# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# RINGS WHOSE HOMOMORPHIC IMAGES ARE q-RINGS

SAAD H. MOHAMED

Vol. 35, No. 3

November 1970

## RINGS WHOSE HOMOMORPHIC IMAGES ARE q-RINGS

## SAAD MOHAMED

L. Levy has characterised a commutative noetherian ring in which every proper homomorphic image is self-injective to be a Dedekind domain, or a principal ideal ring with descending chain condition, or a local ring whose maximal ideal Mhas composition length 2 and satisfies  $M^2 = 0$ . The object of this paper is to generalise Levy's result to the noncommutative case by studying right noetherian rings in which every proper homomorphic image is a right q-ring. Since every commutative self-injective ring is a q-ring, one can get Levy's result as a special case of Theorems 2,12 and 2,13.

1. Definitions, notations and preliminaries. Throughout this paper, every ring has unity. J and B(R) will denote the Jacobson and the prime radical of a ring R respectively. If X is a subset of a ring R, r(X) (resp. l(X)) will mean the right (resp. left) annihilator of X in R. A right (left) ideal of a ring R is said to be a right (left) annulet if A = r(X)(A = l(X)) for some subset X of R. A ring R is called a duo ring if every one-sided ideal of R is two-sided A ring R is said to be a right (left) q-ring if every right (left) ideal of R is a quasi-injective right (left) R-module. By a q-ring we mean a ring which is both right and left q-ring. It was proved in [6] that a ring R is a right q-ring if and only if R is right self-injective and every large right ideal of R is two-sided. It is clear that a right self-injective duo ring is a right q-ring. In particular, every commutative self-injective ring is a q-ring. It is also shown in [8, Corollary 1.6] that a local right q-ring is a duo ring.

A nonzero ideal A of a ring R is said to be an indecomposable ideal if A is not expressed as the direct sum of two nonzero ideals of R. An indecomposable ideal B of a ring R is called a block if there exists an ideal B' of R such that  $R = B \bigoplus B'$ .

A ring R is said to be a principal ideal ring (PIR) if every onesided ideal of R is cyclic. The notation PIRD will mean a principal ideal ring which is also a duo ring.

2. We start by proving and recording some results which are essential for proving the main theorems.

LEMMA 2.1. Let R be a right noetherian ring with the property that every proper homomorphic image is right self-injective. Then, (i) every proper homomorphic image is QF, (ii) every nonzero prime ideal is maximal, and

(iii) if R is not prime, then J = B(R).

*Proof.* (i) Since every proper homomorphic image is right noetherian and right self-injective, then every proper homomorphic image is QF (Cf. [2, Th. (1)])

(ii) Let P be a nonzero prime ideal of R, then R/P is artinian, by (i). Hence R/P is a simple ring, proving (ii). (iii) is an immediate consequence of (ii).

The following two well known results will be stated without proof.

LEMMA 2.2. If R is a right noetherian ring, then

(i) every ideal of R contains a product of prime ideals, and

(ii) in case R is prime, every nonzero ideal contains a product of nonzero prime ideals.

LEMMA 2.3. If  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n$  are maximal ideal of a ring R such that  $M_1M_2, \dots, M_n = 0$ , then every prime ideal of R is in the set  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n\}$ .

Jain [5] proved the following lemma. We are giving here another proof.

LEMMA 2.4. Let R be a right noetherian ring with the property that every proper homomorphic image is right self-injective. If Ris not prime, then R is right artinian.

Proof. By 2.1 and 2.2,

$$0 = M_1 M_2 \cdots M_t$$

where  $\{M_i, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  is a set of maximal ideals of R. After renumbering, if necessary, let  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n, n \leq t$  be the distinct ideals in the set  $\{M_i, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$ . Then, by 2.1 and 2.3,

$$J=B(R)=igcap_{i=1}^n M_i$$
 .

Now, if J = 0, we get

$$R\cong\sum_{i=1}^{n}\oplus R/M_{i}$$
 .

Since each  $R/M_i$  is artinian by 2.1, R is also artinian.

