# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE SPECTRUM OF CERTAIN LOWER TRIANGULAR MATRICES AS OPERATORS ON THE  $l_p$  SPACES

JAMES D. STAFNEY

Vol. 42, No. 2 February 1972

## THE SPECTRUM OF CERTAIN LOWER TRIANGULAR MATRICES AS OPERATORS ON THE $l_p$ SPACES

#### JAMES D. STAFNEY

In this paper we compute the spectrum of the lower triangular matrices  $A_a=(c_{m,n})$ , where  $c_{m,n}=(n+1)^a/(m+1)^{a+1}$ ,  $m\geq n\geq 0$ , a is real and the corresponding operator on  $l_p$  is bounded (see 4.1). This result and other lemmas are used to determine the spectrum of lower triangular matrices p(n)/q(m),  $m\geq n\geq 0$  as operators on  $l_p$  where p is a monic polynomial of degree a,q is a monic polynomial of degree a+1 and  $q(m)\neq 0$  for  $m=0,1,\cdots$ . The spectrum is the diagonal together with the set  $C_{a-p}-1_{+1}$  when  $a-p^{-1}+1>0$ , where  $C_b=\{\lambda: |\lambda-(2b)^{-1}|\leq (2b)^{-1}\}$  (see 4.3).

Our initial interest in this problem stems from conversations with Prof. Charles J. A. Halberg, Jr., who had conjectured and partially proved the conclusions of Theorem 4.1 for an operator equivalent to the special case a=1.

1. Preliminaries. In this section we set down the general notation and prove some preliminary lemmas.

General notation 1.1. Let X be a complex normed linear space. The norm is denoted || || or  $|| ||_X$  if it can be confused with another norm. The normed algebra of bounded linear operators on X is denoted O(X). For  $T \in O(X)$ , sp(T) or sp(T,X) denotes the spectrum; that is, all complex numbers  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda - T$  does not have an inverse in O(X). If  $T \in O(X)$  and K is a subspace of X such that  $TK \subset K$ , we let  $T \mid K$  denote the operator in O(K) obtained by restricting T to K.

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  (p will always denote a number in this range)  $l_p$  is the usual normed linear space of complex p-summable sequences  $x = (x_0, x_1, \cdots)$ . We will be concerned with complex matrices  $A = (a_{m,n}), 0 \leq m, n < \infty$ . It is well known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $O(l_p)$  and a class of matrices and that this correspondence is an algebra isomorphism. In this paper we will not disinguish between an operator in  $O(l_p)$  and its corresponding matrix; in particular, we will speak of matrices as elements in  $O(l_p)$ . A lower triangular matrix  $A = (a_{m,n})$  is a matrix such that  $a_{m,n} = 0$  if m < n.  $\mathscr{L}_p$  will denote the lower triangular matrices in  $O(l_p)$ . The set  $\{a_{n,n}: n = 0, 1, \cdots\}$  is denoted d(A). A sequence  $A_k$  of matrices is said to converge to A entrywise if the m, nth entry of  $A_k$  converges

to the m, nth entry of A as  $k \to \infty$  for each m, n. For  $m = 0, 1, \cdots$   $J_m$  will denote the sequences x in  $l_p$  such that x(k) = 0 if  $k \ge m$  and  $K_m$  will denote the sequences x in  $l_p$  such that x(k) = 0 if k < m. For  $T \in \mathscr{L}_p$ , the final spectrum of T, denoted  $\operatorname{sp}_f(T)$ , is defined as the set  $\bigcap \{\operatorname{sp}(T|K_m): m = 0, 1, \cdots\}$ . Finally, for each complex number z,  $A_z$  will denote the lower triangular matrix with m, nth entry

$$(n+1)^z/(m+1)^{z+1}$$

for  $m \ge n$  (real for real z).

The following three lemmas are easily established.

LEMMA 1.2. A matrix  $A=(a_{m,n})$  determines a bounded linear operator on  $l_1$  if and only if  $\sup_n \sum_m |a_{m,n}| < \infty$ . The matrix A determines a bounded linear operator on  $l_{\infty}$  if and only if  $\sup_m \sum_n |a_{m,n}| < \infty$ . When one of these suprema is finite it is equal to the corresponding operator norm.

