

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A BIFURCATION THEOREM FOR k -SET CONTRACTIONS

JAMES WILLIAM THOMAS

A BIFURCATION THEOREM FOR k -SET CONTRACTIONS

J. W. THOMAS

One of the the most often used results of bifurcation theory is the following theorem. Let C be a compact mapping from the Banach space X into itself. Suppose that C is such that $C(\theta) = \theta$ and the derivative of C exists at $x = \theta$. Then each characteristic value, μ_0 , of odd multiplicity of $C'(\theta)$ is a bifurcation point of C , and to this bifurcation point there corresponds a continuous branch of eigenvectors of C . The main result in this paper will show that the above theorem can be extended to a class of non-compact mapping of the form $I - f$ where f is a k -set contraction.

In the process of proving the above mentioned theorem a characterization of topological degree for k -set contractions is obtained. This characterization can be used, and actually has been used, as an alternate definition. The theorem that yields this characterization also allows an axiomatic approach to topological degree for k -set contractions, which in turn yields a uniqueness theorem for this degree.

In § 2 we define k -set contractions and obtain several properties for k -set contractions. Some of these properties and other work concerning k -set contractions can be found in [4], [7] and [8].

Section 3 contains the main theorem, which extends the bifurcation theorem due to M. A. Krasnosel'skii as found on page 196 of [6]. Applications of this theorem will follow in a later paper. In the process of proving this theorem we obtain a characterization of topological degree that was used by Fenske as the definition. (See [5].) This definition is useful as a calculational device.

In § 4 we state axioms and use Corollary 5 to show that the topological degree of a k -set contraction is unique. The approach used here is much the same as was used in [3].

I would like to thank Robert F. Brown for his suggestions which let to the results obtained in § 4.

2. Preliminaries. Let X be a real Banach space. For any subset of X , say Ω , define the *measure of compactness* of Ω to be $\gamma(\Omega) = \inf\{d > 0 \mid \Omega \text{ can be covered by a finite number of sets of diameter less than or equal to } d\}$ (See [7], page 413). We shall say that g is a k -set contraction if given any bounded set $A \subseteq X$, $g(A)$ is a bounded subset of X and $\gamma[g(A)] \leq k\gamma[A]$ (See [4] and [8]). We shall consider only k -set contractions for which $k < 1$. An example

of a k -set contraction is the sum of a compact map and a contraction.

Now suppose that G is an open bounded subset of X and that $f: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is a k -set contraction for which $a \notin (I-f)(\partial G)$. It is then possible to define the topological degree of $I-f$ at a with respect to G , denoted by $d(I-f, G, a)$, as is shown by Nussbaum in [8]. This definition of topological degree has all of the usual properties of topological degree and contains the usual definition of degree.

We next state a lemma giving some properties of k -set contractions that we shall need. Proofs of these properties can be found in [8].

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that f is a differentiable k -set contraction. Then*

- (1) $f'(x)$ is also a k -set contraction,
- (2) the spectrum of $f'(x)$ is finite for $|\lambda| > k$, and
- (3) $f'(x)$ is a Fredholm map of index 0.

The above lemma is the key to the proofs given in this paper. These three properties are also satisfied by compact mappings and are, in fact, the properties that make possible much of the work done with compact mappings. It is for this reason that the class of k -set contractions seems to be a convenient setting in which to study much applied mathematics.

The last preliminary result that we shall need is that the product formula for topological degree holds for k -set contractions. We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let X_1 and X_2 be real Banach spaces and $G_1 \subset X_1$ and $G_2 \subset X_2$ be bounded open sets. Suppose that $f_i: \bar{G}_i \rightarrow X_i$, $i = 1, 2$ are k -set contractions for which $\theta \notin (I_i - f_i)(\partial G_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ (where I_i denotes the identity on X_i). Then*

$$d(I - f_1 \times f_2, G_1 \times G_2, (\theta, \theta)) = d(I_1 - f_1, G_1, \theta) d(I_2 - f_2, G_2, \theta),$$

where I is the identity on $X_1 \times X_2$.

Proof. Nussbaum defined $d(I-f, G, \theta)$ to be the fixed point index of f restricted to a certain compact ANR [8]. Consequently, the result follows from the product theorem for the fixed point index on compact ANR's [2].

