

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

GENERALIZED QUASICENTER AND HYPERQUASICENTER OF A FINITE GROUP

JAMES B. DERR AND N. P. MUKHERJEE

GENERALIZED QUASICENTER AND HYPERQUASICENTER OF A FINITE GROUP

J. B. DERR AND N. P. MUKHERJEE

The notion of quasicentral element is generalized to p -quasicentral element and the p -quasicenter and the p -hyperquasicenter are defined. It is shown that the p -quasicenter is p -supersolvable and the p -hyperquasicenter is p -solvable.

The quasicenter $Q(G)$ of a group G is the subgroup of G generated by all quasicentral elements of G , where an element x of G is called a quasicentral element (QC-element) when the cyclic subgroup $\langle x \rangle$ generated by x satisfies $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x \rangle$ for all elements y of G . The hyperquasicenter $Q^*(G)$ of a group G is the terminal member of the upper quasicentral series $1 = Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \dots \subset Q_n = Q_{n+1} = Q^*(G)$ of G , where Q_{i+1} is defined by $Q_{i+1}/Q_i = Q(G/Q_i)$. Mukherjee has shown [3, 4] that the quasicenter of a group is nilpotent and the hyperquasicenter is the largest supersolvably immersed subgroup of a group. The proofs of these structure theorems rely on the fact that the powers of QC-elements are again QC-elements.

In this paper we generalize the notion of a quasicentral element in a way which allows the results about the quasicenter and the hyperquasicenter [3, 4] to be extended. All groups mentioned are assumed to be finite.

For a given group G and a fixed prime p , the definition of QC-element might suggest that an element x of G be called a p -quasicentral element provided $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x \rangle$ holds for all p -elements y of G . An apparent difficulty with this definition is that the powers of p -quasicentral elements need not again be p -quasicentral elements. For example, consider the group of order 18 defined by $G = \langle a, b, x \mid a^3 = b^3 = 1 = x^2, [a, b] = 1 = [a, x], [b, x] = a \rangle$. A simple calculation shows that ax is 3-quasicentral while $x = (ax)^3$ is not 3-quasicentral—otherwise $\langle x \rangle \langle b \rangle = \langle b \rangle \langle x \rangle$ shall imply that x normalizes $\langle b \rangle$, which is not the case however. Because of this example we choose to generalize the notion of a QC-element as follows.

DEFINITION 1. Let G be a given group and p a fixed prime. Suppose x is an element of G and let the order of x be written as $|x| = p^r m$ where $(p, m) = 1$. Then x is called a p -quasicentral (p -QC) element of G provided $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$ and $\langle x^{p^r} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^{p^r} \rangle$ hold for all p -elements y of G . (It should be noted that every element of a p' -group is p -QC.)

THEOREM 1. *If x is a p -QC element of a group G and k is a fixed integer, then x^k is also a p -QC element of G .*

Proof. Suppose $|x| = p^b m$ where $(p, m) = 1$. Since $|x^m| = p^b$, $|x^{p^b}| = m$ and x^{p^b} commutes with x^m , $\langle x \rangle = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle x^m \rangle = \langle x^m \rangle \langle x^{p^b} \rangle$. If $|x^k| = p^c n$ where $(p, c) = 1$, then $(x^k)^{p^c}$ is a p' -element of $\langle x \rangle$ and $(x^k)^n$ is a p -element of $\langle x \rangle$. It follows that $(x^k)^{p^c}$ is some power of x^{p^b} and $(x^k)^n$ is some power of x^m . To show that x^k is a p -QC element of G , it will suffice to show that $\langle (x^m)^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^m)^i \rangle$ and $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle$ hold for all integers i and all p -elements y of G .

Let y be any p -element in G . Since x is a p -QC element of G , $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$. Therefore $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle$ is some subgroup H of G whose order divides $|x^m| \cdot |y|$. Since x^m is then a p -QC element of the p -group H , x^m is a QC-element of H . It follows [3, 4] that every power of x^m is a QC-element of H . In particular, $\langle (x^m)^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^m)^i \rangle$ holds for every integer i .

Now proceed by induction on the order of G to show that $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle$ holds for every integer i and every p -element y . Let y be a fixed p -element of G of order p^r . If $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^{p^b} \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of G , induction completes the argument. Assume therefore that $G = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b}) \rangle$. Then G is a supersolvable group (Theorem 13.3.1, [5]).

Let π denote the set of prime divisors of $|x^{p^b}| = m$ which are larger than p . Since G is supersolvable with order $|G| = p^r m$, G has a normal Hall π -subgroup K . Distinguish two cases.

