Pacific Journal of Mathematics

TAYLOR'S THEOREM

A. P. MORSE

Vol. 37, No. 2

February 1971

TAYLOR'S THEOREM

A. P. Morse

Taylor's theorem requires here the continuity of only those mixed partials actually involved in the remainder term.

Taylor's Theorem seems always to require, at the very least, the continuity of all mixed partials of order n + 1. In marked contrast the remainder involves only *naturally* arranged mixed partials of order n + 1. It turns out in Theorem 10 that the natural conjecture is valid. Theorem 10 follows routinely from Theorem 0 and 2.

We agree that ω is the set of integers n for which $n \ge 0$, that 0 is the empty set, that

$$A \sim B = \{x: x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$$
,

that

end
$$n = \{j \in \omega : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$
,

that R is the set of real finite numbers, that

$$R^p = \{x: p \in \omega \text{ and } x \text{ is on end } p \text{ to } R\}$$
.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ it is customary to put

$$x(j) = x_j$$
.

We note that

$$R^p \cap R^q = 0$$
 whenever $p \in \omega$ and $p
eq q \in \omega$,

and we assume that no member of R is a nonvacuous function.

We agree that if r is a function on S then.

sum
$$r = \sum j \in S r(j)$$
 .

To simplify printing we use this notation instead of the traditional notation

sum
$$r = \sum\limits_{j \in S} r(j)$$
 .

Thus in particular if $1 \leq p \in \omega$ and r is on end p to R, then

sum
$$r = \sum j \in ext{end} \; p \; \; r_j = \sum_{j=1}^p r_j \in R$$
 .

We agree that:

$$R_n^p = \{r \in R^p : r \text{ is to } \omega \text{ and } \operatorname{sum} r \leq n \in \omega\};$$

 $\overline{R}_n^p = \{r \in R_n^p : \operatorname{sum} r = n\}.$

For each k we agree that Kk is that function ψ on ω for which:

$$\psi(j) = 1 ext{ whenever } k = j \in \omega ext{ ;} \ \psi(j) = 0 ext{ whenever } k
eq j \in \omega ext{ .}$$

For each k and each function f we understand: $D_k f$ is such a function that for each x

$$egin{aligned} D_k f(x) &= \lim_{h o 0} rac{f(x + h \cdot Kk) - f(x)}{h} \ ; \ D_k^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} f &= f \ ; \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \in \omega$

$$D_k^{n+1}f=D_kD_k^nf$$
 ;

if $1 \leq p \in \omega$ and r is a function on end p to ω , then

$$D^r f = D_1^{r_1} D_2^{r_2} \cdots D_p^{r_p} f$$
 .

At this point we urge the reader to notice that the subscripts appear only in their natural order and that $D^r f$ is built inductively from right to left.

Some comments and illustration follow.

If $1 \leq p \in \omega$ and f is a real valued function on some subset S of R^p , then $D_k f$ is a real valued function on some subset T_k of S; the set T_k may be 0, in which event, $D_k f = 0$.

If f is that function on R^2 for which

 $f(x) = x_1^2 \cdot x_2$ whenever $x \in R^2$,

then, for each k, $D_k f$ is such a function on R^2 to R that for each $x \in R^2$:

if k = 1 then $D_k f(x) = 2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2$;

if k = 2 then $D_k f(x) = x_1^2$;

if $k \in \text{end } 2$, then $D_k f(x) = 0$.

Let us agree now that g is *trivial* if and only if g is a function for which g(t) = 0 whenever t is in the domain of g.

For example, if $p \in \omega$, then the origin of R^p is trivial.

Now suppose A is the characteristic function of the rationals and B is the characteristic function of the irrationals. Let f be that function on R^2 to R for which

$$f(x) = A(x_1) \cdot A(x_2) + B(x_1) \cdot B(x_2)$$
 whenever $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Here $D_k f$ is on some subset T_k , of R^2 ; in fact

$$D_1 f = D_2 f = 0 = T_1 = T_2;$$

if $k \in \text{end } 2$ then $T_k = R^2$ and $D_k f$ is trivial. In particular $D_i f$ is both trivial and vacuous while $D_3 f$ is trivial but certainly nonvacuous.

We agree that f is n fold smooth on α if and only if there is a p for which:

 $1 \leq p \in \omega$; $n \in \omega$; α is a nonvacuous open subset of R^p ; f is to R;

 $D^r f$ is continuous on α whenever $r \in R^p_n$.

