

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON A REPRESENTATION OF A STRONGLY HARMONIC RING
BY SHEAVES**

KWANGIL KOH

ON A REPRESENTATION OF A STRONGLY HARMONIC RING BY SHEAVES

KWANGIL KOH

A ring R is strongly harmonic provided that if M_1, M_2 are a pair of distinct maximal modular ideals of R , then there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}(R)$ be the maximal modular ideal space of R . If $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, let $O(M) = \{r \in R \mid \text{for some } y \in M, rxy = 0 \text{ for every } x \in R\}$. Define $\mathcal{R}(R) = \bigcup \{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. If R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1, then R is isomorphic to the ring of global sections of the sheaf of local rings $\mathcal{R}(R)$ over $\mathcal{M}(R)$. Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ be the ring of global sections of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ over $\mathcal{M}(R)$. For every unitary (right) R -module A , let $A_M = \{a \in A \mid aRx = 0 \text{ for some } x \in M\}$ and let $\tilde{A} = \bigcup \{A/A_M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. Define $\hat{a}(M) = a + A_M$ and $\hat{r}(M) = r + O(M)$ for every $a \in A, r \in R$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$. Then the mapping $\xi_A: a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a semi-linear isomorphism of A onto $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ -module $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \tilde{A})$ in the sense that ξ_A is a group isomorphism satisfying $\xi_A(ar) = \hat{a}\hat{r}$ for every $a \in A$ and $r \in R$.

1. If R is a ring with 1, R is called *harmonic* (or *regular*) if the maximal modular ideal space, say $\mathcal{M}(R)$, with the hull-kernel topology, is a Hausdorff space (refer [5]). A ring R is *strongly harmonic* provided that for any pair of distinct maximal modular ideals M_1, M_2 there exist ideals \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} in R such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. For any nonempty subset S of a ring R define $(S)^\perp = \{r \in R \mid sr = 0 \text{ for every } s \in S\}$ and if $a \in R$ let aR_1 be the principal right ideal generated by a . If M is a prime ideal of a ring R let $O(M) = \{r \in R \mid (rR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M\}$. An ideal \mathcal{A} of a ring R is called *M -primary* for some maximal modular ideal M of R provided that M/\mathcal{A} is the unique maximal modular ideal of R/\mathcal{A} and if \mathcal{A}' is an ideal of R such that $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}' \neq \mathcal{A}$ then R/\mathcal{A}' is no longer a local ring (here by a local ring we mean a ring with the unique maximal modular ideal). The principal results in this paper are as follows: Let R be a ring such that if R/S is a local ring for some ideal S of R then R/S has a unit. Then R is strongly harmonic if and only if $O(M)$ is M -primary for every maximal modular ideal M of R . If R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1 then R is isomorphic to $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ the ring of global sections of the sheaf of local rings $\mathcal{R}(R) = \bigcup \{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$ over $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and if A is a unitary right R -module then the mapping $\xi_A: a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is a semi-linear isomorphism of A onto $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ —

module $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \tilde{A})$ in the sense that ξ_A is a group isomorphism satisfying $\xi_A(ar) = \hat{a} \cdot \hat{r}$ for $a \in A$, $r \in R$ where $\hat{a}(M) = a + A_M$, $\hat{r}(M) = r + O(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ and $\tilde{A} = \bigcup \{A/A_M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$, the disjoint union of the family of right R -modules A/A_M indexed by $\mathcal{M}(R)$, and $A_M = \{a \in A \mid (aR)^\perp \not\subseteq M\}$. If R is a ring with 1 such that it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements then R is *biregular* (see [2: p. 104] for definition) if and only if every prime ideal of R is a maximal ideal. Our results here generalize S. Teleman's result that in case $1 \in R$, a strongly semi-simple harmonic ring or a von Neumann algebra can be represented as a ring of global sections of the sheaf of local algebras over its maximal modular ideal space (refer [5], [6] and [7]). The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professors K. H. Hofmann and S. Teleman for their many invaluable suggestions for the preparation of this paper.

2. Let R be a ring and A be a right R -module. For each prime ideal M of R , define $A_M = \{a \in A \mid (aR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M\}$ where aR_1 is the submodule of A which is generated by the element a and $(aR_1)^\perp = \{r \in R \mid aR_1 r = 0\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. A_M is a submodule of A .