Case 1. π is empty. Then p is the largest prime dividing $|G|$. Since $\langle y \rangle$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , $\langle y \rangle$ must be normal in G . Clearly $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle$ holds for all integers i in this case.

Case 2. π is nonempty. Let s and t denote integers such that $x_1 = (x^{p^b})^s$ is a π -element, $x_2 = (x^{p^b})^t$ is a π' -element and $x^{p^b} = x_1 x_2 = x_2 x_1$ (Theorem 4, [2], p. 23). Then $\langle x_1 \rangle$ is a Hall π -subgroup of G . Since G is supersolvable, $\langle x_1 \rangle \trianglelefteq G$. It follows that $\langle x_1^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x_1^i \rangle$ holds for every integer i . Since $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle = \langle x_1^i \rangle \langle x_2^i \rangle$ for all integers i , the argument will be complete if we show $\langle x_2^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x_2^i \rangle$ holds for all i . Since $\langle x_1 \rangle$ is a normal Hall π -subgroup of G , the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem shows that G possesses a π -complement R . Since y is a π' -element of G , we may choose R so that $y \in R$. Then $\langle y \rangle$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of R . Since R is supersolvable and p is the

largest prime dividing $|R|$, $\langle y \rangle \trianglelefteq R$. We now use the fact that x_2 is a π' -element. Since R is a Hall π' -subgroup of the solvable group G , some conjugate x_2^g of x_2 lies in R . It now follows from $G = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle$ that $x_2 \in R$, since every element g in G can be written as $(x^{p^b})^u y^v$ for some integers u, v . Therefore $\langle x_2^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x_2^i \rangle$ holds for every integer i . This completes the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 1. *Let θ be a homomorphism from a group G onto a group \bar{G} . If x is a p -QC element of G , the image x^θ of x is a p -QC element of \bar{G} .*

Proof. Let $|x| = p^b m$ where $(p, m) = 1$ and let $|x^\theta| = p^c n$ where $(p, n) = 1$. It follows that $\langle x \rangle = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$ and $\langle x^\theta \rangle = \langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle \langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle$. Now $\langle x^\theta \rangle = \langle x \rangle^\theta$ implies $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle^\theta = \langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle$ and $\langle x^m \rangle^\theta = \langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle$.

Let \bar{u} be any p -element of \bar{G} . Then there is a p -element y of G with $y^\theta = \bar{u}$. Since x is a p -QC element of G , $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^{p^b} \rangle$ and $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$. This shows $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle^\theta \langle y \rangle^\theta = \langle y \rangle^\theta \langle x^{p^b} \rangle^\theta$ and $\langle x^m \rangle^\theta \langle y \rangle^\theta = \langle y \rangle^\theta \langle x^m \rangle^\theta$. Now $\langle y \rangle^\theta = \langle y^\theta \rangle = \langle \bar{u} \rangle$ implies $\langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle \langle \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{u} \rangle \langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle$ and $\langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle \langle \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{u} \rangle \langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle$. The proof of the lemma is therefore complete.

DEFINITION 2. Let G be a given group and p a fixed prime. The p -quasicenter $Q_p(G)$ is the subgroup of G generated by all p -QC elements of G .

We mention a few simple consequences of the definition of the p -quasicenter. For any group G and any prime p , the quasicenter of G is contained in the p -quasicenter of G . The p -quasicenter of a group is always a characteristic subgroup of the group. It should be noted that if a prime p does not divide the order of a group G then $Q_p(G) = G$.

THEOREM 2. *For any group G and every prime p , the p -quasicenter $Q_p(G)$ is p -supersolvable.*

Proof. First we notice that $Q_p(G) = G$ is p -supersolvable if p does not divide $|G|$. Consequently we assume that p divides $|G|$. The proof is by induction on $|G|$.

It suffices to show that G contains a nontrivial normal subgroup N of order p or of order prime to p . For, by induction, $Q_p(G/N)$ is then p -supersolvable. Since Lemma 1 shows $Q_p(G)N/N \subseteq Q_p(G/N)$ it will follow that $Q_p(G)$ is p -supersolvable. (This is because of the fact that normal subgroups of p -supersolvable groups are p -supersolvable and N being of order p or prime to p , the p -supersolvability of $Q_p(G)N/N$ implies $Q_p(G)N$ is p -supersolvable.) Since $Q_p(Q_p(G)) = Q_p(G)$, induction lets us assume that $Q_p(G) = G$. Thus G is generated by p -QC

elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . First we show that G contains a proper normal subgroup. Distinguish two cases.

