# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE DENSITY OF CERTAIN COHESIVE BASIC SEQUENCES

DONALD GOLDSMITH

Vol. 42, No. 2

February 1972

### ON THE DENSITY OF CERTAIN COHESIVE BASIC SEQUENCES

#### DONALD L. GOLDSMITH

It has been shown in previous investigations of the combinatorial properties of basic sequences that any cohesive basic sequence  $\mathscr{B}$  which is contained in  $\mathscr{M}$  (the set of all pairs of relatively prime positive integers) must be large in some sense. To be precise, it has been proved that if  $\mathscr{B}$ is a cohesive basic sequence and  $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{M}$ , then  $C_{\mathscr{A}}(p)$  is infinite for every prime p, where  $C_{\mathscr{A}}(p)$  is the set of prime companions of p in primitive pairs in  $\mathscr{B}$ . While this implies that  $\mathscr{B}$  must contain a great many primitive pairs, no specific statement has been made about the density of  $\mathscr{B}$ . It is reasonable to ask, therefore, whether there are cohesive basic sequences  $\mathscr{B}$ , contained in  $\mathscr{M}$ , with density  $\delta(\mathscr{B}) = 0$ .

It is shown here that such basic sequences do exist, and a method is given for the construction of a large class of these sequences.

A proof that  $C_{\mathscr{A}}(p)$  is infinite when  $\mathscr{B}$  is cohesive and  $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{M}$  may be found in [2].

A basic sequence  $\mathscr{B}$  is a set of pairs (a, b) of positive integers satisfying

(i)  $(1, k) \in \mathscr{B} \ (k = 1, 2, \cdots),$ 

(ii)  $(a, b) \in \mathscr{B}$  if and only if  $(b, a) \in \mathscr{B}$ ,

(iii)  $(a, bc) \in \mathscr{B}$  if and only if  $(a, b) \in \mathscr{B}$  and  $(a, c) \in \mathscr{B}$ .

A pair (a, b) of positive integers is called a *primitive pair* if both a and b are primes. If  $a \neq b$ , the pair is a *type I* primitive pair; if a = b, the pair is a *type II* primitive pair. If  $\Phi$  is a set of pairs (primitive or not) of positive integers, the basic sequence generated by  $\Phi$  is defined to be

$$\Gamma[\varPhi] = \bigcap \mathscr{D},$$

where the intersection is taken over all basic sequences  $\mathscr{D}$  which contain  $\Phi$ .

A basic sequence  $\mathscr{B}$  is cohesive if for each positive integer k there is an integer a > 1 such that  $(k, a) \in \mathscr{B}$ .

Finally, we recall that the *density* of a basic sequence  $\mathscr{B}$  is defined by

(1.1) 
$$\delta(\mathscr{B}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{*B_k}{d(k)}$$

if the limit exists, where d(k) is the number of positive divisors of k, and  $*B_k$  is the number of members (m, n) of  $\mathscr{B}$  for which mn = k.

2. The main theorem. We will use the following notation.

$$P = \{p_1, p_2, \cdots\}$$

is the sequence of all primes, written in order of increasing magnitude;

$$Q = \{q_1, q_2, \cdots\}$$

is any sequence of primes, also written in order of increasing size; and

$$Q_i = \{q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+2}, \cdots\}$$
  $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$  .

We define  $\mathscr{B}_{Q}$  to be the basic sequence generated by the primitive pairs

$$\{(p_1, q) \mid q \in Q_1\} \cup \{(p_2, q) \mid q \in Q_2\} \cup \cdots$$

REMARK 1.  $\mathscr{B}_Q$  is cohesive. For suppose k > 1, so that  $k = p_{i_1}^{t_1} p_{i_2}^{t_2} \cdots p_{i_M}^{t_M}$  where  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_M$ . Then  $(q_{i_M}, p_{i_j}) \in \mathscr{B}_Q$  for  $j = 1, 2, \cdots, M$ , so  $(q_{i_M}, k) \in \mathscr{B}_Q$ .

REMARK 2.  $\mathscr{B}_{Q} \subset \mathscr{M}$  if  $q_{1} \geq 3$ . For if  $q_{1} \geq 3 \ (=p_{2})$  then  $q_{i} > p_{i}$  for every *i*, and  $\mathscr{B}_{Q}$  will contain no type II primitive pairs.