On the other hand, if  $J \neq 0$ , then R/J is artinian. Since J = B(R), J is a nil ideal and hence nilpotent, by Levitzki's Theorem [4,

p. 199]. Hence R is right artinian follows by the method of Hopkins [3].

SUBLEMMA 2.5. If M is a maximal ideal of a QF-ring R, then r(M) is a minimal ideal in R.

**LEMMA 2.6.** Let R be a ring with the property that every proper homomorphic image is QF. If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are distinct maximal ideals of R such that  $M_1M_2 \neq 0$  and  $M_2M_1 \neq 0$ , then

$$M_{_1}M_{_2}=\,M_{_1}\cap M_{_2}=\,M_{_2}M_{_1}$$
 .

*Proof.* Let  $S = R/M_1M_2$ ,  $A = M_1/M_1M_2$  and  $B = M_2/M_1M_2$ . Because B is a maximal ideal in S and AB = 0, r(A) = B. Hence, B is a minimal ideal by 2.5. This implies that there exists no ideal of R between  $M_2$  and  $M_1M_2$ . Thus  $M_1 \cap M_2 = M_1M_2$  Similarly  $M_1 \cap M_2 = M_2M_1$ . This completes the proof.

**LEMMA 2.7.** Let  $\{A_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a set of pairwise comaximal ideals of a ring R such that  $A_iA_j = A_jA_i, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Then, for arbitrary positive integers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ .

(i)  $(A_1^{\alpha_1}A_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots A_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}})$  and  $A_n^{\alpha_n}$  are comaximal ideals.

(ii)  $A_1^{\alpha_1}A_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots A_n^{\alpha_n}=A_1^{\alpha_1}\cap A_2^{\alpha_2}\cap \cdots \cap A_n^{\alpha_n}.$ 

*Proof.* Similar to that given in [9, Th. 31] for commutative rings.

Next we prove the following

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** Let R be a right artinian block such that every proper homomorphic image of R is right self-injective. Then,

(i) R contains at most two maximal ideals  $M_1$ , and  $M_2$ ,

(ii) if  $M_1 \neq M_2$ , then  $M_1M_2 = 0$  or  $M_2M_1 = 0$  (not both); moreover if  $M_1M_2 = 0$ , then R has exactly three proper ideals  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_2M_1$ ,

(iii) if R is right self-injective, then R has a unique maximal ideal.

*Proof.* If R is prime, then it is simple and we have nothing to prove. So assume that R is not prime.

(i) If possible, let R contain more than two distinct maximal ideals. Then, in view of 2.3, the product of any two maximal ideals of R is not zero. Hence, by 2.6, MN = NM for every pair of maximal ideals M and N of R. Let  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_k\}$  be a minimal set of

maximal ideals such that  $M_1M_2 \cdots M_k = 0$ . Collecting the powers of the  $M_i$ 's together, we get

$$M_1^{\alpha_1}M_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots M_n^{\alpha_n}=0$$

where  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n\}$  is a set of distinct maximal ideals (renumbering may take place) and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n = k$ . It is clear that n > 1; otherwise  $M_1$  would be the unique maximal ideal of R. Let

$$A=M_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstylelpha_1}M_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstylelpha_2}\cdots M_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1}^{\scriptscriptstylelpha_{n-1}} \ \ ext{and} \ \ \ B=M_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstylelpha_n}$$
 .

Then, by Lemma 2.7, R = A + B. But since AB = 0 = BA,  $R = A \bigoplus B$ , which contradicts the fact that R is a block. Hence R contains at most two maximal ideals, say  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $M_1M_2 \neq 0$  and  $M_2M_1 \neq 0$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6  $M_1M_2 = M_2M_1$ . But this would imply, as in (i), that  $R = M_1^{\alpha_1} \bigoplus M_2^{\alpha_2}$ , for some positive integers  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus if  $M_1 \neq M_2$ , then either  $M_1M_2 = 0$  or  $M_2M_1 = 0$ .