LEMMA 1.3. If  $A = (a_{m,n})$  and  $B = (b_{m,n})$  are matrices,  $b_{m,n} \ge 0$ ,  $B \in O(l_p)$  and  $|a_{m,n}| \le b_{m,n}$  for  $0 \le m$ , n, then  $A \in O(l_p)$  and  $||A|| \le ||B||$ .

LEMMA 1.4. Suppose that  $S_n$  is a bounded sequence of matrices in  $O(l_p)$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , such that  $S_n$  converges entrywise to the matrix S as  $n \to \infty$ . Then S is in  $O(l_p)$  and  $||S|| \le \sup_n ||S_n||$ .

LEMMA 1.5. Let  $T \in \mathscr{L}_p$ . Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a complex number  $\neq 0$ , that the sequence  $\sum_{n=0}^{m} (\lambda^{-1}T)^n$  canverges entrywise to the matrix U as  $m \to \infty$  and that U is a bounded operator on  $l_p$ . Then  $\lambda^{-1}U$  is the inverse of  $\lambda - T$  in the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $l_p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U_m = \lambda^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^m (\lambda^{-1}T)^n$ .  $(\lambda - T) U_m = U_m(\lambda - T) = I - (\lambda^{-1}T)^{m+1}$  and  $(\lambda^{-1}T)^{m+1} \to 0$  entrywise as  $m \to \infty$ . Also,  $U_m \to \lambda^{-1}U$  entrywise as  $m \to \infty$ . From these observations and the fact that the matrices involved are all lower triangular matrices, it is clear that  $(\lambda - T)\lambda^{-1}U = \lambda^{-1}U(\lambda - T) = I$ .

A decomposition 1.6. For a lower triangular matrix S and  $m=0,1,\cdots$  we define the corresponding matrices  $E_m,\,N_m$  and  $B_m$  by:  $E_m$  has the same  $j,\,k$ th entry as S if  $0 \le j,\,k < m$  and has all other entries  $=0;\,N_m$  has the same  $j,\,k$ th entry as S if  $k < m,\,j \ge m$  and has all other entries  $=0;\,B_m=S-E_m-N_m$ .

LEMMA 1.7. If  $S \in \mathcal{L}_p$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \cdots$  and  $E_m$ ,  $N_m$ ,  $B_m$  correspond to S as in 1.6, then

$$\mathrm{sp}(S) = \mathrm{sp}(E_m | J_m) \cup \mathrm{sp}(B_m | K_m),$$

 $(J_m, K_m defined in paragraph preceding 1.2).$ 

*Proof.* For convenience, let  $E=E_m$ ,  $N=N_m$ ,  $B=B_m$ . It is obvious that  $\operatorname{sp}(E+B)=\operatorname{sp}(E|J_m)\cup\operatorname{ps}(B|K_m)$ . Thus, we need only show that

$$\operatorname{sp}(S) = \operatorname{sp}(E+B).$$

If  $\lambda - S$  has an inverse, then

$$\lambda - E - B = \lambda - S + N = (I + N(\lambda - S)^{-1})(\lambda - S)$$
;

and, if  $\lambda - E - B$  has an inverse, then

$$\lambda - S = \lambda - E - B - N = (I - N(\lambda - E - B)^{-1})(\lambda - E - B)$$
.

From these two observations it is clear that in order to establish (1) we need only prove that NA is a nilpotent operator for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}_p$ . A simple computation shows that the matrix  $A(NA)^m = (a_{j,k})$  has the property that  $a_{j,k} = 0$ , if j < k + m. Thus,  $(NA)^{m+1} = 0$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 1.8. Suppose that  $S \in \mathscr{L}_p$  and let  $S_m$  denote the operator in  $O(K_m)$  obtained by restricting S to  $K_m$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \cdots$ . If  $\lambda - S_m$  has an inverse in  $O(K_m)$ , then  $\lambda - S_k$  has an inverse in  $O(K_k)$  for  $k \ge m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_m$  be the inverse of  $\lambda - S_m$  and  $k \geq m$ . If  $x \in J_k$ , then  $T_m x = u + v$  where  $u, v \in J_m, u(j) = 0$  for  $j \geq k$  and v(j) = 0 for j < k. It is clear from Lemma 1.7 (since  $l_p$  looks like  $K_m$ ) that  $\lambda \neq a_{k,k}$  for  $k \geq m$ , where  $S = (a_{m,n})$ . If  $u \neq 0$  and r is the smallest integer such that  $u(r) \neq 0$ , then  $(\lambda - S_m)u(r) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $(\lambda - S_m)u \notin J_k$ ; and, since  $(\lambda - S_m)v \in J_k$ , it follows that  $x = (\lambda - S_m)T_m x \notin J_k$ . This contradiction shows that u = 0. Thus,  $T_m$  leaves  $J_k$  invariant; and, consequently,  $\lambda - S_k$  has an inverse in  $O(J_k)$ .