Proof. Let $a, b \in A_M$. Then $(a-b)R_1 \subseteq aR_1 + bR_1$ and $((a-b)R_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1 + bR_1)^\perp = (aR_1)^\perp \cap (bR_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1)^\perp (bR_1)^\perp$. Hence if $a-b \notin A_M$ then $(aR_1)^\perp (bR_1)^\perp \subseteq M$ and either $(aR_1)^\perp \subseteq M$ or $(bR_1)^\perp \subseteq M$ since M is a prime ideal of R . Hence either $a \notin A_M$ or $b \notin A_M$. This is impossible. Thus $a-b \in A_M$. Now if $r \in R$ and $a \in A_M$ then $arR_1 \subseteq aR_1$ and $(arR_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1)^\perp$. Since $(aR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$, $(arR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$ and $ar \in A_M$.

COROLLARY 2.2. If A is R , whose module multiplication is given by the ring multiplication, then A_M is an ideal of R which is contained in M for any prime ideal M of R . In this case, we denote A_M by $O(M)$.

Proof. $O(M)$ is already a right ideal of R by 2.2. Let $r \in R$ and $a \in O(M)$. Then $(raR_1)^\perp \supseteq (aR_1)^\perp$. Since $(aR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$, $(raR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$ and $ra \in O(M)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. If A is a right R -module for some ring R then $AO(M) \subseteq A_M$ for any prime ideal M of R .

Proof. Since A_M is a submodule of A , it suffices to show that if $a \in A$ and $x \in O(M)$ then $ax \in A_M$. But this is immediate since $(axR_1)^\perp \supseteq (xR_1)^\perp$ and $(xR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let R be a ring such that if \mathcal{P} is a proper ideal of R then there is a maximal modular ideal M in R such that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq M$. Let A be a right R -module such that if $aR = 0$ for some $a \in A$ then $a = 0$. Then $\bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal modular ideal of } R\}$ is zero.*

Proof. Let $a \in \bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal modular ideal of } R\}$ such that $a \neq 0$. Then $(aR_1)^+ \neq R$, for if $(aR_1)^+ = R$ then $aR = 0$ and $a = 0$. Since $(aR_1)^+ \neq R$, $(aR_1)^+$ is a proper ideal of R . Hence there is a maximal modular ideal M in R such that $(aR_1)^+ \subseteq M$. This means that $a \in A_M$ and $a \notin \bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal modular ideal of } R\}$. This is a contradiction.

COROLLARY 2.5. *If R is a ring with 1 and A is a unitary right R -module, then $\bigcap \{AO(M) \mid M \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\}$ is zero.*

Proof. By 2.4, $\bigcap \{A_M \mid M \text{ is a maximal ideal of } R\} = 0$. Since $AO(M) \subseteq A_M$ for any prime ideal of R by 2.3, the conclusion now follows.

DEFINITION 2.6. We say that a ring R is *strong harmonic* provided that for any pair of distinct maximal modular ideals M_1, M_2 there exist ideals \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} in R such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1, \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *If R is strongly harmonic, then $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is Hausdorff.*

Proof. If M_1, M_2 are distinct maximal modular ideals of R , then, by definition, there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1, \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Therefore, two open sets $\{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M\}$ and $\{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M\}$ are disjoint.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let R be a strongly semi-simple ring, that is a ring in which the intersection of maximal modular ideals is zero. If the maximal modular ideal space, $\mathcal{M}(R)$ with the hull-kernel topology, is a Hausdorff space, then R is strongly harmonic.

EXAMPLE 2.9. If R is a ring with 1 such that it is strongly harmonic then it is harmonic. However, if $1 \notin R$ then a strongly harmonic ring may not be harmonic. For example, let R be the algebra of sequences $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of 2×2 -matrices over the field of complex numbers C , such that $a_n \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda \in C$. Then

the intersection of the maximal modular ideals of R is zero and $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is Hausdorff. Hence R is strongly harmonic; however, it is not harmonic.

