Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON MESOCOMPACT AND SEQUENTIALLY MESOCOMPACT SPACES

JAMES ROBERT BOONE

Vol. 44, No. 1

May 1973

A NOTE ON MESOCOMPACT AND SEQUENTIALLY MESOCOMPACT SPACES

JAMES R. BOONE

Characterizations of paracompactness in k-spaces have been obtained which employ the notions of mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact spaces. Property (ω) has been used to characterize collectionwise normality in sequential spaces, and applied to the study of metrizability of developable spaces.

It is the purpose of this paper present mapping theorems, in §3, which establish the invariance properties of normal mesocompact spaces, under perfect mappings, and normal sequentially mesocompact spaces, under closed presequential mappings. For this purpose, characterizations of these structures are developed in §2, and the notion of a presequential mapping is introduced in §3. These characterizations are obtained by the use of two generalizations of collectionwise normality, property (k) and property (ω) , which are defined and studied in §1. Characterizations of collectionwise normality and paracompactness in spaces with property (k) are presented in §4.

In 1970, V. Mancuso published a paper [8] which presented the relationships between the notions of mesocompactness and sequential mesocompactness [1], strong and weak cover compactness [5], and Property Q [12].

Theorem 2.4, in [8], seems to establish some of the invariance properties of mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact spaces. There are, however, some errors in the proof of this theorem. With a slight notational change, the proof of the sufficiency of this theorem is correct, for both the compact-finite and the *cs*-finite cases. The error in the proof of the necessity, for the compact-finite case, lies in the fact that (using the notation of [8]) although $f^{-1}(K)$ may meet at most finitely many U_{α} , $f^{-1}(K)$ may meet infinitely many H_y , because each U_{α} may be used in obtaining infinitely many different G_y .

Also, the *cs*-finite case does not follow from the compact-finite case, because the inverse image of a covergent sequence, under a perfect mapping need not contain a convergent sequence, which converges to a point in the inverse image of the limit point. An example of this is contained in [3].

In this paper, all spaces will be Hausdorff spaces and all functions will be continuous surjections. By a convergent sequence, we mean the sequence and its limit point.

1. Property (k). A topological space is said to have property (k)

(property (ω) [2]), if for each discrete collection of closed sets $\mathscr{F} = \{F_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$, there exists a compact-finite (cs-finite) collection of open sets $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ such that $F_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$, for each $\alpha \in A$ and $U_{\alpha} \cap F_{\beta} = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. Since every compact-finite collection is cs-finite, every space with property (k) has property (ω). Spaces with property (ω) were studied and applied to the metrizability of developable spaces in [2].

THEOREM 1. Every mesocompact space has property (k).

Proof. Let $\mathscr{F} = \{F_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ be any discrete collection of closed sets in a mesocompact space X. For each $\alpha \in A$, let $U_{\alpha} = X - \bigcup \{F_{\beta} : \beta \neq \alpha\}$. Then $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ is an open covering of X. Since X is mesocompact, there exists a precise compact-finite open refinement, $\mathscr{V} = \{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ of \mathscr{U} which covers X. The collection \mathscr{V} has the properties required to show X has property (k). This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. Every collectionwise normal space has property (k).

Proof. If X is collectionwise normal and $\mathscr{F} = \{F_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ is a discrete collection of closed sets, then by Dowker's result [6], there exists a discrete collection of open sets $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ such that $F_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$, for each $\alpha \in A$. Since every discrete collection is compact-finite, X has property (k).

The proof of Theorem 5 relies on the following mapping theorem spaces with property (k).

THEOREM 3. The perfect image of a space with property (k) has for property (k).

Proof. Let X be a space with property (k), and let $f: X \to Y$ be a perfect mapping. Let $\mathscr{F} = \{F_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\}$ be any discrete collection of closed sets in Y. Then $\mathscr{F}' = \{f^{-1}(F_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A\}$ is a discrete collection of closed sets in X. Since X has property (k), there exists a compact-finite collection of open sets $\mathscr{G} = \{G_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\}$ such that $f^{-1}(F_{\alpha}) \subset G_{\alpha}$, for each $\alpha \in A$ and $f^{-1}(F_{\alpha}) \cap G_{\beta} = \emptyset$, if $\alpha \neq \beta$. Since f is closed, for each $\alpha \in A$ there exists an open set $U_{\alpha} \subset Y$, such that $F_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$ and $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \subset G_{\alpha}$. Hence, $F_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} = \emptyset$, if $\alpha \neq \beta$. If K is any compact set in Y, then $f^{-1}(K)$ is compact in X. Thus, since $G_{\alpha} \cap f^{-1}(K) \neq \emptyset$ for at most finitely many $\alpha \in A$, and $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \subset G_{\alpha}$, $U_{\alpha} \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for at most finitely many $\alpha \in A$. Thus, $\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\}$ is compact-finite. Accordingly, Y has property (k). 2. Characterization of normal mesocompact and normal sequentially mesocompact spaces. The following characterizations are similar to Michael's characterization [9] of the paracompact spaces. That is, the paracompact spaces are precisely the collectionwise normal metacompact spaces. Michael's work, as will be apparent in the proof of Theorem 4, contains all of the ideas and constructions necessary to prove the theorem.