Recall that for a matrix  $A = (a_{m,n})$ , d(A) denotes the set  $\{a_{n,n}: n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ .

Theorem 1.9. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}_p$ , then

$$\operatorname{sp}(T) = d(T) \cup \operatorname{sp}_f(T).$$

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Lemma 1.7 and Lemma 1.8 that the left-hand set in (i) contains the right-hand set. If  $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(T)$ , then again by Lemma 1.7 it follows that either  $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(B_m|K_m)$  for  $m=1,\,2,\,\cdots$  or  $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}(E_m|J_m)$  for some  $m=1,\,2\cdots$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 1.10. Suppose that  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}_p$  and let  $S_m, T_m$  denote the elements of  $O(K_m)$  obtained by restricting S, T to  $K_m$ , respectively. If  $||T_m - S_m|| \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ , then

$$\operatorname{sp}_f(S) = \operatorname{sp}_f(T)$$
.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda$  is not in sp  $_f(T)$ . From the definition of sp  $_f(T)$  and Lemma 1.8 we conclude that there is an  $m_0$  such that for  $m \ge m_0$ 

$$\lambda - S_m = (\lambda - T_m)(I + (\lambda - T_m)^{-1}(T_m - S_m))$$

in the algebra  $O(J_m)$ . Clearly,  $||(\lambda - T_m)^{-1}||$  is bounded for  $m \ge m_0$  since  $(\lambda - T_m)^{-1}$  is just the restriction of  $(\lambda - T_{m_0})^{-1}$  to  $K_m$ ,  $m \ge m_0$  (see proof of Lemma 1.8); and, by hypothesis,  $||T_m - S_m|| \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ . Thus, for some  $m \ge m_0$ ,  $\lambda - S_m$  has an inverse in  $O(J_m)$ . This shows that  $\lambda$  is not in  $\mathrm{sp}_f(S)$ . By interchanging S and T in this argument we complete the proof.

LEMMA 1.11. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}_p$ , then each isolated point in  $\operatorname{sp}(T)$  is in d(T).

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda$  is an isolated point of  $\operatorname{sp}(T)$ . Let J be the image of  $l_p$  under the projection that corresponds to the spectral set  $\{\lambda\}$  (see [2, p. 573]). Then J is an invariant subspace of T and  $T_1 = \lambda + Q$  where  $T_1 = T|J$  and  $Q = (T - \lambda)|J$ . Furthermore,  $\operatorname{sp}(Q) = \{0\}$ . Let r be the smallest integer  $\geq 0$  for which there corresponds an x in J such that  $x(r) \neq 0$ . From the definition of r and the fact that  $T \in \mathscr{L}_p$  it follows that  $Tx(r) = a_{r,r}x(r)$  for each  $x \in J$  where  $T = (a_{m,n})$ . This together with the fact that  $T_1 = \lambda + Q$  yields

$$Qx(r) = (a_{r,r} - \lambda)x(r), x \in J.$$

Since each  $Q^n x$  is in J, (2) yields

$$Q^{n}x(r) = (a_{r,r} - \lambda)^{n}x(r), x \in J$$
.

Choose  $x \in J$  such that  $x(r) \neq 0$ . Then

$$|(a_{r,r}-\lambda)^n x(r)| \leq ||Q^n|| ||x|| \quad n=1, 2, \cdots$$

and the fact that  $\operatorname{sp}(Q) = \{0\}$  shows that  $a_{r,r} = \lambda$ . This completes the proof.

2. An Inequality. Let V be the vector space of all complex matrices with the topology of entrywise convergence. It is clear that  $O(l_p)$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , is continuously embedded in V. It is with respect to this embedding that we define the interpolation spaces

$$Q_s = [O(l_\infty), O(l_1)]_s$$
 ,  $0 \le s \le 1$  ,

as in [1, p. 114]. Let  $N_s$  denote the norm of the space  $Q_s$ .

LEMMA 2.1. If  $T\in Q_s$ , then  $T\in O(l_p)$  where  $p^{-1}=s$  and  $||T||_{O(l_p)}\leqq N_s(T)$ .