EXAMPLE 2.10. Let R be a von Neumann algebra. Then for any distinct pair of maximal ideals M_1, M_2 there exist central idempotents e_1, e_2 in R such that $e_1 \notin M_1, e_2 \notin M_2$ and such that $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$. Hence R is strongly harmonic.

EXAMPLE 2.11. Let Q be the field of rational numbers and let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l be a finite number of distinct prime numbers. Let $R = \{m/n \in Q \mid n \text{ is not divisible by any } p_i, 1 \leq i \leq l\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}(R)$ consist of l points and it is a Hausdorff space. However, since R is an integral domain, R is not strongly harmonic if $l > 1$.

DEFINITION 2.12. Let R be a ring and M be a maximal modular ideal of R . An ideal \mathcal{O} in R is said to be M -primary, for some maximal modular ideal M of R , provided that $\mathcal{O} \subseteq M, R/\mathcal{O}$ is a ring with a unique maximal modular ideal M/\mathcal{O} , and if P is an ideal of R such that $P \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ and $P \neq \mathcal{O}$, then R/P is not a local ring. Here, by a *local ring* we mean a ring with a unique maximal modular ideal.

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Let R be a ring and M be a maximal modular ideal of R . If an M -primary ideal, say \mathcal{O} , exists, then it is unique.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a M -primary ideal of R . If either $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ then, by definition, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}$. So assume $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{P}$ is properly contained in \mathcal{O} or \mathcal{P} . Then the ideal $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}$ is properly contained in \mathcal{O} and $R/\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}$ is not a local ring. Hence there is a maximal modular ideal N in R such that $N \neq M$ and $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P} \subseteq N$. Since N is a prime ideal, this means that either $\mathcal{O} \subseteq N$ or $\mathcal{P} \subseteq N$. In either case, this means that \mathcal{O} or \mathcal{P} is not M -primary. This is a contradiction.

PROPOSITION 2.14. *Let R be a ring such that if R/\mathcal{O} is a local ring for some ideal \mathcal{O} in R , then R/\mathcal{O} has a unit. If $R/O(M)$ is a local ring for some maximal modular ideal M in R , then $O(M)$ is M -primary.*

Proof. Observe that $O(M) \subseteq M$. Hence $M/O(M)$ is the unique maximal modular ideal of the local ring $R/O(M)$. Let \mathcal{P} be an ideal of R such that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq O(M), \mathcal{P} \neq O(M)$ and R/\mathcal{P} is a local ring. Let $t \in O(M)$ such that $t \notin \mathcal{P}$. Then $(tR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$. If $\mathcal{P} + (tR_1)^\perp \neq$

R then there is a maximal modular ideal N in R such that $\mathcal{S} + (tR_1)^\perp \subseteq N$, since R/\mathcal{S} has a unit. Since $(tR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$, this means that $M \neq N$. This is impossible. Hence $R = \mathcal{S} + (tR_1)^\perp$. Let $e + \mathcal{S}$ be the identity of R/\mathcal{S} for some $e \in R$. Then $e = p + s$ for some $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and $s \in (tR_1)^\perp$. Hence $te = tp$ and $t - te = t - tp \in \mathcal{S}$. This means that $t \in \mathcal{S}$ and this is a contradiction. Thus $O(M)$ must be M -primary.

THEOREM 2.15. *Let R be a ring such that if R/\mathcal{O} is a local ring for some ideal \mathcal{O} , then it has a unit. Then R is strongly harmonic if, and only if, $O(M)$ is M -primary for every maximal modular ideal M in R .*

Proof. Assume R is strongly harmonic. By 2.14, it suffices to show that $R/O(M)$ is a local ring for each maximal modular ideal M of R . If $R/O(M)$ is not a local ring for some maximal modular ideal M , then there is a maximal modular ideal N in R such that $N \neq M$ and $O(M) \subseteq N$. Since R is strongly harmonic, there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq N$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. This means that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq O(M)$. Since $O(M) \subseteq N$, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq N$. This is a contradiction. Conversely, assume $O(M)$ is M -primary for each maximal modular ideal M of R . Let M_1, M_2 be two distinct maximal modular ideals of R . Then $O(M_1) \not\subseteq M_2$ and $O(M_2) \not\subseteq M_1$. Hence there exist $a \in O(M_1)$ such that $a \notin M_2$ and $b \in O(M_2)$ such that $b \notin M_1$. Then (b) , the ideal generated by b , is not contained in M . Let $\mathcal{A} = (b)$ and let $\mathcal{B} = (bR_1)^\perp$. Then $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$.

