Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE TRANSLATIONAL HULL OF AN N-SEMIGROUP

ROBERT E. HALL

Vol. 41, No. 2 December 1972

THE TRANSLATIONAL HULL OF AN N-SEMIGROUP

ROBERT E. HALL

An N-semigroup is a commutative, cancellative, archimedean semigroup having no idempotents. In the first section of this paper the Tamura representation of an N-semigroup is used to determine the translational hull. maximal semilattice decomposition of the translational hull is then investigated resulting in a complete determination of the classes of this decomposition in the case that the N-semigroup is power joined. These results are used in the second section which deals with ideal extensions of an Nsemigroup by an abelian group, and ideal extensions of an abelian group by an N-semigroup. These extensions arise naturally in the maximal semilattice decomposition of a commutative separative semigroup. The latter part of this section contains results on cancellative extensions of N-semigroups, and a structure theorem of the class of weakly power joined, commutative, cancellative semigroups.

Notation and Preliminaries. Let S be a semigroup. We will write left [right] translations as operators on the left [right]; $\Lambda(S)$ [P(S)] denotes the semigroup of all left [right] translations of S under the multiplication $(\lambda\lambda')x = \lambda(\lambda'x)$ [x(pp') = (xp)p'] for all $x \in S$. The translations $\lambda \in \Lambda(S)$ and $p \in P(S)$ are linked if $x(\lambda y) = (xp)y$ for all $x, y \in S$; $\Omega(S)$ denotes the translational hull of S, that is, the subsemigroup of $\Lambda(S) \times P(S)$ consisting of all pairs of linked translations. The subsemigroup of $\Omega(S)$ consisting of all pairs of the form (λ_a, p_a) is denoted by $\Pi(S)$. (Recall that $\lambda_a x = ax$ and $xp_a = xa$ for all $x \in S$).

We will need the following results concerning $\Omega(S)$ when S is a commutative cancellative semigroup. Proofs may be found in [6].

- (1) $\Omega(S)$ is commutative and cancellative.
- (2) $(\lambda, p) \in \Omega(S)$ if and only if $\lambda x = xp$ for all $x \in S$, and hence $\Omega(S) \cong A(S)$.
 - (3) $S \cong \Gamma(S)$ where $\Gamma(S) = \{\lambda_a \in \Lambda(S) \mid a \in S\}$.

We next describe the Tamura representation of an N-semigroup. Let N denote the positive integers and N_0 the nonnegative integers. Let G be an abelian group and $I: G \times G \rightarrow N_0$ be a function satisfying:

- (i) I(a, b) = I(b, a) $(a, b \in G),$
- (ii) I(a, b) + I(ab, c) = I(a, bc) + (b, c) $(a, b, c \in G),$
- (iii) For each $a \in G$ there is an $m \in N$ such that $I(a^m, a) > 0$.
- (iv) I(e, e) = 1 where e is the identity of G.

On the set $N_0 \times G$ define a multiplication by:

$$(m, a)(n, b) = (m + n + I(a, b), ab)$$
.

With this multiplication $N_0 \times G$ becomes an N-semigroup which will be denoted by (G, I). The abelian group G is called the structure group and I an index function. We have the following fundamental result due to Tamura [7].

THEOREM. Let S be an N-semigroup. Then $S \cong (G, I)$ for some abelian group G and some index function I on G.

DEFINITION. A semigroup S is power joined if for each $a, b \in S$ there are $m, n \in N$ such that $a^m = b^n$.

The next theorem, due to Chrislock [1], points out the role the structure group plays in an N-semigroup.

THEOREM. S = (G, I) is power joined if and only if G is periodic. S = (G, I) is finitely generated if and only if G is finite.

For all concepts and notation not defined in this paper, the reader is referred to [2].

1. The translational hull. It follows from our preliminary remarks that to determine $\Omega(S)$, where S is an N-semigroup, we need only consider left translations.

LEMMA 1.1. Let
$$S = (G, I)$$
. Then $I(x, e) = 1$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof. By setting a = x and b = c = e in property (ii) of an index function we have

$$I(x, e) + I(x, e) = I(x, e) + I(e, e)$$
, whence $I(x, e) = I(e, e) = 1$.

