Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FATOU'S LEMMA IN NORMED LINEAR SPACES

STEPHEN SCHEINBERG

Vol. 38, No. 1 March 1971

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 38, No. 1, 1971

FATOU'S LEMMA IN NORMED LINEAR SPACES

STEPHEN SCHEINBERG

This note presents a generalization of Fatou's lemma to arbitrary normed linear spaces. Several examples illustrate the situations in which this notion is meaningful. The main theorem gives an abstract characterization of the Fatou property. In particular this resolves the case of any reflexive space. An example shows that Fatou's lemma may fail even for uniform convergence in a normed algebra of continuous functions.

Frequently in analysis one obtains a function by a limiting process which is weaker (less demanding) than convergence in the norm. For example, a continuous function may be obtained as the point-wise, but not necessary uniform, limit of other continuous functions. Even though the limit is not a norm limit, one may still need to know that the norm of the limit function is no greater than the norms of the approximating functions. The classical case is, of course, Fatou's lemma: if $f_n \to f$ pointwise, then

$$\int |f| \leqq \liminf \int |f_n| .$$

Another common situation is this. A subspace $A \subseteq C(X)$ is given which has a norm, $\|f\| \geqq \sup |f|$. If $f_n \to f$ pointwise (or uniformly), does it follow that $\|f\| \leqq \liminf \|f_n\|$? The answer is "yes" quite often, but can be "no," even when A is a subalgebra.

Motivated by a wide variety of examples, I wish to consider the following general situation. Throughout this paper A will be a normed linear space, not necessarily complete, and $\mathscr{T}$ will be a locally convex Hausdorff topology on A which is weaker (coarser) than the norm topology. Say that *Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to $\mathscr{T}$* if whenever $a_\beta \underset{\beta}{\to} a$ in $\mathscr{T}$, it follows that $\|a\| \leqq \lim_\gamma \inf_{\beta \geqq \gamma} \|a_\beta\|$. It is usually easier to apply the equivalent condition stated in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. *Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to $\mathscr{T}$ $\Leftrightarrow$ whenever $a_\beta \underset{\beta}{\to} a$ in $\mathscr{T}$, it follows that $\|a\| \leqq \sup_\beta \|a_\beta\|$.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ is obvious, since sup $\geqq$ lim inf. For $\Leftarrow$, let $a_\beta \underset{\beta}{\to} a$ in $\mathscr{T}$ be given. We may assume $\lim_\gamma \inf_{\beta \geqq \gamma} \|a_\beta\| = L < \infty$, for otherwise there is nothing to prove. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Given γ, $\exists \beta \geqq \gamma$ with $\|a_\beta\| \leqq L + \varepsilon$. Write this β as $\beta(\gamma)$ and we obtain $a_{\beta(\gamma)} \underset{\gamma}{\to} a$ with $\sup_\gamma \|a_{\beta(\gamma)}\| \leqq L + \varepsilon$. By hypothesis, then $\|a\| \leqq L + \varepsilon$. Now let $\varepsilon \to 0$.

It may be instructive to examine a few examples.

1. For each $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3 \subseteq \cdots$ with each E_j measurable and $\bigcup E_j = [0, 1]$, the topology $\mathscr{T}$ of uniform convergence on every E_j is locally convex and Hausdorff. Egoroff's theorem shows that if measurable $f_n \to f$ pointwise, then $f_n \to f$ in $\mathscr{T}$ for some such $\mathscr{T}$. In this manner the classical Fatou's lemma becomes a special case of the definition above.

2. Let $A = C^1[0, 1]$, $\|f\| = \sup|f| + \sup|f'|$, and $\mathscr{T}$ be the topology of pointwise convergence. To verify Fatou's lemma, suppose $f_\alpha(x) \to f(x)$ for each x. Let x_0 maximize $|f|$ and x_1 maximize $|f'|$. Then $[f_\alpha(x+\delta) - f_\alpha(x)]/\delta \underset{\alpha}{\to} [f(x+\delta) - f(x)]/\delta$, implying $f'_\alpha(x_1 + \theta_\alpha\delta) \underset{\alpha}{\to} f'(x_1 + \theta\delta)$ by the mean-value theorem. This takes care of the part of the norm depending $|f'|$, the part depending on $|f|$ following from $f_\alpha(x_0) \underset{\alpha}{\to} f(x_0)$. The same result holds for $\|f\| = \sup(|f| + |f'|)$ or $\|f\| = \max(\sup|f|, \sup|f'|)$, etc. Using the appropriate differential quotients we can extend this example to any of the usual C^k-norms and of course to other manifolds.