Let  $M_1M_2 = 0$ . Then, it is clear that  $M_2M_1 \neq 0$ ; otherwise  $R = M_1 \bigoplus M_2$ , a contradiction. Let X be a proper ideal of R such that  $X \not\subset M_1$ . Then  $R = X + M_1$ , which implies that  $M_2 \subset X + M_1M_2$ , whence  $M_2 = X$ . Similarly if Y is a proper ideal of R such that  $Y \not\subset M_2$ , then  $Y = M_1$ . Therefore, if A is a proper ideal of R such that  $A \neq M_1$  and  $A \neq M_2$ , then  $A \subset M_1 \cap M_2 = M_2M_1$ . Now, consider the QF-ring R/A and let  $X = M_1/A$  and  $Y = M_2/A$ . Then X and Y are maximal ideals in R/A, and Y = r(X). Consequently, Y is a minimal ideal in R/A and there exists no ideal of R between A and  $M_2$ . This implies that  $A = M_2M_1$ , proving (ii).

(iii) Suppose that  $M_1 \neq M_2$  and let  $M_1M_2 = 0$ . Since R is QF,  $M_2$  is a minimal ideal of R. Then  $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$ ; otherwise  $M_2 \subset M_1$  which implies that  $M_2 = M_1$ . But  $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$  implies  $R = M_1 \bigoplus M_2$ , which is not the case, since R is a block. Therefore  $M_1 = M_2$ , completing the proof.

LEMMA 2.9. Let R be a right q-ring. If M is a maximal ideal of R such that  $M^n = 0$  and  $M^{n-1} \neq 0, n > 1$ , then R is a local duo ring and  $M^{n-1}$  is the unique minimal (right) ideal of R.

*Proof.* By 2.3, M is the unique maximal ideal of R. Then, since  $M \neq 0$ ,  $l(M) \subset M$ , which implies that M is a large right ideal of R. Thus, the fact that R is a right q-ring implies that every right ideal containing M is two-sided [6, Th. 2.3]. Hence M is a maximal right ideal, and therefore is the unique maximal right ideal of R. Then R is a local ring having M as its Jacobson radical. Now, R is a duo ring follows by [8, Corollary 1.6].

Since  $M^n = 0$  and  $M^{n-1} \neq 0$ ,  $0 \neq M^{n-1} \subset l(M) = \operatorname{soc} R$ . But since  $R_R$  is indecomposable and injective,  $R_R$  is uniform which implies that  $M^{n-1}$  is the unique minimal (right) ideal of R, completing the proof.

LEMMA 2.10. Let R be a ring with the property that every proper homomorphic image is a right q-ring. If M is a maximal ideal of R such that  $M^n \neq 0$ , n > 2, then the only right (or left) ideals of R between M and  $M^n$  are powers of M.

*Proof.* For every integer  $i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $S_i = R/M^i$  and  $N_i = M/M^i$ . If  $(N_i)^{i-1} = 0$ , then  $M^{i-1} = M^i$ . On the other hand, if  $(N_i)^{i-1} \neq 0$ , then by 2.9,  $(N_i)^{i-1}$  is a minimal right (left) ideal in  $S_i$ . In either case, there exists no right (or left) ideal of R between  $M^{i-1}$  and  $M^i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let A be a (right) ideal of R such that  $M \supset A \supset M^n$ . If  $A \neq M$ , then we assert that  $A \subset M^2$ . For, if  $A \not\subset M^2$ , then  $M = A + M^2$ , whence

$$M^{i_{-1}} \subset A + M^i$$
  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ .

But this would imply that A = M, a contradiction. This proves our assertion.

Again, if  $A \neq M^2$ , then as before  $A \subset M^3$ . Proceeding in this way and assuming that  $A \neq M^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ , we get  $M^{n-1} \supset A \supset M^n$ . But this implies that  $A = M^{n-1}$  or  $A = M^n$ . This proves that the only right (or left) ideals between M and  $M^n$  are powers of M.

PROPOSITION 2.11. Let R be a ring with the property that every proper homomorphic image is a right q-ring. If M is a maximal ideal of R such that  $M^n = 0$ , n > 2, then R is a PIRD with descending chain condition.