THEOREM 4. A normal space is mesocompact (sequentially mesocompact) iff it is a metacompact space with property (k) (property (ω)).

Proof. The necessity is clear. We will prove the sufficiency. Let X be a normal metacompact space with property (k), and let \mathcal{G} be any open covering of X. Since X is metacompact, there exists a point-finite open refinement \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{G} . (To avoid confusion, Michael's notation will be used in this proof.) By Michael's construction (in the proof of his Theorem 2 [9] and the hypothesis that X has property (k) (property (ω)), there exists a sequence { $\mathcal{W}_i: i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ } of collections of open subsets of X such that, the following conditions are satisfied for each i:

(a) Every $W \in \mathscr{W}_i$ is a subset of some $U \in \mathscr{U}$.

(b) \mathcal{W}_i is compact-finite (cs-finite).

(c) If $x \in X$ is an element of at most i elements of \mathcal{U} , then there exists $W \in \mathscr{W}_n$, $0 \leq n \leq i$, such that $x \in W$.

(d) Every $x \in \bigcup \{ W: W \in \mathscr{W}_i \}$ is an element of at least i elements of \mathscr{U} .

For each $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, let $W_i = \bigcup \{W: W \in \mathscr{W}_i\}$. By (c) and (d), $\{W_i\}$ is a point-finite open covering of X. Morita [10, Theorem 3 and Lemma 3] has shown that, every countable point-finite covering of a normal space has a locally finite refinement. Accordingly, since X is normal, $\{W_i\}$ has a locally finite open refinement which covers X, $\{V_i\}$, where $V_i \subset W_i$, for each *i*. By (a), the collection $\mathscr{H} =$ $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \{V_i \cap W: W \in \mathscr{W}_i\}$ is an open refinement of \mathscr{U} , and thus of \mathscr{G} . Since locally finite families are compact-finite (cs-finite), for each compact set $K \subset K$ (convergent sequence K in X), $V_i \cap K \neq \emptyset$, for at most finitely many *i*. Further, since \mathscr{W}_i is compact-finite (csfinite), for each *i*, by (b), $(V_i \cap W) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for at most finitely many sets in \mathscr{H} . Accordingly, \mathscr{H} is a compact-finite (cs-finite) open refinement of \mathscr{G} which covers X, and X is mesocompact (sequentially mesocompact).

An example of a Tychonoff sequentially mesocompact space which is not mesocompact is presented in [4, Example 2.1]. This answers one of the open questions in Remark 1.13 [8]. 3. Mapping theorems. The following theorems use an important theorem of Worrell [11], which states that the closed image of a metacompact space is metacompact.

THEOREM 5. The perfect image of a normal mesocompact space is a normal mesocompact space.

Proof. Let X be a normal mesocompact space, and let $f: X \to Y$ be a perfect mapping. Since perfect mappings are closed, Y is metacompact, by Worrell's theorem. Clearly, Y is normal. Since f is perfect, Y has property, (k), by Theorem 3. Hence, by Theorem 4, Y is a normal mesocompact space. This completes the proof.

As was noted before, the perfect mappings do not satisfy the sequential conditions necessary for the mapping theorem, for the normal sequentially mesocompact sepaces, to follow as a consequence of Theorem 5. Some of the notions introduced by Franklin [7] will be used to define a mapping with the desired properties. Franklin calls a set, H, sequentially closed, if no sequence in H converges to a point not in H, and a space sequential, if every sequentially closed set is closed. We will call a mapping, $f: X \to Y$, presequential, if for each convergent sequence $\{p_i\}$ in Y, $p_i \rightarrow p$, which is not eventually equal to $p, \cup \{f^{-1}(p_i): i \in N, p_i \neq p\}$ is not sequentially closed. Although the perfect mappings need not be presequential [3], many mappings of interest are presequential. For example, the countable-to-one perfect mappings and the quotient mappings defined on sequential spaces are presequential. That is, any quotient map such that, the preimage of a convergent sequence is sequential as a subspace, is presequential.