This lemma is an immediate consequence of [1, Sec. 10.2]. Recall that  $A_z$  is defined in 1.1.

LEMMA 2.2. There is an absolute constant C such that if u>0,  $1\leq p\leq \infty$  and  $\operatorname{Re} z-p^{-1}+1=u$ , then  $A_z\in O(l_p)$  and

$$||A_z||_{O(l_p)} \le Cu^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* For Re z>-1 and 1>r>0 let  $B_{r,z}$  denote the matrix obtained by multiplying the m, nth entry of  $A_z$  by  $(n+1)^{-r}$ . Fix u>0 and for r>0 and  $0 \le \text{Re } z \le 1$  let  $f(r,\xi)=B_{r,\xi-1+u}$ . In order to prove the lemma we must show that for each r>0 the following hold:

- (1)  $f(r, \cdot)$  is a continuous function from  $0 \le \text{Re } \xi \le 1$  into  $O(l_{\infty})$ ;
- (2)  $f(r, \cdot)$  is an analytic function from  $0 < \text{Re } \xi < 1$  into  $O(l_{\infty})$ ;
- (3)  $f(r, \cdot)$  is continuous function from Re  $\xi = 1$  into  $O(l_1)$ ;
- (4) there is an absolute constant C such that

$$||f(r,\xi)||_{o(l_n)} \le Cu^{-1}$$
 if Re  $\xi = j, p^{-1} = j, j = 0, 1$ .

We will now establish (1)-(4). Fix r > 0. For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $E_{k,\xi}$  denote the matrix that has the same m, nth entry as  $f(r,\xi)$  when  $0 \le m$ , n < k and that has m, nth entry = 0 otherwise. It is obvious that (1) and (2) hold if  $f(r,\xi)$  is replaced by  $E_{k,\xi}$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Furthermore, a simple computation using Lemma 1.2 shows that

(5) 
$$||f(r,\xi) - E_{k,\xi}||_{O(l_{\infty})} \le C(k+2)^{-r}$$

where C is a constant which depends only on u. Thus, (1) and (2) hold. Again using Lemma 1.2, we see that

(6) 
$$||f(r, 1+it) - E_{k,_{1}+it}||_{o(l_1)} \leq u^{-1}k^{-r}$$
 for  $k = 1, 2, \cdots, t$  real.

(3) follows from (6) and the fact that  $E_{k,1+it}$  is a continuous function of t with values in  $O(l_i)$ . (4) also follows from a simple computation using Lemma 1.2. From (1)-(4) we see that we can apply [1, p. 114] to  $f(r, \xi)e^{\varepsilon(z-\xi)^2}$  and deduce  $f(r, \xi) \in Q_s$  where  $\text{Re } \xi = p^{-1} = s$  and

(7) 
$$N_s(f(r,\xi)) \le Cu^{-1}$$
 for  $1 > r > 0$ ; Re  $\xi = s$ ;  $0 \le s \le 1$ .

If Re  $z-p^{-1}+1=u$  and  $\xi=z+1-u$ , then Re  $\xi=p^{-1}$ ; therefore by Lemma 2.1, (7) and the fact that  $B_{r,z}=f(r,\xi)$ , we see that

$$(8) \qquad ||B_{r,z}||_{O(l_p)} \le Cu^{-1} \quad ext{if} \quad ext{Re } z-p^{-1}+1=u; 1 \le p \le \infty \; ; \ u>0; 1>r>0 \; .$$

From (8), the fact that  $B_{1/n,z}$  converges to  $A_z$  entrywise as  $n \to \infty$  and Lemma 1.4 we obtain the conclusion of the Lemma.

3. The transform. In Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 we will establish two properties of the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (n+1)^a \binom{w}{n} x_n$$

which will be used to obtain a lower bound for the spectrum of operators  $A_z$  on  $l_p$  spaces. Recall that  $A_z$  is defined in 1.5. We use  $\sum$  and  $\sum_m$  to denote sums taken over all nonnegative integers.

LEMMA 3.1. To each real number  $a, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and K, a compact subset of Re  $w > a - p^{-1}$ , there corresponds a constant C depending only on a, p and K such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^n (n+1)^a {w \choose n} x_n \right| \le C ||x||_p$$

for each  $x \in l_p$  and  $z \in K$ .

We need the following inequality for the proof of this lemma and Lemma 3.3.

