

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **ON MULTIDIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF VOLTERRA TYPE**

MANDA BUTCHI SURYANARAYANA



# ON MULTIDIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF VOLTERRA TYPE

M. B. SURYANARAYANA

It is the purpose of this paper to study multidimensional nonlinear integral equations of Volterra type of a rather general form with unknowns in one of the function spaces  $C$ ,  $L_1$ ,  $L_\infty$  or  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < +\infty$ . In analogy with the theory of differential equations, global existence and uniqueness theorems as well as continuous dependence on initial values, are established for such integral equations. The hypotheses on integrands in this paper are less demanding than normally found in the literature and are motivated by applications, particularly to boundary value problems found in optimal control theory.

Since the literature on multidimensional integral equations of Volterra type is very extensive (see N. V. Kasatkina [5], W. Walter [10], Arthur Wouk [11] for partial surveys), we shall incorporate below certain relevant remarks on these equations and also briefly describe the general form of the integral equations studied in this paper.

The integral equation

$$(1.1) \quad z(x, y) = \phi(x) + \psi(y) - \phi(0) + \int_0^x \int_0^y f(\alpha, \beta, z, z_x, z_y) d\alpha d\beta$$

is well-known since it corresponds to the classical Darboux problem

$$z_{xy}(x, y) = f(x, y, z, z_x, z_y), (x, y) \in [0, h] \times [0, k],$$

with boundary data

$$z(x, 0) = \phi(x); z(0, y) = \psi(y); \phi(0) = \psi(0).$$

The above equation is clearly with two independent variables  $x$  and  $y$ .

For the the multidimensional ( $m > 2$ ) equations, several forms have been proposed in the past. One such form, studied by W. Walter [10], is

$$(1.2) \quad u_\nu(x) = g_\nu(x) + \int_{H_\nu(x)} k_\nu(x, \xi, u(\xi))(d\xi)_{p_\nu}$$

$$u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n), \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n, x \in B \subset E^m,$$

where  $H_\nu(x) \subset B(x) = \{\xi \in B | \xi_i \leq x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Precisely,  $H_\nu(x)$  is assumed by Walter to be contained in a  $p_\nu$ -dimensional hyperplane,  $1 \leq p_\nu \leq m$ , parallel to the coordinate axes-i.e., in a translate of the linear manifold generated by  $p_\nu$  of the basis vectors  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $(0,$



$1, 0 \dots 0), \dots (0, 0, \dots 0, 1)$  in  $E^m$ . W. Walter gave theorems for the existence of continuous solutions for such systems.

One is now directed naturally to equations where the set  $H_i$  need not be in a  $p_i$ -dimensional hyperplane (with nonzero measure). In other words, one may consider equations where  $H_i$  may consist of several sets, each of which belongs to a hyperplane of different dimension. An example of such an equation would be

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(x, y) = & f(x, y) + \int_0^x A(x, y, s)\phi(s, y)ds \\ & + \int_0^y B(x, y, t)\phi(x, t)dt + \int_0^x \int_0^y C(x, y, s, t)\phi(s, t)dsdt \end{aligned}$$

which is not of the form (1.2) [see T. H. Gronwall [3]].

N. V. Kasatkina [5] in the lines of R. H. J. Germaey [12], has studied the following more general integral equation for local uniqueness of continuous solutions:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t) = & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} \int_{a_{i_1}}^{t_{i_1}} \dots \int_{a_{i_k}}^{t_{i_k}} K_{i_1 \dots i_k}(t, s_{i_1 \dots i_k}, \\ & x(t_1, t_2 \dots t_{i_1-1}, s_{i_1}, t_{i_1+1}, \dots t_{i_k-1}, s_{i_k}, \dots t_n)) ds_{i_1} \dots ds_{i_k} + f(t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = (t_1, t_2 \dots t_n)$ ,  $s_{i_1 \dots i_k} = (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k})$ . His method involves differential inequalities and the results hold locally.

The integral equations studied in this paper are of the same general form as (1.4), but with unknowns in spaces  $L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and not necessarily in  $C$ , as is usual. Besides, we require less demanding hypotheses on the integrands.

The canonical form, emphasized in the present discussion, seems to be the most general since it is found that many equations considered in the past can be put into this form. The theorems we obtain are global and not local. Further, an analogy with the usual theory of differential equations is maintained as far as possible.

The proofs in the present paper are based on fixed point theorems—precisely, on an extension of Banach's contraction theorem. Indeed, the equations under consideration—being of Volterra type—give rise to an operator  $T$  which is not necessarily a contraction by itself; but suitable powers of  $T$  are so. It is seen that still, there exists a fixed point for  $T$ , by a remark of F. F. Bonsall [1]. This fact allows us to relax the hypotheses.

Essentially, the same argument applies to the space  $C$  of continuous functions, as well as to the spaces  $L_p$  of the  $p^{\text{th}}$  summable functions, for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and in each of all these cases, we assume a different set of hypotheses. If the equations are assumed to be



linear, with analytic coefficients, then existence of analytic solutions is obtained by applying the argument to a space of analytic functions.

The results of this paper are used in—and the present paper has been motivated by—problems of optimal control monitored by nonlinear system (1.1) (and corresponding Darboux data), particularly since the controls are known to be only measurable, and hence the integrands—which contain the controls—may be assumed, at best, to be in some  $L_p$ -space,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Besides, the corresponding Pontryagin-type multipliers are known to satisfy linear Volterra-type integral equations of the same form (1.4), but again with integrands in an  $L_p$ -space.

In §2 we develop suitable notations, in §3 we summarize basic statements, in particular Bonsall's remark, in §4 we discuss the problem under consideration in class  $C$ , in §5 we show that analogous results and essentially same proofs using Bonsall's remark hold in  $L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ; in §6 we consider the linear case and show the existence of analytic solutions, in harmony with classical results. Applications to control problems will be given elsewhere. The special case of the classical Darboux problem (1.1) is discussed in the appendix.

**2. Notations.** Let  $E^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Let  $G = \{t \in E^n \mid t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n), a_i \leq t_i \leq a_i + h_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\alpha$  denote the multiindex  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  with arbitrary nonnegative integers  $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . As usual,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ , and  $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \alpha_2! \dots \alpha_n!$ . Given any  $\alpha$ , let  $\beta_j$  denote the index of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  nonzero element of the sequence  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Let us consider the multiindex  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k)$ ;  $k$  being some integer with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , determined by  $\alpha$ . We shall say that  $\beta$  corresponds to  $\alpha$ . Thus, for example,  $(2, 5)$  corresponds to  $(0, 1, 0, 0, 2)$ ;  $(1, 3, 6, 7)$  corresponds to  $(1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1)$ , etc. For  $t \in E^n$ , let  $t_\beta$  denote  $(t_{\beta_1}, t_{\beta_2}, \dots, t_{\beta_k})$  and let  $t'_\beta = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{\beta_1-1}, t_{\beta_1+1}, \dots, t_{\beta_k-1}, t_{\beta_k+1}, \dots, t_n)$ . In particular let  $t'_i = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, t_n)$ . Let  $G_\beta = \{s_\beta \in E^k \mid s_\beta = (s_{\beta_1}, s_{\beta_2}, \dots, s_{\beta_k}), a_i \leq s_i \leq a_i + h_i; i = \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k\}$ . Let  $\pi(\theta) = \pi(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_\alpha \theta^\alpha$  denote a polynomial of degree  $N$  in  $\theta$  with no constant term. Here  $\theta^\alpha$  denotes  $\theta_1^{\alpha_1} \theta_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \theta_n^{\alpha_n}$ .

Let  $C(G)$  denote as usual the space of functions continuous on  $G$ , with supnorm and let  $L_p(G)$  be the space of the  $p$ th summable functions for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $L_\infty(G)$  be the space of all essentially bounded measurable functions on  $G$ . For  $m \geq 1$  and  $X = C(G), L_p(G)$  or  $L_\infty(G)$ , let  $X^m = X \times X \dots X$  ( $m$  times) and for  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m)$  in  $X^m$  let  $\|\varphi\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\varphi_i\|$ . We shall denote by  $\|\varphi\|_c, \|\varphi\|_p$  and  $\|\varphi\|_\infty$  the norms in  $[C(G)]^m, [L_p(G)]^m$  and  $[L_\infty(G)]^m$ , respectively.