THEOREM 6. If $f: X \to Y$ is a presequential mapping, then for each convergent sequence $\{p_i\}$ in $Y, p_i \to p$, there exists a convergent sequence $\{q_n\}$ in X such that, $q_n \in f^{-1}(p_{i_n})$, for some subsequence $\{p_{i_n}\}$, and $\{q_n\}$ converges to some $q \in f^{-1}(p)$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem B in [3], contains the proof of this theorem.

THEOREM 7. The closed presequential image of a space with property (ω) has property (ω).

Proof. Let X be a space with property (ω) , and let $f: X \to Y$ be a closed presequential map. Let $\mathscr{F} = \{F_{\alpha}: \alpha \in A\}$ be any discrete collection of closed subsets of Y. Then $\mathscr{F}' = \{f^{-1}(F_{\alpha}): \alpha \in A\}$ is a discrete closed collection in X. Since X has property (ω) , there exists a cs-finite collection of open sets $\mathscr{G} = \{G_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ such that $f^{-1}(F_{\alpha}) \subset G_{\alpha}$, for each $\alpha \in A$ and $f^{-1}(F_{\alpha}) \cap G_{\beta} = \emptyset$, if $\alpha \neq \beta$. Since f is closed, for each $\alpha \in A$ there exists an open set in Y, U_{α} , such that $F_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha}$ and $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \subset G_{\alpha}$. Hence $F_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} = \emptyset$, if $\alpha \neq \beta$.

The collection $\mathscr{U} = \{U_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$ is point-finite in Y; because, if any point $p \in Y$ were an element of infinitely many sets, U_{α} , then any point $q \in f^{-1}(p)$ would be an element of infinitely many sets, $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha})$, and thus of infinitely many sets, G_{α} . Thus contradicting the csfiniteness of \mathscr{G} .

Assume \mathscr{U} is not cs-finite. Then there is some convergent sequence $\{p_i\}$ in $Y, p_i \rightarrow p$, such that $U_{\alpha} \cap (\{p_i: i \in N) \cup \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$, for infinitely many $\alpha \in A$. By the point-finiteness of \mathscr{U} , there is a subsequence $\{p_{i_j}\}$ of $\{p_i\}$ such that $p_{i_j} \in U_{\alpha(j)} \in \mathscr{U}$ and $U_{\alpha(j)} \neq U_{\alpha(k)}$, for $j \neq k$. Since $p_{i_j} \rightarrow p$ and \mathscr{U} is not cs-finite on $\{p_{i_j}\}$, for simplicity we can let the subsequence be $\{p_i\}$ itself. Since f is presequential, there exists a convergent sequence $\{q_n\}$ in X such that, $q_n \in f^{-1}(p_{i_n})$, for some subsequence $\{p_{i_n}\}$, and $\{q_n\}$ converges to some $q \in f^{-1}(p)$. Thus, $q_n \in f^{-1}(U_{\alpha(i_n)})$, for each $n \in N$. Since $U_{\alpha(i_n)} \neq U_{\alpha(i_m)}$, if $n \neq m$ implies $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha(i_n)}) \neq f^{-1}(U_{\alpha(i_m)}), f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \cap (\{q_n: n \in N\} \cup \{q\}) \neq \emptyset$, for infinitely many $\alpha \in A$. Since $f^{-1}(U_{\alpha}) \subset G_{\alpha}$, for each $\alpha \in A, G_{\alpha} \cap (\{q_n: n \in N\} \cup \{q\}) \neq \emptyset$, for infinitely many $\alpha \in A$. But this is a contradiction to the cs-finiteness of \mathscr{G} . Hence \mathscr{U} is cs-finite. Accordingly, Y has property (ω) and this completes the proof.

By Worrell's Theorem [11], the invariance of normality under closed maps, Theorem 7 and finally Theorem 4, the following theorem is established.

THEOREM 8. The closed presequential image of a normal sequentially mesocompact space is a normal sequentially mesocompact space.

Example 2.2 in [4] establishes that the perfect image of a Tychonoff sequentially mesocompact space need not be sequentially mesocompact.

4. Collectionwise normality and paracompactness of spaces with property (k). The following theorem, which is analogous to [2, Corollary 3.2], is a consequence of the characterization of collectionwise normal spaces, presented in [2, Theorem 3.1].

THEOREM 9. A k-space is collectionwise normal iff it is a normal space with property (k).

Accordingly from Michael's theorem [9] and Theorem 6, or from

Theorem 4 and [1, Theorem 3.2], paracompactness in k-spaces is characterized in the following theorem.