THEOREM 1.1. Let S=(G,I). Then $\Lambda(S)=\{[m,g] | g\in G, m\in N_0, and <math>m+I(g,h)-1\geq 0 \text{ for all } h\in G\}$, where [m,g] operates on elements of S as follows:

$$[m, g](n, a) = (m + n + I(g, a) - 1, ga)$$
.

Proof. The condition, $m + I(g, h) - 1 \ge 0$ for all $h \in G$, insures that [m, g] maps S into S. Let (n, a), $(p, b) \in S$. Then

$$[m, g]\{(n, a)(p, b)\} = [m, g](n + p + I(a, b), ab)$$

$$= (m + n + p + I(a, b) + I(g, ab) - 1, gab)$$

$$= (m + n + p + I(g, a) + I(ga, b) - 1, gab)$$

$$= (m + n + I(g, a) - 1, ga)(p, b)$$

$$= \{[m, g](n, a)\}(p, b),$$

where the third equality follows from the fact that I(a, b) + I(g, ab) = I(ga, b) + I(g, a), and the others directly from the definition of [m, g] and multiplication in S. Hence, $[m, g] \in A(S)$.

Conversely, let λ be any left translation of S. Then $\lambda = [m, g]$ where $\lambda(0, e) = (m, g)$. We first show that [m, g] satisfies the condition $m + I(g, h) - 1 \ge 0$ for all $h \in G$. This is clear if $m \ge 1$ since I assumes only nonnegative values. Hence, assume m = 0. Let $h \in G$ and let $\lambda(0, h) = (n, b)$. Then

$$\lambda(1,\,h)\,=\,\lambda\{(0,\,e)(0,\,h)\}\,=\,\{\lambda(0,\,e)\}\ \, (0,\,h)\,=\,(0,\,g)(0,\,h)\,=\,(I(g,\,h),\,gh)\,\,,$$
 and

$$\lambda(1, h) = \lambda\{(0, h)(0, e)\} = \{\lambda(0, h)\}\ (0, e) = (n, b)\ (0, e) = (n + 1, b)$$
.

A comparison of the first coordinates of the above expressions for $\lambda(1,h)$ shows that I(g,h)=n+1 which certainly implies that $I(g,h)\geq 1$. It follows that $m+I(g,h)-1\geq 0$ in any case. It remains to show that $\lambda=[m,g]$. Let $(n,a)\in S$ where $n\geq 1$. Then

$$\lambda(n, a) = \lambda\{(0, e)(n - 1, a)\} = \{\lambda(0, e)\}(n - 1, a)$$

= $(m, g)(n - 1, a) = (m + n + I(g, a) - 1, ga) = [m, g](n, a)$.

If n = 0 let $\lambda(0, a) = (p, b)$. Then

$$(p+1, b) = (p, b)(0, e) = {\lambda(0, a)}(0, e) = \lambda{(0, a)(0, e)}$$

= $\lambda{(0, e)}(0, a) = (m, g)(0, a) = (m + I(g, a), ga)$.

Hence, we have p = m + I(g, a) - 1 and b = ga, whence

$$\lambda(0, a) = (p, b) = (m + I(p, a) - 1, pa) = [m, p](0, a)$$
.

Therefore, $\lambda = [m, g]$ and the proof is complete.

REMARK 1.1. The condition on [m, g] in order that it be a left translation is always satisfied when $m \ge 1$. Hence, it is relevant only when we want to determine whether pairs of the form [0, g] are left translations. A necessary and sufficient condition for [0, g] to be a left translation is that $I(g, h) \ge 1$ for all $h \in G$. In particular, [0, e] is always a left translation since I(e, h) = 1 for all $h \in G$. In fact, [0, e] is the identity function on S. As we will establish later,

the elements of $\Lambda(S)$ with a zero in the first coordinate are precisely those left translations that are not inner.

Proposition 1.1. Let S = (G, I) and (m, g), $(n, h) \in A(S)$. Then

- (i) [m, g] = [n, h] if and only if m = n and g = h,
- (ii) [m, g][n, h] = [m + n + I(g, h) 1, gh].

Proof. To prove (i) note that (m, g) = [m, g](o, e) = [n, h](0, e) = (n, h). Since equality in S is defined coordinatewise we have m = n and g = h. If m = n and g = h, clearly [m, g] = [n, h].

