Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A SEMILATTICE DECOMPOSITION INTO SEMIGROUPS HAVING AT MOST ONE IDEMPOTENT

MOHAN S. PUTCHA AND JULIAN WEISSGLASS

Vol. 39, No. 1 May 1971

A SEMILATTICE DECOMPOSITION INTO SEMIGROUPS HAVING AT MOST ONE IDEMPOTENT

Mohan S. Putcha and Julian Weissglass

A semigroup S is said to be viable if ab = ba whenever ab and ba are idempotents. The main theorem of this article proves in part that S is a viable semigroup if and only if S is a semi-lattice of $\mathcal S$ -indecomposable semigroups having at most one idempotent.

Furthermore, each semigroup appearing in the decomposition has a group ideal whenever it has an idempotent. Also included as part of the main theorem is the more elementary result that S is viable if and only if every \mathcal{J} -class contains at most one idempotent.

Throughout S will denote a semigroup and E=E(S) the set of idemotents of S.

DEFINITION. Let $a, b \in S$. We say $a \mid b$ if there exist $x, y \in S$ such that ax = ya = b. The set-valued function \mathfrak{M} on S is defined by $\mathfrak{M}(a) = \{e \mid e \in E, a \mid e\}$. The relation δ on S is defined by $a \mid \delta b$ if $\mathfrak{M}(a) = \mathfrak{M}(b)$.

Our first goal is to show that if S is viable then δ is a congruence on S and S/δ is the semilattice described above.

LEMMA 1. Let S be viable. If $ab = e \in E$, then bea = e.

Proof. $(bea)^2 = beabea = bea$. Hence $bea \in E$. But cleary $abe = e \in E$. Hence bea = abe = e.

LEMMA 2. Let S be viable. Suppose $a \in S$ and $e \in E$. Then $a \mid e$ if and only if $e \in S^{1}aS^{1}$.

Proof. If $a \mid e$, then $e \in S^{1}aS^{1}$ by definition. Conversely assume e = sat with $s, t \in S^{1}$. By (1), ates = e and tesa = e. Therefore $a \mid e$.

THEOREM 3. Let S be viable. Then

- (i) δ is a congruence relation on S containing Green's relation \mathscr{H} .
- (ii) S/δ is a semilattice and
- (iii) each δ -class contains at most one idempotent and a group ideal whenever it contains an idempotent.

Proof. (i) Clearly δ is an equivalence relation. We will show that δ is right compatible. Assume a δ b. If $ac \mid e \in E$, then

- acx = e for some $x \in S$. By (1), cxea = e. Hence $a \mid e$. Thus $b \mid e$, so yb = e for some $y \in S$. Therefore ybcxea = e, so $bc \mid e$ by (2). Hence $\mathfrak{M}(ac) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(bc)$. Similary $\mathfrak{M}(bc) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(ac)$ and hence $ac \ \delta \ bc$. That δ is left compatible follows analogously. Consequently, δ is a congruence. It is immediate that $\mathscr{H} \subseteq \delta$.
- (ii) To show S/δ is a band, let $a \in S$. If $a^2 \mid e \in E$ then by (2), $a \mid e$. Hence $\mathfrak{M}(a^2) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(a)$. Suppose $a \mid e \in E$, say ax = ya = e, $x, y \in S$. Then $ya^2x = e$. Again using (2), $a^2 \mid e$. Thus, $\mathfrak{M}(a^2) = \mathfrak{M}(a)$ and $a \delta a^2$. So S/δ is a band. Now let $a, b \in S$. If $e \in \mathfrak{M}(ab)$, then there exist $x, y \in S$ such that abx = yab = e. Hence ya(ba)bx = e, and by (2), $e \in \mathfrak{M}(ba)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{M}(ab) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(ba)$. By symmetry, $\mathfrak{M}(ba) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(ab)$. Hence $ab \delta ba$ and S/δ is a semilattice.
- (iii) Suppose, e_1 δ e_2 with e_1 , $e_2 \in E$. Then $e_1 \in \mathfrak{M}(e_1) = \mathfrak{M}(e_2)$, so $e_2 \mid e_1$. Similarly $e_1 \mid e_2$. Hence e_1 \mathscr{H} e_2 and by [2], Lemma 2.15, $e_1 = e_2$. Thus each δ -class contains at most one idempotent. Now suppose A is a δ -class containing an idempotent e. Let $a \in A$. Since $e \in \mathfrak{M}(e) = \mathfrak{M}(a) = \mathfrak{M}(a^2)$, there exists $x \in S$ such that $a^2x = e$. Now a δ a^2 implies ax δ a^2x , so ax δ e δ a. Hence $ax \in A$ and a(ax) = e implies e is a right zeroid of e. Similarly e is a left zeroid and by [2], §2.5, Exercise 6, e has a group ideal.