THEOREM 0. If $1 \leq p \in \omega$, $\alpha \subset R^p$, f is n fold smooth on α , $j \in \text{end } p$, $r \in R^p_{n-1}$, and $x \in \alpha$, then

$$D_j D^r f(x) = D^{r+Kj} f(x)$$
.

Theorem 0, which is a consequence of Theorem 7, can be proved directly by induction in sum r. This direct proof, based on well known Theorem 5, is so simple and straightforward that we are amazed that Theorem 0 seldom, if ever, appears in books.

Because of Theorem 0 alone, we can usually diminish the continuity requirements in Taylor's Theorem. We need only require that

$$D^r\!f$$
 is continuous whenever $r\in R^p_{n+1}$.

where the number of points in R_{n+1}^{ρ} is

$$egin{pmatrix} n+p+1\ p \end{pmatrix}$$
 .

Since these functions are all involved in Taylor's Formula we can usually check their continuity, at a glance, as we needfully compute. Ordinarily, in applying Taylor's Theorem, we should check continuity, quite possibly in an intuitive flash, in at least p^{n+1} cases.

A fairly obvious companion to Theorem 0 is

THEOREM 1. If $1 \leq p \in \omega$, $\alpha \subset R^p$, f is n fold smooth on α , $r \in R_n^p$, $s \in R_n^p$, $r + s \in R_n^p$, and $x \in \alpha$, then

$$D^r D^s f(x) = D^{r+s} f(x)$$
 .

The question which intrigues us here is answered by Theorem 2 below. Before coming to Theorem 2 let us agree that f is n smooth on α if and only if there is a p for which: $1 \leq p \in \omega$; $n \in \omega$; α is a

¹ Exceptions: n = 0; p = 1; $n + p \leq 4$.

nonvacuous open subset of R^p ; f is to R; $D^r f$ is continuous on α whenever $r \in \overline{R}_n^p$.

THEOREM 2. If f is n smooth on α then f is n fold smooth on α .

Because of Theorem 2 we can still further diminish the continuity requirements in Taylor's Theorem. We need only require that

 $D^r f$ is continuous whenever $r \in ar{R}^p_{n+1}$

where the number of points in \bar{R}_{n+1}^p is

$$\binom{n+p}{p-1}$$
 .

If nothing else we find Theorem 2 and especially Theorem 0 computationally reassuring. We use these, in turn, to verify our computationally pleasant Theorem 10.

We now start our attack on Theorems 0 and 2. We capture the essence of the conclusion of Theorem 2 by agreeing that C^n consists of such functions f that, for some α , f is on α and f is n fold smooth on α . We capture the essence of the premise of Theorem 2 by agreeing that \overline{C}^n consists of such functions f that, for some α , fis on α and f is n smooth on α .

We feel that our rather tangled inductive attack can be clarified by the introduction of some technical (left, center, right) pivot concepts and their preliminary analysis in Lemmas 4, 5, and 6. This material is only of momentary interest and is to be forgotten as soon as Theorems 7 and 8 have been proved.

If $f \in \overline{C}^n$, $1 \leq p \in \omega$, $\alpha \subset R^p$, and f is on α , then we agree that: Lpivot $fn = \{j \in \text{end } p : D_j D^r f = D^{r+Kj} f$ whenever $r \in R_{n-1}^p\}$; Cpivot $fn = \{j \in \text{end } p : D^{r+Kj} f$ is continuous whenever $r \in R_{n-1}^p\}$; Rpivot $fn = \{j \in \text{end } p : D^r D_j f = D^{r+Kj} f$ whenever $r \in R_{n-1}^p\}$. If $f \in \overline{C}^n$ then we agree that Lpivot fn = Cpivot fn = Rpivot fn = 0.

THEOREM 3. If $1 \leq p \in \omega$, $k \in \text{end } p$, θ is the origin of \mathbb{R}^p , and g is to \mathbb{R} , then:

 $.0 \quad D^{g}g = g;$

.1 if r is on end p to ω and

 $r_i = 0$ whenever $i \in \text{end} (k-1)$,

then

$$D_k D^r g = D^{r+Kk} g$$
 ;

then

 $D_{k}^{j}g(x)\in R$.

Conclusions .0 and .1 and .3 are fairly obvious; Conclusion .2 follows from .0 and .1.