3. Main result. Again let X denote a real Banach space, let f be a k -set contraction which is differentiable at $x = \theta$, and let F denote $f'(\theta)$. Without loss of generality let $f(\theta) = \theta$. (If $f(\theta) \neq \theta$,

perform an appropriate translation.) We then state the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Let f and F be as above and suppose that μ_0 , where $|\mu_0| > k$, is not a characteristic value of F . Then we can find a ball about θ , say $B \subset X$, in which there are no eigenvectors of f corresponding to eigenvalues close to μ_0 .*

Proof. This theorem is proved for the case when f is compact on page 192 of [6]. Since the compactness is not used in that proof, the same proof holds for our lemma.

We next state a proposition that is helpful not only for obtaining our main theorem but also for obtaining Corollary 5, which provides a convenient method for calculating the topological degree of a k -set contraction.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let f and F be as above and suppose that 1 is not an eigenvalue of F . Then θ is an isolated solution of*

$$(I - f)(x) = \theta$$

and the index of θ is $(-1)^\beta$, where β is the sum of the multiplicities of the characteristic values of F in $(0, 1)$.

Proof. Since 1 is not an eigenvalue of F , there exists an $M > 0$ such that $\|(I - F)(y)\| \geq M\|y\|$. Let R be such that

$$f(x) = F(x) + R(x),$$

where $\|R(x)\|/\|x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow 0$ ($f'(\theta) = F$ and $f(\theta) = \theta$). Choose ρ so that $x \in B_\rho$ (the ball of radius ρ about θ) implies that $\|R(x)\| \leq M\|x\|/3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - f)(x)\| &\geq \|(I - F)x\| - \|R(x)\| \\ &\geq M\|x\| - M\|x\|/3 = (2M)/3\|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, θ is an isolated solution.

If we let $H_1(x, t) = I - tf(x) - (1 - t)F(x)$, then a calculation much like the last one shows that H_1 is a homotopy such that $\theta \notin H_1(\partial B_\rho, t)$ for all t . Thus

$$d(I - f, B_\rho, \theta) = d(I - F, B_\rho, \theta).$$

(Note that $d(I - F, B_\rho, \theta)$ is defined since by property (1) of Lemma 1, F is a k -set contraction.)

Now let $X_1 = \{\text{span of the eigenvectors of } F \text{ associated with characteristic values in } (0, 1)\}$. X_1 is finite dimensional by property (2) of Lemma 1. Let β be the dimension of X_1 , X^1 be the complementary space of X_1 , P be the projection from X onto X_1 and set $B = B_\rho \cap X_1$ and $B^1 = B_\rho \cap X^1$. Then by the product formula for topological degree we have that

$$d(I - F, B_\rho, \theta) = d(I - f, B, \theta) d(I - F, B^1, \theta).$$

Letting $H_s(x, t) = (I - tF)(x)$, we see that $H_s(x, t) = 0$ for some $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in \partial B^1$ if and only if F has a characteristic value in $(0, 1]$ (or $I(x) = 0$ on ∂B^1). But in X^1 this is clearly impossible. Thus

$$d(I - F, B^1, \theta) = d(I, B^1, \theta) = 1.$$

If we let $H_s(x, t) = ((2t - 1)I - tF)(x)$, we see that $H_s(x, t) \neq \theta$ on ∂B and hence that

$$d(I - F, B, \theta) = d(-I, B, \theta).$$

But $d(-I, B, \theta) = (-1)^\beta$. Thus $d(I - f, B_\rho, \theta) = (-1)^\beta$.

In [5] Fenske gives an alternate definition of the topological degree of a differentiable k -set contraction at a regular point and then proceeds to show that the new definition of topological degree satisfies all of the usual properties. It is not shown in [5] that the two definitions are equivalent. Using Proposition 4 we obtain this definition as a corollary.

COROLLARY 5. *Consider the open bounded subset G of the Banach space X . Suppose that $f: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ is a differentiable k -set contraction. Given a point y in X , there is a regular point y' of f such that*

$$d(I - f, G, y) = \sum_{x \in (I - f)^{-1}(y')} (-1)^{\beta(f'(x))},$$

where $\beta(f'(x))$ denotes the sum of the multiplicities of the characteristic values of $f'(x)$ in $(0, 1)$.

