Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE MINIMAL DISPLACEMENT OF POINTS UNDER LIPSCHITZIAN MAPPINGS

KAZIMIERZ GOEBEL

ON THE MINIMAL DISPLACEMENT OF POINTS UNDER LIPSCHITZIAN MAPPINGS

K. GOEBEL

The aim of this paper is to study the evaluation of the quantity $\inf ||x-Tx||$ when T is a Lipschitzian self mapping of a closed bounded and convex subset of a Banach space. It is proved that in an arbitrary Banach space there exists a function $\varphi(k)\colon \langle 1,\infty\rangle \to \langle 0,1\rangle$ such that for arbitrary $T\colon X\to X$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition constant k>1, $\inf ||x-Tx||\le \varphi(k)r(X)$ where r(X) denotes the radius of the set X. Some precise formulas for $\varphi(k)$ are obtained in certain spaces along with some general evaluations of it in arbitrary spaces. In particular, the case of Hilbert space is considered and some evaluations for $\varphi(k)$ are obtained in that setting.

Introduction. According to the well known Brouwer's fixed point theorem, an arbitrary continuous self mapping of a bounded closed and convex subset of a finite dimensional Banach space has a fixed point. This result is not true in arbitrary infinite dimensional spaces even for nonexpansive mappings. F. E. Browder [1], D. Göhde [3], and W. A. Kirk [4] independently proved that the fixed point property does hold for nonexpansive mapping under some additional assumptions concerning the properties of the space (e.g., uniform convexity, normal structure), and in Kirk's paper [4] there is an example showing that this result cannot be extended to the case of a mapping with Lipschitz constant k > 1. However, most of the quoted examples of fixed point free continuous self mappings of bounded closed and convex sets in Banach spaces have the property that

$$(0) \qquad \inf ||x-Tx|| = 0.$$

In particular, all nonexpansive mappings have to have this property. We shall deal here with the problem of evaluation of the quantity ||x-Tx|| where T lies in the class of Lipschitzian mappings with constant k. This problem will be called "minimum distance problem."

In this paper we show that for any Banach space B there exists a function $\mathcal{P}_B(k)$: $\langle 1, \infty \rangle \to \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that for arbitrary closed bounded and convex sets $x \subset B$ and arbitrary $T: X \to X$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition with constant $k \geq 1$,

$$\inf ||x - Tx|| \le \varphi(k)r(X)$$

where r(X) is the radius of the set X.

Some precise formulas for $\varphi(k)$ are obtained in certain spaces

(e.g., C, L^1 , c_0) along with some general evaluations of it in arbitrary spaces. We also consider the function $\varphi(k)$ in Hilbert space and determine some of its properties there.

We feel that an important part of this paper is the last section in which some, in our opinion, interesting and seemingly difficult questions are raised.

Notations and definitions. Let B denote an arbitrary Banach space with the norm $|| \ ||$ and zero element θ . \mathfrak{M}_B will denote the family of all nonempty, closed, bounded and convex subsets of B. Sets belonging to \mathfrak{M}_B will be denoted by principal letters X, Y, Z, \cdots and elements of B by x, y, z, \cdots . K(x, r) will denote the closed ball centered at x with radius r and S(x, r) will be its boundary. $K(\theta, r)$ and $S(\theta, r)$ will be shortly denoted K_r and K_r . For arbitrary set K_r , let K_r and K_r and the convex closure of K_r respectively.

For arbitrary $X \in \mathfrak{M}_B$ and $x \in X$ put

$$r(x, X) = \sup [||x - y|| : y \in X]$$

 $r(X) = \inf [r(x, X) : x \in X]$
 $C(X) = [x : r(x, X) = r(X)]$.

The numbers r(x, X), r(X) will be called the radius of X with respect to x and the radius of X, respectively. C(X) will be called the center of X. It is well known [5] that in reflexive spaces C(X) is a nonempty closed and convex set, and if B is uniformly convex it consists of only one point.

For an arbitrary set $X\in\mathfrak{M}_{B}$ we shall consider the family $\mathscr{L}(X)$ of all transformations of X into itself satisfying the Lipschitz condition

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le k||k - y||,$$

for some constant k. The subfamily of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ consisting of all mappings satisfying (1) with fixed costant k will be denoted $\mathcal{L}(k, X)$. It is obvious that $k_1 \leq k_2$ implies $\mathcal{L}(k_1, X) \subset \mathcal{L}(k_2, X)$ and that

$$\mathscr{L}(X) = \bigcup_{k>0} \mathscr{L}(k, X)$$
.