Proposition 3.2. For  $n = 2, 3, \cdots$  and w a complex number,

$$\left| {w \choose n} \right| \le |w/n| (n+1)^{-\operatorname{Re} w} \exp(|w| + |w|^2)$$

where C is an absolute constant.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \left| \binom{w}{n} \right| & \leq \left| \frac{w}{n} \right| \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \log\left(1 - \frac{2}{k} \operatorname{Re} w + \frac{|w|^2}{k^2}\right) \right\} \\ & \leq \left| \frac{w}{n} \right| \left\{ \exp\left( -\operatorname{Re} w \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{|w|^2}{k^2}\right) \right\} \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} & \leq \left| rac{w}{n} 
ight| (n+1)^{-\operatorname{Re} w} \exp \left\{ |w| 
ight| \log (n+1) - \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-1} rac{1}{k} 
ight| \ & + |w|^2 rac{1}{2} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} rac{1}{k^2} 
ight\} \ & \leq \left| rac{w}{n} 
ight| (n+1)^{-\operatorname{Re} w} \exp \left\{ |w| + |w|^2 
ight\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

This proves 3.2.

We now prove Lemma 3.1. By using Hölder's inequality and the estimate in Proposition 3.2 we see that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| (-1)^n (n+1)^a \binom{w}{n} x_n \right| \le C_1 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{(a-1-\operatorname{Re} w)q} \right)^{1/q} ||x||_{l_p}$$

where  $C_1$  depends on K and  $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ . To obtain a constant C we need only require that  $(a-1-\operatorname{Re} w)<-1/q$ , even in the case  $q=\infty$ ; or, equivalently,  $\operatorname{Re} w>a-p^{-1}$ .

Lemma 3.3. If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , Re  $w>a-p^{-1}$ ,  $a-p^{-1}+1>0$  and  $x \in l_x$ , then

both series converging absolutely.

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1 that both sides of (3.3.1) converge absolutely and determine continuous linear functionals on  $l_v$ .

In order to establish (3.3.1) we first assume that a=0 and  $1 . Consider a sequence <math>x(m)=t^m$  where 0 < t < 1. Then

$$A_0 x(m) = (m+1)^{-1} \sum_{0 \le k \le m} t^k = (1-t)^{-1} \int_t^1 s^m ds$$
 .

From Proposition 3.1 and Taylor's theorem it follows that

(2)  $\sum (-1)^m \binom{w}{m} s^m = (1-s)^w$ , -1 < s < 1, z complex and the series converges uniformly for s in a compact subset of (-1,1) and w in any compact set in the plane.

We also note that

$$(3) \qquad (1-t)^{-1} \int_{t}^{1} (1-s)^{w} ds = (1+w)^{-1} (1-t)^{w}, \quad w \neq -1.$$

From (1), (2) and (3) we see that

$$\sum (-1)^m {w \choose m} A_0 x(m) = (1+w)^{-1} (1-t)^w$$
.

Again, from (2) we see that the right side of (4) is

$$(1+w)^{-1}\sum_{m}(-1)^{m}\binom{w}{m}t^{m}$$
.

This establishes (3.3.1) for the special sequences  $x(m) = t^m$ , 0 < t < 1 and for any w. If  $y \in l_q$ ,  $(p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$  and  $y \neq 0$ , then  $\sum t^m y(m)$  must be  $\neq 0$  for some t in (0,1) since the series converges to an analytic function of t. This shows that the linear span of the sequences  $(t^m)$ , 0 < t < 1, is a dense subspace of  $l_p$ ,  $1 . Since both sides of (3.3.1) determine continuous linear functionals on <math>l_p$ , we conclude that (3.3.1) holds for the case a = 0 and 1 .

Now consider the general case. Let x be an element in  $l_p$  with finite support, that is, for some  $n_0$ , x(n) = 0 if  $n \ge n_0$ . Let  $y(n) = (n+1)^a x(n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . Then by the case already established we have

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{m} \; (-1)^m (m+1)^a inom{w}{m} A_a x(m) \ &= \sum_m \; (-1)^m inom{w}{m} A_0 y(m) = (1+w)^{-1} \sum_m \; (-1)^m inom{w}{m} y(m) \ &= (1+w)^{-1} \sum_m \; (-1)^m (m+1)^a inom{w}{m} x(m) \; . \end{aligned}$$

Again, since both sides of (3.3.1) determine continuous linear functionals on  $l_p$  and since these linear functionals agree on a dense subspace of  $l_p$ , the space of sequences with finite support, it follows that (3.3.1) holds. This completes the proof.