LEMMA 4. If $f \in \overline{C}^n$, $1 \leq p \in \omega$, $\alpha \subset R^p$, and f is on α , then: .0 if $r \in R_n^p$ then $D^r f$ is on α to R; .1 if $j \in \text{end } p$ and

end
$$p \thicksim$$
 end $j \subset$ Lpivot fn ,

then

 $D^rD_if = D^{r+\kappa j}f$ whenever $r \in R_{n-1}^p$;

.2 if $j \in \text{end } p$ and

end $p \sim \text{end } j \subset \text{Lpivot } fn$,

then

 $j \in \operatorname{Rpivot} fn$;

.3 if $1 \leq n$ and $j \in \operatorname{Rpivot} fn$, then

 $D_j f \in ar{C}^{n-1}$;

.4 if $j \in \operatorname{Rpivot} fn$ and $D_j f \in C^{n-1}$, then

 $j \in \operatorname{Cpivot} fn$;

.5 if end $p \subset \operatorname{Cpivot} fn$, then $f \in C^n$. If in 3.3 we take k = 1 and

 $g = D_2^{r_2} D_3^{r_3} \cdots D_p^{r_p} f$

then we see that .0 is at hand.

Conclusion .1, which strikes us as intuitively evident, can be proved by induction in sum r. We shall give the details later.

Conclusion .1 implies .2. Conclusions .3 and .4 are easy.

We now turn to .5, let θ be the origin of R^p , and note we may as well assume $1 \leq n$. Clearly if

$$heta
eq r \in R_n^p$$

then $D^r f$ is continuous. On the other hand if $r = \theta$, then: $\theta \neq \theta + Kk \in R_n^p$ whenever $k \in \text{end } p$;

 $D^{j+K^k}f$ is continuous whenever $k \in \text{end } p$; because of this, .0, and 3.2, D_kf is continuous on α whenever $k \in \text{end } p$; accordingly

f is continuous,

and, because of 3.0,

 $D^r f$ is continuous .

Consequently $D^r f$ is continuous whenever $r \in R_n^p$, and hence, because of .0, $f \in C^n$.

We now tackle .1 by verifying the

Statement. If

 $N = \{m \in \omega: \text{ for some } r \in R_{n-1}^p, \text{ sum } r = m \text{ and } D^r D_j f \neq D^{r+Kj} f\},$

then,

 ${\cal N}={\bf 0}$.

Proof. We suppose $N \neq 0$, and use 3.0 and 3.2 to learn that N is a nonvacuous set of positive integers. We let ν be the smallest integer in N and then so choose $s \in R_{n-1}^p$ that

.6
$$\operatorname{sum} s = \nu$$
, $D^s D_j f \neq D^{s+Kj} f$.

Since 0 < sum s we choose k to be the smallest integer in

 $\{i \in \text{end } p: s_i > 0\}$.

Thus we have

.7
$$s_i = 0$$
 whenever $i \in \text{end} (k-1)$.

We let

$$.8 u = s - Kk , v = u + Kj$$

and note that

.9
$$u \in R_{n-1}^p, v \in R_{n-1}^p, u + Kk = s, v + Kk = s + Kj$$
.

Since

$$\operatorname{sum} u = \nu - 1$$

we see, with the help of .8, that our choice of ν insures that

.10
$$D^u D_j f = D^v f .$$

We infer from .7 and .8 that

.11 $u_i = 0$ whenever $i \in \text{end} (k-1)$.

We use 3.1, .11, .10, and .9 in checking that

.12 $D^s D_j f = D_k D^v f$.

We must have

.13

 $1 \leq k \leq j$

since otherwise we would know that

 $k \in \text{Lpivot } fn$

and then could use .12 and .9 to infer that

$$D^s D_j f = D^{s+Kj} f$$

in contradiction to .6. We infer from .11, .13, and .8 that

.14
$$v_i = 0$$
 whenever $i \in \text{end} (k-1)$.

We use 3.1, .14, .12, and .9 to conclude

$$D^s D_j f = D^{s+Kj} f$$

in contradiction to .6.

The following well known Theorem of Interchange is ample for our needs.

THEOREM 5. If $1 \leq p \in \omega$, α is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^p , g is on α to \mathbb{R} , $k \in \text{end } p$, $j \in \text{end } p$,

 D_kg is on α to R, D_kD_jg is continuous on α ,

then

$$D_j D_k g = D_k D_j g$$

Our next lemma is crucial.

LEMMA 6. Cpivot $fn \subset$ Lpivot fn

Proof. We suppose the contrary, choose

 $j \in \operatorname{Cpivot} fn \sim \operatorname{Lpivot} fn$,

note that

$$f\in \overline{C}{}^n$$
 ,

and so choose p and α that

$$1 \leq p \in \omega$$
, α is an open subset of R^p , f is on α .