Moreover, if $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(k_1X)$, $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(k_2, X)$ and $\alpha \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ then $T = \alpha T_1 + (1 - \alpha)T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\alpha k_1 + (1 - \alpha)k_2)$.

The mapping T is said to be nonexpansive if $T \in \mathcal{L}(1, k)$ and T is said to be a contraction if $T \in \mathcal{L}(k, X)$ with k < 1.

Statement of the main problem. Let $X \in \mathfrak{M}_B$ and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(k, X)$,

 $k \ge 1$. We shall start with the following:

Theorem 1.
$$\inf [||x - Tx|| : x \in X] \le r(X) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)$$
.

Proof. Let $y \in X$ be such that $r(y, X) \leq r(X) + \varepsilon$. Put

$$T^*x = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+\varepsilon}\right)y + \frac{1}{k+\varepsilon}Tx$$
.

Then $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(k/(k+\varepsilon), X)$ and by Banach contraction principle it has fixed point x^* . Now we have

$$\begin{split} ||\,x^* - Tx^*\,|| &= \Big\| \Big(1 - \frac{1}{k + \varepsilon}\Big) y - \Big(1 - \frac{1}{k + \varepsilon}\Big) Tx^* \Big\| \leq \Big(1 - \frac{1}{k + \varepsilon}\Big) ||\,y - Tx^*\,|| \\ &\leq (r(X) \, + \, \varepsilon) \Big(1 \, - \, \frac{1}{k \, + \, \varepsilon}\Big) \end{split}$$

from which the theorem follows.

We now introduce some functions which characterize our problem. Put

$$arphi^*(k, X) = \sup_{T \in \mathscr{L}(k, X)} \inf \left[||x - Tx|| \colon x \in X \right]$$
 .

In view of Theorem 1

$$\varphi^*(k, X) \leq r(X) \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)$$
.

Notice that if X, $Y \in \mathfrak{M}_B$ are such that $X = u + \alpha Y$ where $u \in B$ and α is a number then $r(X) = |\alpha| r(Y)$ and each transformation $T \in \mathscr{L}(k, X)$ determine the transformation

$$T^*y = \frac{1}{\alpha}(T(u + \alpha y) - u)$$

which belongs to $\mathcal{L}(k, Y)$. This implies that

$$\varphi^*(k, X) = |\alpha| \varphi^*(k, Y)$$

and for this reason it will be more convenient to consider the function

$$\varphi(k, X) = \frac{\varphi^*(k, X)}{r(X)}$$
.

Obviously

$$\varphi(k, X) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{k}$$
,

and if $X = u + \alpha Y$, then $\varphi(k, X) = \varphi(k, Y)$.

Finally we define a function which characterizes the whole space. Put

$$\varphi_{B}(k) = \sup \left[\varphi(k, X) \colon X \in \mathfrak{M}_{B} \right],$$

or more precisely

$$\varphi_{B}(k) = \sup \left\{ \inf_{x \in X} \frac{||x - Tx||}{r(X)} : X \in \mathfrak{M}_{B}, T \in \mathscr{L}(k, X) \right\}.$$

The functions $\varphi(k, X)$ and $\varphi_B(k)$ will be called the minimal distance characteristic of X and the minimal distance characteristic of the space B, respectively.

Obviously

$$\varphi_{R}(k) = 0$$
 for $0 \le k \le 1$

and

$$\varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(k) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1.$$

Moreover $\varphi_B(k)$ is nondecreasing and $\varphi_B(k) \equiv 0$ for finite dimensional B.

In the situations where it will not be misleading we shall write $\varphi(k)$ instead of $\varphi_B(k)$. Furthermore we shall always consider the function $\varphi(k)$ as defined only on the interval $\langle 1, \infty \rangle$.

Three examples. Let us start by showing that in some spaces one evaluation for $\varphi_B(k)$ is exact.

EXAMPLE 1. Let X be the subset of C(0, 1) defined by the following formula,

$$X = [x = \{x(t)\}: 0 = x(0) \le x(t) \le x(1) = 1]$$
.