REMARK 2.16. If R is a strongly semi-simple ring with 1 such that $\mathcal{M}(R)$, the maximal modular ideal space of R , is a Hausdorff space, then by [5: Theorem 6.5] and [5: Theorem 6.15], the M -primary ideal exists for each maximal modular ideal M in R . In this case, the M -primary ideal $p(M)$ is given by the set $\{x \in R \mid \overline{\text{supp}(RxR)} \cap \{M\} = \emptyset\}$, where $\text{supp}(RxR) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid RxR \not\subseteq M\}$ by [5: Theorem 6.14].

3. If \mathcal{A} is an ideal of a ring R , let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M\}, & h(\mathcal{A}) &= \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}), \\ k(F) &= \bigcap \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid M \in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let R be a ring and let*

$$\mathcal{R}(R) = \bigcup \{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\},$$

the disjoint union of a family of rings $\{R/O(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. For

each $r \in R$ define \hat{r} to be the function from $\mathcal{M}(R)$ into $\mathcal{R}(R)$ such that $\hat{r}(M) = r + O(M)$ for each $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$. Let $\tau = \{\hat{r}(U) \mid r \in R \text{ and } U \text{ is an open set in } \mathcal{M}(R)\}$. Let ρ be a family of sets consisting of arbitrary unions of the members of τ . Then $(\mathcal{R}(R), \rho)$ is a topological space and each point $\hat{r}(M)$ of $\mathcal{R}(R)$, $r \in R$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, is contained in an open set which is homeomorphic to an open set of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ under the canonical projection: $\hat{r}(M) \mapsto M$, that is, $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is a sheaf of rings over $\mathcal{M}(R)$.

Proof. In $\eta \in \hat{r}_1(U) \cap \hat{r}_2(V)$ for some $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and some open sets U, V in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ then there is $M \in U \cap V$ such that $r_1 - r_2 \in O(M)$. Hence $((r_1 - r_2)R_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$. Let $W = U \cap V \cap \text{supp}((r_1 - r_2)R_1)^\perp$. Then $M \in W$ and $\eta \in \hat{r}_1(W) \subseteq \hat{r}_1(U) \cap \hat{r}_2(V)$. Since W is an open set of $\mathcal{M}(R)$, $\hat{r}_1(W) \in \tau$ and hence $(\mathcal{R}(R), \rho)$ is a topological space. In view of [1: 2.2 p. 151], it suffices to show that if $\hat{r}(M) = 0$ for some $r \in R$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ then there exists an open set U of M such that $\hat{r}(U) = 0$. But this is immediate since if $\hat{r}(M) = 0$ then $r \in O(M)$ and $(rR_1)^\perp \not\subseteq M$. Therefore, if we let $U = \text{supp}((rR_1)^\perp)$ then $\hat{r}(U) = 0$ since $r \in \bigcap \{O(M) \mid M \in U\}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring. If F is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and $M_0 \notin F$ for some $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ then there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$, $M_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{A})$ and $F \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. Since R is strongly harmonic, for any $M \in F$ there exist ideals $\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{B}'$ in R such that $M_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}')$, $M \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{B}')$ and $\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{B}' = 0$. Since F is compact, there exist a finite number of ideals, say $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n$ such that

$$M_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}_i) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2 \dots \mathcal{A}_n)$$

and $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{supp}(\mathcal{B}_i) = \text{supp} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_i$ such that $\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{B}_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $(\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2 \dots \mathcal{A}_n)(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_i) = 0$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring. If F is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ then $F = h(\bigcap \{O(M) \mid M \in F\})$.*