Theorem. If  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/q_i$  converges, then  $\delta(\mathscr{B}_Q) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let L be a (large) fixed, but arbitrary positive integer which will be determined later. Decompose the set  $Z^+$  of positive integers as follows:

(a)  $X' = \{k \mid {}^*B_k = 2\},\$ 

- (b)  $X'' = \{k \mid k \notin X' \text{ and } k \text{ has less than } 4L \text{ different prime divisors}\},\$
- $(\mathbf{c}) \quad Y = \{k \mid k \notin (X \cup X'')\}.$

In order to prove that  $\delta(\mathscr{B}_Q) = 0$ , let us consider

(2.1) 
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1 \atop k \in S}^{N} \frac{{}^{\sharp}B_k}{d(k)} ,$$

where S = X', X'' and Y.

By Lemma 3.2 in [1], we have

(2.2) 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \ k \in X'}}^{N} \frac{{}^{*}B_{k}}{d(k)} \leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{2}{d(k)} = 0 ,$$

while by Theorem 11.8 in [3] we have

(2.3) 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1, \dots \atop k \in \mathcal{X}''}^{N} \frac{{}^{*}B_{k}}{d(k)} \leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1, \dots \atop k \in \mathcal{X}''}^{N} 1 = 0.$$

It remains to estimate the sum in (2.1) when S = Y. Since

(2.4) 
$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\in Y}}^{N}\frac{*B_{k}}{d(k)} \leq \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\in Y}}^{N}1,$$

we will find an upper bound for the number of elements of Y which do not exceed N. Our estimate will depend on the following

LEMMA. Every integer in Y is divisible by at least one of the primes  $q_i$  with  $i \geq L$ .

*Proof of the Lemma.* Let k be an element of Y. Then  $*B_k > 2$ , so there are integers u, v such that

$$k = uv, u > 1, v > 1, (u, v) \in \mathscr{B}_Q$$
 .

Suppose that u and v are expressed canonically as products of prime powers:

$$u=p_{i_1}^{a_1}p_{i_2}^{a_2}\cdots p_{i_r}^{a_r}$$
 ,  $v=p_{j_1}^{b_1}p_{j_2}^{b_2}\cdots p_{j_s}^{b_s}$  ,

where  $r \ge 1$ ,  $s \ge 1$ ,  $p_{i_1} < p_{i_2} < \cdots < p_{i_r}$ ,  $p_{j_1} < p_{j_2} < \cdots < p_{j_s}$ . Since k is divisible by at least 4L distinct primes, we have  $r + s \ge 4L$ . At least one of the numbers r, s must be  $\ge 2L$ , say

 $r \geq 2L$  .

If  $p_{j_1} \in Q$ , then every prime divisor of u is in Q since every primitive pair in  $\mathscr{R}_Q$  contains at least one member from Q. Hence  $p_{i_r} = q_i$  (for some  $q_i$  in Q) and  $q_i \ge q_r \ge q_{2L}$ .

Suppose, on the other hand, that  $p_{j_1}$  is in Q. Now separate the primes  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_r}$  into two classes, depending on whether or not they are in Q. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_2$  be those not in Q, written in order of ascending size, and let  $y_1, \dots, y_{\nu}$  be those in Q, also given in ascending order. Thus

$$u=x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{{\scriptscriptstyle c}_1}\cdots x_{\scriptscriptstyle \lambda}^{{\scriptscriptstyle c}_\lambda}\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{{\scriptscriptstyle d}_1}\cdots y_{\scriptscriptstyle 
u}^{{\scriptscriptstyle d}_
u}$$
 ,

with

$$(2.5) \qquad \qquad \lambda+\nu=r\geq 2L.$$

It follows from (2.5) that either  $\lambda \ge L$  or  $\nu \ge L$ . If  $\lambda \ge L$ , then  $x_{\lambda} = p_m$  for some  $m \ge L$ . Since  $p_m \notin Q$ , only the primes in  $Q_m$  appear as companions of  $p_m$  in primitive pairs of  $\mathscr{B}_Q$ . In particular, since  $(p_m, p_{j_i}) \in \mathscr{B}_Q$ , we have

 $p_{j_1} \in Q_m \subset Q_L$  .

Thus  $p_{j_1} \in Q$ ,  $p_{j_1} \ge q_L$ , and  $p_{j_1} \mid k$ .

If  $\boldsymbol{\nu} \geq L$ , then  $y_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in Q, \, y_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \geq q_{\scriptscriptstyle L}$ , and  $y_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid k$ .

That proves the Lemma.