Letting

 $N = \{m \in \omega : ext{ for some } r \in R^p_{n-1} ext{ sum } r = m ext{ and } D_j D^r f
eq D^{r+\kappa_j} f \}$,

we use 3.2 to learn that N is a nonvacuous set of positive integers.

We choose ν to be the smallest integer in N and then so choose $s \in R_{n-1}^p$ that

$$.0 \qquad \qquad ext{sum } s = oldsymbol{
u} \;, \;\; D_j D^s f
e D^{s+Kj} f \;.$$

Since 0 < sum s we choose k to be the smallest integer in

 $\{i \in \text{end } p: s_i > 0\}$.

Thus we see

.1
$$s_i = 0$$
 whenever $i \in \operatorname{end} (k-1)$.

We must have

.2 $k < j \leq p$

since otherwise we could use .1 to see that

 $s_i = 0$ whenever $i \in \text{end} (j - 1)$

and then could use 3.1 to infer that

 $D_j D^s f = D^{s+Kj} f$

in contradiction to .0. We let

.3

$$u=s-\mathit{Kk}$$
 , $v=u+\mathit{Kj}$

and note that

.4 $u \in R_{n-1}^p, v \in R_{n-1}^p, u + Kk = s, v + Kk = s + Kj$.

Since

 $\operatorname{sum} u = v - 1$

we see, with the help of .3, that our choice of ν insures that

.5
$$D_j D^{\scriptscriptstyle u} f = D^{\scriptscriptstyle v} f$$
 .

We infer from .1 and .3 that

.6
$$u_i = 0$$
 whenever $i \in \text{end} (k-1)$

and then from .6 and .2 that

.7
$$v_i = 0$$
 whenever $i \in \text{end} (k-1)$.

We use 3.1, .7, .5, and .4 in checking that

.8
$$D_k D_j D^u f = D^{s+Kj} f$$
 .

We use 3.1, .6, and .4 in checking that

We let

 $g = D^u f$,

and from 4.0, .9, .8, and the fact that

 $j \in \operatorname{Cpivot} fn$,

we infer that:

 $g ext{ is on } lpha ext{ to } R;$.10 $D_k g = D^s f;$ $D_k g ext{ is on } lpha ext{ to } R;$

.11
$$D_k D_j g = D^{s+Kj} f;$$

 $D_k D_j g$ is continuous on lpha .

Because of Theorem 5 we know

.12
$$D_j D_k g = D_k D_j g$$
 .

From .10, .12, and .11 we now conclude

$$D_j D^s f = D_j D_k g = D_k D_j g = D^{s+\kappa j} f$$

in contradiction to .0.

From Lemma 6 we have at once

THEOREM 7. If $f \in C^n$, $1 \leq p \in \omega$, $\alpha \subset R^p$, f is on α , and $r \in R_{n-1}^p$, then

$$D_j D^r f = D^{r+Kj} f$$
 whenever $j \in \text{end } p$.

THEOREM 8. $\overline{C}^n = C^n$.

Proof. Suppose $\overline{C}^n \neq C^n$, let

$$N=\{m\in\omega\colon ar{C}^{\,m}
eq C^{\,m}\}$$
 ,

note that

$$0 \neq N \subset \omega$$

and choose ν to be the smallest integer in N, and then choose

.0 $f \in \overline{C} \, {}^{\vee} \sim C \, {}^{\vee}$.

Note that

A. P. MORSE

1 ≦ ע ,

.1 let

 $M = \mathrm{end} \ p \sim \mathrm{Cpivot} \ f \nu$

and observe that

 $M \neq 0$

since otherwise 4.5 would demand that

 $f \in C^{\nu}$

in contradiction to .0. Let then j be the largest integer in M. Note that

.2 $j \in \text{end } p \sim \text{Cpivot } f \nu$

and, because of Lemma 6, that

end $p \sim \text{end } j \subset \text{Cpivot } f \nu \subset \text{Lpivot } f \nu$.

From this and 4.2 infer that

.3
$$j \in \operatorname{Rpivot} f \mathcal{V}$$
.

From .1, .3, 4.3, and the choice of ν , infer that

.4 $D_i f \in \overline{C}^{\nu-1} = C^{\nu-1}$.

From .3, .4, and 4.4 conclude, in contradiction to .2, that

 $j \in \operatorname{Cpivot} f \nu$.

We now infer Theorem 0 from Theorem 7 and Theorem 2 from Theorem 8.