Proof. Since $\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M) \subseteq k(F)$, $F \subseteq h(\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M))$. Suppose there is $M_0 \in h(\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M))$ such that $M_0 \notin F$. Then by 3.2 there exist ideals \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} in R such that $M_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{A})$, $F \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Hence if $M \in F$ then $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq O(M)$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \bigcap_{M \in F} O(M)$. Since $M_0 \in h(\bigcap_{M \in F} O(M))$, this means that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq M_0$ and this is a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring with 1 and let $\mathcal{R}(R)$ be the sheaf of local rings over $\mathcal{M}(R)$, which is described in 3.1. If F_0 is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and σ is a section from F_0 into $\mathcal{R}(R)$, then there is $r \in R$ such that $\hat{r}|_{F_0} = \sigma$.*

Proof. If $M_0 \in F_0$ then there exists an open set U in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ which contains M_0 and $r \in R$ such that if $M \in U \cap F_0$ then $\sigma(M) = \hat{r}(M)$. Let $U_0 = \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus F_0$. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is Hausdorff by 2.7, F_0 is a closed set. Hence U_0 is an open subset of $\mathcal{M}(R)$. There exist a finite number of points M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n in F_0 , open sets U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n such that $M_i \in U_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n in R such that $\sigma(M) = \hat{r}_i(M)$ for every $M \in U_i \cap F_0$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Furthermore, $F_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and $\mathcal{M}(R) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i$. Let $F_i = \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus U_i$ and let $I_i = \bigcap_{M \in F} O(M)$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since F_i is a closed subset of a compact space, it is compact. Hence $F_i = h(I_i)$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ by 3.3. Since $\phi = \bigcap_{i=0}^n F_i = \bigcap_{i=0}^n h(I_i) = h(\sum_{i=0}^n I_i)$, $R = \sum_{i=0}^n I_i$ and $1 = \sum_{i=0}^n e_i$ for some $e_i \in I_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $M \in F_i \cap F_0$, then $\hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) = O(M) = \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M)$. If $M \in U_i \cap F_0$, then $\hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) = \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M)$. Hence, for every $M \in F_0$, $\hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) = \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M)$. Thus if we let $r = e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i e_i$, then for every

$$\begin{aligned} M \in F_0 \hat{r}(M) &= \hat{e}_0(M) + \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_i(M)\hat{e}_i(M) \\ &= \sigma(M)\hat{e}_0(M) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(M)\hat{e}_i(M) \\ &= \sigma(M)(\sum_{i=0}^n \hat{e}_i(M)) = \sigma(M). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.5. *If R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1 then $R \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$.*

Proof. By 2.5, $r \mapsto \hat{r}$ is a monomorphism from R into $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a compact space, by 3.4 if $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ then there is $r \in R$ such that $\sigma = \hat{r}$. Thus $r \mapsto \hat{r}$ is an isomorphism of R onto $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$.

DEFINITION 3.6. We say that a sheaf \mathcal{R} over the space X is soft provided that if F is a compact subset of X and $\sigma \in \Gamma(F, \mathcal{R})$ then there is $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{R})$ such that $\bar{\sigma}|_F = \sigma$.

THEOREM 3.7.¹ *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring with 1. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{R}(R)$ of local rings which is constructed in 3.1 is soft. Conversely, if \mathcal{R} is a soft sheaf of local rings over a Hausdorff compact space \mathcal{M} , then $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$ is a strongly harmonic ring.*

¹ The author is indebted to Professor S. Teleman for this theorem.

Proof. By 3.4, $\mathcal{R}(R)$ is soft if R is a strongly harmonic ring with 1. Suppose now that \mathcal{R} is a soft sheaf of local rings over a Hausdorff compact space \mathcal{M} . Let $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{R})$. By Theorem 11 of [6: p. 712], \mathcal{M} is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(R)$. Hence we may take $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R})$. Since \mathcal{M} is Hausdorff, if $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ such that $M_1 \neq M_2$ then there exist open sets $U_i, i = 1, 2$, in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ such that $M_1 \in U_1, M_2 \in U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. If $\sigma \in R$, define

$$|\sigma| = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(R) \mid \sigma(M) \neq 0\} .$$

Let $A_i = \{\sigma \in R \mid |\sigma| \subseteq U_i\}, i = 1, 2$. Clearly, A_1, A_2 are ideals of R and $A_1 A_2 = 0 = A_2 A_1$ since $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. There exists compact sets K_1, K_2 such that $M_i \in K_i$ and $K_i \subseteq U_i, i = 1, 2$. Let $F_i = \mathcal{M}(R) \setminus U_i$. Since \mathcal{R} is soft there exist σ_i in $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R})$ such that $\sigma_i(K_i) = 1$ and $\sigma_i(F_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$. Hence $A_i \not\subseteq M_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus R is strongly harmonic.