We return to the estimation of the second sum in (2.4). As a consequence of the Lemma we have

$$\sum_{k=1\atop{k\in Y}}^{N} 1 \leq \sum_{\substack{q_i \mid k ext{ for some } i \geq L \\ }}^{N} 1 \leq \sum_{q_i \mid k ext{ for some } i \geq L}^{N} 1 \\ \leq \sum_{i=L}^{\infty} \left[ rac{N}{q_i} 
ight] \\ \leq N \sum_{i=L}^{\infty} rac{1}{q_i} ,$$

and this together with (2.4) gives

(2.6) 
$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1\atop k\in Y}^{N}\frac{^{*}B_{k}}{d(k)} \leq \sum_{i=L}^{\infty}\frac{1}{q_{i}}.$$

Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given and choose L large enough so that

$$\sum_{i=L}^{\infty}rac{1}{q_i} < rac{arepsilon}{3}$$

(L depends only on  $\varepsilon$  and Q). Then from (2.6) we have

(2.7) 
$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\in Y}}^{N}\frac{*B_k}{d(k)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

and it follows from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7) that there is an integer  $N_{\rm o}(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$rac{1}{N}\sum\limits_{k=1}^{ ext{V}}rac{*B_k}{d(k)}=rac{1}{N}igg(\sum\limits_{k=1\atop k\in X'}^{ ext{N}}+\sum\limits_{k=1\atop k\in X''}^{ ext{N}}+\sum\limits_{k=1\atop k\in X'}^{ ext{V}}igg)rac{*B_k}{d(k)}$$

when  $N \ge N_0(\varepsilon)$ .

That proves  $\delta(\mathscr{B}_q) = 0$ , and completes the proof of the Theorem. By Remarks 1 and 2 and the Theorem, each sequence Q of distinct odd primes such that  $\Sigma 1/q_i$  converges leads to a cohesive basic sequence  $\mathscr{B}_q$  in  $\mathscr{M}$  such that  $\delta(\mathscr{B}_q) = 0$ .

#### References

1. D. L. Goldsmith, On the multiplicative properties of arithmetic functions, Pacific J. Math., 27 (1968), 283-304.

2. D. L. Goldsmith and A. A. Gioia, Convolutions of arithmetic functions over cohesive basic sequences, Pacific J. Math., 38 (1971), 391-399.

3. I. Niven and H. S. Zuckerman, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Second Edition, Wiley, New York, 1960.

Received April 19, 1971. This research was supported in part by Western Michigan University under a Faculty Research Fellowship.

WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B.H. NEUMANN

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

K. YOSHIDA

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

## Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 42, No. 2 February, 1972

Stephen Richard Bernfeld, <i>The extendability of solutions of perturbed scalar differential equations</i>	277
James Edwin Brink, <i>Inequalities involving</i> $f_p$ and $f^{(n)}_q$ for $f$ with $n$	211
zeros	289
Orrin Frink and Robert S. Smith, <i>On the distributivity of the lattice of filters</i>	
of a groupoid	313
Donald Goldsmith, On the density of certain cohesive basic sequences	323
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>Planar images of decomposable continua</i>	329
W. N. Hudson, <i>A decomposition theorem for biadditive processes</i>	333
W. N. Hudson, <i>Continuity of sample functions of biadditive processes</i>	343
Masako Izumi and Shin-ichi Izumi, <i>Integrability of trigonometric series</i> .	010
II	359
H. M. Ko, Fixed point theorems for point-to-set mappings and the set of	
fixed points	369
Gregers Louis Krabbe, An algebra of generalized functions on an open	
interval: two-sided operational calculus	381
Thomas Latimer Kriete, III, Complete non-selfadjointness of almost	
selfadjoint operators	413
Shiva Narain Lal and Siya Ram, On the absolute Hausdorff summability of a	
Fourier series	439
Ronald Leslie Lipsman, <i>Representation theory of almost connected</i>	
groups	453
James R. McLaughlin, <i>Integrated orthonormal series</i>	469
H. Minc, On permanents of circulants	477
Akihiro Okuyama, On a generalization of $\Sigma$ -spaces	485
Norberto Salinas, Invariant subspaces and operators of class (S)	497
James D. Stafney, The spectrum of certain lower triangular matrices as	
operators on the $l_p$ spaces	515
Arne Stray, Interpolation by analytic functions	527
Li Pi Su, Rings of analytic functions on any subset of the complex plane	535
R. J. Tondra, A property of manifolds compactly equivalent to compact	
manifolds	539