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $T_i$  be an operator defined on  $X^m$  (with  $X = C(G), L_p(G)$  or  $L_\infty(G)$ ) as follows:



$$T_i \mathcal{P}(t) = \int_{a_i}^{t_i} \varphi(t'_i, s) ds, \varphi \in X^m.$$

We define the product  $T_i T_j$  as composition, so that  $T_i^r \varphi = T_i(T_i^{r-1} \varphi)$ ,  $r \geq 1$  and  $T_i^0 \varphi = \varphi$ ;  $\varphi \in X^m$ . By using Hölder's inequality, it is seen that

$$(2.1) \quad \|T_i^r \varphi\|_p \leq \|\varphi\|_p h_i^r(r! p^r)^{-1/p}, 1 \leq p < \infty$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \|T_i^r \varphi\|_\infty \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty h_i^r(r!)^{-1}.$$

Also,

$$(2.3) \quad \|T_i^r \varphi\|_e \leq \|\varphi\|_e h_i^r(r!)^{-1}.$$

For any multiindex  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n)$  as in the beginning of this section, we shall denote by  $T^\alpha$  the operator  $T_1^{\alpha_1} \dots T_n^{\alpha_n}$ ; and given any polynomial  $\pi(\theta) = \sum \lambda_\alpha \theta^\alpha$  of degree  $N$ , we shall denote by  $\pi(T)$  the operator  $\sum \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha \equiv \sum \lambda_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)} T_1^{\alpha_1} T_2^{\alpha_2} \dots T_n^{\alpha_n}$ .

In this paper we shall consider the following (canonic) form of multidimensional integral equations of Volterra type:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t) &= f(t) + (\pi(T) \circ F)(x)(t) \\ &= f(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x(t'_\beta, s_\beta)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(t) \in X^m$  and for each  $\alpha$ , with  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$ , the function  $F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x) = (F_{\alpha_1}^1, F_{\alpha_2}^2 \dots F_{\alpha_n}^m)$  is defined on  $G \times G_\beta \times E^m$  and here  $\beta$  is the multiindex corresponding to  $\alpha$ , as described earlier. Specific assumptions on  $f$  and  $F_\alpha$  will be made later.

**3. Preliminaries.** In the sequel, we shall need a few preliminary statements. They are given below:

If  $F: X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping of a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  into another metric space  $(Y, \sigma)$  and there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\sigma(Fx_1, Fx_2) \leq c\rho(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , then we denote by  $\nu(F)$  the number  $\sup \{\sigma(Fx_1, Fx_2)/\rho(x_1, x_2): x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \neq x_2\}$ .

(3. i) (An extension of Banach's contraction mapping theorem) (see F. F. Bonsall [1]): Let  $F: X \rightarrow X$  be a complete metric space  $(X, \rho)$  into itself. Let  $F^1 = F$  and  $F^n = F(F^{n-1})$  for  $n > 1$ . Let us assume that  $\nu(F^n) < +\infty$  for every  $n$  and that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \nu(F^n) < \infty$ . Then  $F$  has a unique fixed point  $x_0 \in X$ .

(3. ii) (F. F. Bonsall, [1]): If  $F: X \rightarrow X$  is any continuous map of a complete metric space  $(X, \rho)$  into itself such that for some integer



$N \geq 1$ ,  $F^N$  is a contraction on  $X$ , then  $F$  has a unique fixed point  $x_0$  in  $X$ .

(3. iii) Let  $G = \{t \in E^n \mid t = (t_1, t_2 \dots t_n), a_i \leq t_i \leq a_i + h_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n\}$  as in §2 and let  $G_i = \{s \in E^1: a_i \leq s \leq a_i + h_i\}$   $i = 1, 2 \dots n$ . Let  $\varphi = \varphi(t, s)$  be a real valued function defined on  $G \times G_i$  such that

(a)  $|\varphi(t, s)| \leq cm(s)$  for all  $(t, s) \in G \times G_i$  where  $c$  is a constant and  $m(s)$  is integrable on  $G_i$ .

(b)  $\varphi$  is continuous in  $t$  for each fixed  $s \in G_i$ .

(c)  $\varphi$  is measurable in  $s$  for each fixed  $t \in G$ .

Then the function  $T_i \varphi(t) = \int_{a_i}^{t_i} \varphi(t, s) ds$  is continuous on  $G$ .

Following the notation of §2, if  $T_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n$  are the operators defined there, if  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n)$  is any multiindex,  $T^\alpha = T_1^{\alpha_1} \dots T_n^{\alpha_n}$  and  $\beta$  is the multiindex corresponding to  $\alpha$ , then  $T^\alpha \varphi(t, s_\beta) \in [C(G)]^m$ , provided

(a)  $|\varphi(t, s_\beta)| \leq cm(s_\beta)$  for all  $(t, s_\beta) \in G \times G_\beta$ .

(b)  $\varphi$  is continuous in  $t$  for each fixed  $s_\beta \in G_\beta$ .

(c)  $\varphi$  is measurable in  $s_\beta$  for each fixed  $t \in G$ .

(3. iv) Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_m)$  be any multiindex and let  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2 \dots \beta_k)$  correspond to  $\alpha$  as in §2. Let  $\varphi(t, s_\beta)$  be any real valued function on  $G \times G_\beta$  which is continuous in  $t_\beta$  for almost all  $(t'_\beta, s_\beta) \in G$  and belongs to  $L_1(G)$  for each fixed  $t_\beta \in G_\beta$ . Let there exist a constant  $B \geq 0$  and a function  $m(t'_\beta, s_\beta)$  in  $L_1(G)$  such that for  $(t, s_\beta) \in G \times G_\beta$  we have  $|\varphi(t, s_\beta)| \leq Bm(t'_\beta, s_\beta)$ . Then the function  $\Phi(t)$  defined by  $\Phi(t) = T^\alpha \varphi(t, s_\beta)$ , is measurable on  $G$ .

Let  $B_1$  be a measurable subset of  $E^n$  and let  $g_i(x, y), i = 1, 2 \dots m$  be realvalued measurable functions on  $B_1 \times E^r$ . Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be two real numbers with  $1 \leq p_1, p_2 < \infty$ . Let us consider the following condition:

(H) There exist  $m$  functions  $a_i(x), i = 1, 2 \dots m$ , in  $L_{p_2}(B_1)$  and a constant  $b \geq 0$  such that for each  $i = 1, 2 \dots m$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad |g_i(x, y)| \leq a_i(x) + b|y|^{p_1/p_2}.$$

(3. v) (see M. A. Krasnoselskii, [6]) Let  $B_1$  be a measurable subset of  $E^n$  and let  $g_i(x, y), i = 1, 2 \dots m$  be real valued functions on  $B_1 \times E^r$  such that for each  $i, g_i(x, y)$  is continuous on  $E^r$  with respect to  $y$  for almost all  $x$  in  $B_1$ , and measurable in  $x$  for each fixed  $y$  in  $E^r$ . Let  $Jz = (J_1 z, J_2 z, \dots J_m z)$  with  $J_i z(x) = g_i(x, z(x))$ . Then  $Jz$  is measurable whenever  $z$  is measurable. Furthermore, the operator  $J$  maps  $[L_{p_1}(B_1)]^r$  into  $[L_{p_2}(B_1)]^m$  if and only if condition (H) holds.

Following the terminology of R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi [4], a complex valued function  $f$  defined on an open subset  $B \subset C^n$  (the  $n$ -



dimensional complex vector space) is called “holomorphic” in  $B$  if each point  $w \in B$  has an open neighborhood  $U$ ,  $w \in U \subset B$ , such that the function  $f$  has a power series expansion  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n=0}^{\infty} a_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_n} (z_1 - w_1)^{\nu_1} \dots (z_n - w_n)^{\nu_n}$  which converges absolutely for all  $z \in U$ . A function  $f$  is said to be holomorphic on a closed set  $D \subset C^n$ , if  $f$  is holomorphic on an open set containing  $D$ . For functions of several real variables, the same definition above holds, with the word “analytic” being used instead of “holomorphic.” The set of all functions holomorphic on  $D$  will be denoted by  $O(D)$ .