4. The two theorems. We will now obtain the two main results of the paper, Theorems 4.1 and 4.3. It is convenient to let  $C_b$ , 0 < b, denote the set of all complex numbers z such that  $|z - (2b)^{-1}| \le (2b)^{-1}$ . An easy computation shows that this set is equal to the set  $\{\lambda \colon \operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1} \ge b\}$ .

Theorem 4.1. If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $a-p^{-1}+1>0$ , then  $A_a \in O(l_p)$  and

(1) 
$$\operatorname{sp}(A_a, l_p) = C_{a-p^{-1}+1} \cup \{(m+1)^{-1} : m = 0, 1, \cdots\}.$$

*Proof.* The fact that  $A_a \in O(l_p)$  follows from Lemma 2.2. We will first show that the left-hand-set of (1) contains the right-hand-set.

From Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and the fact  $A_a$  is a bounded linear operator on  $l_p$  it follows that  $A_a x - (1+w)^{-1} x$  is in the null space of a nonzero continuous linear functional on  $l_p$  for each x in  $l_p$ , if Re  $w > a - p^{-1}$ . Since Re  $w > a - p^{-1}$  is equivalent to Re  $((1+w)^{-1})^{-1} > a - p^{-1} + 1$ , which is equivalent to  $(1+w)^{-1}$  being in the interior of the set  $C_{a-p^{-1}+1}$ , we see that sp  $(A_a, l_p) \supset C_{a-p^{-1}+1}$ . This, together with Lemma 1.9, shows that the left-hand-set of (1) contains the right-hand-set. In order to prove that the right-hand set of (1) contains the left-hand set we need the following estimate.

PROPOSITION 4.1A. To each complex number  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there corresponds an m such that if  $i \geq k \geq m$ , then

$$\left|\prod_{i \geq j \geq k} (1-\lambda^{-i}(j+1)^{-i})
ight|^{-1} \leq (1+arepsilon)((i+1)/(k+1))^{{
m Re}\,\lambda^{-1}}$$
 .

*Proof.* By using the power series for  $\log (1+t)$  one can show that

$$\log (1+t) \ge t-t^2$$
 for  $t \ge -3/5$ .

Thus, if  $-2|\lambda^{-1}|(j+1)^{-1} \ge -3/5$ , then

$$egin{split} \log |1-\lambda^{ ext{--}1}(j+1)^{ ext{--}1}| \ & \geq rac{1}{2}\log (1-2\operatorname{Re}\lambda^{ ext{--}1}(j+1)^{ ext{--}1}+|\lambda|^{ ext{--}2}(j+1)^{ ext{--}2}) \ & \geq -(j+1)^{ ext{--}1}\operatorname{Re}\lambda^{ ext{--}1}+rac{1}{2}|\lambda|^{ ext{--}2}(j+1)^{ ext{--}2} \ & -rac{1}{2}(2|\lambda|^{ ext{--}1}(j+1)^{ ext{--}1}+|\lambda|^{ ext{--}2}(j+1)^{ ext{--}2})^2 \ . \end{split}$$

Consequently, if  $-2|\lambda^{-1}|(k+1)^{-1} \ge -3/5$ , then

$$egin{aligned} & \left| \prod_{i \geq j \geq k} (1 - \lambda^{-1} (j+1)^{-1}) 
ight|^{-1} \ & \leq \exp \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1} \cdot \sum_{j=k}^{i} rac{1}{j+1} + rac{3}{2} |\lambda|^{-2} \sum_{j=k}^{i} (j+1)^{-2} 
ight. \ & + 2 |\lambda|^{-3} \sum_{j=k}^{i} (j+1)^{-3} + rac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{-4} \sum_{j=k}^{i} (j+1)^{-4} 
ight\} \ & \leq \exp \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1} \log rac{i+1}{k+1} 
ight\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$\exp\Bigl\{|\lambda|^{-1}\Bigl|\sum_{j=k}^i\frac{1}{j+1}-\int_{k+1}^{i+1}\!\frac{dt}{t}\Bigr|\ +\frac{3}{2}|\lambda|^{-2}k^{-1}+|\lambda|^{-3}k^{-2}+\frac{1}{6}|\lambda|^{-4}k^{-3}\Bigr\}$$

$$(*) \quad \leq \left(\frac{i+1}{k+1}\right)^{\operatorname{Re}\lambda^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \exp\left\{2\,|\,\lambda^{-1}\,|\,k^{-1} + \frac{3}{2}\,|\,\lambda\,|^{-2}k^{-1} + |\,\lambda\,|^{-3}k^{-2}, +\, \frac{1}{6}\,|\,\lambda\,|^{-4}k^{-3}\right\} \boldsymbol{\cdot}$$