It is easy to see that $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\sigma(0,1)}$ and r(X) = 1. Put

$$(Tx)(t) = k \max \left[x(t) - 1 + \frac{1}{k}, 0\right].$$

This transformation belongs to $\mathcal{L}(k, X)$. For an arbitrary function $x \in X$, there exists $\overline{t} \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $x(\overline{t}) = 1 - 1/k$ so obviously $(Tx)(\overline{t}) = 0$ and we have

$$||x-Tx|| \geq |x(\overline{t})-(Tx)(\overline{t})| = 1-rac{1}{k}$$
 ,

and this shows that

$$arphi_{C\langle 0,1
angle}\!(k)=1-rac{1}{k}$$

for $k \ge 1$.

Example 2. Let X be a subset of $L^{1}(0, 1)$ defined as follows

$$X = [f \in L^1: 0 \le f(t), ||f||_{L^1} = 1]$$
 .

Notice that $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{L^1}$ and r(X) = 2.

For an arbitrary function $f \in X$, put

$$t_f = \sup \left[t: \int_0^t f(s) ds = 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right]$$

and

$$(Tf)(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \leq t_f \\ kf(t) & \text{for } t > t_f \end{cases}$$

Suppose now that $f, g \in X$ and $t_f \leq t_g$. We have

$$\begin{split} ||Tf - Tg|| &= \int_{0}^{1} |(Tf)(s) - (Tg)(s)| \, ds = k \int_{t_{f}}^{t_{g}} f(s) ds + k \int_{t_{g}}^{1} |f(s) - g(s)| \, ds \\ &= k \int_{0}^{t_{g}} f(s) ds - k \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) + k \int_{t_{g}}^{1} |f(s) - g(s)| \, ds \\ &= k \left(\int_{0}^{t_{g}} f(s) ds - \int_{0}^{t_{g}} g(s) ds + \int_{t_{g}}^{1} |f(s) - g(s)| \, ds\right) \\ &\leq k ||f - g||. \end{split}$$

This shows that $T \in \mathcal{L}(k, X)$. On the other hand we have

$$||f - Tf|| = \int_0^1 |f(s) - (Tf)(s)| ds = \int_0^{t_f} f(s) ds + \int_{t_f}^1 (k-1)f(s) ds$$

$$= 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) = r(X)\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)$$

and hence

$$\varphi_{L^{1}(0,1)}(k) = 1 - \frac{1}{k}$$
.

Finally we show that the same is true in the space c_0 .

Example 3. Suppose X is the subset of c_0 defined by

$$X = [x = \{x_i\}: x_i = 1, 0 \le x_i \le 1]$$
.

Then $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{c_0}$ and r(X) = 1. Put

$$(Tx)_i = egin{cases} 1 & ext{for} & i=1 \ \min{[1,\,kx_{i-1}]} & ext{for} & i>1 \ . \end{cases}$$

It can be easily verified that $T \in \mathcal{L}(k, X)$. Now notice that for an arbitrary sequence $x \in X$ there exists i such that $x_{i-1} \geq 1/k$ and $x_i \leq 1/k$. Hence $(Tx)_i = 1$ and

$$||Tx - x|| \ge |(Tx)_i - x_i| = 1 - x_i \ge 1 - \frac{1}{k}$$
.

So in this case we also have

$$arphi_{c_0}(k)=1-rac{1}{k}$$
 .

Some general properties of $\mathcal{P}_{B}(k)$. Before proving that the evaluation given above is not exact in Hilbert space let us consider some general properties of $\mathcal{P}_{B}(k)$.

Let B be a fixed Banach space and let $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{B}$, $T \in \mathscr{L}(k, X)$. Then the mapping

$$T_{\alpha} = (1 - \alpha) \mathscr{I} + \alpha T$$

where $\alpha \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and \mathcal{I} is identity transformation, belongs to $\mathcal{L}(1 - \alpha + \alpha k, X)$, and for arbitrary $x \in X$ we have

$$||x - T_{\alpha}x|| = \alpha ||x - Tx||.$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(1-\alpha+\alpha k,X) \ge \alpha \varphi(k,X)$$

and because the set X and the transformation T could be chosen so that

$$||x - Tx|| \ge r(X)(\varphi(k) - \varepsilon)$$

then also we have

$$\varphi(1 - \alpha + \alpha k) \ge \alpha \varphi(k)$$
.