Case 1: Some x_i has order divisible by p . Assume p divides the order of x_1 . Then there is an integer d such that $|x_1^d| = p$. Since x_1^d is a p -QC element of G , $\langle x_1^d \rangle$ permutes with each Sylow p -subgroup of G . Therefore $\langle x_1^d \rangle$ lies in the maximum normal p -subgroup $O_p(G)$ of G . Therefore $O_p(G)$ is a proper normal subgroup of G or $O_p(G) = G$ and G is a p -group. If G is a p -group, the theorem is trivially true.

Case 2: No x_i has order divisible by p . Then x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are p -QC elements of G with p' -orders. Since $|G|$ is divisible by p , G must contain nonidentity p -elements. Let T denote the subgroup of G generated by all the p -elements of G . Since $T \trianglelefteq G$, we can assume $T = G$. Therefore G contains nonidentity p -elements y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m with $\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle = G$. Let q be the largest prime dividing the product $|x_1| \cdot |x_2| \cdots |x_n|$. First suppose $p > q$. Since x_i is a p -QC element and y_1 is a p -element, $\langle x_i \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle = \langle y_1 \rangle \langle x_i \rangle$ holds for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It follows (theorem 13.3.1, [5]) that $\langle x_i \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle$ is supersolvable of order $|x_i| \cdot |y_1|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since x_i is a p' -element and $p > q$, $\langle y_1 \rangle$ is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of each group $\langle x_i \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle$. Then x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n normalize $\langle y_1 \rangle$ and $\langle y_1 \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$. Now suppose $p < q$ and let $|x_1|$ be divisible by q . Let s be an integer such that $\langle x_1^s \rangle$ is a Sylow q -subgroup of $\langle x_1 \rangle$. Since $\langle x_1 \rangle \langle y_j \rangle = \langle y_j \rangle \langle x_1 \rangle$ is a supersolvable group and q is the largest prime dividing $|y_j| \cdot |x_1|$, y_j normalizes $\langle x_1^s \rangle$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Therefore $\langle x_1^s \rangle \trianglelefteq G = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$. This shows that in every case G contains a proper normal subgroup M . If M has order prime to p , we are finished. Assume now that M is a minimal normal subgroup of G and p divides $|M|$. We will show that $|M| = p$.

Since $Q_p(G) = G$, G is generated by p -QC elements x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of G . For each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\langle x_i \rangle = \langle v_1 \rangle \langle v_2 \rangle \cdots \langle v_{d_i} \rangle$ where v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d_i} are powers of x_i , v_1 is a p -element, and v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{d_i} are p' -elements of prime power orders. Since powers of p -QC elements are also p -QC elements, it follows that G can be written as $G = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \rangle$ where each a_i is a p -QC p -element of G and each b_j is a p -QC p' -element of G having prime power order.

Let P denote the subgroup of G generated by all p -QC p -elements of G . Clearly P is a characteristic p -subgroup of G with $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h \rangle \subseteq P$. Since M is a minimal normal subgroup of G , $P \cap M = 1$ or $P \cap M = M$. First suppose that $P \cap M = 1$. Then $[P, M] \subseteq P \cap M = 1$ and P centralizes M . Let $w \in M$ with $|w| = p$. Clearly a_i normalizes $\langle w \rangle$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$. Since each b_j is a p -QC element of G , $\langle b_j \rangle \langle w \rangle = \langle w \rangle \langle b_j \rangle$ holds for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. It follows that each group $\langle b_j \rangle \langle w \rangle$ is supersolvable of order $|b_j| \cdot |w|$.