The conclusion of 4.1A now follows by choosing m such that

$$-2|\lambda|^{-1}(m+1)^{-1} \ge -3/5$$

and the second factor in (\*) is  $\leq (1 + \varepsilon)$  when  $k \geq m$ .

Now suppose that  $\lambda$  is not in the right-hand set of (1). In particular, Re  $\lambda^{-1} < a-p^{-1}+1$  or

(2) 
$$a - \operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1} - p^{-1} + 1 > 0$$
.

By 4.1A we can choose an  $m_1$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$  such that

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 3 \end{array}\right) & \left(\prod_{i \geq j \geq k} \left(1 - \gamma_j/\lambda\right) \right|^{-1} \leq 2((i+1)/(k+1))^{\operatorname{Re}\lambda^{-1}} & \text{if} \quad k \geq m_1 \text{ .} \end{array}\right)$$

Choose  $m \ge m_1$  so that  $|\lambda| > (m+1)^{-1}$ . Let  $E_m$  and  $B_m$  correspond to  $A_a$  as in 1.6. Since  $\operatorname{sp}(A_a) \cup \{0\} = \operatorname{sp}(E_m) \cup \operatorname{sp}(B_m)$  and  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(E_m)$ , to show that  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(A_a)$  it suffices to show that  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(B_m)$ . Because of Lemma 1.5 in order to show that  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(B_m)$  it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} B_n^{n+1}$$

converges entrywise to a matrix which is a bounded operator on  $l_p$ . Recall that the i,jth entry of  $B_m$ , which we will denote by  $a_{ij}$ , is  $\alpha_i \gamma_j / \alpha_j$  where  $\alpha_i = (i+1)^{-a-1}$  and  $\gamma_j = (j+1)^{-1}$  for  $i \geq j \geq m$  and the i,jth entry is 0 otherwise. The i,kth entry of  $B_m^{n+1}$  is

$$\sum_{i\geq j_1} a_{ij_1} a_{j_1j_2} \cdots a_{j_nk} = \sum_{i\geq j_1\geq \cdots \geq j_n\geq k} a_{ik} \gamma_{j_1} \cdots \gamma_{j_n} .$$

Thus, the i, kth entry of  $D_m = B_m + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-n} B_m^{n+1}$  is

$$a_{ik}\left(1+\sum_{n+1}^{\infty}\lambda^{-n}\sum_{i\geq j}\gamma_{j_1}\cdots\gamma_{j_n}\right)$$

if  $i \ge k \ge m$ . Since  $|\lambda| > (m+1)^{-1} \ge \gamma_j$  for  $j \ge m$ , it follows from the general theory of power series that the series in (5) conveges and that the value of (5) is

(6) 
$$a_{jk} \prod_{i \ge j \ge k} (1 - \gamma_j/\lambda)^{-1}$$
.

Since  $k \ge m \ge m_1$ , it follows from (3) and the definition of  $B_m$  that the modulus of the quantity in (6) is dominated by

$$2(k+1)^{a-{
m Re}\lambda^{-1}}(i+1)^{-(a-{
m Re}\lambda^{-1})-1}$$
 .

From this, Lemma 1.3, (2) and Lemma 2.2 (let  $z = a - \operatorname{Re} \lambda^{-1}$ ) we conclude that the matrix  $D_m \in O(l_p)$ . This shows that  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}(B_m)$ . Hence, we have shown that the right-hand set of (1) contains the left-hand set. This completes the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 4.2. If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $a - p^{-1} + 1 > 0$ , then

(i) 
$$\mathrm{sp}_{f}(A_{a}, l_{v}) = C_{a-v^{-1}+1}.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 4.1, Lemma 1.9 and the fact that the final spectrum is always closed, it follows that the left-hand-side of (i) contains the right-hand-side. Now let  $B_m$  correspond to  $A_a$  as in 1.6 where  $(m+1)^{-1} \in C_{a-p^{-1}+1}$ . By Lemma 1.8

$$\mathrm{sp}\left(B_{m}\right)\supset\mathrm{sp}\left(B_{m}\left|K_{m}\right\rangle\right);$$

and, clearly,

(8) 
$$\operatorname{sp}(B_m|K_m) = \operatorname{sp}(A_a|K_m) \supset \operatorname{sp}_f(A_a, l_p).$$