To prove (ii) let $(p, a) \in S$. Then

$$\{[m, g][n, h]\}(p, a) = [m, g]\{[n, h](p, a)\}$$

$$= [m, g](n + p + I(a, h) - 1, ha)$$

$$= (m + n + p + I(a, h) + I(g, ha) - 2, gha)$$

$$= (m + n + p + I(g, h) + I(gh, a) - 2, gha)$$

$$= [m + n + I(g, h) - 1, gh](p, a) ,$$

where the fourth equality follows from the fact that I(a, h) + I(g, ha) = I(gh, a) + I(g, h). Hence, [m, g][n, h] = [m + n + I(g, h) - 1, gh].

Theorem 1.2. Let S = (G, I). Then $\Gamma(S) = \{[m, g] \mid g \in G, m \ge 1\}$. Moreover, $\lambda_{(n,a)} = [n+1, a]$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 it was established that $\lambda = [m, g]$ where $\lambda(0, e) = (m, g)$ for each $\lambda \in A(S)$. Since $\lambda_{(n,a)}(0, e) = (n, a)(0, e) = (n + 1, a)$, it follows that $\lambda_{(n,a)} = [n + 1, a]$.

We next determine the group of units of $\Lambda(S)$. This group will be denoted by $\Sigma(S)$.

Theorem 1.3. Let S = (G, I). Then

- (i) $\Sigma(S) = \{[0, g] \mid I(g, h) > 0 \text{ and } I(g^{-1}, h) > 0 \text{ for all } h \in G, I(g, g^{-1}) = 1\}.$
- (ii) If G is periodic, then $\Sigma(S) = \{[0, g] \mid I(g, h) > 0 \text{ for all } h \in G, I(g, g^m) = 1 \text{ for all } m \in N\}.$

Proof. (i) Let [0, g] be an element of the set on the right. The conditions I(g, h) > 0 and $I(g^{-1}, h) > 0$ for all $h \in G$ guarantee that [0, g] and $[0, g^{-1}]$ are left translations. Since $I(g, g^{-1}) = 1$, we have $[0, g][0, g^{-1}] = [0, e]$, and hence, $[0, g] \in \Sigma(S)$. Conversely, let $[m, g] \in \Sigma(S)$, and let $[n, h] = [m, g]^{-1}$. Then [m, g][n, h] = [0, e], whence, m + n + I(g, h) - 1 = 0 and gh = e. Since $[n, h] \in A(S)$, we have $n + I(g, h) - 1 \ge 0$. Hence, m = 0 and $[0, g] \in A(S)$. From this it follows that I(g, k) > 0 for all $k \in G$, and in particular, I(g, h) = 0

 $I(g, g^{-1}) > 0$. Then n = 0 and $[0, h] = [0, g^{-1}] \in A(S)$, whence, $I(g^{-1}, k) > 0$ for all $k \in G$. Since m = n = 0, it follows from m + n + I(g, h) - 1 = 0 that $I(g, h) = I(g, g^{-1}) = 1$ and the proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) Let [0,g] be an element of the set on the right. To see that $[0,g]\in \Sigma(S)$ it will be sufficient, using (i), to show that $I(g^{-1},h)>0$ for all $h\in G$, and that $I(g,g^{-1})=1$. Since G is periodic, $g^{-1}=g^r$ for some $r\in N$ and hence, $I(g,g^{-1})=I(g,g^r)=1$ by hypothesis. We use induction to show that $I(g^m,h)>0$ for all $h\in G$ and $m\in N$. It is true by hypothesis for m=1, so assume $I(g^{m-1},h)>0$ for all $h\in G$. By setting a=g, $b=g^{m-1}$ and c=h in property (ii) of an index function, we have

$$I(g, g^{m-1}) + I(g^m, h) = I(g, g^{m-1}h) + I(g^{m-1}, h)$$
.

By hypothesis $I(g, g^{m-1}) = 1$. Also, both terms on the right are positive, $I(g, g^{m-1}h)$ by hypothesis, and $I(g^{m-1}, h)$ by the induction hypothesis. Hence, $I(g^m, h) > 0$ and the induction is complete. Since $g^{-1} = g^r$, we have $I(g^{-1}, h) = I(g^r, h) > 0$ for all $h \in G$. Hence, by (i) $[0, g] \in \Sigma(S)$. Conversely, let $[0, g] \in \Sigma(S)$. Then I(g, h) > 0 for all $h \in G$. Also, every power of [0, g] must fall in $\Sigma(S)$, and hence, must have a zero in the first coordinate. Therefore, $I(g, g^m) = 1$ for all $m \in N$.