If  $D$  denotes the rectangle  $\{\zeta \in C^n \mid |\zeta_i| \leq H_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n\} \subset C^n$ , then we can define a norm on  $O(D)$  as:

$$\|x\| = \sum_{|\alpha|=0} |a_\alpha| H^\alpha \text{ where } x = \sum_{|\alpha|=0} a_\alpha \zeta^\alpha \in O(D).$$

(3. vi) The set  $O(D)$  with the above norm is a Banach space.

**4. Continuous solutions.** In this section we shall discuss the existence and uniqueness of continuous solutions (as well as the dependence of solutions on the “initial” values) of the canonical system:

$$(2.4) \quad x(t) = f(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x(t'_\beta, s_\beta)).$$

Theorem 1 below shows the existence of continuous solutions of (2.4) under the assumption that the  $F_\alpha$  are merely continuous in  $x$ , and not necessarily linear. In this situation, the modulus of continuity is required to be “small” and suitable bounds are given for the  $\lambda_\alpha$ .

If all  $F_\alpha$  are known to be Lipschitzian in  $x$ , the condition of “small modulus of continuity” can be removed due to the fact that the equation (2.4) is of Volterra type, and the  $\lambda_\alpha$  are then arbitrary. Besides, the solutions are unique in this case. Precise formulations are found in Theorem 2. If  $F_\alpha$  are linear in  $x$ , with analytic coefficients  $A_\alpha$ , then solutions can be found which are analytic—not merely continuous. This is in harmony with classical results. This case is studied in §6.

In order to state a theorem on the existence of continuous solutions of the integral equation (2.4), we shall need the following set of hypotheses:

(H<sub>1</sub>): Let  $f(t)$  be a given element of  $[C(G)]^m$ , and let  $M_1 > 0$  be such that  $|f(t)| \leq M_1$  for  $t \in G$ . Let  $M_2 > M_1$  and  $S_{M_2}$  denote  $\{\zeta \mid \zeta \in E^m, |\zeta| \leq M_2\}$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>): Let  $F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x) = (F_\alpha^1, F_\alpha^2 \dots F_\alpha^m)$  be functions defined on  $G \times G_\beta \times S_{M_2}$  where  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{d_\alpha})$  corresponds to  $\alpha$ , as described in §2. Let

(a)  $F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x)$  be measurable in  $s_\beta$  for fixed  $(t, x)$ ;

(b) there exist functions  $k_{1_\alpha}(s_\beta)$  integrable on  $G_\beta$  such that on  $G \times$



$G_\beta \times S_{M_2}$  we have  $|F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x)| \leq k_{1\alpha}(s_\beta)$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>): There exist monotone nondecreasing continuous functions  $w_{i\alpha}$  with  $w_{i\alpha}(0) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and functions  $\tilde{k}_{2\alpha}(s_\beta)$  integrable on  $G_\beta$  such that for  $(t^1, x_1), (t^2, x_2)$  in  $G \times S_{M_2}$  and  $s_\beta \in G_\beta$ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad |F_\alpha(t^1, s_\beta, x_1) - F_\alpha(t^2, s_\beta, x_2)| \leq \tilde{k}_{2\alpha}(s_\beta)[w_{1\alpha}(|t^1 - t^2|) + w_{2\alpha}(|x_1 - x_2|)] .$$

It is to be noted that if we take  $H_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x) = (t_\beta - s_\beta)^{\beta-1} ((\beta - 1)!)^{-1} F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x)$ , then we have  $T_\beta H_\alpha = T_{\beta_1} \cdot T_{\beta_2} \cdots T_{\beta_{d\alpha}}(H_\alpha) = T^\alpha F_\alpha$ . Furthermore, as a consequence of the condition (H<sub>3</sub>) above, there exist functions  $k_{2\alpha}(s_\beta)$  integrable in  $G_\beta$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & |H_\alpha(t^1, s_\beta, x_1) - H_\alpha(t^2, s_\beta, x_2)| \\ & \leq k_{2\alpha}(s_\beta)[w_{1\alpha}(|t^1 - t^2|) + w_{2\alpha}(|x_1 - x_2|)] \end{aligned}$$

for  $(t^1, x_1), (t^2, x_2)$  in  $G \times S_{M_2}$  and  $s_\beta \in G_\beta$ . This is what we shall need in the sequel.

Let  $D_{\beta_i}$  denote the product of intervals  $[a_j, a_j + h_j]$  for  $j = 1, 2 \cdots i - 1, i + 1, \cdots d_\alpha$  where  $d_\alpha$  is the number of nonzero elements in  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n)$ . Let us consider the functions  $K_{i\alpha}(t_{\beta_i})$  defined on  $[a_{\beta_i}, a_{\beta_i} + h_{\beta_i}]$  as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad K_{i\alpha}(t_{\beta_i}) = \int (t_\beta - s_\beta)^{\beta-1} ((\beta - 1)!)^{-1} k_{1\alpha}(s_\beta) ds_\beta$$

where the integration is performed over the set  $[a_{\beta_i}, t_{\beta_i}] \times D_{\beta_i}$  and  $k_{1\alpha}(s_\beta)$  are the functions found in (H<sub>2</sub>). Since  $k_{1\alpha}(s_\beta)$  are  $L$ -integrable in  $G_\beta$ , it follows that the functions  $K_{i\alpha}$  are continuous in  $[a_{\beta_i}, a_{\beta_i} + h_{\beta_i}]$ . Also, by (H<sub>1</sub>), the function  $f$  is continuous on  $G$ . Thus, there exist monotone nondecreasing functions  $\nu(\cdot), \sigma_{i\alpha}(\cdot)$  such that  $\nu(0) = 0$ ,  $\sigma_{i\alpha}(0) = 0$ , and

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |f(t^1) - f(t^2)| \leq \nu(|t^1 - t^2|) \text{ and} \\ & |K_{i\alpha}(t_{\beta_i}^1) - K_{i\alpha}(t_{\beta_i}^2)| \leq \sigma_{i\alpha}(|t_{\beta_i}^1 - t_{\beta_i}^2|) . \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k_{i\alpha} = \int_{G_\beta} k_{i\alpha}(s_\beta) ds_\beta$ ,  $i = 1, 2; 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$ .

(H<sub>4</sub>): Let there exist real numbers  $\lambda_\alpha$  such that

$$M_1 + \sum_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha| k_\alpha h_\beta^{\beta-1} ((\beta - 1)!)^{-1} \leq M_2$$

(H<sub>5</sub>): Let there exist monotone nondecreasing, continuous functions  $\eta(\cdot)$  vanishing at zero such that

$$\eta(\theta) \geq \zeta(\theta) + \sum_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha| k_{2\alpha} w_{2\alpha}(\eta(\theta))$$



where

$$\zeta(\theta) = \nu(\theta) + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| [\sum_i \sigma_{i\alpha}(|\theta_{\beta_i}|) + k_2 w_{i\alpha}(\theta)]$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2 \dots \theta_n) \text{ with } \theta_i \in [0, h_i], i = 1, 2 \dots n.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let the above hypotheses  $H_1 - H_5$  hold. Let  $K$  denote  $\{x \in [C(G)]^m: |x(t)| \leq M_2 \text{ and } |x(t^1) - x(t^2)| \leq \eta(|t^1 - t^2|) \text{ for } t^1, t^2 \in G\}$ . Let  $\tau$  be an operator defined on  $[C(G)]^m$  by*

$$\tau x(t) = f(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_{\alpha} T^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}(t, s_{\beta}, x(t'_{\beta}, s_{\beta})) .$$

Then, there exists at least one  $x \in K$  with  $x = \tau x$ .

*Proof.* The set  $K$  defined above is a nonempty compact convex subset of the normed space  $[C(G)]^m$ . Let us prove that  $\tau$  maps  $K$  into  $K$  and that  $\tau$  is continuous.