A semigroup is said to be *S-indecomposable* if it has no proper semilattice decomposition.

COROLLARY 4. If the viable semigroup S is S-indecomposable then $S/\delta = 1$ and is either idempotent-free or has a group ideal and exactly one idempotent.

Lemma 5. Assume I is an idempotent-free ideal of S. Then S is viable if and only if the Rees factor semigroup S/I is viable.

Proof. Assume S is viable and that ab, $ba \in E(S/I)$. If $ab \in I$, then $ba = b(ab)a \in I$, so ab = ba in S/I. So we may assume ab and ba are not in I. But then ab, $ba \in E(S)$. Hence ab = ba in S and so in S/I. Therefore S/I is viable. Conversely, let ab, $ba \in E(S)$. Since S/I is viable ab = ba in S/I. But ab, $ba \notin I$ since I is idempotent-free. Hence ab = ba in S and S is viable.

A semigroup S is said to be E-inversive if for every $a \in S$ there exists $x \in S$ such that $ax \in E$.

Theorem 6. The following are equivalent.

- (i) Every J-class of S contains at most one idempotent
- (ii) S is viable.
- (iii) S is a smilattice of S-indecomposable semigroups each of

which contains at most one idempotent and a group ideal whenever it contains an idempotent.

- (iv) S is a semilattice of semigroups having at most one idempotent.
- (v) S is viable and E-inversive or an ideal extension of an idempotent-free semigroup by a viable E-inversive semigroup.
- *Proof.* $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ If ab and ba are idempotents then $ab = a(ba)b \in S^1baS^1$. Similarly $ba \in S^1abS^1$. Hence $ab \neq ba$, so ab = ba.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) By Tamura [3], S is a semilattice of \mathscr{S} -indecomposable semigroups. Since subsemigroups of viable semigroups are viable, each component is viable. The result follows from (4).
 - $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ a fortiori
- (iv) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose $e, f \in E$ with $e \in \mathcal{J}$ f. Then e and f are in the same component of the given semilattice decomposition. Hence e = f.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (v) Let $I = \{a \in S \mid \mathfrak{M}(a) = \emptyset\}$. If I is empty then S is E-inversive. Otherwise, I is obviously an idempotent-free δ -class of S. Moreover if $ax \mid e$ or $xa \mid e$, $e \in E$, then by (2), $a \mid e$. Hence, $a \in I$ implies ax, $xa \in I$ so that I is an ideal of S. By (5), S/I is viable. Since S/I has a zero, it is E-inversive. In fact, every nonzero element of S/I divides a nonzero idempotent of S/I.
 - $(v) \Rightarrow (ii)$ Follows from (5).

REMARK. Observe that the semilattice decomposition of (iii) in general will not be isomorphic to S/\hat{o} since in fact S may be idempotent free. Also, \mathscr{J} may be replaced \mathscr{D} in the theorem.

LEMMA 7. S is an ideal extension of a group by a nil semigroup if and only if S is a subdirect product of a group and a nil semigroup.

Proof. Suppose S is an ideal extension of a group G by a nil semigroup N. Let e be the identity of G. It is easy to see that e is central in S. It is well known that S is a subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible semigroups S_{α} ($\alpha \in \Omega$). Let $\sigma_{\alpha} \colon S \to S_{\alpha}$ be the natural map. Let $e_{\alpha} = e\sigma_{\alpha}$. Then e_{α} is a central idempotent in S_{α} and hence is zero or 1 (cf. [1]). If $e_{\alpha} = 0$, then $\sigma_{\alpha}(G) = 0$ and hence $S_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\alpha}(S)$ is a nil semigroup. If $e_{\alpha} = 1$, then all of S_{α} is contained in $\sigma_{\alpha}(G)$ and hence S_{α} is a group. Consequently each S_{α} is a nil semigroup or a group. Let $\Omega_{1} = \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega, S_{\alpha} \text{ is nil}\}$ and let $\Omega_{2} = \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Omega, S_{\alpha} \text{ is a group}\}$. Let $\psi_{i} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega_{i}} \sigma_{\alpha} \colon S \to \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega_{i}} S_{\alpha}$ be defined for i = 1, 2. One can check that S is a subdirect product of $S\psi_{1}$ and $S\psi_{2}$ with $S\psi_{1}$ a nil semigroup and $S\psi_{2}$ a group.