*Proof.* Let  $S = R/M^2$  and  $N = M/M^2$ . Then S is a right q-ring and N is a maximal ideal in S satisfying  $N^2 = 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.9, N is the only right (left) ideal of S. This implies that M is a maximal right ideal of R and there exists no right (or left) ideal of R between M and  $M^2$ .

Now,  $M^n = 0$  implies that M is the unique maximal right ideal of R. Hence R is a local ring having M as its Jacobson radical. Let x be an element of M such that  $x \notin M^2$ . Then,

$$M=xR+M^{2}=Rx+M^{2}$$
 .

This implies that

$$M^{i_{-1}} \subset xR + M^i, \qquad i=3,\,4,\,\cdots,\,n$$
 .

And since  $M^n = 0$ ,  $M^2 \subset xR$ . Hence M = xR = Rx.

We proceed to show that every principal right ideal of R is of the form  $x^{\alpha}R$  for some positive integer  $\alpha$ . Let  $0 \neq y \in R$ . If y is not a unit, then  $y \in M = xR$ . This implies that  $y = xy_1$  for some  $y_1 \in R$ . Again if  $y_1$  is not a unit, then  $y_1 = xy_2$  for some  $y_2 \in R$ , and hence  $y = x^2y_2$ . Proceeding in this way, noting that  $x^{\alpha} = 0$ , we get  $y = x^{\alpha}y_{\alpha}$  for some positive integer  $\alpha < n$ , where  $y_{\alpha}$  is a unit in R. Hence,

$$yR = x^{\alpha}y_{\alpha}R = x^{\alpha}R$$

as desired. Moreover, since xR = Rx,

$$yR = x^{\alpha}R = Rx^{\alpha}$$
.

Now, let A be a right ideal of R. Since  $A = \sum_{z \in A} zR$ , it follows that  $A = x^{\beta}R = Rx^{\beta}$  for some positive integer  $\beta \leq n$ . Thus, the only right (left) ideals of R are

 $R \supset x R \supset \cdots \supset x^{n-1} R \supset x^n R = 0$ .

This completes the proof.

The following theorem generalizes Levy's result for the class of non-prime rings.

THEOREM 2.12. Let R be a nonprime right noetherian ring. Then, every proper homomorphic image of R is a right q-ring if and only if

(1)  $R = S \bigoplus T$ , where S is semisimple artinian and T is a PIRD with descending chain condition, or

(2) R/J is artinian and every nonzero ideal of R contains J, or (3) R is a local ring whose maximal right ideal M satisfies  $M^2 = 0$ , and every proper homomorphic image of R contains at most one proper right (left) ideal.

*Proof.* Suppose that all the proper homomorphic images of R are right q-rings. Then, by Lemma 2.4, R is right artinian. Let

$$R=R_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\oplus R_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\oplus \cdots \oplus R_{\scriptscriptstyle k}$$
 .

where  $\{R_i, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  are the blocks of R.

First assume that k > 1. Then, every homomorphic image of the ring  $R_i$  is isomorphic to a proper homomorphic image of R. Then every homomorphic image of  $R_i$  is a QF-ring, and by Proposition 2.8, each  $R_i$  has a unique maximal ideal  $M_i$ . If  $R_i$  is prime, then it is simple artinian. Suppose that  $R_i$  is not prime, then by 2.1 and 2.2,  $M_i^{n_i} = 0$  for some positive integer  $n_i > 1$ . Now, either by 2.9 (in case  $n_i = 2$ ) or by 2.11,  $R_i$  is a *PIRD* with descending chain condition. Hence R is of type (1).

Next, let k = 1. Then in view of Prop. 2.8, we have to consider two cases:

Case (i), R contains a unique maximal ideal M. Then, as before,  $M^n = 0$  for some positive integer n > 1.

If  $M^2 \neq 0$ , then by 2.11, R is a local PIRD with descending chain condition, and hence of type (1).

If  $M^2 = 0$ , we consider the following subcases:

(a) M is a minimal ideal. Then M is the only proper ideal of R, and R is of type (2).