For  $x \in K$ ,  $t^1, t^2 \in G$  with  $t^1_i \leq t^2_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2 \dots n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(t^1) - x(t^2)| \leq |f(t^1) - f(t^2)| \\ & + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| [T^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}(t^1, s_{\beta}, x(t^1, s_{\beta})) - T^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}(t^2, s_{\beta}, x(t^2, s_{\beta}))] | \\ & \leq \nu(|t^1 - t^2|) + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| [T_{\beta} H_{\alpha}(t^1, s_{\beta}, x(t^1, s_{\beta})) - T_{\beta} H_{\alpha}(t^2, s_{\beta}, x(t^2, s_{\beta}))] | \\ & \leq \nu(|t^1 - t^2|) + \sum_{\alpha} \left| \lambda_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\alpha}} \int_{E_i} ((\beta - 1)!(t_{\beta} - s_{\beta}))^{-1} k_{1\alpha}(s_{\beta}) ds_{\beta} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{G_{\beta}} \{k_{2\alpha}(s_{\beta}) w_{1\alpha}(|t^1 - t^2|) + w_{2\alpha}(|x(t^1, s_{\beta}) - x(t^2, s_{\beta})|)\} ds_{\beta} \right| \\ & \leq \nu(|t^1 - t^2|) + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| [\sum_i \sigma_{i\alpha}(|t^1_{\beta_i} - t^2_{\beta_i}|) + k_{2\alpha}(w_{1\alpha}(|t^1 - t^2|) \\ & \quad + w_{2\alpha} \circ \eta(|t - t^2|))] \leq \eta(|t^1 - t^2|) \end{aligned}$$

by  $(H_5)$ . (Here, as in  $(H_3)$ ,  $E_i$  denotes  $[t^1_{\beta_i}, t^2_{\beta_i}] \times D_{\beta_i}$ ). This shows in particular that  $\tau x$  is continuous on  $G$ . Also, for  $x \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau x(t)| & \leq |f(t)| + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| T^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}(t, s_{\beta}, x(t'_{\beta}, s_{\beta})) | \\ & \leq M_1 + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| |T_{\beta} H_{\alpha}(t, s_{\beta}, x(t'_{\beta}, s_{\beta}))| \\ & M_1 + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| h_{\beta}^{\beta-1} ((\beta - 1)!)^{-1} k_{1\alpha} \leq M_2 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\tau$  maps  $K$  into  $K$ .

Now, let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be any two elements of  $K$ .

Then,



$$|\tau x_1(t) - \tau x_2(t)| \leq \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| [T^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}(t, s_{\beta}, x_1) - T^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}(t, s_{\beta}, x_2)] \leq \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| k_{2_{\alpha}} w_{2_{\alpha}} (||x_1 - x_2||)$$

where

$$||x_1 - x_2|| = \sup \{ |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| : t \in G \}.$$

Hence for

$$(4.5) \quad x_1, x_2 \in K, ||\tau x_1 - \tau x_2|| \leq \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| k_{2_{\alpha}} w_{2_{\alpha}} (||x_1 - x_2||)$$

This shows  $\tau$  maps  $K$  continuously into it itself.

Now, by Schauder's fixed point theorem there exists at least one  $x \in K$  with  $\tau x = x$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. [Note: Details of above calculations for  $n = 2$ , may be found in [8]].

REMARK. Concerning the hypothesis  $(H_5)$  of above theorem, let us consider the case where  $F_{\alpha}$  are Lipschitzian with constants  $A_{\alpha}$  in  $x$ . Then  $w_{2_{\alpha}}(\nu) = A_{\alpha}\nu$  (see (4.1)). If

$$\sum_{\alpha} |A_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}| < 1$$

then

$$\eta(\theta) = \zeta(\theta) + \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}| A_{\alpha} h^{\alpha} \eta(\theta)$$

yields

$$\eta(\theta) = (1 - \sum_{\alpha} |A_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}|)^{-1} \zeta(\theta)$$

and hence by choosing this function as  $\eta$  in  $(H_5)$ , it follows by Theorem 1 that there exists at least one  $x \in K$  satisfying (2.4). Further, in this case, i.e., if  $\sum_{\alpha} |A_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}| < 1$  then the solution of (2.4) are unique. Indeed, if  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are solutions then  $x_1 = \tau x_1$  and  $x_2 = \tau x_2$ . Now with  $w_{2_{\alpha}}(\nu) = A_{\alpha}\nu$ , the inequality (4.5) will reduce to

$$||x_1 - x_2|| = ||\tau x_1 - \tau x_2|| \leq \sum_{\alpha} |A_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}| ||x_1 - x_2|| < ||x_1 - x_2||$$

which is impossible if  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

This proves uniqueness.

On the other hand, if  $F_{\alpha}$  are known to be Lipschitzian, then by a completely different argument one can prove the existence and uniqueness of continuous solutions of (2.4)—without the further condition  $\sum_{\alpha} |A_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}h^{\alpha}| < 1$ . Precise formulations follow. We shall omit the proof here, since it is the same as for  $L_p$ -solutions which will be discussed in the next section.



**THEOREM 2.** *Hypotheses:* For each  $\alpha$ ,  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$ , let  $F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x) = (F_\alpha^1, F_\alpha^2 \dots F_\alpha^m)$  be defined on  $G \times G_\beta \times E^m$  where  $\beta$  corresponds to  $\alpha$ . Let  $F_\alpha$  be continuous in  $(t_\beta, t'_\beta)$  and be measurable in  $s_\beta$ . Let there exist constants  $M_\alpha \geq 0$  and functions  $A_\alpha(s_\beta)$  in  $L_1(G_\beta)$  such that for all  $(t, s_\beta) \in G \times G_\beta$  and  $x_1, x_2$  in  $E^m$ , we have

$$|F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_1) - F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_2)| \leq M_\alpha |x_1 - x_2|$$

and  $|F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, 0)| \leq A_\alpha(s_\beta)$ . Given a sequence of real numbers  $\{\lambda_\alpha | 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N\}$  and a positive  $r$ , let  $\delta_r$  denote  $\sum \mu_\alpha \cdot h^\alpha(\alpha!)^{-1}$  where summation extends over  $s \leq |\alpha| \leq rN$  and  $\mu_\alpha$  is the coefficient of  $\theta^\alpha$  in the binomial expansion of  $(\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} |\lambda_\alpha| M_\alpha \theta^\alpha)^r$ . It is seen that  $\delta = \sum_{r=0}^\infty \delta_r < \infty$ . Let  $R \geq 1$  be such that  $\delta_R < 1$ . Let  $M > 0$  be any real number such that

$$(4.6) \quad M > \delta(1 - \delta_R)^{-1} (\|f\|_c + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\lambda_\alpha A_\alpha\|_1 h^\alpha(\alpha!)^{-1})$$

where  $f$  is a given element of  $[C(G)]^m$ .

*Conclusion.* Given  $f \in [C(G)]^m$ ,  $\lambda_\alpha$  real,  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$ , and  $M > 0$  satisfying (4.6), there exists a unique  $x \in [C(G)]^m$  with  $\|x\| \leq M$  such that  $\tau x = x$  where, as in Theorem 1,

$$(4.7) \quad \tau x(t) = f(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x(t'_\beta, s_\beta)).$$

Further, if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are any two elements of  $[C(G)]^m$  and if  $x_1, x_2$  are the corresponding solution of  $\tau x = x$ , then

$$(4.8) \quad \|x_1 - x_2\| \leq (1 - \delta_R)^{-1} \|f_1 - f_2\|.$$

Thus, the solutions depend continuously on the "initial" values.

**REMARK.** The inequality (4.8) is readily obtained by repeated application of the following

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1(t) - x_2(t)| &= |f_1(t) - f_2(t) + \sum \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha [F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_1) \\ &- F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_2)]| \leq |f_1(t) - f_2(t)| + \sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha |x_1 - x_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each  $r$

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta \|f_1 - f_2\| + \delta_r \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

so that

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta(1 - \delta_R)^{-1} \|f_1 - f_2\|$$

where  $R$  is such that  $\delta_R < 1$ .