Conversely, suppose S is a subdirect of a group G and a nil

semigroup N. Consider S embedded in $G \times N$. Let e be the identity of G. There exists $a \in N$ such that $(e, a) \in S$. There exists a positive integer k such that $a^k = 0$. Hence $(e, 0) = (e, a^k) = (e, a)^k \in S$. If $g \in G$, there exists $b \in N$ such that $(g, b) \in S$. Thus (g, 0) = (e, 0) $(g, b) \in S$. Hence $G \times \{0\} \subseteq S$ and $G \times \{0\}$ is an ideal of S. Let $(g, a) \in S$. Since $a \in N$, there exists a positive integer k such that $a^k = 0$. Hence $(g, a)^k = (g^k, a^k) = (g^k, 0) \in G \times \{0\}$. Therefore S is an ideal extension of the group $G \times \{0\}$ by a nil semigroup.

COROLLARY 8. The following are equivalent.

- (i) S is viable and a power of each element lies in a subgroup.
- (ii) S is a semilattice of semigroups which are ideal extensions of groups by nil semigroups.
- (iii) S is a semilattice of semigroups each of which is a subdirect product of a nil semigroup.

Moreover the decompositions in (ii) and (iii) are the same and coincide with the δ -decomposition as specified in Theorem 3.

A semigroup S is separative if $x^2 = xy = y^2$ $(x, p \in S)$ implies x = y.

COROLLARY 9. The following are equivalent.

- (i) S is viable, separative and a power of each element of S lies in a subgroup.
 - (ii) S is a semilattice of groups.
- *Proof.* (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By (8), it suffices to show that if T is separative and an ideal extension of a group G by a nil semigroup, then T=G. Let e be the identity of G. Then e is central in T. If $T\neq G$, then there exists $a\in T$, $a\notin G$ with $a^2\in G$. Then $a^2=(ae)^2=a(ae)$. Thus $a=ae\in G$, a contradiction. Hence T=G.
 - $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Obvious.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Chacron and G. Thierrin, σ -reflexive semigroups and rings, Canad. Math. Bull., (to appear).
- 2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, vol. 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1961.
- 3. T. Tamura, Another proof of a theorem concerning the greatest semilattice decomposition of a semigroup, Proc. Japan Academy, 40 (10), 1964, 777-780.

Received October 31, 1970.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 39, No. 1

May, 1971

Charles A. Akemann, A Gelfand representation theory for C^* -algebras	1
Sorrell Berman, Spectral theory for a first-order symmetric system of	
ordinary differential operators	13
Robert L. Bernhardt, III, On splitting in hereditary torsion theories	31
J. L. Brenner, Geršgorin theorems, regularity theorems, and bounds for	
determinants of partitioned matrices. II. Some determinantal	
identities	39
Robert Morgan Brooks, On representing F^* -algebras	51
Lawrence Gerald Brown, Extensions of topological groups	71
Arnold Barry Calica, Reversible homeomorphisms of the real line	79
J. T. Chambers and Shinnosuke Oharu, <i>Semi-groups of local Lipschitzians in a Banach space</i>	89
Thomas J. Cheatham, <i>Finite dimensional torsion free rings</i>	113
Byron C. Drachman and David Paul Kraines, A duality between	
transpotence elements and Massey products	119
Richard D. Duncan, Integral representation of excessive functions of a	
Markov process	125
George A. Elliott, An extension of some results of Takesaki in the reduction	
theory of von Neumann algebras	145
Peter C. Fishburn and Joel Spencer, <i>Directed graphs as unions of partial</i>	
orders	149
Howard Edwin Gorman, Zero divisors in differential rings	163
Maurice Heins, A note on the Löwner differential equations	173
Louis Melvin Herman, Semi-orthogonality in Rickart rings	179
David Jacobson and Kenneth S. Williams, On the solution of linear G.C.D.	
equations	187
Michael Joseph Kallaher, On rank 3 projective planes	207
Donald Paul Minassian, On solvable O*-groups	215
Nils Øvrelid, Generators of the maximal ideals of $A(\bar{D})$	219
Mohan S. Putcha and Julian Weissglass, A semilattice decomposition into	
semigroups having at most one idempotent	225
Robert Raphael, <i>Rings of quotients and</i> π -regularity	229
J. A. Siddiqi, <i>Infinite matrices summing every almost perio<mark>dic sequence</mark></i>	235
Raymond Earl Smithson, <i>Uniform convergence for multifunctions</i>	253
Thomas Paul Whaley, Mulitplicity type and congruence relations in	
universal algebras	261
Roger Allen Wiegand, Globalization theorems for locally finitely generated	
modules	269