Proof. By the Sard-Smale theorem, we may choose y' close enough to y so that $d(I - f, G, y) = d(I - f, G, y')$. Since $(I - f)^{-1}(y')$ is finite, the additivity property of degree (see § 4), a translation back to θ , and Proposition 4 then complete the proof.

We now proceed to state and prove our main result.

THEOREM 6. *Let f be a k -set contraction from the Banach space X into itself such that $f(\theta) = \theta$ and $f'(\theta)$ exists. Then each characteristic value, $|\mu_0| > k$, of odd multiplicity of $f'(\theta)$ is a bifurcation*

point of, and to this bifurcation point there corresponds a continuous branch of eigenvectors of f .

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists by Lemma 3 a ball with center at θ in which the only solution of the equations

$$(I - \mu_0 - \varepsilon)f(x) = \theta$$

and $(I - (\mu_0 + \varepsilon)f)(x) = \theta$ is $x = \theta$. By Proposition 4 we can find a ball $B^* \subset B$ containing θ so that

$$d(I - (\mu_0 - \varepsilon)f, B^*, \theta) = -d(I - (\mu_0 + \varepsilon)f, B^*, \theta).$$

Therefore, $I - (\mu_0 - \varepsilon)f$ and $I - (\mu_0 + \varepsilon)f$ are not homotopic, and hence there exists an $x \in \partial B^*$ and a $\mu \in (\mu_0 - \varepsilon, \mu_0 + \varepsilon)$ such that $(I - \mu f)(x) = \theta$. This completes our proof.

It is sometimes convenient to consider a bifurcation problem in the form $(h - \mu f)(x) = \theta$, where h is a homeomorphism. We note that if we add the conditions that h is such that (1) $f \circ h^{-1}$ is a k' -set contraction for $k' < 1$ and (2) $h'(\theta)$ exists and is such that $h'(\theta)$ is a homeomorphism, then Theorem 6 will remain true.

As an application of Theorem 6 for which the case for compact maps will not apply we consider the Hartree equation for the Helium atom (See [10]). The Hartree equation is of the form

$$* \quad -\frac{1}{2} \Delta u - \frac{2}{\|x\|} u + u \int \frac{u^2(t)}{|t-x|} dt = \lambda u, \quad u \in L(R^3)$$

and can be represented in form $\lambda u = G(u)$ where G is not compact. Since G is of the form $L + H$ where L is compact and H satisfies $\|H(x) - H(y)\| \leq M(x, y) \|x - y\|$ where $M(x, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $(x, y) \rightarrow (\theta, \theta)$, it is easy to see that there exists a neighborhood of the origin in which G is a k -set contraction. Thus we see that at the odd eigenvalues of equation * we obtain a continuous branch of eigenvectors.

4. The uniqueness of topological degree. While discussing the results obtained by Fenske in [5], it was suggested that it might be of interest to obtain a set of axioms for topological degree on Banach spaces out of which we could obtain a uniqueness theorem analogous to the result in [3]. In this section we shall obtain such a theorem for differentiable mappings on Banach spaces.

Suppose that G is a bounded, open subset of the Banach space X . Consider $I - f: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$ where f is a differentiable k -set contraction. We suppose that a degree of $I - f$ with respect to G at y (for $y \notin (I - f)(\partial G)$), denoted by $d(I - f, G, y)$, is defined and satisfies the following properties.

(1) (The Additivity Property). If all solutions of $(I - f)(x) = y$ in G are contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \subset G$, where the G_i are bounded, open and pairwise disjoint sets for which $y \notin \partial(I - f)(G_i)$, then

$$d(I - f, G, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(I - f, G_i, y) .$$

(2) (The Homotopy Property). If $H: \bar{G} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is such that H is a k -set contraction and such that $H(x, t) \neq y$ for $x \in \partial G$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then $d(I - H(\circ, t), G, y) = \text{constant}$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

(3) (The Normalization Property). $d(I, G, y) = 1 \quad y \in G$.