5.  $L_p$ -Solutions ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). It is of interest to observe that Theorem 2 with slight changes yields unique  $L_p$ -solution for the equation (2.4). The changes needed are made clear by the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Hypotheses: Let  $F_\alpha$  be as before defined on  $G \times G_\beta \times E^m$ ; where  $\beta$  corresponds to  $\alpha$ . Let  $F_\alpha$  be continuous in  $t_\beta$  and be measurable  $(t'_\beta, s_\beta)$ . Let there exist constants  $M_\alpha \geq 0$  and functions  $A_\alpha(t'_\beta, s_\beta)$  in  $[L_p(G)]$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , such that for all  $(t, s_\beta) \in G \times G_\beta$  and  $x_1, x_2$  in  $E^m$*

$$(5.1) \quad |F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_1) - F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_2)| \leq M_\alpha |x_1 - x_2|$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad |F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, 0)| \leq A_\alpha(t'_\beta, s_\beta).$$

Given a sequence of real numbers  $\{\lambda_\alpha | 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N\}$  and a positive integer  $r$ , let  $\delta_r$  denote  $\sum_{r \leq |\alpha| \leq rN} \mu_\alpha h^\alpha(\alpha! p^\alpha)^{-1/p}$  (with  $p = 1$  in case of  $L_\infty$ ) where  $\mu_\alpha$  is the coefficient of  $\theta^\alpha$  in the binomial expansion of  $(\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} |\lambda_\alpha| M_\alpha \theta^\alpha)^r$ . It is seen that  $\delta = \sum_{r=1}^\infty \delta_r < \infty$ . Let  $R \geq 1$  be such that  $\delta_R < 1$ . Let  $M > 0$  be any real number such that

$$(5.3) \quad M > \delta(1 - \delta_R)^{-1} (\|f\|_p + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\lambda_\alpha A_\alpha\|_p h^\alpha(\alpha! p^\alpha)^{-1/p})$$

where  $f$  is a given element of  $[L_p(G)]^m$ . (In the case of  $L_\infty(G)$ ,  $p$  is taken as 1 in (5.3)).

*Conclusion.* Given  $f$  in  $[L_p(G)]^m$ ,  $\lambda_\alpha$  real,  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$  and  $M > 0$  satisfying (5.3), there exists a unique  $x \in [L_p(G)]^m$  with  $\|x\|_p \leq M$  such that  $\tau x = x$ , where  $\tau$  is defined as in Theorem 2, by

$$\tau x(t) = f(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x(t'_\beta, s_\beta)).$$

Further, if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are any two elements  $[L_p(G)]^m$ , and  $x_1, x_2$  are the corresponding solutions of  $\tau x = x$ , then  $\|x_1 - x_2\|_p \leq (1 - \delta_R)^{-1} \delta \|f_1 - f_2\|_p$ .

*Proof.* Let us observe from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x)| &\leq |F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x) - F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, 0)| + |F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, 0)| \\ &\leq M_\alpha |x| + A_\alpha(t'_\beta, s_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of the assumption on  $F_\alpha$  and the inequalities (5.4), it follows (see 3. v in §3) that  $F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x(t'_\beta, s_\beta))$  for fixed  $t_\beta$  is in  $[L_p(G)]^m$ . Hence  $T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x(t'_\beta, s_\beta))$  is in  $[L_p(G)]^m$  and consequently  $\tau$  maps  $[L_p(G)]^m$  into itself.

Let us now show that for  $x_1, x_2$  in  $[L_p(G)]^m$  and any integer  $r \geq 1$ , we have



$$(5.5) \quad \|\tau^r x_1 - \tau^r x_2\|_p \leq \delta_r \|x_1 - x_2\|_p.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tau x_1(t) - \tau x_2(t)| \\ &= |\sum \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_1(t'_\beta, s_\beta)) - \sum \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s_\beta, x_2(t'_\beta, s_\beta))| \\ &\leq \sum |\lambda_\alpha| |T^\alpha M_\alpha| |x_1 - x_2|(t'_\beta, s_\beta) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\tau^r x_1(t) - \tau^r x_2(t)| \leq \sum |\lambda_\alpha| |T^\alpha M_\alpha| |\tau^{r-1} x_1 - \tau^{r-1} x_2|(t'_\beta, s_\beta)$$

It follows now by induction that

$$|\tau^r x_1(t) - \tau^r x_2(t)| \leq (\sum |\lambda_\alpha| |T^\alpha M_\alpha|^r) \|x_1 - x_2\|(t'_\beta, s_\beta).$$

Now, it follows by using the inequalities (2.1) that

$$\|\tau^r x_1 - \tau^r x_2\|_p \leq \delta_r \|x_1 - x_2\|_p.$$

This concludes the proof of the inequality (5.5). As a consequence, it is seen that  $\tau = \tau^1$  is continuous on  $[L_p(G)]^m$ . Further, since  $\delta_r \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , there is an  $R \geq 1$  such that  $\delta_R < 1$ . The corresponding operator  $\tau^R$  is a contraction on  $[L_p(G)]^m$ .

As a further consequence of (5.5), we have

$$\|\tau^{i+1} x - \tau^i x\| \leq \delta_i \|\tau x - x\|, \quad x \in [L_p(G)]^m \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence, for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau^r x\| &\leq \|x\| + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \|\tau^{i+1} x - \tau^i x\| \\ &\leq \|x\| + \|\tau x - x\| \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \delta_i. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x = 0$ , this inequality yields

$$(5.6) \quad \|\tau^r(0)\| \leq (\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i) \|\tau(0)\| = \delta \|\tau(0)\|.$$

Hence, by (5.5)

$$(5.7) \quad \|\tau^r x\| \leq \delta_r \|x\| + \delta \|\tau(0)\|.$$

But, since

$$|\tau(0)|(t) \leq |f(t)| + \sum |\lambda_\alpha T^\alpha A_\alpha(t'_\beta, s_\beta)|$$

it follows by the inequality (2.1) that

$$\|\tau(0)\| \leq \|f\| + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \|\lambda_\alpha A_\alpha\| h^\alpha (\alpha! p^\alpha)^{-1/p}.$$

Thus, by (5.7),



$$(5.8) \quad \|\tau^r x\| \leq \delta_r \|x\| + \delta(\|f\| + \sum \|\lambda_\alpha A_\alpha\| h^\alpha(\alpha! p^\alpha)^{-1/p}).$$

Let us consider the set

$$X_M = \{x \in [L_p(G)]^m \mid \|x\|_p \leq M\}.$$

This set is mapped into itself by  $\tau^R$ . Indeed it follows from (5.8) that for  $x \in X_M$

$$\|\tau^R x\| \leq M\delta_R + M(1 - \delta_R) = M.$$

Now, since  $\tau^R$  is a contraction on  $[L_p(G)]^m$ , it is so on  $X_M$ . Further, since  $X_M$  is a closed subspace of the Banach space  $[L_p(G)]^m$ , and thus itself is a Banach space, it follows that there is a unique  $x \in X_M$  with  $\tau^R x = x$ . But since  $\tau$  is a continuous operator on  $[L_p(G)]^m$  it follows (see 3.ii in §3) that  $\tau x = x$ . This concludes the proof of existence and uniqueness.

Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be any two elements of  $[L_p(G)]^m$  and let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be the corresponding solutions of (2.4). Let  $f = |f_1 - f_2|$  and  $x = |x_1 - x_2|$ . It is seen that

$$x(t) \leq f(t) + (\sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha) x(t).$$

Applying the inequality again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq f(t) + \sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha (f(t) + (\sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha) x(t)) \\ &= (1 + \sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha) f(t) + (\sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha)^2 x(t). \end{aligned}$$

In general for  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\leq [1 + (\sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha) + \cdots + (\sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha)^{r-1}] f(t) \\ &\quad + (\sum |\lambda_\alpha| T^\alpha M_\alpha)^r x(t). \end{aligned}$$

But then

$$\|x\|_p \leq \|f\|_p (1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \cdots + \delta_{r-1}) + \delta_r \|x\|_p.$$

If  $R$  is such that  $\delta_R < 1$ , then

$$\|x\|_p (1 - \delta_R) \leq \|f\|_p (1 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_{r-1}) \leq \delta \|f\|_p$$

thus,

$$\|x\|_p \leq \delta (1 - \delta_R)^{-1} \|f\|_p$$

i.e.,

$$\|x_1 - x_2\|_p \leq \delta (1 - \delta_R)^{-1} \|f_1 - f_2\|_p.$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.