COROLLARY 1.1. Let S = (G, I). Then $\Sigma(S)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Proof. The mapping $\theta: \Sigma(S) \to G$ defined by $\theta[0, g] = g$ is clearly an injective homomorphism by (i) of the preceding theorem.

REMARK 1.2. An abstract N-semigroup may have many representations in the form (G, I). In each of these representations we may have a different structure group. Corollary 1.1 shows, however, that each structure group contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\Sigma(S)$.

We next investigate the maximal semilattice decomposition of A(S). Recall that the minimal semilattice congruence σ on a commutative semigroup S is defined by $x\sigma y$ if x and y divide a power of each other.

THEOREM 1.4. Let S = (G, I), and let σ be the minimal semilattice congruence on $\Lambda(S)$. Then $\Gamma(S)$ is contained in a congruence class of σ , and this class, which we will denote by $[\Gamma(S)]$, is $\Gamma(S) \cup \{[0, g] \mid I(g, h) > 0 \text{ for all } h \in G, I(g, g^m) > 1 \text{ for some } m \in N\}.$

Proof. Since S is archimedean and $\Gamma(S)$ is isomorphic to S, it is clear that $\Gamma(S)$ is contained in a single σ -class. The remaining

elements of $\Lambda(S)$ have a zero in the first coordinate. If $I(g, g^m) > 1$, then $[0, g]^{m+1}$ has a nonzero first coordinate, and hence, is in $\Gamma(S)$. Therefore, $[0, g]^{m+1}\sigma[1, e]$ and it follows immediately from the definition of σ that $[0, g]\sigma[1, e]$. Thus, [0, g] is in the same σ class as $\Gamma(S)$. Conversely, if $[0, g] \in [\Gamma(S)]$ there exist $r \in N$ and $[p, k] \in \Lambda(S)$ such that $[1, e][p, k] = [0, g]^r$. Hence,

$$[p+1,k] = [I(g,g) + \cdots + I(g,g^{r-1}) - (r-1),g^r].$$

A comparison of the first coordinates shows that $I(g, g^m) > 1$ for some $m \le r - 1$.

THEOREM 1.5. Let S = (G, I) where G is periodic, and let σ be the minimal semilattice congruence on $\Lambda(S)$. There are exactly two congruence classes of σ , namely $\Sigma(S)$ and $[\Gamma(S)]$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let S = (G, I) where G is finite. Then the maximal semilattice decomposition of S consists of two classes, $\Sigma(S)$ and $[\Gamma(S)]$.

Proof. A finite group is clearly periodic so the result follows immediately from Theorem 1.5.

REMARK 1.3. In the case that S=(G,I) is power joined (G is periodic) or the case that S is finitely generated (G is finite) precise descriptions of the congruence classes of the minimal semilattice congruence are given by Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

2. Extensions. A semigroup is separative if for each pair $a, b \in S$ with $a^2 = ab = b^2$ we have a = b. In [5] Hewitt and Zuckerman proved that a commutative semigroup S is separative if and only if S is a semilattice of N-semigroups and abelian groups. This result suggests the construction of all commutative separative semigroups from the basic building blocks of semilattices, N-semigroups, and abelian groups. As a first step in this direction we consider the construction in the case where the semilattice has two elements, that is, the extension problem. We will be concerned with finding all extensions of an N-semigroup by an abelian group with zero adjoined, and all extensions of an abelian group by an N-semigroup with zero adjoined. In the latter part of this section we will consider cancellative extensions.

In view of Theorem 4.21 of [2] and our remarks in the pre-

liminary section, every extension of a commutative concellative semigroup S by a commutative cancellative semigroup Q with zero adjoined is determined by a homomorphism of Q into A(S). Hence, it will be sufficient to determine these homomorphisms in the cases in question.

THEOREM 2.1. Let S' = (G', I') and G be an abelian group. Let $\tau = G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism satisfying

- (i) $I'(\tau a, g') > 0$ $(a \in G; g' \in G'),$
- (ii) $I'(\tau a, \tau b) = 1$ $(a, b \in G)$.

Define $\theta_{\tau} \colon G \to \Lambda(S')$ as follows:

$$\theta_{\tau}(a) = [0, \tau a] \qquad (a \in G)$$
.