Since $|b_j|$ is a power of a prime other than p , $\langle b_j \rangle$ is a Sylow subgroup of $\langle b_j \rangle \langle w \rangle$. Hence b_j normalizes $\langle w \rangle$ or w normalizes $\langle b_j \rangle$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M = 1$ implies b_j normalizes $\langle w \rangle$, $\langle w \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ unless $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M \neq 1$ for some j . Assume that $\langle b_d \rangle \cap M \neq 1$ for some integer d , $1 \leq d \leq k$. This implies that some prime different from p divides the order of M . Since every power of b_d is a p -QC element of G , $Q_p(M) \neq 1$. From the minimality of M it follows that $Q_p(M) = M$, since $Q_p(M)$ is characteristic in M and M is normal in G . Induction applied to M then shows that M is p -supersolvable. If N is a minimal normal subgroup of M then $|N|$ is either p or is prime to p . Then $T = \langle N^g | g \in G \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G contained in M and $T = N^{g_1} \dots N^{g_t}$ where g_1, \dots, g_t are elements of G . But M being minimal normal in G it follows that $T = M$. Therefore M is either a p -group or a p' -group, since T is so. But p divides the order of M and therefore M must be a p -group. This however contradicts the assumption that $\langle b_d \rangle \cap M \neq 1$. Thus $\langle w \rangle \trianglelefteq G$. Since $\langle w \rangle \cong M$, $M = \langle w \rangle$ and M has order $|w| = p$. Now suppose $P \cap M = M$. Then M is a normal subgroup of the p -group P and $M \cap Z(P) \neq 1$. Let z be a nonidentity element of $M \cap Z(P)$ with $|z| = p$. Since $z \in Z(P)$, surely $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h \rangle$ normalizes $\langle z \rangle$. On the other hand, M being a p -group it is evident that $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M = 1$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. As before, $\langle z \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ unless $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M \neq 1$ for some j . Therefore $\langle z \rangle \trianglelefteq G$. Since $1 \neq \langle z \rangle \cong M$, the minimality of M shows $M = \langle z \rangle$. Therefore M has order $|z| = p$ and the proof is complete.

Since the quasicenter of a group is nilpotent it is natural to ask if the p -quasicenter of a group must be p -nilpotent. We give an example to show that this need not be the case. Let S_3 denote the symmetric group of degree 3. The 3-quasicenter of S_3 is S_3 itself. Clearly $Q_3(S_3) = S_3$ is not 3-nilpotent.

DEFINITION 3. Let G be a given group and p a fixed prime. The upper p -quasicentral series $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_n = H_{n+1}$ of G is the characteristic series where H_{i+1} is defined by $H_{i+1}/H_i = Q_p(G/H_i)$. The number of distinct nontrivial terms in the upper p -quasicentral series of G is called the p -quasicentral length of G . The terminal member of the upper p -quasicentral series of G is called the p -hyperquasicenter of G . We denote this characteristic subgroup of G by $Q_p^*(G)$.

THEOREM 3. In any group G , the p -hyperquasicenter $Q_p^*(G)$ is the intersection of all normal subgroups N with $Q_p(G/N) = N/N$.

Proof. Let $S = \bigcap \{N \mid N \trianglelefteq G \text{ and } Q_p(G/N) = N/N\}$. Clearly

$S \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$. We now show that $Q_p^*(G)$ is included in every normal subgroup N for which $Q_p(G/N) = N/N$. Let $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_m = Q_p^*(G)$ be the upper p -quasicentral series of G . Trivially $H_0 \subseteq N$. Assume that $H_i \subseteq N$ and $H_{i+1} \not\subseteq N$. Then for some p -QC element yH_i of G/H_i , $y \notin N$. This implies that under the natural homomorphism of G/H_i to G/N , the p -QC element yH_i is mapped onto the p -QC element yN of G/N . Therefore $Q_p(G/N)$ is nontrivial, a contradiction. Hence $H_{i+1} \subseteq N$ and $Q_p^*(G) \subseteq N$ follows by induction.

We shall now investigate the structure of the p -hyperquasicenter $Q_p^*(G)$.

LEMMA 2. *Let G be a group and p a fixed prime. If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $N \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$ then $Q_p^*(G/N) = Q_p^*(G)/N$.*

Proof. Let $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_n = Q_p^*(G)$ be the upper p -quasicentral series of G and let $N/N = L_0/N \subset L_1/N \subset \dots \subset L_k/N = Q_p^*(G/N)$ be the upper p -quasicentral series of G/N . By Lemma 1, $H_1N/N = Q_p(G)N/N \subseteq Q_p(G/N) = L_1/N$. Thus $H_1 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_k$. Now assume $H_i \subseteq L_k$ and deduce $H_{i+1} \subseteq L_k$. Since $H_i \subseteq L_k$, G/L_k is a homomorphic image of G/H_i . Let θ be the natural homomorphism described by $(xH_i)^\theta = xL_k$. Then Lemma 1 shows that $(Q_p(G/H_i))^\theta \subseteq Q_p(G/L_k) = L_k/L_k$. Since $Q_p(G/H_i) = H_{i+1}/H_i$, $(Q_p(G/H_i))^\theta = H_{i+1}L_k/L_k \subseteq L_k/L_k$. Therefore $H_{i+1} \subseteq L_k$ and by induction $H_n \subseteq L_k$. We complete, the proof by showing $L_i \subseteq H_n = Q_p^*(G)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. By hypothesis $L_0 = N \subseteq Q_p(G)$. Now assume $L_i \subseteq Q_p(G)$ and deduce $L_{i+1} \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$. Since $L_i \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$, $G/Q_p^*(G)$ is a homomorphic image of G/L_i . The argument above can be repeated to obtain $L_{i+1} \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$.