3. Let D be an open connected subset of the plane which is the interior of its closure $\bar{D}$. Let $A =$ all functions continuous on $\bar{D}$ which are analytic on D, with the sup norm, and $\mathscr{T} =$ the topology of convergence in each derivative at some fixed point $z_0 \in D$. To conclude $\|f\| \leqq \sup\|f_\alpha\|$ from $f_\alpha^{(k)}(z_0) \to f^{(k)}(z_0)$ (all k), one may argue this way: if $\sup\|f_\alpha\| = \infty$, done; if $\sup\|f_\alpha\| < \infty$, then a standard normal families argument shows that some subnet converges uniformly on compact subsets of D. This implies that

$$|f(z)| \leqq \sup_\alpha |f_\alpha(z)| \leqq \sup_\alpha \|f_\alpha\|$$

for each $z \in D$, which completes the proof. See de Leeuw [1] for a different proof of a similar example.

4. Let $A =$ all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ satisfying $\|f\| = \sup|f| + \Sigma 2^n|f(1/n)| < \infty$ and let $\mathscr{T}$ be the topology of pointwise convergence. The proof of Fatou's lemma for this example is a direct consequence of the classical case for a discrete measure plus part of the proof in Example 2.

5. Let $A =$ all C^1 functions on the circle with C^1 norm, $\mathscr{T} =$ the topology of convergence in each Fourier coefficient. If $f_\gamma \to f$ in $\mathscr{T}$, then $K_n * f_\gamma \to K_n * f$ uniformly, since the Fejer kernel K_n uses only finitely many coefficients. By the argument in example 2,

$\| K_n * f \| \leqq \sup_\gamma \| K_n * f_\gamma \|$ and since $K_n * f \to f$ uniformly (and even in norm), we get

$$\| f \| \leqq \sup_n \| K_n * f \| \leqq \sup_n \sup_\gamma \| K_n * f_\gamma \| .$$

The proof is completed by the observation that $\| K_n * g \| \leqq \| g \|$ for any g. See [1] for abstractions and generalizations of this example.

In discussing the general situation let us use X^* for the space of all continuous linear mappings of a topological vector space X into the scalar field. Observe that $(A, \mathscr{T})^* \subseteqq A^*$. If X is a normed linear space, let $U(X)$ be the unit ball of X.

THEOREM 1. *Let A, $\mathscr{T}$ be given. These are equivalent.*
(1) *Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to $\mathscr{T}$.*
(2) *$(A, \mathscr{T})^* \cap U(A^*)$ is weak-*-dense in $U(A^*)$.*
(3) *For every $a \in A$, $\| a \| = \sup \{ |\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in (A, \mathscr{T})^*, \ \| \varphi \| \leqq 1 \}$.*

Proof. $1 \to 3$: It is sufficient to prove (3) for an arbitrary a_0 of norm 1. Let $C = \{a : \| a \| \leqq 1 - \varepsilon\}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$. By (1) the closure $\bar{C}$ of C in $\mathscr{T}$ does not contain a_0. Since $\bar{C}$ is convex, circled, and contains 0, there is a functional $\varphi \in (A, \mathscr{T})^*$ with

$$\varphi(a_0) > \sup \{ |\varphi(a)| : a \in \bar{C} \} \geqq \sup \{ |\varphi(a)| : a \in C \} = (1\text{-}\varepsilon) \| \varphi \| .$$

Since $\| a_0 \| = 1$, this proves (3).

$3 \to 2$: If (2) is false, let $D = (A, \mathscr{T})^* \cap U(A^*)$ and let $\Psi \in U(A^*)$ be chosen so that $\Psi \notin \bar{D}$ = the weak-*-closure of D. This implies existence of F in (A^*, weak-*-topology)* with

$$F(\Psi) > \sup \{ |F(\varphi)| : \varphi \in \bar{D} \} .$$

Since F is given by evaluation at some $a \in A$, we get

$$\Psi(a) > \sup \{ |\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in \bar{D} \} \geqq \sup \{ |\varphi(a)| : \varphi \in D \} .$$

This evidently contradicts (3).