This means that $\varphi(k)$ is concave with respect to the point k=1. This fact can be equivalently formulated as follows.

$$\frac{\varphi(k)}{k-1} \ge \frac{\varphi(l)}{l-1}$$

for $1 < k \le l$.

Because $\varphi(k) \leq 1 - 1/k$, the right derivative $\varphi'(1)$ exists and

$$\varphi'(1) = \lim_{k \to 1+} \frac{\varphi(k)}{k-1} \le 1.$$

Now take an arbitrary number A > k. For any fixed $x \in X$ we can define the transformation

$$T_x y = \left(1 - \frac{1}{A}\right)x + \frac{1}{A}Ty$$
.

 $T_x \in \mathcal{L}(k/A, X)$ and so it has a uniquely determined fixed point which we denote Fx. Then we have

$$Fx = \left(1 - \frac{1}{A}\right)x + \frac{1}{A}TFx$$
.

In view of

$$||Fx - Fy|| \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{A}\right) ||x - y|| + \frac{1}{A} ||TFx - TFy||$$

 $\le \left(1 - \frac{1}{A}\right) ||x - y|| + \frac{k}{A} ||Fx - Fy||,$

the transformation F belongs to $\mathcal{L}((A-1)/(A-k), X)$ and thus $TF \in \mathcal{L}(k(A-1)/(A-k), X)$. Moreover,

$$(1-\frac{1}{4})||x-TFx|| = ||Fx-TFx||$$
,

so if T and X are chosen so that

$$||x - Tx|| \ge (\varphi(k) - \varepsilon)r(X)$$

for $x \in X$, then

$$|x - TFx|| \ge \frac{A}{A-1} (\varphi(k) - \varepsilon) r(X)$$

and

$$\varphi\left(k\frac{A-1}{A-k},X\right) \ge \frac{A}{A-1}\varphi(k(-\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$\varphi\left(k\frac{A-1}{A-k}\right) \ge \frac{A}{A-1}\varphi(k)$$
.

The last inequality holds for arbitrary A > k. But for arbitrary l > k, A can be chosen so that k(A-1)/(A-k) = l. After this substitution we get

$$\frac{l\varphi(l)}{l-1} \ge \frac{k\varphi(k)}{k-1}$$

for arbitrary $1 < k \le l$. Hence

$$\varphi'(1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \le \varphi(k) \le 1 - \frac{1}{k}$$

for all $k \ge 1$.

The evaluations (2) and (3) show that $\varphi(k) = 1 - 1/k$ if and only if $\varphi'(1) = 1$; also $\varphi(k) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi'(1) = 0$. For this reason $\varphi'(1)$ can be considered as one of the characteristics of our problem.

The case of Hilbert space. In this section we shall deal with a Hilbert space H. In order to consider the function $\varphi(k)$ in H we start with the following well known results (see e.g. [2]).

- 1°. If $X \in \mathfrak{M}_H$, then C(X) consists of exactly one point.
- 2°. For arbitrary $x \in H$ there exists exactly one point $Px \in X$ such that

$$||x - Px|| = \min [||x - y|| : y \in X]$$
.

 3° . The transformation P is nonexpansive, i.e.,

$$||Px - Py|| \le ||x - y||$$

in H.

 4° . For arbitrary $y \in X$ and $x \in H$

$$(Px - x, y - Px) \ge 0$$
,

which in turn implies that

$$||y - x|| \ge ||x - Px||$$
.

The transformation P will be called the matrical projection on X.

Theorem 2. In Hilbert space, $\varphi(k) = \varphi(k, K_1)$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathfrak{M}_H$ and let z = C(X). The ball K(z, r(X)) covers X and an arbitrary mapping $T \in \mathscr{L}_k(X)$ can be extended to all of H by the formula $T^* = TP$, where P is metrical projection on X, thus obtaining a transformation which belongs to $\mathscr{L}(k, K(z, r(X)))$. According to the properties of P we have

$$||x - T^*x|| = ||x - Tx||$$

for $x \in X$, and

$$||x - T^*x|| \ge ||Px - TPx||$$

for $x \in K(z, r(X)) - X$. Hence

$$\inf [||x - Tx|| : x \in X] = \inf [||x - T^*x|| : x \in K(z, r(X))]$$
,

and this implies that

$$\varphi(k, X) \leq \varphi(k, K(z, r(X))) = \varphi(k, K_1)$$

for arbitrary $X \in \mathfrak{M}_H$, so therefore

$$\varphi(k) \leq \varphi(k, K_1)$$
.