**6. General Remarks.** A. The arguments of the previous sections, when applied to a space of analytic functions yield a unique analytic solution of (2.4) provided the function  $F_\alpha$  are assumed linear in  $x$  with analytic coefficients. Precise formulations follow:

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $G = \{t \in E^n \mid |t_i| \leq h_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n\}$  and let  $f(t)$ ,  $A_\alpha(t)$ ,  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$  be functions analytic on an open rectangle  $R_1 = \{t \in E^n \mid |t_i| < H_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n\}$  containing  $G$ . Then there exists a unique function  $x(t)$  analytic on  $G$  and satisfying*

$$(6.1) \quad x(t) = f(t) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha(A_\alpha x)(t'_\beta, s_\beta).$$

*Proof.* Let  $R = \{t \in E^n \mid |t_i| \leq H_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n\}$  be such that  $G \subset R \subset R_1$ . Let  $D = \{\zeta \in C^n \mid |\zeta_i| \leq H_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n\} \subset C^n$ , where  $C$  denotes as usual, the set of complex numbers. Let  $O(D)$  denote the set of all functions holomorphic in  $D$ . Then  $O(D)$  is a Banach space with the norm given by

$$\|x\| = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} |a_\alpha| H^\alpha \text{ for } x = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_\alpha \zeta^\alpha \quad (\text{see 3. vi})$$

Let  $\tilde{f}(\zeta)$  and  $\tilde{A}_\alpha(\zeta)$  be natural holomorphic extensions in  $D$ , of  $f(t)$  and  $A_\alpha(t)$  respectively. Let  $\tau$  be operator defined on  $O(D)$  by

$$\tau x(\zeta) = \tilde{f}(\zeta) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} \lambda_\alpha \tilde{T}_\alpha(\tilde{A}_\alpha x)(\zeta'_\beta, \xi_\beta)$$

where  $\tilde{T}_\alpha = \tilde{T}_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \tilde{T}_n^{\alpha_n}$  is analogous to  $T_\alpha$ ; for example,

$$\tilde{T}_i x(\zeta) = \int_{-H_i}^{\zeta_i} x(\zeta'_i, \xi_i) d\xi_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \dots n.$$

It is to be noted that for  $x \in O(D)$ , the integral defining  $\tilde{T}_i$ , does not depend on the path.

It is clear that  $\tau$  maps  $O(D)$  into itself. Further, for any positive integer  $r$  and any  $x_1, x_2 \in O(D)$ , we have

$$\|\tau^r x_1 - \tau^r x_2\| \leq \sum_{r \leq |\alpha| \leq r+N} \mu_\alpha H^\alpha (\alpha!)^{-1} \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

where  $\mu_\alpha$  is the coefficient of  $\theta^\alpha$  in the binomial expansion of  $(\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N} |\lambda_\alpha| A_\alpha \theta^\alpha)^r$ —here,  $A_\alpha = \text{Sup} \{|A_\alpha(\zeta)| : \zeta \in D\}$ . [To obtain the above inequality, we observe that if

$$x_1 - x_2 = \sum_{|\nu|=0} a_\nu \zeta^\nu$$

then



$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{T}_\alpha(x_1 - x_2)| &\leq \left| \sum_\nu a_\nu \zeta^{\alpha+\nu} \nu! ((\alpha + \nu)!)^{-1} \right. \\
&\leq \sum_\nu |a_\nu| H^{\alpha+\nu} \nu! ((\alpha + \nu)!)^{-1} \\
&\leq \sum_\nu |a_\nu| H^\nu H^\alpha (\alpha!)^{-1} \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\|\tau^r x_1 - \tau^r x_2\| \leq \delta_r \|x_1 - x_2\|$  where  $\delta_r = \sum_{r \leq |\alpha| \leq rN} \mu_\alpha H^\alpha (\alpha!)^{-1}$ . Since this is true for any  $x_1, x_2 \in O(D)$  and  $\sum_{r=1}^\infty \delta_r < \infty$ —(it is majorised by an exponential function)—and since  $O(D)$  is a Banach space, it follows by statement (3. i) that  $\tau$  has a unique fixed point in  $O(D)$ . If  $\tilde{x}(\zeta)$  denotes this fixed point, and if  $x(t)$  denotes the restriction of  $\tilde{x}(\zeta)$  for  $\zeta$  real, it is clear that  $x(t)$  is the unique analytic solution of (6.1).

B. The canonical form suggested in this paper is very similar to the form studied by Kasatkina [5]. It is to be noted, however, that the notation proposed here simplifies the exposition. Further, it takes care of repeated integrals also, in a natural way. Of course, a repeated integral can be transformed into a single integral and the author found that it made no difference in the estimates obtained here. The arguments remain the same too.

C. A function  $v \in L_p(G)$  will be said to be the generalized partial derivatives of order  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$  of a function  $u \in L_p(G)$ , or  $v = D^\alpha u$ , in the usual sense (C. B. Morrey [7], S. L. Sobolev [9]). We mention here that generalized partial derivatives of order one, have a simple characterization. A function  $x_{t_i} \in L_p(G)$  is the generalized partial derivative of  $x \in L_p(G)$  with respect to  $t_i$  if and only if for almost all closed rectangles  $R \subset G$ ,  $R = \{t|c_i \leq t_i \leq d_i, i = 1, 2 \cdots n\}$ , we have

$$\int_R x_{t_i} dt = \int_{c'_i}^{d'_i} [x(d_i, s) - x(c_i, s)] ds$$

where  $c'_i$  and  $d'_i$  are defined as usual, by  $c = (c_i, c'_i)$  and  $d = (d_i, d'_i)$ . Here the expression “for almost all rectangles  $R = [c, d]$ ” means that the set of all  $(c, d)$  has the same measure as  $G \times G$ .

It is not difficult to verify that if  $x \in L_p(G)$  then  $T_i x$  possesses generalized partial derivative with respect to  $t_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2 \cdots n$ . Consequently, if  $x$  is an  $L_p$ -solution of an equation of the form

$$x(t) = f(t) + T_i \Sigma \lambda_\alpha T^\alpha F_\alpha(t, s, x(t, s))$$

for a given  $i, i = 1, 2 \cdots n$ , and if  $f$  possesses generalized partial derivative with respect to  $t_i$ , then  $x$  also possesses generalized partial derivative with respect to  $t_i$ . An example of such a situation is the equivalent of Darboux Problem,



$$z(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y F(\alpha, \beta, z(\alpha, \beta)) d\alpha d\beta.$$

Any  $L_p$ -solution of this equation possesses generalized partials with respect to both  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Appendix.** We shall discuss now the application of our existence theorems to the special case of the classical Darboux problem in a rectangle  $G = [a, a + h] \times [b, b + k] \subset E^2$ :

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{aligned} z_{xy}^i &= F_i(x, y, z, z_x, z_y), (x, y) \in G, \\ z^i(x, b) &= \varphi^i(x); z^i(a, y) = \psi^i(y); \varphi^i(a) = \psi^i(b), \\ z &= (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^n); i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

The precise results which will be stated below as corollaries of our Theorem 3 of §5 will be applied in the optimal control problem mentioned in the introduction. We shall need the following hypothesis on (A.1) to be able to apply Theorem 3, §5 and obtain solutions of (A.1) belonging to a Sobolev class (see [2]).

