ON THE DENSITY OF (k, r) INTEGERS

Y. K. Feng and M. V. Subba Rao

ON THE DENSITY OF (k, r) INTEGERS

Y. K. Feng and M. V. Subbarao

Let k and r be integers such that $0 < r < k$. We call a positive integer n, $a(k, r)$-integer if it is of the form $n = a^K b$, where a and b are natural numbers and b is r-free. Clearly, $a(\infty, r)$-integer is a r-free integer. Let $Q_{k,r}$ denote the set of (k, r)-integers and let $\delta(Q_{k,r})$, $D(Q_{k,r})$ respectively denote the asymptotic and Schnirelmann densities of the set $Q_{k,r}$. In this paper, we prove that $\delta(Q_{k,r}) > D(Q_{k,r}) \geqq \zeta(k)(1 - \sum_p p^{-r}) - 1/k(1 - (1/k))^{k-1}$, and deduce the known results for r-free integers.

1. **Introduction and Notation.** In some recent papers, ([4, 5]) we introduced a generalized class of r-free integers, which we called the (k, r)-integers. For given integers k, r with $0 < r < k$, $a(k, r)$-integer is one whose k-free part is also r-free. In the limiting case when $k = \infty$, we get the r-free integers. It is clear that $a(k, r)$-integer is an integer of the form $a^k b$, where a and b are natural numbers and b is r-free. Let $Q_{k.r}, Q_r$ denote the set of all (k, r)-integers and the set of all r-free integers respectively. Also let $Q_{k,r}(x)$ denote the number of (k, r)-integers not exceeding x, with corresponding meaning for $Q_r(x)$. We write $\delta(Q_{k,r})$ for the asymptotic density of the (k, r)-integers, that is,

$$\delta(Q_{k,r}) = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{Q_{k\ r}(x)}{x} ,$$

(provided this limit exists), and $D(Q_{k,r})$ for their Schnirelmann density given by

$$D(Q_{k,r}) = \inf_n \frac{Q_{k\ r}(n)}{n} .$$

We define $\delta(Q_r)$ and $D(Q_r)$ analogously. Let $\psi(n)$ be the characteristic function of $Q_{k,r}$ and $\lambda(n)$ be defined by

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = \psi(n) .$$

It is easily proved (see [3]) that the function $\psi(n)$ and $\lambda(n)$ are multiplicative and for any prime p

$$\lambda(p^a) = \begin{cases} 1 & a \equiv 0 \pmod{k} , \\ -1 & a \equiv r \pmod{k} , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further,

(1.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(ks)}{\zeta(rs)} \ , \ Re(s) > \frac{1}{r} \ ,$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann Zeta function. In a previous paper [5], we showed that

$$Q_{k,r}(x) = \frac{x\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)} + E(x) \ ,$$

where the error term $E(x)$ is $0(x^{\frac{1}{r}})$, for $r > 1$, uniformly in k. (We actually gave an improved estimate for the error term, but this is not required here.)

It follows that

$$\delta(Q_{k,r}) = \frac{\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)} \ .$$

In this paper we will show that

$$\delta(Q_{k,r}) > D_{(k,r)} \ .$$

The corresponding result for Q_2 was first proved by Rogers [2], and for Q_r, for all $r > 1$ by Stark [6]. We also obtain a lower bound for $D(Q_{k,r})$, from which we obtain as a special case a result of Duncan [1] on a lower bound for $D(Q_r)$. The actual value of $D(Q_{k,r})$ is unknown except for the case Q_2; Rogers [3] proved that

$$D(Q_2) = \frac{53}{88} \ .$$

2. Theorem.

$$\delta(Q_{k,r}) > D(Q_{k,r}) \geq \zeta(k)(1 - \sum_p p^{-r}) - \frac{1}{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \ .$$

The proof will be given in two parts, corresponding to the two results:

(2.1)
$$D(Q_{k,r}) \geq \zeta(k)(1 - \sum_p p^{-r}) - \frac{1}{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \ ;$$

(2.2)
$$\delta(Q_{k,r}) > D(Q_{k,r}) \ .$$

Proof of (2.1). The case $r > 1$.

It is clear that

$$Q_r(n) \geq n - \sum_p \left[\frac{n}{p^r}\right] \ ,$$

p ranging over all the primes.

Since

$$Q_{k,r}(n) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} Q_r\left(\left[\frac{n}{a^k}\right]\right),$$

it follows that

$$Q_{k,r}(n) \geq \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \left(\left[\frac{n}{a^k}\right] - \sum_p \left[\frac{a^{n/k}}{p^r}\right]\right)$$

$$> \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n}{a^k} - \sum_p \frac{n}{a^k p^r}\right) - (n^{1/k} - 1).$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{Q_{k,r}(n)}{n} > \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a^k} - \sum_p \frac{1}{a^k p^r}\right) - \frac{n_{k/1} - 1}{n}$$

$$= \zeta(k)(1 - \sum_p {}^{P-r}) + \frac{1 - n^{1/k}}{n}.$$

Let

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - x^{1/k}}{x} = \frac{1}{x} - x^{1/k-1};$$

then

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} - \left(\frac{1}{k} - 1\right)x^{1/k-2},$$

so that

$$f'(x) > 0 \text{ if } \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)x^{(1/k)-2} > \frac{1}{x^2}, \text{ i.e., } \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)x^{1/k} > 1.$$