Also by Lemma 1.8,

$$\mathrm{sp}\,(B_{\mathit{m}}) \subset \mathrm{sp}\,(A_{\mathit{a}}) \cup \{0\} = \mathrm{sp}\,(A_{\mathit{a}}) \; .$$

By Lemma 1.11, the only isolated points in  $\operatorname{sp}(B_m)$  are points on the diagonal of  $B_m$ ; from this, (9), Theorem 4.1 and the choice of m we see that

(10) 
$$\operatorname{sp}\left(B_{\scriptscriptstyle m}\right) \subset C_{\scriptscriptstyle a-p^{-1}+1} \ .$$

From (10), (7) and (8) we deduce that the right-hand-side of (i) contains the left-hand-side. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 4.3. Let p(x) be a monic polynomial of degree a and q(x) a monic polynomial of degree a+1 such that  $q(m) \neq 0$ ,  $m=0,1\cdots$ . Let S be the lower triangular matrix with m, nth entry p(n)/q(m),  $m \geq n$ . If a>0 and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , or a=0 and  $1 , then <math>S \in O(l_p)$  and

$$\operatorname{sp}(S, l_n) = C_{n-n-1+1} \cup \{p(m)/q(m); m = 0, 1, \cdots\}$$
.

*Proof.* Let  $D_m$  be the operator obtained by restricting  $S-A_a$  to the subspace  $K_m$  of  $l_p$  and let  $B_m$  correspond to  $S-A_a$  as in 1.6. Clearly

$$||D_m||_{O(K_m)} \leq ||B_m||_{O(l_p)}.$$

A simple computation using Lemma 1.3 shows that the right-hand-side of (11) is  $O(m^{-1})$  if p=1 or  $p=\infty$ . From this and the Riesz convexity theorem we see that  $||D_m|| \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ . From this and Lemma 1.10 we conclude that  $\operatorname{sp}_f(S) = \operatorname{sp}_f(A_a)$ . Consequently, by Lemma 4.2,  $\operatorname{sp}_f(S) = C_{a-p^{-1}+1}$ . From this and Lemma 1.9 we obtain the conclusion of the theorem.

Received April 1, 1971 and in revised form December 20, 1971.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### **EDITORS**

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

### **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 42, No. 2

February, 1972

Stephen Richard Bernfeld, <i>The extendability of solutions of perturbed scalar differential equations</i>	277
James Edwin Brink, Inequalities involving $f_p$ and $f^{(n)}_q$ for $f$ with $n$	289
Orrin Frink and Robert S. Smith, On the distributivity of the lattice of filters of a groupoid	313
Donald Goldsmith, On the density of certain cohesive basic sequences	323
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>Planar images of decomposable continua</i>	329
W. N. Hudson, A decomposition theorem for biadditive processes	333
W. N. Hudson, Continuity of sample functions of biadditive processes	343
Masako Izumi and Shin-ichi Izumi, <i>Integrability of trigonometric series</i> .	
<i>II</i>	359
H. M. Ko, Fixed point theorems for point-to-set mappings and the set of fixed points	369
Gregers Louis Krabbe, An algebra of generalized functions on an open	
interval: two-sided operational calculus	381
Thomas Latimer Kriete, III, Complete non-selfadjointness of almost selfadjoint operators	413
Shiva Narain Lal and Siya Ram, On the absolute Hausdorff summability of a	
Fourier series	439
Ronald Leslie Lipsman, Representation theory of almost connected	
groups	453
James R. McLaughlin, Integrated orthonormal series	469
H. Minc, On permanents of circulants	477
Akihiro Okuyama, On a generalization of $\Sigma$ -spaces	485
Norberto Salinas, Invariant subspaces and operators of class (S)	497
James D. Stafney, <i>The spectrum of certain lower triangular matrices as</i>	
operators on the $l_p$ spaces	515
Arne Stray, Interpolation by analytic functions	527
Li Pi Su, Rings of analytic functions on any subset of the complex plane	535
R. J. Tondra, A property of manifolds compactly equivalent to compact	
manifolds	539