Then θ_{τ} is a homomorphism of G into $\Lambda(S')$ and every homomorphism of G into $\Lambda(S')$ is of this form.

Proof. Condition (i) insures that θ_{τ} maps G into $\Lambda(S')$. Let $a, b \in G$. Then $\theta_{\tau}(ab) = [0, \tau(ab)] = [0, \tau a][0, \tau b] = \theta_{\tau}(a)\theta_{\tau}(b)$, where the second equality follows from (ii) and the fact that τ is a homomorphism.

Conversely, let θ be a homomorphism of G into $\Delta(S')$. Let $\tau: G \to G'$ be defined by:

$$\theta(a) = [0, \tau a]$$
 $(a \in G)$.

This definition is valid since θ must map G into $\Sigma(S')$, that is, there must be a zero in the first coordinate of $\theta(a)$. Let $a, b \in G$. Then $\theta(ab) = \theta(a)\theta(b)$, whence,

$$[0, \tau(ab)] = [0, \tau a][0, \tau b] = [I'(\tau a, \tau b) - 1, (\tau a)(\tau b)].$$

Therefore, $I'(\tau a, \tau b) = 1$ and $\tau(ab) = (\tau a)(\tau b)$. That τ satisfies (ii) follows immediately from the fact that θ maps G into $\Lambda(S)$. Finally, let $a \in G$. Then $\theta(a) = [0, \tau a] = \theta_{\tau}(a)$ and $\theta = \theta_{\tau}$.

THEOREM 2.2. Let S = (G, I) and G' be an abelian group. Let $\tau = G \rightarrow G'$ be a function satisfying

(i)
$$(\tau a)(\tau b) = (\tau e)^{I(a,b)}\tau(ab)$$
 $(a, b \in G)$.

Define $\theta_{\tau} \colon S \to G'$ as follows:

$$\theta_{\tau}(m, a) = (\tau e)^m (\tau a) \qquad ((m, a) \in S)$$
 .

Then θ_{τ} is a homomorphism of S into G', and every homomorphism of S into G' is of this form.

Proof. Since $G' \cong A(G')$, it is sufficient to find all homomorphisms of S into G'. Let (m, a) and (n, b) be elements of S. Then

(1)
$$\theta_{\tau}\{(m,a)(n,b)\}=\theta_{\tau}(m+n+I(a,b),ab)=(\tau e)^{m+n+I(a,b)}\tau(ab)$$
,

while

$$(2) \qquad \theta_{\tau}(m, a)\theta_{\tau}(n, b) = (\tau e)^{m}(\tau a)(\tau e)^{n}(\tau b) = (\tau e)^{m+n}(\tau a)(\tau b).$$

That (1) and (2) are equal follows from condition (i). Hence, θ_{τ} is a homomorphism.

Conversely, let θ be a homomorphism of S into G'. Define $\tau: G \to G'$ as follows:

$$\tau a = \theta(0, a) \qquad (a \in G).$$

Let $(m, a) \in S$. Then

$$\theta(m, a) = \{\theta(0, e)^m(0, a)\} = (\tau e)^m(\tau a) = \theta_{\tau}(m, a)$$
.

Thus, $\theta = \theta_{\tau}$ and θ_{τ} is a homomorphism. Therefore, we have the equality of (1) and (2) above. That τ satisfies (i) follows immediately from this equality.

REMARK 2.1. Note that any homomorphism of G into G' satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 2.2. Hence, the functions satisfying this condition may be considered "generalized" homomorphisms.

A commutative cancellative semigroup is clearly separative and hence is a semilattice of *N*-semigroups and abelian groups. It is of interest then to investigate cancellative extensions of *N*-semigroups and abelian groups. The reader is referred to [4] for an investigation of extensions of nonpotent cancellative semigroups by groups. Our investigation will be based on the following results which are due to Grillet and Petrich.

THEOREM. (Grillet and Petrich [3]). Let V be an extension of a semigroup S. For each $a \in V$ let

$$\lambda^a x = ax \qquad xp^a = xa \qquad (x \in S) ,$$

and $\tau = \tau(V; S)$: $a \to (\lambda^a, p^a)$. Then $\tau(V; S)$ is a (canonical) homomorphism of V into $\Omega(S)$.

THEOREM 2.3. (Petrich [6]). An extension V of a semigroup S is cancellative if and only if S is cancellative and $\tau(V:S)$ is injective.