The converse inequality is obvious and this completes the proof.

In view of Theorem 2 we need only deal with properties of $\mathcal{L}(k, K_1)$.

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(k, K_1)$ the for arbitrary $x \in K_1$ the transformation

$$T_x y = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) x + \frac{1}{k} T y$$

belongs to $\mathcal{L}(1, K_1)$ and according to Browder's theorem [1] it has at least one fixed point. Let us choose one and denote it by Fx. Thus we have

$$Fx = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)x + \frac{1}{k}TFx$$

or equivalently

$$(5) Fx - TFx = (k-1)(x - Fx)$$

from which we get

$$\inf [||x - Fx|| : x \in K_1] \ge \frac{1}{k-1} \inf [||x - Tx|| : x \in K_1]$$
.

Moreover, the following holds.

Theorem 3. The transformation F defined in (5) is monotone, and

(6)
$$2(Fx - Fy, x - y) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) ||x - y||^2$$

for $x, y \in K_1$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in K_1$. Then we have

$$TFx = kFx - (k-1)x$$

$$TEy = kFy - (k-1)y$$

and

$$|k^2||Fx - Fy||^2 \ge ||TFx - TFy||^2 = |k^2||Fx - Fy||^2 - 2(k-1)k(Fx - Fy, x-y) + (k-1)^2||x-y||^2$$

from which (6) follows.

THEOREM 4. In Hilbert space,

(7)
$$\varphi(k) \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)\sqrt{\frac{k}{k+1}}.$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(k, K_1)$ be such that

$$||x - Tx|| \ge \varphi(k) - \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in K_1$, and let F be defined as above. Now we have

$$egin{aligned} 1 & \geq \parallel TF^2 heta \parallel^2 = \parallel TF^2 heta - F heta + F heta \parallel^2 \ & = \parallel TF^2 heta - F heta \parallel^2 + 2(TF^2 heta - F heta, F heta - heta) + \lVert F heta - heta
Vert \parallel^2 \ & \geq k^2 \lVert F^2 heta - F heta
Vert^2 + 2k(F^2 heta - F heta, F heta - heta) + \lVert F heta - heta
Vert \parallel^2 \ & \geq k^2 \lVert F^2 heta - F heta
Vert^2 + k \lVert F heta - heta
Vert^2 \ & = rac{k^2}{(k-1)^2} \lVert F^2 heta - TF^2 heta
Vert^2 + rac{k}{(k-1)^2} \lVert F heta - TF heta
Vert^2 \ & = rac{k^2+k}{(k-1)^2} (arphi(k) - arepsilon)^2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\varphi(k) - \varepsilon \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)\sqrt{\frac{k}{k+1}}$$

which completes the proof.

COROLLARY. In Hilbert space, $\varphi'(1) \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$.

This theorem shows that infinite dimensional Banach spaces differ with respect to the minimal distance problem. Probably the evaluation (7) is not exact, and in fact it is not even known whether $\varphi(k) > 0$ in H. In the next section we shall formulate an equivalent form of this latter question.

The retraction problem. We shall still deal here with the transformations of unit ball in Hilbert space. If $T: K_1 \to K_1$ is a fixed point free continuous mapping, then the transformation

$$(8) Rx = x + t(x) \cdot u(x)$$

where

$$u(x) = \frac{x - Tx}{||x - Tx||}$$

and

$$t(x) = -(x, u(x)) + \sqrt{1 - ||x||^2 + (x, u(x))^2}$$

is a retraction of K_1 onto S_1 . It is well known that Brouwer's fixed point theorem is equivalent to the nonexistence of a retraction of a finite dimensional ball onto its boundary. The following theorem may be viewed as a counterpart of this fact.

THEOREM 5. In Hilbert space, $\varphi(k) > 0$ for k > 1 if and only if there exists a Lipschitzian retraction of K_1 onto S_1 .