THEOREM 4. *For any group G and any prime p , $Q_p^*(G)$ is p -solvable.*

Proof. If $Q_p^*(G) = Q_p(G)$, $Q_p^*(G)$ is p -supersolvable and the theorem is proved. Assume now that $Q_p(G) \subsetneq Q_p^*(G)$. Let N denote any minimal normal subgroup of $Q_p(G)$. Since $Q_p(G)$ is p -supersolvable, N has p' -order or $|N| = p$. Set $S = \langle N^g | g \in G \rangle$. Since $N \trianglelefteq Q_p(G) \trianglelefteq G$, $N^g \trianglelefteq Q_p(G)$ for each $g \in G$. It follows that S has order prime to p or order a power of p . Since $S \trianglelefteq G$ and $S \subseteq Q_p(G) \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$ induction shows that $Q_p^*(G/S) = Q_p^*(G)/S$ is p -solvable. Therefore $Q_p^*(G)$ is p -solvable.

It is possible to characterize the p -hyperquasicenter in terms of the normal subgroups included in it. We begin with the following definition.

DEFINITION 4. Let G be a group and p a fixed prime. A normal subgroup N of G is called p -hyperquasicentral (p -HQ) if $N/M \cap$

$Q_p^*(G/M) \neq M/M$ holds for each normal subgroup M of G which is properly contained in N .

The lemmas proved next will be useful for the proof of Theorem 5.

LEMMA 3. *Let G be any group and p a fixed prime. If $N \trianglelefteq G$ then $Q_p^*(G)N/N \subseteq Q_p^*(G/N)$.*

Proof. Let $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_n = Q_p^*(G)$ be the upper p -quasicentral series of G . By Lemma 1, $H_1N/N = Q_p(G)N/N \subseteq Q_p(G/N) \subseteq Q_p^*(G/N) = L/N$. Thus $H_1N \subseteq L$. Now assume $H_iN \subseteq L$ and deduce that $H_{i+1}N \subseteq L$. Since $H_i \subseteq H_iN$, G/H_iN is a homomorphic image of G/H_i . Let ϕ be the natural homomorphism of G/H_i onto G/H_iN described by $(xH_i)^\phi = xH_iN$. Then Lemma 1 shows $(Q_p(G/H_i))^\phi \subseteq Q_p(G/H_iN)$. Since $Q_p(G/H_i) = H_{i+1}/H_i$, $H_{i+1}N/H_iN = (H_{i+1}/H_i)^\phi \subseteq Q_p(G/H_iN)$. Next let θ be the natural homomorphism of G/H_iN onto G/L given by $(xH_iN)^\theta = xL$. By Lemma 1, $(Q_p(G/H_iN))^\theta \subseteq Q_p(G/L) = L/L$. Since $H_{i+1}N/H_iN \subseteq Q_p(G/H_iN)$, $(H_{i+1}N/H_iN)^\theta = H_{i+1}NL/L \subseteq L/L$. Therefore $H_{i+1}N \subseteq L$ and the assertion follows.

LEMMA 4. *If any two groups G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic under a map θ then $(Q_p(G_1))^\theta = Q_p(G_2)$.*

LEMMA 5. *For any group G and any prime p , the product of p -HQ subgroups of G is a p -HQ subgroup of G .*

Proof. It suffices to show that for any p -HQ subgroups A and B of G , the product AB is a p -HQ subgroup of G . Let M be any normal subgroup of G with $M \subsetneq AB$. If $M \subsetneq A$ or $M \subsetneq B$ then $AB/M \cap Q_p^*(G/M) \neq M/M$. Now suppose M is not a proper subgroup of either A or B . Since $A \cap M = A$ and $B \cap M = B$ together imply $AB \subseteq M$, we may assume $R = A \cap M \subsetneq A$. Since A is p -HQ, $A/R \cap Q_p^*(G/R) \neq R/R$. Let yR be any nonidentity element of $A/R \cap Q_p^*(G/R)$. Then $y \in A$ and $y \notin R$ show $y \notin M$. Since $M/R \trianglelefteq G/R$, Lemma 3 shows $Q_p^*(G/R) \cdot M/R/M/R \subseteq Q_p^*(G/R/M/R)$. It now follows from the isomorphism of $G/R/M/R$ and G/M that yM is a nonidentity element of $Q_p^*(G/M)$. Therefore $AB/M \cap Q_p^*(G/M) \neq M/M$ and the assertion is proved.