REMARK 3.8. Let R be a ring and A be a right R -module. We will associate with A a sheaf if $\mathcal{R}(R)$ -modules over $\mathcal{M}(R)$ (refer [4] for definition). For $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, denote $\tilde{A} = \bigcup \{A/A_M \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\}$, the disjoint union of a family of R -modules A/A_M indexed by $\mathcal{M}(R)$. Let $\pi: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(R)$ be given by $\pi^{-1}(M) = A/A_M$. For $a \in A$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, let $t_a(M)$ be the image of a , under the natural homomorphism of A onto A/A_M . Topologize \tilde{A} by taking all sets $t_a(U)$, with $a \in A, U$ is an open set in $\mathcal{M}(R)$, as a basis for the open sets. Then \tilde{A} becomes a sheaf of $\mathcal{R}(R)$ -modules over $\mathcal{M}(R)$. The justification of this statement and proof of this result require only slight modifications of 3.1.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let R be a strongly harmonic ring with 1 and let A be a unitary right R -module. Then the mapping $\xi_A: a \mapsto t_a$ is a semi-linear isomorphism of A onto the $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ -module $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \tilde{A})$ in the sense that ξ_A is a group isomorphism satisfying $\xi_A(ar) = t_a \cdot \hat{r}$ for $a \in A, r \in R$ where $t_a(M) = a + A_M$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}(R)$.*

Proof. We omit the proof because it is only a variant of the proof of 3.4. However, it is worth noting that the full strength of 2.4 is needed here to prove that ξ_A is an injection.

4. A ring is called *biregular* if every principal ideal of the ring is generated by a central idempotent. In [2], Dauns and Hofmann proved that if R is a ring with 1 then R is biregular if and only if R is isomorphic to the ring of all global sections of a sheaf of simple rings over a Boolean space. By applying this theorem, we

will show that if R is a ring with 1 such that it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements then R is biregular if, and only if, every prime ideal of R is a maximal ideal of R .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If R is a biregular ring then every prime ideal M of R is a maximal ideal of R .*

Proof. If R is biregular then so is the ring R/M for any ideal M of R . Hence if M is a prime ideal then R/M is a prime biregular ring. Therefore, R/M contains no proper principal ideal for if R/M contains a proper principal ideal, then R/M would have two nonzero ideals whose product is zero. Thus R/M is a simple ring and M is a maximal ideal of R .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let R be a ring and M be a prime ideal of R . Define $O_M = \{x \in R \mid xy = 0 \text{ for some } y \notin M\}$. If R contains no nonzero nilpotent elements then $O_M = O(M)$.*

Proof. Clearly $O(M) \subseteq O_M$. If x, y are elements of R such that $xy = 0$ then yx is zero since $yxyx = 0$ and R contains no nonzero nilpotent elements. Furthermore, if $r \in R$, $xry = 0$ since $xryxry = 0$. Thus $O(M) = O_M$.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let R be a ring without nilpotent elements. If every prime ideal of R is maximal, then $M = O(M)$ for every prime ideal M of R .*

Proof. If every prime ideal of R is maximal, then every prime ideal is a maximal prime ideal. Hence by [3: 2.4], $M = O_M$ for each prime ideal M of R . Thus by 4.2 $M = O(M)$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If R is a ring with 1 such that R contains no nonzero nilpotent elements and if every prime ideal of R is maximal, then $\mathcal{N}(R)$ is a Boolean space.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [3: 2.5].