$2 \to 1$: Let $a_\beta \underset{\beta}{\to} a_0$ in $\mathscr{T}$. Choose $\varphi_0 \in A^*$ with $\| \varphi_0 \| = 1$ and $\varphi_0(a_0) = \| a_0 \|$. By (2) there is $\varphi_\rho \underset{\rho}{\to} \varphi_0$ (weak $*$) with $\| \varphi_\rho \| \leqq 1$ and $\varphi_\rho \in (A, \mathscr{T})^*$. Then $|\varphi_\rho(a_\beta)| \leqq \| \varphi_\rho \| \, \| a_\beta \| \leqq \| a_\beta \|$ and $\varphi_\rho(a_\beta) \underset{\beta}{\to} \varphi_\rho(a_0)$. Therefore $|\varphi_\rho(a_0)| \leqq \sup_\beta |\varphi_\rho(a_\beta)| \leqq \sup_\beta \| a_\beta \|$. It follows that

$$\| a_0 \| = \varphi_0(a_0) = \lim_\rho \varphi_\rho(a_0) \leqq \sup_\beta \| a_\beta \| ,$$

and this is equivalent to (1).

COROLLARY. *Let z_0 belong to a plane domain and let A be the space of functions continuous on the closure of the domain and analytic in the interior, $\|f\| = \sup|f|$. Then every $\varphi \in A^*$ is the weak-*-limit of functions Ψ of norm $\leqq \|\varphi\|$ of the form $\Psi(f) = \sum_{finite} a_j f^{(j)}(z_0)$.*

THEOREM 2. *If A is reflexive, then Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to any $\mathscr{T}$.*

Proof. Let $a_\gamma \underset{\gamma}{\rightarrow} a_0$ in $\mathscr{T}$. We need to show that $\|a_0\| \leqq \sup_\gamma \|a_\gamma\|$. If the sup is ∞, we are done. Let the sup be $K < \infty$. Since A is reflexive, the ball of radius K in A is compact in the weak topology induced by A^* and hence is compact in the topology induced by $(A, \mathscr{T})^* \subseteqq A^*$. This means that a_1 exists in A with $\|a_1\| \leqq K$ and a subnet exists with $\varphi(a_{\gamma(\beta)}) \underset{\beta}{\rightarrow} \varphi(a_1)$ for every $\varphi \in (A, \mathscr{T})^*$. Since $a_{\gamma(\beta)} \underset{\beta}{\rightarrow} a_0$ in $\mathscr{T}$, $\varphi(a_{\gamma(\beta)}) \underset{\beta}{\rightarrow} \varphi(a_0)$ for every $\varphi \in (A, \mathscr{T})^*$. This implies that $a_0 = a_1$, since $\mathscr{T}$ is locally convex and Hausdorff, and we are done.

In a similar manner we obtain the following.

THEOREM 3. *If A is the dual of a normed linear space and $\mathscr{T}$ is comparable to the weak-*-topology on A, then Fatou's lemma holds for A relative to $\mathscr{T}$.*

THEOREM 4. *Let A be any normed linear space which is not reflexive. Then there is a locally convex Hausdorff topology $\mathscr{T}$ on A, weaker than the norm topology, so that Fatou's lemma fails for A relative to $\mathscr{T}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in A^*$, $\varphi \neq 0$, and put $B = \ker \varphi$. B is a closed subspace of A and A is isomorphic with $B \oplus \mathbf{C}$; hence, B is not reflexive. This means that when we view $A \subseteqq A^{**}$ in the natural way, B is not closed in the A^*-topology. We can choose $a_\beta \in B$ and $\underline{a} \in A^{**}\text{-}B$ so that $\|a_\beta\| = 1$ and $a_\beta \rightarrow \underline{a}$ in the topology induced by A^*. Since $\varphi \equiv 0$ on B, it follows that $\varphi(\underline{a}) = 0$, and since $\underline{a} \in B$ we see that $\underline{a} \notin A$.

Let A' be the span of B and $\underline{a}$. For any $a_0 \in A - B$, the mapping between A' and A given by $b + \lambda\underline{a} \Leftrightarrow b + \lambda a_0$ is a vector space isomorphism which is bicontinuous in the norm. Use this mapping to transfer the A^*-induced topology of A' over onto A, where we obtain a locally convex Hausdorff topology $\mathscr{T}$ weaker than the norm topology. Since $a_\beta \rightarrow a_0$ in $\mathscr{T}$, the proof is completed by selecting a_0 to have norm 2, for example.

REMARK. By a slight modification of the method of proof one can obtain $\mathscr{T}$ so that each element of a sequence a_n, $\|a_n\| = n$, will be the $\mathscr{T}$-limit of elements of norm $\leqq 1$. Then it will be impossible to give A an equivalent norm which accommodates $\mathscr{T}$ in Fatou's lemma.

The failure of Fatou's lemma for an abstract linear space is not surprising. However, it is somewhat unexpected for A a subalgebra of $C(X)$ when $\mathscr{T}$ is uniform convergence on X. Recall that any semi-simple commutative Banach algebra A may be regarded, via the Gelfand transform, as a subalgebra of $C(\Delta)$, Δ the maximal ideal space of A, and $\|f\| \geqq \sup_\Delta |f|$.

