REGULAR ELEMENTS IN P.I.-RINGS

Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein and Lance W. Small

REGULAR ELEMENTS IN P.I.-RINGS

I. N. Herstein and Lance W. Small

It follows from the proof of Posner's theorem that half-regular elements are regular in prime rings satisfying a polynomial identity (prime P. I.-rings). In this paper we extend these results to semi-prime rings and present counter-examples to several avenues of further generalization.

Throughout this paper all rings will be algebras over a commutative ring. We further assume that the polynomial identities which occur have at least one invertible coefficient. If T is a subset of a ring R then $l(T)$ $(r(T))$ will denote the left (right) annihilator of T. The word "ideal" will mean two-sided ideal. Finally, we recall that if R is semi-prime and if U is an ideal of R then $l(U) = r(U)$. In this case we write $l(U)$, unambiguously, as $\mathrm{Ann}(U)$.

2. We begin with a mild generalization of a result due to Amitsur [1].

LEMMA 1. *Let R be a ring such that Ra satisfies a polynomial identity; then, if $l(a) = 0$, Ra contains a nonzero ideal of R.*

Proof. Among the left ideals Ra^i suppose that Ra^k satisfies an identity of lowest degree. We may assume that this identity is multilinear and has form

$$q(x_1 \cdots, x_n) = q_1(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1})x_n + q_2(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$

where q_1 is of lower degree than q and where x_n does not occur as the last variable of any monomial of q_2. Substitute $r_j a^{2k}$ for x_j for $j = 1, \cdots, n-1$ and $r_n a^k$ for x_n, where $r_1, \cdots, r_n$ are arbitrary elements of R, in $q(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. Since $Ra^{2k} \subset Ra^k$, Ra^{2k} satisfies q and, by our choice of k, no identity of lower degree. Therefore there exist $r_1, \cdots, r_{n-1}$ in R such that $q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k}) \neq 0$. Freeding this into our identity q we obtain

$$q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k})r_n a^k = -q_2(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k}, r_n a^k)$$

which is contained in Ra^{2k} from the form of q_2. Since $l(a) = 0$ this yields $q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k})r_n \in Ra^k$. In short, $q_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k})R \subset Ra^k$, hence the nonzero ideal $Rq_1(r_1 a^{2k}, \cdots, r_{n-1} a^{2k})R$ is contained in Ra^k, and so, in Ra. This proves the result.

The plan now is to study Ra by looking at the ideals of R contained in it. The crucial step is

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that R is a semi-prime ring; if $a \in R$ is such that $l(a) = 0$ and Ra satisfies a polynomial identity then Ra contains an ideal of R whose annihilator is zero.*

Proof. Let U be the sum of the ideals of R which are contained in Ra. We claim that $\text{Ann}(U) = 0$. If not, let $W = \text{Ann}(U) \neq 0$, and $V = \text{Ann}(W)$. Pass to the ring $\bar{R} = R/V$. If $\bar{x}\bar{a} = 0$ in $\bar{R}$ then $xa \in V$ hence $Wxa = 0$; since $l(a) = 0$ this gives $Wx = 0$, and so, $x \in V$, $\bar{x} = 0$. Thus $l(\bar{a}) = 0$. Clearly $\bar{R}\bar{a}$ satisfies a polynomial identity. Therefore $\bar{R}\bar{a}$ contains a nonzero ideal $\bar{T}$ of $\bar{R}$; the inverse image T of $\bar{T}$ thus lies in $Ra + V$. Since $\bar{T} \neq 0$, $T \not\subset V$ therefore $0 \neq WT \subset Ra + WV$. But $WV = 0$. Consequently WT is a nonzero ideal of R lying in Ra. As such, it must be contained in U. But $WU = 0$, so $(WT)^2 \subset W^2T = 0$. Thus semi-primeness of R then forces the contradiction $WT = 0$. With this, the theorem is proved.

From Theorem 2 many good things flow.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that R is a semi-prime P.I.-ring. If $a \in R$ satisfies $l(a) = 0$ then*
 1. $r(a) = 0$
 2. *Ra is essential.*

Proof. 1. Let U be the ideal in Ra of Theorem 2. If $ax = 0$ then $Ux = 0$, which is not possible unless $x = 0$. Thus $r(a) = 0$.
 2. If I is a nonzero left ideal then $0 \neq UI \subset U \cap I \subset Ra \cap I$.

A ring R is said to be *von Neumann finite* if for $x, y \in R$, $xy = 1$ implies $yx = 1$. If R_n is v. N. finite for all n, we call R *N-finite*.

COROLLARY. *A P. I.-ring is N-finite.*

Proof. The result follows easily from the following two observations:
 1. if R is a P. I.-ring then R_n is a P. I.-ring [3].
 2. R is v. N. finite if and only if $R/J(R)$ is, where $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R.
Hence we can reduce to the semi-simple (and so, semi-prime) case. If $xy = 1$ then $l(x) = 0$ where, by Theorem 3, $r(x) = 0$. Since $x(1 - yx) = 0$ we get $yx = 1$.

Theorem 2 also tells us something about the nature of the identities satisfied by R and Ra.