THEOREM 10. A k-space is paracompact iff is a normal metacompact space with property (k).

The collectionwise normality and paracompactness of spaces with property (ω) is presented in [2].

References

1. J. R. Boone, Some characterizations of paracompactness in k-spaces, Fund. Math., 72 (1971), 146-154.

2. _____, A metrization theorem for developable spaces, Fund. Math., **73** (1971), 79-83.

3. _____, Nearness preserving conditions for inverse images, Notices Amer. Math., Soc., **18** (1971), 1112.

4. _____, Examples relating to mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact spaces, Fund. Math., 77 (1972), 91–93.

5. R. C. Briggs, III, A comparison of covering properties T_3 and in T_4 spaces, Doctoral Dissertation, Univ. of Houston, 1968.

6. C. H. Dowker, On a theorem of Hanner, Ark. Mat., 2 (1952), 307-313.

7. S. P. Franklin, Spaces in which sequences suffice, Fund. Math., 57 (1965), 107-115.

8. V. J. Mancuso, Mesocompactness and related properties, Pacific J. Math., 33 (1970), 345-355.

E. Michael, Point-finite and locally finite coverings, Canad. J. Math., 7 (1955), 275-279.
 K. Morita, Star-finite coverings and the star-finite property, Math. Japonicae, 1 (1948), 60-68.

11. J. M. Worrell, Jr., The closed continuous images of metacompact spaces, Port. Math., 25 (1966), 175-179.

12. J. N. Younglove, Concerning dense metric subspaces of certain non-metric spaces, Fund. Math., **48** (1960), 15-25.

Received September 14, 1971.

TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

 SUPPORTING
 INSTITUTIONS

 COLUMBIA
 UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

 F TECHNOLOGY
 STANFORD UNIVERSITY

 VIA
 UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

 SITY
 UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

 WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

 VIERSITY
 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

 TY
 *

K. YOSHIDA

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 44, No. 1 May, 1973

Jimmy T. Arnold, <i>Power series rings over Prüfer domains</i>	1
Maynard G. Arsove, On the behavior of Pincherle basis functions	13
Jan William Auer, <i>Fiber integration in smooth bundles</i>	33
George Bachman, Edward Beckenstein and Lawrence Narici, Function algebras	
over valued fields	45
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and the visibility function</i>	59
James Robert Boone, A note on mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact	
spaces	69
Selwyn Ross Caradus, Semiclosed operators	75
John H. E. Cohn, <i>Two primary factor inequalities</i>	81
Mani Gagrat and Somashekhar Amrith Naimpally, Proximity approach to	
semi-metric and developable spaces	93
John Grant, Automorphisms definable by formulas	107
Walter Kurt Hayman, <i>Differential inequalities and local valency</i>	117
Wolfgang H. Heil, <i>Testing 3-manifolds for projective planes</i>	139
Melvin Hochster and Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Five theorems on Macaulay	
rings	147
Thomas Benton Hoover, <i>Operator algebras with reducing invariant subspaces</i>	173
James Edgar Keesling, <i>Topological groups whose underlying spaces are separable</i>	
Fréchet manifolds	181
Frank Leroy Knowles, <i>Idempotents in the boundary of a Lie group</i>	191
George Edward Lang, <i>The evaluation map and EHP sequences</i>	201
Everette Lee May, Jr, <i>Localizing the spectrum</i>	211
Frank Belsley Miles, Existence of special K-sets in certain locally compact abelian	
groups	219
Susan Montgomery, A generalization of a theorem of Jacobson. II	233
T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh, <i>Equilibrium of inverse-distance forces in</i>	
three-dimensions	241
Arunava Mukherjea and Nicolas A. Tserpes, <i>Invariant measures and the converse</i>	
of Haar's theorem on semitopological semigroups	251
James Waring Noonan, <i>On close-to-convex functions of order</i> β	263
Donald Steven Passman, <i>The Jacobian of a growth transformation</i>	281
Dean Blackburn Priest, A mean Stieltjes type integral	291
Joe Bill Rhodes, <i>Decomposition of semilattices with applications to topological</i>	
lattices	299
Claus M. Ringel, Socle conditions for QF – 1 rings	309
Richard Rochberg, <i>Linear maps of the disk algebra</i>	337
Roy W. Ryden, Groups of arithmetic functions under Dirichlet convolution	355
Michael J. Sharpe, A class of operators on excessive functions	361
Erling Stormer, Automorphisms and equivalence in von Neumann algebras	371
Philip C. Tonne, Matrix representations for linear transformations on series	
analytic in the unit disc	385