In order to formulate and prove Theorem 10 we shall understand that if $1 \leq p \in \omega$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^p$, and r is on end p to ω then:

$$r! = r_1! \cdot r_2! \cdot \cdots \cdot r_p!;$$

 $h^r = h_1^{r_1} \cdot h_2^{r_2} \cdot \cdots \cdot h_p^{r_p}.$

THEOREM 9. If $n \in \omega$, $1 \leq p \in \omega$, S = end p, and A is on \overline{R}_{n+1}^p to R, then

$$\sum r \in \overline{R}_n^p \sum j \in S rac{A(r+Kj)}{r!} = (n+1) \cdot \sum r \in \overline{R}_{n+1}^p rac{A(r)}{r!}$$
 .

Proof. Let B and H be such functions on R^p that:

$$\begin{split} B(r) &= A(r) \text{ whenever } r \in \bar{R}_{n+1}^p; \\ B(r) &= 0 \text{ whenever } r \in R^p \sim \bar{R}_{n+1}^p; \\ H(r) &= \frac{1}{r!} \text{ whenever } r \in R^p \text{ and } r \text{ is to } \omega; \\ H(r) &= 0 \text{ whenever } r \in R^p \text{ and } r \text{ is not to } \omega \end{split}$$

We notice that if $j \in S$ then: if $r \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and r is to ω then

$$r\in ar{R}^{p}_{n}$$
 if and only if $r+Kj\in ar{R}^{p}_{n+1}$;

if $r \in R^p \sim \bar{R}^p_n$ then

$$H(r) \cdot B(r + Kj) = 0;$$

if $r \in R^p$ then

$$H(r - Kj) = r_j \cdot H(r)$$
.

Because of all this we now infer

$$\sum r \in \overline{R}_n^p \sum j \in S \frac{A(r+Kj)}{r!} = \sum r \in \overline{R}_n^p \sum j \in S[H(r) \cdot B(r+Kj)]$$

$$= \sum r \in R^p \sum j \in S[H(r) \cdot B(r+Kj)]$$

$$= \sum j \in S \sum r \in R^p[H(r) \cdot B(r+Kj)]$$

$$= \sum j \in S \sum r \in R^p[H(r-Kj) \cdot B(r)]$$

$$= \sum r \in R^p \sum j \in S[H(r-Kj) \cdot B(r)]$$

$$= \sum r \in R^p \sum j \in S[r_j \cdot H(r) \cdot B(r)]$$

$$= \sum r \in \overline{R}_{n+1}^p[(\sum j \in S r_j) \cdot H(r) \cdot B(r)]$$

$$= \sum r \in \overline{R}_{n+1}^p[(\operatorname{sum} r) \cdot H(r) \cdot B(r)]$$

$$= \sum r \in \overline{R}_{n+1}^p[(\operatorname{sum} r) \cdot H(r) \cdot B(r)]$$

$$= (n+1) \cdot \sum r \in \overline{R}_{n+1}^p[H(r) \cdot B(r)]$$

$$= (n+1) \cdot \sum r \in \overline{R}_{n+1}^p[H(r) \cdot B(r)]$$

TAYLOR'S THEOREM 10. If $1 \leq p \in \omega$, α is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^p , $c \in \alpha$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$c + t \cdot h \in \alpha$$
 whenever $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

 $n \in \omega$, f is n + 1 smooth on α , then there are \varDelta and θ for which:

$$egin{aligned} 0 &< heta < 1 ext{ ;} \ arDelta &= \sum r \in ar{R}_{n+1}^p rac{D^r f(c + heta \cdot h) m{\cdot} h^r}{r!} ext{ ;} \ f(c + h) &= \sum r \in R_n^p rac{D^r f(c) m{\cdot} h^r}{r!} + arDelta \ . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the usual way we let F be such a function that $F(t) = f(c + t \cdot h)$ whenever $t \in R$,

and then use 2, 0, and 9 to check by induction in k that

$$rac{F^{_{(k)}}(t)}{k!} = \sum r \in ar{R}^p_k rac{D^r f(c + t \cdot h) \cdot h^r}{r!}$$

whenever

$$k \in \omega$$
 , $0 \leq k \leq n+1$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Also in the usual way we so choose \varDelta and θ that:

$$egin{aligned} 0 < heta < 1 \; ; \ arDelta &= rac{F^{(n+1)}(heta)}{(n+1)!} \; ; \ F(1) &= \sum_{k=0}^n rac{F^{(k)}(0)}{k!} + arDelta \; . \end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion is now at hand.