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(k, K_1)$ is such that $\inf ||x - Tx|| = a > 0$. Construct the retraction R by formula (8). It can be easily verified that the functions u(x), (x, u(x)) are also Lipschitzian. The function t(x) is Lipschitzian if $1 - ||x||^2 + (x, u(x))^2$ is bounded from below by a positive number. But this holds if (x, u(x)) is bounded from below by a positive number for ||x|| = 1. For ||x|| = 1 we have

$$egin{aligned} 1 & \geq ||Tx||^2 = ||x - (x - Tx)||^2 = ||x^2|| - 2(x, x - Tx) + ||Tx - x||^2 \ & \geq 1 - 2(x, x - Tx) + a||x - Tx|| \ , \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(x, u(x)) \ge \frac{a}{2} > 0$$
.

It follows that R is Lipschitzian.

On the other hand, if $R \in \mathcal{L}(k, K_1)$ is a Lipschitzian retraction of K_1 onto S_1 then consider the transformation T = -R. Let $a = \inf ||x - Tx||$. If a were 0, then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there would exits $x \in K_1$ such that $||x - Tx|| < \varepsilon$. But $||Tx - T^2x|| = 2$, so

$$k \ge \frac{||T^2x - Tx||}{||Tx - x||} \ge \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$$

and since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary this is a contradiction. Thus a>0 and $\mathcal{P}(k)>0$.

Using this theorem we can show that the evaluation from below of $\varphi(k)$ given by (4) is also not exact if $\varphi(k) > 0$.

Theorem 6. If $\varphi(k) > 0$ for k > 1, then $\lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi(k) = 1$

Proof. If $\varphi(k) > 0$, k > 1, then there exists a Lipschitzian retraction R of K_1 onto S_1 . Hence there also exists a Lipschitzian retraction R_{ε} of K_{ε} onto S_{ε} . Thus the transformation

$$Tx = egin{cases} -rac{R_{arepsilon}x}{arepsilon} & ext{for} & ||x|| \leq arepsilon \ -rac{x}{||x\,||} & ext{for} & ||x|| > arepsilon \end{cases}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{L}(K_1)$, and $\inf ||x - Tx|| \ge 1 - \varepsilon$, completing the proof.

REMARK. If R_{ε} is only continuous, then T is also continuous and $||x - Tx|| \ge 1 - \varepsilon$. However, it can be easily proved that there is no continuous self mapping of K_1 such that $||x - Tx|| \ge 1$.

THEOREM 7. If there exists a retraction $R: K_1 \to S_1$ such that $R \in \mathcal{L}(k, K_1)$, then

$$k\varphi(k) \ge \pi$$
.

Proof. The transformation T=-R belongs to $\mathscr{L}(k,K_1)$ so for $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $x\in K_1$ such that $||x-Tx||\leq \mathscr{P}(k)+\varepsilon$. The segment $[x,Tx]=[y\colon y=\alpha x+(1-\alpha)Tx,\,\alpha\in\langle 0,1\rangle]$ is mapped by T onto a curve lying on S_1 and joining the two antipodal points Tx and $T^2x=-Tx$. It has been proved by J. J. Schäffer [6] that the length of such a curve have has to be at least π . So it is only a slight technicality to prove that

$$k \ge \frac{L}{||x - Tx||} \ge \frac{\pi}{\varphi(k) + \varepsilon}$$
.

COROLLARY. According to (7), k satisfies

$$(k-1)\sqrt{\frac{k}{k+1}} \ge \pi$$
 .

Some unsolved problems. The preceding considerations give rise to several questions and problems, among them the following:

- 1°. Does there exist an infinite dimensional Banach space (e.g. Hilbert space) for which $\varphi(k) = 0$?
- 2°. What is a characterization of spaces for which $\varphi(k) = 1 1/k$? Do nonreflexive spaces have this property?
- 3°. Is it true that if $\varphi(k) > 0$ then $\lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi(k) = 1$ in an arbitrary space?
 - 4°. If the answer of problem 1° is negative, what is a strict

evaluation for $\varphi(k)$ in Hilbert space?

- 5°. Is it true that for an arbitrary Banach space $B, \varphi_H(k) \leq \varphi_B(k)$?
- 6°. What is the infimum of those numbers a such that there exists Banach space B with $\mathcal{P}'_{B}(1) = a$? Is it $\mathcal{P}'_{H}(1)$?