(4) (The Product Property). If f_1, f_2, G_1, G_2, y_1 and y_2 are such that $d(I - f_1, G_1, y_1)$ and $d(I - f_2, G_2, y_2)$ are defined, then

$$d(I - f_1 \times f_2, G_1 \times G_2, (y_1, y_2))$$

is also defined and

$$d(I - f_1 \times f_2, G_1 \times G_2, (y_1, y_2)) = d(I - f_1, G_1, y_1) d(I - f_2, G_2, y_2) .$$

The next step is to show that

$$** \quad d(I - f, G, y) = \sum_{x \in (I - f)^{-1}(y_1)} (-1)^{\beta(f'(x))} ,$$

where y_1 is any regular point of f in a sufficiently small neighborhood of y , follows from properties (1) - (4) stated above. If any topological degree satisfying properties (1) - (4) can be expressed as in **, then it is surely unique.

We note that the expression ** was obtained in Corollary 5. Thus we need only to verify that Proposition 4 and Corollary 5 follow from the properties (1) - (4) stated above. A review of these proofs shows that besides the steps that follow from the properties of k -set contractions, most of the steps are clearly consequences of properties (1) - (4). The major exception is the statement that $d(-I, B, \theta) = (-1)^\beta$ where B is a ball in β dimensional space. This can very easily be seen to be true considering the definition of finite dimensional topological degree via the Jacobian. However, for the purposes of this section, that approach is inadequate. For this reason we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 7. *Let B and β be as above. Then*

$$d(-I, B, \theta) = (-1)^\beta .$$

Proof. We began by using the additivity property to reduce $d(-I, B, \theta)$ to $d(-I, C, \theta)$ where

$$C = \{(x_1, \dots, x_\beta) \mid |x_i| < 1, i = 1, \dots, \beta\} .$$

We then repeatedly use the product property to reduce our problem to that of showing that $d(-I, (-1, 1), \theta) = -1$. To prove this we consider the following function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} -x & x \in (-1, 1) \\ x - 2 & x \in (1, 4) \end{cases}.$$

The additivity property yields

$$d(g, (-1, 4), \theta) = d(-I, (-1, 1), \theta) + d(x - 2, (1, 4), \theta).$$

It is then easy to show that g is homotopic to the function $(x+6)/5$. Thus $d(g, (-1, 4), \theta) = d((x+6)/5, (-1, 4), \theta)$.

We next need the following consequence of the additivity axiom: if $(I-f)(x) = y$ has no solutions in G , then $d(I-f, G, y) = 0$. This property is used to show that $d((x+6)/5, (-1, 4), \theta) = 0$. Thus we have that $d(g, (-1, 4), \theta) = 0$.

We also note by the additivity property

$$d(x - 2, (-1, 4), \theta) = d(x - 2, (-1, 1), \theta) + d(x - 2, (1, 4), \theta).$$

Again, as above, the additivity property implies that

$$d(x - 2, (-1, 1), \theta) = 0.$$

On the other hand $x - 2$ is homotopic to I on $[-1, 4]$ without solutions on the boundary, so

$$d(x - 2, (-1, 4), \theta) = d(I, (-1, 4), \theta) = 1$$

by the homotopy property and property (3).

The above calculations then imply that

$$d(-I, (-1, 1), \theta) = -1$$

which is what we were to prove.

Thus using Lemma 7 along with the proofs of Proposition 4 and Corollary 5 we see that a topological degree for differentiable k -set contractions which satisfies properties (1) – (4) is unique. It is possible to remove the differentiability condition if we consider a Hilbert space by using the Weierstrauss approximation theorem which can be found in [9]. It is unknown whether this can be done for an arbitrary Banach space.

REFERENCES

1. F. E. Browder and R. D. Nussbaum, *The fixed point index and asymptotic fixed point theorems for k -set contractions*, Amer. Math. Soc., Bull., **75** (1969), 490-495.