THEOREM 5. *For any group G and any prime p , $Q_p^*(G)$ is the product of all p -HQ subgroups of G .*

Proof. Let S denote the product of all p -HQ subgroups of G . From Lemma 2 and the definition of p -HQ subgroup it is easily seen that $Q_p^*(G)$ is a p -HQ subgroup of G . Therefore $Q_p^*(G) \subseteq S$.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that $Q_p^*(G) \subseteq S$. Since S is a p - HQ subgroup of G (Lemma 5) $S/Q_p^*(G) \cap Q_p^*(G/Q_p^*(G)) \neq Q_p^*(G)/Q_p^*(G)$. Since $Q_p^*(G/Q_p^*(G)) = Q_p^*(G)/Q_p^*(G)$, this is the desired contradiction.

It should be remarked that for a set of primes π , π -quasicentrality can be defined in a manner analogous to p -quasicentrality. The p -quasicenter and p -hyperquasicenter can be extended in the natural way to obtain the notions of π -quasicenter and π -hyperquasicenter. It is easily checked that the results about the p -quasicenter and the p -hyperquasicenter of a group remain valid when p is replaced by π .

REFERENCES

1. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag (1967).
2. W. Ledermann, *Introduction to the Theory of Finite Groups*, Interscience Publishers, Inc. (1964).
3. N. P. Mukherjee, *The hyperquasicenter of a finite group I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **26**, No. 2 (1970), 239-243.
4. ———, *The hyperquasicenter of a finite group II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **32**, No. 1 (1972), 24-28.
5. W. R. Scott, *Group Theory*, Prentice Hall, Inc. (1964).

Received April 12, 1971 and in revised form January 13, 1972.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Catherine Bandle, <i>Extensions of an inequality by Pólya and Schiffer for vibrating membranes</i>	543
S. J. Bernau, <i>Topologies on structure spaces of lattice groups</i>	557
Woodrow Wilson Bledsoe and Charles Edward Wilks, <i>On Borel product measures</i>	569
Eggert Briem and Murali Rao, <i>Normpreserving extensions in subspaces of $C(X)$</i>	581
Alan Seymour Cover, <i>Generalized continuation</i>	589
Larry Jean Cummings, <i>Transformations of symmetric tensors</i>	603
Peter Michael Curran, <i>Cohomology of finitely presented groups</i>	615
James B. Derr and N. P. Mukherjee, <i>Generalized quasicenter and hyperquasicenter of a finite group</i>	621
Erik Maurice Ellentuck, <i>Universal cosimple isols</i>	629
Benny Dan Evans, <i>Boundary respecting maps of 3-manifolds</i>	639
David F. Fraser, <i>A probabilistic method for the rate of convergence to the Dirichlet problem</i>	657
Raymond Taylor Hoobler, <i>Cohomology in the finite topology and Brauer groups</i>	667
Louis Roberts Hunt, <i>Locally holomorphic sets and the Levi form</i>	681
B. T. Y. Kwee, <i>On absolute de la Vallée Poussin summability</i>	689
Gérard Lallement, <i>On nilpotency and residual finiteness in semigroups</i>	693
George Edward Lang, <i>Evaluation subgroups of factor spaces</i>	701
Andy R. Magid, <i>A separably closed ring with nonzero torsion pic</i>	711
Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Commutants of some Hausdorff matrices</i>	715
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>Canonical forms for local derivations</i>	721
Cedric Felix Schubert, <i>On a conjecture of L. B. Page</i>	733
Reinhard Schultz, <i>Composition constructions on diffeomorphisms of $S^p \times S^q$</i>	739
J. P. Singhal and H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, <i>A class of bilateral generating functions for certain classical polynomials</i>	755
Richard Alan Slocum, <i>Using brick partitionings to establish conditions which insure that a Peano continuum is a 2-cell, a 2-sphere or an annulus</i>	763
James F. Smith, <i>The p-classes of an H^*-algebra</i>	777
Jack Williamson, <i>Meromorphic functions with negative zeros and positive poles and a theorem of Teichmüller</i>	795
William Robin Zame, <i>Algebras of analytic functions in the plane</i>	811