(H<sub>1</sub>): The functions  $\varphi(x) = (\varphi^1, \varphi^2, \dots, \varphi^n)$ , and  $\psi(y) = (\psi^1, \psi^2, \dots, \psi^n)$  are defined and absolutely continuous on  $[a, a + h]$  and  $[b, b + k]$  respectively. The derivatives  $\varphi_x$  and  $\psi_y$  which exist almost everywhere, belong to  $L_p([a, a + h])$  and  $L_p([b, b + k])$  respectively; here  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Further  $\varphi(a) = \psi(b)$ .

(H<sub>2</sub>):  $F = F(x, y, z, r, t) = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$  is defined for all  $(x, y) \in G$  and  $(z, r, t) \in E^{3n}$ . For each  $i$ ,  $F_i$  is measurable in  $(x, y)$  for fixed  $(z, r, t) \in E^{3n}$ .

(H<sub>3</sub>): There exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that for  $(x, y) \in G$  and  $(z_1, r_1, t_1), (z_2, r_2, t_2) \in E^{3n}$ , we have

$$|F(x, y, z_1, r_1, t_1) - F(x, y, z_2, r_2, t_2)| \leq K(|z_1 - z_2| + |r_1 - r_2| + |t_1 - t_2|).$$

(H<sub>4</sub>): Let  $F(x, y, 0, 0, 0) \in [L_p(G)]^n$ .

**REMARK.** One may assume, instead of (H<sub>3</sub>) that, there exist constants  $K_{1j}, K_{2j}, K_{3j} > 0$  with  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that,

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_i(x, y, z_1, r_1, t_1) - F_i(x, y, z_2, r_2, t_2)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n [K_{1j}|z_1^j - z_2^j| + K_{2j}|r_1^j - r_2^j| + K_{3j}|t_1^j - t_2^j|]. \end{aligned}$$

But with no loss of generality we may set  $K_{1j} = K_{2j} = K_{3j} = K^1 > 0$  and  $K = nK^1$  so that the above inequality reduces to (H<sub>3</sub>).



Let  $W_p^1(G)$  denote the Sobolev space of all  $z \in L_p(G)$  with first order generalized partial derivatives (see §6)  $z_x, z_y$  belonging to  $L_p(G)$ . Let  $\|z\| = \|z\|_p + \|z_x\|_p + \|z_y\|_p$  denote the norm in  $[W_p^1(G)]^n$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *Let the hypotheses  $H_1 - H_4$  hold. Then, there exists a unique  $z \in [W_p^1(G)]^n$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  (same as in  $(H_1)$ ), such that (i) the generalized partial derivative  $z_{xy}^i(x, y)$  exists and equals  $F_i(x, y, z, z_x, z_y)$  a.e. in  $G$  and (ii)  $z(x, b) = \varphi(x)$ ;  $z(a, y) = \psi(y)$ .*

Further,

$$(A. 2) \quad \|z\| \leq (1 - \delta_r)^{-1} \delta [k^{1/p} (\|\varphi_x\|_p + 2^{-1} \|\varphi\|_p) + h^{1/p} (\|\psi_y\|_p + 2^{-1} \|\psi\|_p) + (h + k) \|s(x, y)\|_p]$$

where  $s(x, y) = F(x, y, 0, 0, 0)$ ;  $\delta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n$ ;  $\delta_n = [n/2]^{-1} \rho^n$  with  $\rho = (2K + 1) \times (p^{-1/p})(h^p + k^p)^{1/p}$  if  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\rho = (k + 2^{-1})(h + k)$  if  $p = 1$  or  $p = \infty$ . The number  $k$  here is same as in  $(H_3)$  and the number  $R$  in (A. 2) is that positive integer for which  $\delta_R < 1$ .

If  $(\varphi_1, \psi_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2, \psi_2)$  are any two pairs of functions satisfying  $(H_1)$  and if  $z_1, z_2$  are the corresponding solutions of (A. 1) then

$$(A. 3) \quad \|z\| \leq (1 - \delta_R)^{-1} \delta [k^{1/p} (\|\varphi_x\|_p + 2^{-1} \|\varphi\|_p) + h^{1/p} (\|\psi_y\|_p + 2^{-1} \|\psi\|_p)]$$

where

$$z = z_1 - z_2; \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2; \psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2;$$

$\delta$ 's are as above.

*Proof.* Let us consider the integral equation

$$(A. 4) \quad z(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y) - \varphi(a) + \int_a^x \int_b^y F(\alpha, \beta, z(\alpha, \beta), z_x(\alpha, \beta), z_y(\alpha, \beta)) d\alpha d\beta$$

where  $z_x$  and  $z_y$  are understood as generalized partials of  $z$ . Clearly, any solution of (A. 4) (which is necessarily continuous on  $G$  and hence in  $[L_p(G)]^n$ ) has, indeed, generalized partials  $z_x, z_y$  which satisfy the following

$$(A. 5) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1(x, y) &= 2^{-1}(\varphi(x) + \psi(y)) + 2^{-1} \int_a^x w_2(\alpha, y) d\alpha + 2^{-1} \int_b^y w_3(x, \beta) d\beta \\ w_2(x, y) &= \varphi_x(x) + \int_a^x 0. d\alpha + \int_b^y F(x, \beta, w(x, \beta)) d\beta \\ w_3(x, y) &= \psi_y(y) + \int_a^x F(\alpha, y, w(\alpha, y)) d\alpha + \int_b^y 0. d\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$(A. 6) \quad w_1 = z; w_2 = z_x; w_3 = z_y; w = (w_1, w_2, w_3).$$



Further,  $z_{xy}$  exists and equals  $F(x, y, z, z_x, z_y)$  a.e. in  $G$ . Thus, every  $[W_p^1(G)]^n$  solution of (A. 4) (and hence of (A. 1)) corresponds in a unique manner (as in (A. 6)) to a  $[L_p(G)]^{3n}$  solution  $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3)$  of (A. 5). Now, the system of equations (A. 5) is exactly in the canonical form (2.4). Since the hypotheses  $H_1 - H_4$  guarantee the assumptions in Theorem 3, §5, existence of a unique solution  $w \in [L_p(G)]^{3n}$  and hence of the corresponding  $z \in [W_p^1(G)]^n$  is concluded.

The norm bound (A. 2) for the solution  $z$  follows from the inequality (5.3) with the observation that in the present case,  $\|w\|_p = \|z\|$ ;  $N = 1$ ;  $A_\alpha(x, y) = F(x, y, 0, 0, 0)$ ;  $\lambda_\alpha = 1$ ;  $f = (2^{-1}(\varphi + \psi), \varphi_x, \psi_y)$ ;  $\alpha = (1, 0)$  or  $(0, 1)$ . It is to be noted that  $\|\cdot\|$  in (5.3) denotes the norm in  $L_p(G)$  while  $\|\varphi\|$  in (A. 2) denotes the norm of  $\varphi(x)$  in  $L_p([a, a+h])$ , and so on.

The same observation leads us from the conclusion of Theorem 3, §5 to the inequality (A. 3). This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.

*Special cases:* (i) If  $F$  of  $(H_2)$  does not depend on  $r$  and  $t$ , then (A. 4) is itself in the canonical form (2.4). In this case, the norm bound for the solution  $z$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\| \leq & \delta(1 - \delta_R)^{-1} [2^{-1}(k^{1/p} \|\varphi\| + h^{1/p} \|\psi\|) + p^{-1/p}(h k \|s\|_p \\ & + \|\varphi_x\| h k^{1/p} + \|\psi_y\| k h^{1/p})/2], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_r &= (r!)^{-2} (K h k)^r \text{ if } p = 1 \text{ or } p = \infty \text{ and} \\ \delta_r &= (r! p^r)^{-2/p} (k h k)^r \text{ if } 1 < p < \infty \text{ and} \\ \delta &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n, \text{ as before.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $U \subset E^m$  and let  $\Gamma$  be any set of measurable functions  $v: G \rightarrow U$ . Let  $f = f(x, y, z, r, t, u)$  be defined on  $G \times E^{3n} \times U$  and let  $f$  be measurable in  $(x, y)$ , continuous in  $u$ , and Lipschitzian (as in  $(H_3)$ ) in  $(z, r, t)$ . Let for each  $v \in \Gamma$ , the function  $f(x, y, 0, 0, 0, v(x, y))$  belong to  $[L_p(G)]^n$ . For a given  $v \in \Gamma$ , define

$$(A. 7) \quad F(x, y, z, r, t) = f(x, y, z, r, t, v(x, y)).$$

Then  $F$  satisfies  $H_2, H_3, H_4$ . By applying Theorem 5, to this  $F$  we obtain a unique solution  $z$  of (A. 1) corresponding to the data  $\varphi, \psi$  and  $v$  (which defines  $F$ ). The inequality (A. 2) gives the norm-bound on  $z$ , as before. If  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are any two elements of  $\Gamma$ ,  $(\varphi_1, \psi_1)$  and  $(\varphi_2, \psi_2)$  satisfy  $(H_1)$  and if  $z_i$  is the solution of (A. 1) corresponding to the data  $(\varphi_i, \psi_i, v_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  then  $z = z_1 - z_2$  satisfies the inequality (A. 2) with  $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ ;  $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$  and



$$(A. 8) \quad s(x, y) = |f(x, y, z_1, z_{1x}, z_{1y}, v_1) - f(x, y, z_1, z_{1x}, z_{1y}, v_2)|.$$