REMARK 2.2. When the semigroups under consideration are commutative and cancellative we may take $\tau(V:S)$ to be a homomorphism of V into $\Lambda(S)$.

Proposition 2.1. An extension V of a cancellative semigroup S with identity cannot be cancellative. In particular there exists no cancellative extension of a group.

Proof. Since S is assumed to have an identity, it follows that $S \cong \Pi(S) = \Omega(S)$. The canonical homomorphism $\tau(V:S)$ maps S onto $\Pi(S)$, and hence, cannot be injective. By Theorem 2.3, the extension V cannot be cancellative.

PROPOSITION 2.2. An extension V of an N-semigroup is cancellative if and only if V is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of $\Lambda(S)$ that contains $\Gamma(S)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.3.

If S is a semigroup with zero let S^* denote the set $S\setminus\{0\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let Q be a semigroup with zero such that Q^* is a semigroup. Then there exists a cancellative extension V of a power joined N-semigroup S = (G, I) by Q if and only if Q^* is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Sigma(S)$. In particular, Q^* must be a periodic abelian group that is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Proof. If Q is isomorphic to a subgroup H of $\Sigma(S)$, then $H \cup \Gamma(S)$ is a cancellative extension of S by Q. Conversely, if V is a cancellative extension of S by Q, it follows from Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 1.5 that Q^* is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of $\Sigma(S)$. We complete the proof by noting that Corollary 1.1 implies that any subsemigroup of $\Sigma(S)$ is in fact a subgroup.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let Q be a semigroup with zero such that Q^* is a semigroup. Then there exists a cancellative extension V of a finitely generated N-semigroup S = (G, I) by Q if and only if Q^* is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Sigma(S)$. In particular, Q^* must be a finite abelian group that is isomorphic to a subgroup of G.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 2.3 taking into consideration the fact that G is finite.

We next characterize abstractly the extensions that may occur in Propositions 2.3 and 2.4.

DEFINITION. A semigroup S is weakly power joined if any two elements of infinite order are power joined.

LEMMA 2.1. A group G is weakly power joined if and only if

G is periodic.

Proof. It is clear that a periodic group is power joined, and hence, certainly weakly power joined. Let G be a weakly power joined group. If $a \in G$ has infinite order, then a^{-1} has infinite order, whence there exists $m, n \in N$ such that $a^m = (a^{-1})^n$. Hence $a^{m+n} = e$ contradicting our assumption that has a infinite order. Thus, every element of G has finite order and G is periodic.

The class of weakly power joined commutative semigroups contains at least the periodic abelian groups and power joined N-semigroups. The next theorem shows that the remaining semigroups in this class are the cancellative extensions of Proposition 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. Let S be a weakly power joined, commutative, cancellative semigroup that is neither a periodic abelian group nor a power joined N-semigroup. Then there is a power joined N-semigroup T=(G,I) such that S is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of $\Lambda(T)$ of the form $H\cup \Gamma(T)$ there H is a subgroup of $\Sigma(T)$. Conversely every such subset of $\Lambda(T)$ is a weakly power joined, commutative, cancellative semigroup.

Proof. Let S be as in the statement of the theorem. is clearly separative, and hence, is a semilattice Y of semigroups S_{α} , where for each $\alpha \in Y$, S_{α} is either an abelian group or an N-Since S is weakly power joined, each abelian group semigroup. must be periodic and each N-semigroup must be power joined. (Recall that every element in an N-semigroup has infinite order.) The assumption that any two elements of infinite order are power joined implies that we can have at most one $\gamma \in Y$ with S_{γ} being an N-semigroup. Also, since a commutative cancellative semigroup can have at most one idempotent, we can have at most one $\beta \in Y$ with S_{θ} being an abelian group. Thus Y has at most two elements. assumption that S is neither a power joined N-semigroup nor a periodic abelian group rules out the possibility that Y has exactly one element. By Proposition 2.1 there can be no cancellative extension of a group. Hence, S is an extension of the weakly power joined N-semigroup $T = S_r$ by the periodic abelian group S_{β} . By Proposition 2.3 such an extension exists if and only if S_{θ} is isomorphic to a subgroup H of $\Sigma(T)$. Hence, the canonical homomorphism $\tau(S:T)$ provides an isomorphism of S onto a subsemigroup of $\Lambda(T)$ of the desired type.