THEOREM 5. *There exists a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra A with elements f, f_n satisfying $\|f_n\| \leqq 1$, $\|f_n\| > 1$, and $f_n \to f$ uniformly on Δ. That is, Fatou's lemma fails for A relative to the topology of uniform convergence on its maximal ideal space.*

Proof. First consider the Banach algebra B of all sequences $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ such that $|||x||| = \Sigma 2^k |x_k| < \infty$. All algebraic operations on B are defined coordinate-wise. As a Banach space B is isometrically isomorphic to l^1. We can compute the maximal ideal space of B as follows. Let $h_j(x) = x_j$. These homomorphisms show that B is semi-simple. To see that these are all the homomorphisms of B, let $h: B \to C$ and look at $h(e_j)$, where $e_j = (\cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots)$ with the "1" in the j^{th} place. If $h \not\equiv 0$, then $h(e_{j_0}) \neq 0$ for some j_0, since linear combinations of the e_j are dense. Applying h to the equation $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij}$ yields $h(e_{j_0}) = 1$ and $h(e_j) = 0$ for $j \neq j_0$. Then the equation $e_{j_0}(x - x_{j_0} e_{j_0}) = 0$ leads to $h(x) = x_{j_0}$ and $h \equiv h_{j_0}$.

Now we obtain A as the algebra of B together with a new norm $\| \ \|$, equivalent to $|||\ |||$. Of course, A will be semi-simple and have the same maximal ideal space. To construct the new norm, let $C = \{x \in B: |x_1| \leqq 1/4$ and $\sum_2^\infty 2^k |x_k| \leqq 1\}$ and let $D =$ the convex hull of $\{e^{i\theta}(e_1/2 + 4e_k/2^k)$: all real θ, all $k \geqq 4\}$. Both C and D are convex and circled (stable under multiplication by scalars of absolute value $\leqq 1$). Put $U =$ the convex hull of $C \cup D$ and $p =$ the support functional of U: $p(x) = \inf\{r : x \in rU\} = 1/\sup\{r : rx \in U\}$.

Observe that $|||x||| \leqq 1 \Rightarrow 1/2\, x \in C \Rightarrow p(x) \leqq 2$ and

$$p(x) \leqq 1 \Rightarrow (1\text{-}\varepsilon)x = \lambda c + (1\text{-}\lambda)d \Rightarrow (1\text{-}\varepsilon)\,|||x||| \leqq \max\,(|||c|||,\ |||d|||)$$
$$= \max\left(1\frac{1}{2},\ 5\right) = 5\,.$$

Since U is convex, circled, and absorbing, p defines a new norm $||x|| = p(x)$ on B which we have seen is equivalent with the norm $|||\ \ |||$.

To show that p is a Banach algebra norm, it is sufficient to show $uu' \in U$ for any $u, u' \in U$. Since u (resp. u') is a convex combination of c, d (resp. c', d'), uu' is a convex combination of cc', cd', $c'd$, and dd'. The first three clearly belong to C; furthermore, $dd' \in C$ since the definition of D requires $k \geqq 4$. This proves p is submultiplicative.

Now we estimate $p(e_1)$. Suppose $re_1 \in U$; then $re_1 = \lambda c + (1\text{-}\lambda)d$. Apply the linear functional $L(x) = \sum_2^\infty 2^k x_k$ to this equation and get $0 = \lambda L(c) + (1\text{-}\lambda)L(d)$. Therefore, $(1\text{-}\lambda)|L(d)| \leqq \lambda |L(c)| \leqq \lambda$, since $|L(c)| \leqq 1$. $L(d) = 8d_1$ for all d, since this is true for the generators of D. Hence, $(1\text{-}\lambda)|d_1| \leqq \lambda/8$. Looking at the first coordinate of $re_1 = \lambda c + (1\text{-}\lambda)d$, we see $r = \lambda c_1 + (1\text{-}\lambda)d_1$. Finally, $|c_1| \leqq 1/4$ by definition and $(1\text{-}\lambda)|d_1| \leqq \lambda/8$; so $r \leqq 3/8\lambda \leqq 3/8$. Thus $p(e_1) \geqq 8/3$.

The theorem is proved with $f = e_1/2$ and $f_n = e_1/2 + 4e_n/2^n$.

Reference

1. K. de Leeuw, *Linear spaces with a compact group of operators*, Illinois. J. Math., **2** (1958), 367-377.

Received February 18, 1971. This research was supported in part by NSF Grant 11911.

Stanford University

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 38, No. 1 March, 1971