Some of these problems (notably 1°) appear to be quite difficult, while others appear more accessible. In particular, it is our opinion that the answers to 5° and 6° are affirmative.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. E. Browder, Nonexpansive nonlinear operators in a Banach space, Proc. Not. Acad. Sci., USA 54 (1965), 1041-1044.
- 2. W. Cheney and A. A. Goldstein, *Proximity maps for convex sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1959), 448-450.
- 3. D. Göhde, Zum prinzip der kontraktiven Abbildung, Math. Nachr., 30 (1965), 251-258.
- 4. W. A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances, Amer. Math. Monthly, 72 (1965), 1004-1006.
- 5. Z. Opial, Lecture notes on nonexpansive and monotone mappings in Banach space, Brown University, 1967.
- 6. J. J. Schäffer, Inner diameter, perimeter and girth of spheres, Math. Ann., 133 (1967), 59-82.

Received November 23, 1971. Research supported by Kosciuszko Foundation while author was at the University of Iowa. I would like to express my thanks to Professor W. A. Kirk who gave me his assistance while preparing this paper.

MARIA CURIE SKLODOWSKA UNIVERSITY, POLAND AND

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 45, No. 1 September, 1973

William George Bade, Complementation problems for the Baire classes	1 13			
Ian Douglas Brown, Representation of finitely generated nilpotent groups				
Hans-Heinrich Brungs, Left Euclidean rings				
Victor P. Camillo and John Cozzens, A theorem on Noetherian hereditary rings	35			
James Cecil Cantrell, Codimension one embeddings of manifolds with locally flat				
triangulations	43			
L. Carlitz, Enumeration of up-down permutations by number of rises				
Thomas Ashland Chapman, Surgery and handle straightening in Hilbert cube				
manifolds	59			
Roger Cook, On the fractional parts of a set of points. II	81			
Samuel Harry Cox, Jr., Commutative endomorphism rings				
Michael A. Engber, A criterion for divisoriality				
Carl Clifton Faith, When are proper cyclics injective				
David Finkel, Local control and factorization of the focal subgroup				
Theodore William Gamelin and John Brady Garnett, <i>Bounded approximation by</i>				
rational functions	129			
Kazimierz Goebel, On the minimal displacement of points under Lipschitzian				
mappings	151			
Frederick Paul Greenleaf and Martin Allen Moskowitz, <i>Cyclic vectors for</i>				
representations associated with positive definite measures: nonseparable				
groups	165			
Thomas Guy Hallam and Nelson Onuchic, Asymptotic relations between perturbed				
linear systems of ordinary differential equations				
David Kent Harrison and Hoyt D. Warner, <i>Infinite primes of fields and</i>	187			
completions	201			
James Michael Hornell, <i>Divisorial complete intersections</i>	217			
Jan W. Jaworowski, Equivariant extensions of maps	229			
John Jobe, Dendrites, dimension, and the inverse arc function				
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Feynman integrals of non-factorable				
finite-dimensional functionals	257			
Dong S. Kim, A boundary for the algebras of bounded holomorphic functions	269			
Abel Klein, Renormalized products of the generalized free field and its derivatives	275			
	213			
Joseph Michael Lambert, Simultaneous approximation and interpolation in L_1 and	293			
C(T)	293			
Kelly Denis McKennon, Multipliers of type (p, p) and multipliers of the group	207			
L _p -algebras	297			
William Charles Nemitz and Thomas Paul Whaley, Varieties of implicative	202			
semi-lattices. II	303			
Donald Steven Passman, Some isolated subsets of infinite solvable groups	313			
Norma Mary Piacun and Li Pi Su, Wallman compactifications on E-completely	201			
regular spaces	321			
Jack Ray Porter and Charles I. Votaw, $S(\alpha)$ spaces and regular Hausdorff	207			
extensions	327			
Gary Sampson, Two-sided L_p estimates of convolution transforms	347 357			
Ralph Edwin Showalter, Equations with operators forming a right angle				
Raymond Earl Smithson, Fixed points in partially ordered sets				
Victor Snaith and John James Ucci, Three remarks on symmetric products and				
symmetric maps	369			
Thomas Rolf Turner, Double commutants of weighted shifts	379			
George Kenneth Williams, <i>Mappings and decompositions</i>	387			