Without resorting to Banach space integration we can verify the following

TAYLOR'S THEOREM FOR A LINEAR NORMED SPACE 11. If X is a linear normed space, $1 \leq p \in \omega$, α is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^p , $c \in \alpha$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

 $c+t{f \cdot} h \in lpha$ whenever $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

 $n \in \omega$, f is n + 1 smooth on α to X, then there is a $\Delta \in X$ for which:

$$egin{aligned} || arphi || &\leq (n+1) \cdot \int_0^1 \left\| (1-t)^n \cdot \sum r \in ar{R}^p_{n+1} rac{D^r f(c+t \cdot h) \cdot h^r}{r!}
ight\| dt \;; \ f(c+h) &= \sum r \in R^p_n rac{D^r f(c) \cdot h^r}{r!} + arDelt \;. \end{aligned}$$

By completing the space X of Theorem 11 we obtain an alternative proof of Theorem 11 based upon

TAYLOR'S THEOREM FOR A BANACH SPACE 12. If X is a Banach

space, $1 \leq p \in \omega$, α is an open subset of R^p , $c \in \alpha$, $h \in R^p$, $c + t \cdot h \in \alpha$ whenever $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

 $n \in \omega$, f is n + 1 smooth on α to X, and

$$\Delta = (n+1) \cdot \int_0^1 (1-t)^n \cdot \sum r \in \overline{R}_{n+1}^p \frac{D^r f(c+t \cdot h) \cdot h^r}{r!} dt ,$$

then

$$f(c + h) = \sum r \in R_n^p rac{D^r f(c) \cdot h^r}{r!} + arDelta$$
 .

Received October 15, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 37, No. 2 February, 1971

Charles Compton Alexander, Semi-developable spaces and quotient images of	
metric spaces	277
Ram Prakash Bambah and Alan C. Woods, <i>On a problem of Danzer</i>	295
John A. Beekman and Ralph A. Kallman, <i>Gaussian Markov expectations and</i> related integral equations	303
Frank Michael Cholewinski and Deborah Tepper Haimo, <i>Inversion of the Hankel</i>	
potential transform	319
John H. E. Cohn. <i>The diophantine equation</i>	
$Y(Y+1)(Y+2)(Y+3) = 2X(X+1)(X+2)(X+3) \dots$	331
Philip C. Curtis. Ir. and Henrik Stetkaer. A factorization theorem for analytic	001
functions operating in a Banach algebra	337
Doyle Otis Cutler and Paul F. Dubois. <i>Generalized final rank for arbitrary limit</i>	
ordinals	345
Keith A Ekblaw. The functions of hounded index as a subspace of a space of	515
entire functions	353
Dennis Michael Girord. The asymptotic behavior of norms of powers of	555
absolutely convergent Fourier series	357
Lohn Crossony An approximation theory for alliptic and ratio forms on Hilbert	557
John Gregory, An approximation theory for elliptic quadratic forms on Hilbert	
spaces. Application to the eigenvalue problem for compact quadratic	383
Doub C. Kainon, Universal as efficient the server for a second line the server	585
raul C. Kainen, Universal coefficient theorems for generalized homology and	207
Alla Lange Lange et Lange Danald Datherford, N. January C. J. J.	397
Aldo Joram Lazar and James Ronald Retnerford, <i>Nuclear spaces, Schauder</i>	400
bases, and Choquer simplexes	409
David Lowell Lovelady, Algebraic structure for a set of nonlinear integral	401
operations	421
John McDonald, <i>Compact convex sets with the equal support</i> property	429
Forrest Miller, <i>Quasivector topologies</i>	445
Marion Edward Moore and Arthur Steger, <i>Some results on completability in</i>	
commutative rings	453
A. P. Morse, <i>Taylor's theorem</i>	461
Richard E. Phillips, Derek J. S. Robinson and James Edward Roseblade,	
Maximal subgroups and chief factors of certain generalized soluble	
groups	475
Doron Ravdin, On extensions of homeomorphisms to homeomorphisms	481
John William Rosenthal, <i>Relations not determining the structure of</i> L	497
Prem Lal Sharma, <i>Proximity bases and subbases</i>	515
Larry Smith, <i>On ideals in</i> Ω^{μ}_{*}	527
Warren R. Wogen, von Neumann algebras generated by operators similar to	
normal operators	539
R. Grant Woods, <i>Co-absolutes of remainders of Stone-Čech</i>	
compactifications	545
compactifications	545