Thus

$$f'(x) \begin{cases} > 0 \text{ when } x > \dfrac{1}{\left(1 - \dfrac{1}{k}\right)^k}, \\[4mm] < 0 \text{ when } x < \dfrac{1}{\left(1 - \dfrac{1}{k}\right)^k}. \end{cases}$$

when $x = (1 - (1/k))^{-k}$ we get the minimum value of f, which is equal to

$$f\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{-k}\right) = \frac{1 - \left(1 - \dfrac{1}{k}\right)^{-1}}{\left(1 - \dfrac{1}{k}\right)^{-k}} = -\frac{1}{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{Q_{k,r}(n)}{n} > \zeta(k)(1 - \sum_p p^{-r}) - \frac{1}{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1},$$

and

$$D(Q_{k,r}) \geq \zeta(k)(1 - \sum_p p^{-r}) - \frac{1}{k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1}.$$

For the case $r = 1$,

$$Q_{k,1}(n) = [n^{1/k}]$$

$$\delta(Q_{k,1}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{[n^{1/k}]}{n} = 0, \text{ since } k \geq 2.$$

$$D(Q_{k,1}) = \inf_n \frac{[n^{1/k}]}{n} = 0.$$

So the result still holds in this case.

REMARK 2.3. The above proof is easily seen to hold even when $k = \infty$. The corresponding result, namely,

$$D(Q_r) > 1 - \sum_p p^{-r},$$

is due to R. L. Duncan [1].

To prove the result in (2.2), we first obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

(i) $E(n) > n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon}$, *for infinitely many integers n*,

(ii) $E(n) < -n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon}$, *for infinitely many integers n*.

Proof. Let

$$\sum \left(\psi(n) - \frac{\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)}\right)n^{-s} = R_1(s).$$

Since

$$\sum \left(\psi(n) - \frac{\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)}\right)n^{-s} = \frac{\zeta(ks)\zeta(s)}{\zeta(rs)} - \frac{\zeta(k)\zeta(s)}{\zeta(r)},$$

we have

$$R_1(s) = \sum \left(\psi(n) - \frac{\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)}\right)n^{-s}$$

$$= \sum (E(n) - E(n-1))n^{-s}$$

$$= \sum E(n)(n^{-s} - (n+1)^{-s}).$$

Also, let

$$s \sum E(n) n^{-s-1} = R_2(s) \ ,$$

$$\sum E(n) n^{-s-1} = R_3(s) \ ,$$

$$\sum n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon} \cdot n^{-s-1} = R_4(s) \ ,$$

$$\sum (n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon} - E(n)) n^{-s-1} = R_5(s) \ ,$$

$$\sum (n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon} + E(n)) n^{-s-1} = R_6(s) \ .$$

Now suppose that for all $n \geq n_0$, $E(n) \leq n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon}$. Then the series $R_5(s)$ converges for $a > (1/2r) - \varepsilon$ $(a = Re(s))$, and all but a finite number of coefficients of $R_5(s)$ are nonnegative. Hence the abscissa of convergence of $R_5(s)$ must be less than or equal to $(1/2r) - \varepsilon$. Let α be its abscissa of convergence, that is $\alpha \leq (1/2r) - \varepsilon$. Note that (see [2], P. 661)

$$|n^{-s} - (n + 1)^{-s} - s \cdot n^{-s-1}| \leq |s| \, |s + 1| \, n^{-a-2} \ .$$

This implies $R_1(s)$ also converges for $a > \alpha$. But this is false because $R_1(s)$ has singularities on $a = (1/2r)$. Thus we must have

$$E(n) > n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon}$$

for infinitely many integers n.

Next suppose that for all $n \geq n_0$, $E(n) \geq - n^{(1/2r)-\varepsilon}$, then we consider the series $R_6(s)$, proceed as in (i) and arrive at the same contradiction.

Proof of the result (2.2). By the above lemma, there are infinitely many integers n for which $E(n) < 0$. For such n,

$$\frac{Q_{k,r}(n)}{n} = \frac{\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)} + \frac{E(n)}{n} < \frac{\zeta(k)}{\zeta(r)} \ ,$$

which proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. R. L. Duncan, *The Schnirelmann density of the k-free integers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **16** (1965), 1090-1091.
2. E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteibungder primzahlen*, Chelsea (reprint), 1953.
3. K. Rogers, *The Schnirelmann density of the square-free integers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **15** (1964), 515-516.
4. M. V. Subbarao, and V. C. Harris, *A new generalization of Ramauujan's sum*, J. London Math. Soc., **41** (1966), 595-604.
5. M. V. Subbarao, and Y. K. Feng, *On the distribution of generalized r-free integers in residue classes*, (To appear in Duke Math.).

6. H. M. Stark *On the asymptotic density of the k-free integers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1966), 1211–1214.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94805

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH   B. H. NEUMANN   F. WOLF   K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 38, No. 3   May, 1971