(b) M contains a nonzero ideal A. Let S = R/A and N = M/A. Then N is a maximal ideal in the right q-ring S satisfying  $N^2 = 0$ . Consequently, N is the only proper right (left) ideal of S. This also proves that M is a maximal right ideal of R, and hence the unique maximal right ideal. Therefore R is a local ring. Thus R is of type (3).

Case (ii), R contains two distinct maximal ideals  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that  $M_1M_2 = 0$ . Then by Proposition 2.8,  $M_1, M_2$  and  $M_2M_1$  are the only proper ideals of R. Then it is clear that

 $J = M_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cap M_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = M_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} M_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$  .

Therefore, R is of type (2).

Conversely, suppose that R is of type (1), (2) or (3). Then it is obvious that any proper homomorphic image of R is of the form  $A \oplus B$ , where A is semisimple artinian and B is a *PIRD* with descending chain condition. Since a *PIR* with descending chain condition is QF [1, Th. 4.1], B is a q-ring. Then it is clear that  $A \oplus B$ is a q-ring, completing the proof.

Now, we consider the prime case.

THEOREM 2.13. Let R be a prime right noetherian ring with the property that every proper homomorphic image is a right qring. Then,

(i) every ideal of R is a product of prime ideals, and

(ii) for every nonzero prime ideal of R, R/P is a division ring.

*Proof.* If R is a simple ring, the result holds trivially. So, we assume that R is not simple. Let P be a nonzero prime ideal of R. Then, P is a maximal ideal by Lemma 2.1. Also  $P^2 \neq 0$ , since R is prime. Consider the ring  $R/P^2$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $P/P^2$  is the only

proper right ideal in  $R/P^2$ . Hence P is a maximal right ideal in R, proving (ii).

Let M and N be maximal ideals of R. Since R is prime,  $MN \neq 0$ and  $NM \neq 0$ . Hence MN = NM, by Lemma 2.6. This proves that all maximal ideals of R commute. Now, let A be a nonzero ideal of R. Then, A contains a product of nonzero prime ideals, by 2.2. Let  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$  be a minimal set of prime ideals such that  $A \supset M_1M_2 \cdots M_s$ . Since each  $M_i$  is a maximal ideal, we can collect the powers of  $M_i$ 's together. Renumbering if necessary, we get  $A \supset M_1^{\alpha_1}M_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots M_n^{\alpha_n}$ , where  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n = s$ , and  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n\}$ is a set of distinct maximal ideals.

If possible, suppose that  $A \not\subset M_1$ . Then  $R = A + M_1$  implies

 $M_{_{1}}^{lpha_{1}-1}M_{_{2}}^{lpha_{2}}\cdots M_{_{n}}^{lpha_{n}} 
ot \subset A \,+\, M_{_{1}}^{lpha_{1}}M_{_{2}}^{lpha_{2}}\cdots M_{_{n}}^{lpha_{n}} = A$  .

But this contradicts the minimality of the set  $\{M_1, M_2, \dots, M_s\}$ . Hence  $A \subset M_1$ . Further if  $A \not\subset M_1^2$ , then by 2.10  $M_1 = A + M_1^2$ , which implies

$$M_1^{\alpha_1-1}M_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots M_n^{\alpha_n}\subset A$$

Again, a contradiction, thus,  $A \subset M_i^2$ . Proceeding in this way, using Lemma 2.10, we get  $A \subset M_i^{\alpha_1}$ . Similarly,  $A \subset M_i^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Therefore,

$$M_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{lpha_1}\!\cap\, M_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{lpha_2}\!\cap\, \cdots\, \cap\, M_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{lpha_n}\!\supset\! A\!\supset\! M_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{lpha_1}M_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{lpha_2}\cdots\, M_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{lpha_n}$$
 .

But since,

$$M_1^{\alpha_1} \cap M_2^{\alpha_2} \cap \cdots \cap M_n^{\alpha_n} = M_1^{\alpha_1} M_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots M_n^{\alpha_n}$$

by Lemma 2.7, we get

$$A = M_1^{\alpha_1} M_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots M_n^{\alpha_n} .$$

Proving (i), and completing the proof.