THEOREM 4. *If R is a semi-prime ring and if $a \in R$ satisfies $l(a) = 0$ then R satisfies any polynomial identity satisfied by Ra.*

Proof. The argument follows one by Goldie [2]. Since R is semi-prime, $0 = \cap P_\alpha$ where P_α are prime ideals. Let $U \subset Ra$ be an ideal of R such that $\mathrm{Ann}(U) = 0$. Now $U \not\subset P_\beta$ for some prime ideal P_β. Divide the prime ideals of R into two parts: those which contain U and those which do not. The intersection of the primes in the first part contains U and is annihilated by the intersection of the primes in the second part. But $\mathrm{Ann}(U) = 0$, so this latter intersection must be 0. Hence $0 = \cap P_\gamma$ where the P_γ are prime ideals and $U \not\subset P_\gamma$ for each γ. We find, then, that $R_\gamma = R/P_\gamma$ has a nonzero ideal $(U + P_\gamma)/P_\gamma$ which satisfies an identity. Since R_γ is prime, it satisfies the same identity as $(U + P_\gamma)/P_\gamma$ [1]. To finish up, we note that R is a subdirect sum of the R_γ, hence satisfies any identity of U, therefore any identity of Ra.

3. In this section we present several counter-examples to possible generalizations of the results in §2. We begin with examples to show that "semi-prime" is needed in Theorem 3.

Let F be a field and $F[x]$ the polynomial ring in x over F. Form the ring $S^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} F[x] & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$, where $F[x]$ acts on F in the usual way (identifying $F = F[x]/(x)$ as an $F[x]$-module). $S^{(1)}$ satisfies the identity $(ab - ba)^2 = 0$. It is easy to see that $l\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$, but $r\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$.

Now form the ring $S^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} F[x] & F[x] \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ with the obvious actions on $F[x]$. $S^{(2)}$ satisfies the same identity as $S^{(1)}$. The element $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is regular in $S^{(2)}$ but $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} S^{(2)} \cap \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$—that is, the right ideal $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} S^{(2)}$ is not essential. We pause to note that this implies that $S^{(2)}$ does not satisfy the right Ore condition. Yet $S^{(2)}$ possesses a ring of left quotients which even is Artinian.

We conclude this section with a simple example of a right Noetherian ring which lacks a right ring of quotients. Let R be any commutative Noetherian ring with the following property: there exists an element $a \in R$ which is not regular but its image, $\bar{a}$, is regular in $\bar{R} = R/N$ where N is the nil radical of R. (An example of such is $\dfrac{F[x, y]}{(x^2, xy)}$ where $a = y + (x^2, xy)$.) Our example is $S^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{R} & \bar{R} \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}$.

The element $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is quickly seen to be regular in $S^{(3)}$. If the right Ore condition were valid we would have an equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d} & \bar{c} \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{r} & \bar{s} \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{r} & \bar{s} \\ 0 & \bar{t} \end{pmatrix}$ was regular. This forces t to be regular in R. Writing the relations out explicitly, we have $\bar{a}\bar{c} = \bar{t}$, which means that $ac = t + n$ where $n \in N$. But t is regular, hence $t + n$ is and so ac is regular. This contradicts our choice of a.

4. To finish up, we present a result on the rank of free modules over P. I.-rings which, for commutative rings, is a well-known theorem on homogeneous systems of linear equations. The proof we give may be of additional interest in that we cannot, of course, use determinants.

Denote by $_RR^{(n)}$ the external direct sum of n copies of $_RR$, that is, the free module on n basis elements.

THEOREM 5. *If R is a P. I.-ring, then $R^{(n)} \subset R^{(m)}$ implies $n \leqq m$.*

Proof. Suppose that $n > m$. First note that this forces $R^{(t)} \subset R^{(m)}$ for arbitrary t. To see this, write $R^{(n)} = R^{(m)} \oplus R^{(n-m)}$. We can find a copy of $R^{(n)}$ in the first summand, so $R^{(n)} \oplus R^{(n-m)} \subset R^{(m)}$. We now repeat the process on the "new" $R^{(n)}$. In particular, we obtain $R^{(2m)} \subset R^{(m)}$. This means that $R^{(m)}$ contains a set, $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{2m}$, of $2m$ linearly independent elements. We can consider the α's as $1 \times m$ row vectors and form the $m \times m$ matrices X and Y where the rows of X are $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m$ and those of Y are $\alpha_{m+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{2m}$. In R_m it is immediate that $l(X) = 0$ and $l(Y) = 0$ since $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{2m}$ are independent. But R_m is a P. I.-ring, so by Lemma 1 $R_m X$ contains a nonzero ideal U. Now, since $l(Y) = 0$, $UR_m Y \neq 0$ and is contained in $R_m X$. This yields nonzero matrices A and B such that $AX = BY$. Writing this out explicitly gives a dependence relation among the α's, a contradiction. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Prime rings satisfying polynomial identities with arbitrary coefficients*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **17** (1967), 470–486.
2. A. W. Goldie, *A note on prime rings with polynomial identities*, London Math. Soc. (2), **1** (1969), 606–608.
3. C. Procesi and L. Small, *Endomorphism rings of modules over P. I.-algebras*, Math. Zeit. **106** (1968), 178–180.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
and
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Under-line Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 36, No. 2   December, 1971