subgroups	425
James Robert Kuttler, Unner and lower bounds for eigenvalues by finite	125
differences	429
Dany Leviatan A new approach to representation theory for convolution	122
transforms	441
Richard Beech Mansfield <i>Perfect subsets of definable sets of real numbers</i>	451
Brenda MacGibbon, A necessary and sufficient condition for the embedding of a	1.51
Lindelof space in a Hausdorff $\Re \sigma$ space	459
David G Mead and B D McLemore <i>Ritt's question on the Wronshian</i>	467
Edward Yoshio Mikami <i>Focal points in a control problem</i>	473
Paul G Miller, <i>Characterizing the distributions of three independent</i> n-dimensional	115
random variables X_1 , X_2 , X_3 having analytic characteristic functions by the	
joint distribution of $(X_1 + X_3, X_2 + X_3)$	487
P. Rosenthal. On the Bergman integral operator for an elliptic partial differential	
equation with a singular coefficient	493
Douglas B. Smith, On the number of finitely generated O-group	499
J. W. Spellmann, <i>Concerning the domains of generators of linear semigroups</i>	503
Arne Stray, An approximation theorem for subalgebras of H_{∞} .	511
Arnold I avia Villona Salf adjoint differential anarators	517