2. Robert F. Brown, *Notes on Leray's index theory*, Advances in Mathematics, **7**, No. 1 (1971), 1-28.
3. ———, *An elementary proof of the uniqueness of the fixed point index*, Pacific J. Math., **35** (1970), 549-558.
4. G. Darbo, *Punti uniti in trasformazioni a condeminio non compatto*, Rend. del Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **24** (1955), 84-92.
5. von Christian Fenske, *Analytische Theorie des Abbildungsgrades für Abbildungen in Banachräumen*, preprint.
6. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*, The Macmillan Company, New York, 1964.
7. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 1, New York, 1966.
8. R. D. Nussbaum, *The fixed point index and fixed point theorems for k -set contractions*, dissertation, University of Chicago, 1969.
9. P. M. Prenter, *A Weierstrauss theorem for real separable Hilbert spaces*, MRC Technical Summary Report #868, April, 1968.
10. M. Reeken, *A general theorem on bifurcation and its application to the Hartree equation of the Helium atom*, J. Math. Physics, **11** (1970), 2505-2512.

Received October 29, 1971. This work was performed while the author was at the University of Arizona on a post doctoral fellowship which was supported by a Science Developmental Grant from the National Science Foundation.

UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

Present address: COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Tsuyoshi Andô, <i>Closed range theorems for convex sets and linear liftings</i>	393
Richard David Bourgin, <i>Conically bounded sets in Banach spaces</i>	411
Robert Jay Buck, <i>Hausdorff dimensions for compact sets in R^n</i>	421
Henry Cheng, <i>A constructive Riemann mapping theorem</i>	435
David Fleming Dawson, <i>Summability of subsequences and stretchings of sequences</i>	455
William Thomas Eaton, <i>A two sided approximation theorem for 2-spheres</i>	461
Jay Paul Fillmore and John Herman Scheuneman, <i>Fundamental groups of compact complete locally affine complex surfaces</i>	487
Avner Friedman, <i>Bounded entire solutions of elliptic equations</i>	497
Ronald Francis Gariepy, <i>Multiplicity and the area of an $(n - 1)$ continuous mapping</i>	509
Andrew M. W. Glass, <i>Archimedean extensions of directed interpolation groups</i>	515
Morisuke Hasumi, <i>Extreme points and unicity of extremum problems in H^1 on polydiscs</i>	523
Trevor Ongley Hawkes, <i>On the Fitting length of a soluble linear group</i>	537
Garry Arthur Helzer, <i>Semi-primary split rings</i>	541
Melvin Hochster, <i>Expanded radical ideals and semiregular ideals</i>	553
Keizō Kikuchi, <i>Starlike and convex mappings in several complex variables</i>	569
Charles Philip Lanski, <i>On the relationship of a ring and the subring generated by its symmetric elements</i>	581
Jimmie Don Lawson, <i>Intrinsic topologies in topological lattices and semilattices</i>	593
Roy Bruce Levow, <i>Counterexamples to conjectures of Ryser and de Oliveira</i>	603
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Some representations of the automorphism group of an infinite continuous homogeneous measure algebra</i>	607
William George McArthur, <i>G_δ-diagonals and metrization theorems</i>	613
James Murdoch McPherson, <i>Wild arcs in three-space. II. An invariant of non-oriented local type</i>	619
H. Millington and Maurice Sion, <i>Inverse systems of group-valued measures</i>	637
William James Rae Mitchell, <i>Simple periodic rings</i>	651
C. Edward Moore, <i>Concrete semispaces and lexicographic separation of convex sets</i>	659
Jingyal Pak, <i>Actions of torus T^n on $(n + 1)$-manifolds M^{n+1}</i>	671
Merrell Lee Patrick, <i>Extensions of inequalities of the Laguerre and Turán type</i>	675
Harold L. Peterson, Jr., <i>Discontinuous characters and subgroups of finite index</i>	683
S. P. Philipp, <i>Abel summability of conjugate integrals</i>	693
R. B. Quintana and Charles R. B. Wright, <i>On groups of exponent four satisfying an Engel condition</i>	701
Marlon C. Rayburn, <i>On Hausdorff compactifications</i>	707
Martin G. Ribe, <i>Necessary convexity conditions for the Hahn-Banach theorem in metrizable spaces</i>	715
Ryōtarō Satō, <i>On decomposition of transformations in infinite measure spaces</i>	733
Peter Drummond Taylor, <i>Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a directional derivative</i>	739
James William Thomas, <i>A bifurcation theorem for k-set contractions</i>	749
Clifford Edward Weil, <i>A topological lemma and applications to real functions</i>	757
Stephen Andrew Williams, <i>A nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem</i>	767
Pak-Ken Wong, <i>$*$-actions in A^*-algebras</i>	775