THEOREM 4.5. *Let R be a ring with 1 such that it contains no nonzero nilpotent elements. Then R is biregular if, every prime ideal of R is maximal.*

Proof. If R is biregular then by 4.1, every prime ideal is maximal. Conversely, suppose that every prime ideal of R is maximal. Since R is a ring without nilpotent elements, the intersection of

prime ideals of R is zero. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a Hausdorff space by 4.4, if M_1, M_2 are two distinct elements in $\mathcal{M}(R)$, then there exist ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} such that $\mathcal{A} \not\subseteq M_1$, $\mathcal{B} \not\subseteq M_2$ and $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} = 0$. Hence $O(M)$ is M -primary for every $M \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ by 2.13 and thus $R \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{M}(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ by 3.5. Since $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a Boolean space by 4.4 and $M = O(M)$ by 4.3, R is a biregular ring by [2: 2.19, p. 108].

REFERENCES

1. J. Dauns and K. H. Hofmann, *Representation of Rings by Sections*, Memoirs, No. 83, 1968, Amer. Math. Soc.
2. ———, *The representation of biregular rings by Sheaves*, Math. Zeit., **91** (1966), 103-123.
3. K. Koh, *On functional representations of a ring without nilpotent elements*, Canad. Math. Bull., (to appear).
4. R. S. Pierce, *Modules over Commutative Regular Rings*, Memoirs, No. 70, Amer. Math. Soc. (1967).
5. S. Teleman, *Analyse harmonique dans les algèbres régulières*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures, Appl., **12** (1968), 691-750.
6. ———, *La représentation des anneaux réguliers par les faisceaux*, Rev. Roum., Math., **14** (1969), 703-717.
7. ———, *Representation of Von Neuman algebras by Sheaves*, Acta. Scient. Math. Szeged, (to appear).

Received February 10, 1971.

TULANE UNIVERSITY
AND
NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 108 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Tom M. (Mike) Apostol, <i>Arithmetical properties of generalized Ramanujan sums</i>	281
David Lee Armacost and William Louis Armacost, <i>On p-thetic groups</i>	295
Janet E. Mills, <i>Regular semigroups which are extensions of groups</i>	303
Gregory Frank Bachelis, <i>Homomorphisms of Banach algebras with minimal ideals</i>	307
John Allen Beachy, <i>A generalization of injectivity</i>	313
David Geoffrey Cantor, <i>On arithmetic properties of the Taylor series of rational functions. II</i>	329
Václáv Chvátal and Frank Harary, <i>Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs. III. Small off-diagonal numbers</i>	335
Frank Rimi DeMeyer, <i>Irreducible characters and solvability of finite groups</i>	347
Robert P. Dickinson, <i>On right zero unions of commutative semigroups</i>	355
John Dustin Donald, <i>Non-openness and non-equidimensionality in algebraic quotients</i>	365
John D. Donaldson and Qazi Ibadur Rahman, <i>Inequalities for polynomials with a prescribed zero</i>	375
Robert E. Hall, <i>The translational hull of an N-semigroup</i>	379
John P. Holmes, <i>Differentiable power-associative groupoids</i>	391
Steven Kenyon Ingram, <i>Continuous dependence on parameters and boundary data for nonlinear two-point boundary value problems</i>	395
Robert Clarke James, <i>Super-reflexive spaces with bases</i>	409
Gary Douglas Jones, <i>The embedding of homeomorphisms of the plane in continuous flows</i>	421
Mary Joel Jordan, <i>Period H-semigroups and t-semisimple periodic H-semigroups</i>	437
Ronald Allen Knight, <i>Dynamical systems of characteristic 0</i>	447
Kwangil Koh, <i>On a representation of a strongly harmonic ring by sheaves</i>	459
Hui-Hsiung Kuo, <i>Stochastic integrals in abstract Wiener space</i>	469
Thomas Graham McLaughlin, <i>Supersimple sets and the problem of extending a retracing function</i>	485
William Nathan, <i>Open mappings on 2-manifolds</i>	495
M. J. O'Malley, <i>Isomorphic power series rings</i>	503
Sean B. O'Reilly, <i>Completely adequate neighborhood systems and metrization</i>	513
Qazi Ibadur Rahman, <i>On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative</i>	525
Russell Daniel Rupp, Jr., <i>The Weierstrass excess function</i>	529
Hugo Teufel, <i>A note on second order differential inequalities and functional differential equations</i>	537
M. J. Wicks, <i>A general solution of binary homogeneous equations over free groups</i>	543