REMARK. For the nonprime case, Levy obtained only two types of rings which are analogous to (1) and (3) of Theorem 2.12. It is not difficult to see that a commutative ring of type (2) is of type (1). Hence, Theorem 2.12 generalises Levy's result only for the class of nonprime rings. On the other hand, Theorem 2.13 shows that a prime right noetherian ring whose proper homomorphic images are right *q*-rings, is a ring which may be called a 'noncommutative Dedekind ring'.

### References

<sup>1.</sup> Carl Faith, On Köthe rings, Math. Ann. 164 (1966).

<sup>2.</sup> \_\_\_\_, Rings with ascending conditions on annihilators, Nagoya. Math. J. 27 (1966).

3. Charles Hopkins, Rings with minimal condition for left ideals, Ann. of Math. 40 (1939).

4. N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium, 1964.

5. S.K. Jain, A class of noetherian rings to be artinian, Symposium in algebra, 55th Indian Sci. Congress, 1968.

6. S.K. Jain, S.H. Mohamed, and Surject Singh, Rings in which every right ideal is quasi injective, Pacific J. Math. **31** (1969).

7. Lawrence Levy, Commutative rings whose homomorphic images are self-injective, Pacific. J. Math. 18 (1966).

8. Saad Mohamed, q-rings with chain conditions, J. London Math. Soc. (1970).

9. Oskar Zariski and Pierre Sammuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. 1, D. Van Nostrand Co., 1968.

Received April 15, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI DELHI-7, INDIA

Presently at Teachers College, Ain Shams University, Cairo, U.A.R.

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

F. WOLE

E. F. BECKENBACH

RICHARD PIERCE

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

## Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 35, No. 3 November, 1970

John D. Arrison and Michael Rich, <i>On nearly commutative degree one algebras</i>	533
	537
	549
	559
Chen Chung Chang and Yiannis (John) Nicolas Moschovakis, The Suslin-Kleene	
theorem for $V_{\kappa}$ with cofinality $(\kappa) = \omega$	565
Theodore Seio Chihara, The derived set of the spectrum of a distribution	
function	571
Tae Geun Cho, On the Choquet boundary for a nonclosed subspace of $C(S)$	575
Richard Brian Darst, The Lebesgue decomposition, Radon-Nikodym derivative,	
	581
	601
Michael Lawrence Fredman, Congruence formulas obtained by counting	
	613
	625
G. Goss and Giovanni Viglino, Some topological properties weaker than	
1	635
George Grätzer and J. Sichler, On the endomorphism semigroup (and category) of	<b>(2</b> )
	639
	649
	661
	669
Richard G. Levin and Takayuki Tamura, <i>Notes on commutative power joined</i>	
8 1	673
Robert Edward Lewand and Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Macdonald's theorem for quadratic Jordan algebras</i>	681
J. A. Marti, On some types of completeness in topological vector spaces	707
Walter J. Meyer, <i>Characterization of the Steiner point</i>	717
Saad H. Mohamed, <i>Rings whose homomorphic images are q-rings</i>	727
Thomas V. O'Brien and William Lawrence Reddy, <i>Each compact orientable surface</i>	
of positive genus admits an expansive homeomorphism	737
Robert James Plemmons and M. T. West, <i>On the semigroup of binary relations</i>	743
Calvin R. Putnam, Unbounded inverses of hyponormal operators	755
William T. Reid, Some remarks on special disconjugacy criteria for differential	
	763
C. Ambrose Rogers, The convex generation of convex Borel sets in euclidean	
	773
	783
S. W. Smith, <i>Cone relationships of biorthogonal systems</i>	787
Wolmer Vasconcelos, On commutative endomorphism rings	795
Vernon Emil Zander, <i>Products of finitely additive set functions from Orlicz</i>	
T	799
G. Sankaranarayanan and C. Suyambulingom, <i>Correction to: "Some renewal</i>	
	805
	805
	805
James Edward Ward, Correction to: "Two-groups and Jordan algebras"	806