*Pointwise estimates:* Since any solution  $z$  of (A.1) satisfies the integral equation (A.4), it is absolutely continuous in the sense of Tonelli. Hence, there is a set  $E \subset G$ , with  $\text{meas } E = 0$  such that for  $(x_0, y_0) \in G - E$ , we have in view of  $(H_3)$ ,

$$(A. 9) \quad |z_x(x_0, y_0)| \leq |\varphi_x(x_0) + \int_b^{y_0} [s + k(|z| + |z_x| + |z_y|)](x_0, \beta) d\beta$$

where  $s(x, y)$  denotes  $|F(x, y, 0, 0, 0)|$ . Similar inequality holds for  $z_y(x_0, y_0)$ . Further  $z(x, y) = \psi(y) + \int_a^x z_x(\alpha, y) d\alpha = \varphi(x) + \int_b^y z_y(x, \beta) d\beta$ . Using these facts along with the repeated application of Gronwall's lemma one finds that

$$(A. 10) \quad |z(x, y)| \leq 2^{-1}[\|\varphi\|_c + \|\psi\|_c + e^{K(h+k)}] \int_G s(\alpha, \beta) d\alpha d\beta \\ + A_5(Ah + Ak + e^{K(h+k)}) \\ |z_x(x, y)| \leq \theta_1(x) + AA_5 \text{ and } |z_y(x, y)| \leq \theta_2(y) + AA_5$$

where

$$\theta_1(x) = e^{Kk}[\|\varphi_x(x)\| + Kk\|\varphi(x)\| + \int_b^{b+k} s(x, \beta) d\beta] \\ \theta_2(y) = e^{Kh}[\|\psi_y(y)\| + Kk\|\psi(y)\| + \int_a^{a+h} s(\alpha, y) d\alpha] \\ A_5 = \|\varphi_x\|_p h^{1/q} + \|\psi_y\|_p k^{1/q} + (\|\varphi\|_c + \|\psi\|_c)Khk + \int_G s(\alpha, \beta) d\alpha d\beta$$

and  $A$  is a constant depending only on  $K, h$  and  $k$ . In the above  $\|\cdot\|_c$  denotes the supremum norm.

*Dependence on data:* Let  $z_i$  denote, as before, the solution of (A.1), corresponding to the data  $(\varphi_i, \psi_i, v_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . ( $F$  being given by (A.7).) It is seen then that  $z_x = z_{1x} - z_{2x}$  and  $z_y = z_{1y} - z_{2y}$  satisfy the inequalities (A.9) and hence pointwise estimates for  $z = z_1 - z_2$  and its derivatives are also given by (A.10) where  $z, \varphi, \psi$  and  $s$  are understood as follows:

$$z = z_1 - z_2; \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2; \psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2; \\ s(x, y) = |f(x, y, z_{1x}, z_{1y}, v_1) - f(x, y, z_{1x}, z_{1y}, v_2)|.$$

## REFERENCES

1. F. F. Bonsall, *Lectures on some fixed point theorems of functional analysis*, notes by K. B. Vedak, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India 1962.



2. L. Cesari, *Sobolev spaces and multidimensional Lagrange problems of optimization*, Annali Scuola Normale Sup Pisa, **22** (1968), 193-227.
3. T. H. Gronwall, *An integral equation of the Volterra type*, Annals of Math. ser. 2, **16** No. 3 (1915), 119-122.
4. R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables*, Prentice Hall Inc., New Jersey, 1965.
5. N. V. Kasatkina, *Uniqueness theorems for a system of multidimensional Volterra integral equations*, Differentialnye Uravneniya, **3**, No. 2 (1967), 273-277.
- 6., M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Engl. tr. ed. by J. Burlak, Pergamon Press, New York 1964.
7. C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1966.
8. M. B. Suryanarayana, *Optimization problems with hyperbolic partial differential equations*, Ph. D. thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1969.
9. S. L. Sobolev, *Applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics*, Izd 1950, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Vol. 7, Providence, R. I. 1963.
10. W. Walter, *Differential—und Integral—Ungleichungen und ihre anwendung bei Abschätzungs—und Eindeutigkeitsproblemen*, Berlin, Springer 1964.
11. Arthur Wouk, *Direct iteration, existence and uniqueness. Nonlinear integral equations*, (Proc. Advanced Seminar conducted by Math. Research Center, U. S. Army. University of Wisconsin, Wisconsin 1963), 3-33, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin 1964.
12. R. H. J. Germany, *Sur les equations integrales*, Memoires Soc. Roy. So. Liege, Ser. 3, **13**, fase 4, (1926), 1-26; *Sur les fonctions de Riemann associees aux systemes d'equations aux derivees partielles et d'equations integro differentielles dir second ordre a' deux variables independantes*.

Received January 7, 1971. This research was partially supported by research project U. S. AFOSR-69-1662 at the University of Michigan. The author is greatly indebted to Professor Cesari for his valuable guidance and constant encouragement during the writing of this paper.

EASTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.



George E. Andrews, <i>Two theorems of Gauss and allied identities proved arithmetically</i> .....	563
Stefan Bergman, <i>On pseudo-conformal mappings of circular domains</i> .....	579
Beverly L. Brechner, <i>On the non-monotony of dimension</i> .....	587
Richard Anthony Brualdi and John H. Mason, <i>Transversal matroids and Hall's theorem</i> .....	601
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Differentiable maps with 0-dimensional critical set. I</i> .....	615
John H. E. Cohn, <i>Squares in some recurrent sequences</i> .....	631
Robert S. Cunningham, Edgar Andrews Rutter and Darrell R. Turnidge, <i>Rings of quotients of endomorphism rings of projective modules</i> .....	647
Eldon Dyer and S. Eilenberg, <i>An adjunction theorem for locally equiconnected spaces</i> .....	669
Michael W. Evans, <i>On commutative P. P. rings</i> .....	687
Ronald Lewis Graham, Hans Sylvain Witsenhausen and Hans Zassenhaus, <i>On tightest packings in the Minkowski plane</i> .....	699
Stanley P. Gudder, <i>Partial algebraic structures associated with orthomodular posets</i> .....	717
Karl Edwin Gustafson and Gunter Lumer, <i>Multiplicative perturbation of semigroup generators</i> .....	731
Kurt Kreith and Curtis Clyde Travis, Jr., <i>Oscillation criteria for selfadjoint elliptic equations</i> .....	743
Lawrence Louis Larmore, <i>Twisted cohomology theories and the single obstruction to lifting</i> .....	755
Jorge Martinez, <i>Tensor products of partially ordered groups</i> .....	771
Robert Alan Morris, <i>The inflation-restriction theorem for Amitsur cohomology</i> .....	791
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, <i>The class of <math>(p, q)</math>-biharmonic functions</i> .....	799
Manda Butchi Suryanarayana, <i>On multidimensional integral equations of Volterra type</i> .....	809
Kok Keong Tan, <i>Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings</i> .....	829