To establish the converse statement first note that any subset of A(T) where T=(G,I) is a power joined N-semigroup is commutative and cancellative. Let $R=H\cup \Gamma(T)$ where H is a subgroup

of $\Sigma(T)$. It follows easily from our results in § 1 that R is a subsemigroup. Since $T\cong \Gamma(T)$, we have that $\Gamma(T)$ is power joined. Also, $\Sigma(T)$, and hence, H is periodic. Thus any two elements of infinite order that occur in R must be in $\Gamma(T)$, and hence, are power joined. Therefore, R is weakly power joined.

Remark 2.3. A similar argument shows that the class of finitely generated, weakly power joined, commutative cancellative semigroups consists of

- (i) the finite abelian groups,
- (ii) the finitely generated N-semigroups,
- (iii) subsemigroups of $\Lambda(T)$ of the form $H \cup \Gamma(T)$ where T is a finitely generated N-semigroup and H is a subgroup of $\Sigma(T)$.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. R. Chrislock, *The structure of archimedean semigroups*, Dissertation, University of California, Davis, 1966.
- 2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
- 3. P. Grillet and M. Petrich, *Ideal extensions of semigroups*, Pacific J. Math., **26** (1968), 493-508.
- 4. C. V. Heuer, and D. W. Miller, An extension problem for cancellative semigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 83 (1966), 499-515.
- 5. E. Hewitt and H. Zuckerman, The L₁ algebra of a commutative semigroup, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 83 (1956), 70-97.
- 6. M. Petrich, *Topics in Semigroups*, Lecture Notes, The Pennsylvania State University, 1967-1968.
- 7. T. Tamura, Commutative nonpotent archimedean semigroups with cancellation law I, J. Gakugei Tokushima Univ., 8 (1957), 5-11.

Received February 25, 1971 and in revised form February 11, 1972. This paper contains part of a doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor Mario Petrich at The Pennyslvania State University.

STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF NEW YORK AT BROCKPORT

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

J. Dugundji

Los Angeles, California 90007 RICHARD ARENS

Department of Mathematics

University of Southern California

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in dup'icate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 41, No. 2 December, 1972

sums	281
David Lee Armacost and William Louis Armacost, On p-thetic groups	295
Janet E. Mills, Regular semigroups which are extensions of groups	303
Gregory Frank Bachelis, <i>Homomorphisms of Banach algebras with minimal</i>	
ideals	307
John Allen Beachy, A generalization of injectivity	313
David Geoffrey Cantor, On arithmetic properties of the Taylor series of rational functions. II	329
Václáv Chvátal and Frank Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs. III.	
Small off-diagonal numbers	33
Frank Rimi DeMeyer, Irreducible characters and solvability of finite groups	34′
Robert P. Dickinson, On right zero unions of commutative semigroups	35
John Dustin Donald, Non-openness and non-equidimensionality in algebraic quotients	365
John D. Donaldson and Qazi Ibadur Rahman, <i>Inequalities for polynomials with a</i>	
prescribed zero	375
Robert E. Hall, The translational hull of an N-semigroup	379
John P. Holmes, Differentiable power-associative groupoids	39
Steven Kenyon Ingram, Continuous dependence on parameters and boundary	
data for nonlinear two-point boundary value problems	39:
Robert Clarke James, Super-reflexive spaces with bases	409
Gary Douglas Jones, The embedding of homeomorphisms of the plane in continuous flows	42
Mary Joel Jordan, <i>Period H-semigroups and t-semisimple periodic</i>	
H-semigroups	43′
Ronald Allen Knight, Dynamical systems of characteristic 0	44′
Kwangil Koh, On a representation of a strongly harmonic ring by sheaves	459
Hui-Hsiung Kuo, Stochastic integrals in abstract Wiener space	469
Thomas Graham McLaughlin, Supersimple sets and the problem of extending a	
retracing function	48
William Nathan, Open mappings on 2-manifolds	49:
M. J. O'Malley, Isomorphic power series rings	50
Sean B. O'Reilly, Completely adequate neighborhood systems and	
metrization	513
Qazi Ibadur Rahman, On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative	52
Russell Daniel Rupp, Jr., The Weierstrass excess function	529
Hugo Teufel, A note on second order differential inequalities and functional	
differential equations	53′
M. J. Wicks, A general solution of binary homogeneous equations over free	
groups	54