# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## DENDRITES, DIMENSION, AND THE INVERSE ARC FUNCTION

JOHN JOBE

Vol. 45, No. 1

September 1973

### DENDRITES, DIMENSION, AND THE INVERSE ARC FUNCTION

#### John Jobe

In this paper, the concept of an inverse arc function is introduced. An inverse arc function f is a function such that for each arc L in the range of f, there exists an arc  $L_1$  in the domain of f such that  $f(L_1) = L$ . It is proved that a dendrite D is the continuous image of an inverse arc function f with domain an arc L if and only if D has only a finite number of endpoints. Other results are obtained telling what dendrites can be ranges of continuous inverse arc functions having dendrites as domains.

The dimension raising ability of a continuous inverse arc function whose domain is a dendrite is questioned. It is proved that if D is a dendrite with only a countable number of endpoints, then there does not exist a continuous inverse arc function f with domain D such that dim  $f(D) \ge 2$ . If a dendrite D has uncountably many endpoints, then the question is left unanswered.

Basic theorems and definitions used are as stated in [3], [4], [5], and [6]. In particular, a continuum M is a dendrite provided it is locally connected and contains no simple closed curve. A continuum is a compact closed connected set. Other characterizations of a dendrite are also used. Topological spaces considered are all separable metric spaces. If x and y are distinct points, then xy will denote an arc with end points x and y.

DEFINITION. Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a function from X onto Y. Then, f is an inverse arc function if and only if for each arc  $L \subset Y$  there exists an arc  $L_1 \subset X$  such that  $f(L_1) = L$ .

In this paper the class  $\mathcal{D}$  of all dendrites is partitioned into two subclasses,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ , such that

 $\mathscr{H} = \{X: X \in \mathscr{D} \text{ and there exists a continuous inverse arc} function, f, with domain an arc A and <math>f(A) = X\}$ 

and

 $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{H}$ .

Then, it is shown that

 $\mathscr{H} = \{X: X \in \mathscr{D} \text{ and } X \text{ has only a finite number of endpoints} \}.$ 

and

 $\mathcal{K} = \{X: X \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } X \text{ has infinitely many endpoints} \}$ .

Further related results are found by studying the question: "Can each member  $X \in \mathscr{D}$  be the domain of a continuous inverse arc function, f, with dim  $f(X) \ge 2$ "? It is shown that each member, X, of the class of all dendrites with only countably many endpoints cannot be the domain of a continuous inverse arc function, f, with dim  $f(X) \ge 2$ . Thus, there remains open an interesting question for further study. That is, if D is a dendrite with uncountably many endpoints, then does there exist a continuous inverse arc function, f, with domain D such that dim  $f(D) \ge 2$ ? This function, if it exists, would necessarily be a dimension raising function since the dim D = 1.

The following examples point out that there are continuous inverse arc functions that do raise dimension.

EXAMPLE 1. Let n be any natural number. Professor Bing [1] has shown that there are n-dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua in  $E_{n+1}$ . Let  $M_n$  be such a hereditarily indecomposable continuum in  $E_{n+1}$ . Let  $P_n$  be a locally connected continuum in  $E_{n+1}$ such that  $M_n \subset P_n$ . By the Hahn-Mazurkiewicz theorem there exists a continuous function, h, with domain the unit interval I such that  $h(I) = P_n$ . Let f be the restriction of h to  $h^{-1}(M_n)$ . The domain of f has dimension less than or equal to 1 since dim I = 1 and the dimension of  $M_n$  (the range of f) is n. Thus, f is a dimension raising continuous function when  $n \ge 2$ . Since  $M_n$  contains on arcs, then vacuously f is a continuous inverse arc function that does raise dimension when  $n \ge 2$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let S be any n-dimensional space such that each point of S is contained in some arc in S. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{L: L \subset S \text{ and } L \text{ is an arc} \}.$$

Let

$$T = \{(x, L): L \in \mathcal{N}, x \in L\}$$

and  $P: T \rightarrow S$  be the projection function such that P(x, L) = x. A basis,  $\sigma$ , for a topology for T can be defined using P. Let

$$\sigma = \{A_L: L \in \mathscr{N}, A_L \subset T \cap (S \times \{L\}), P(A_L) \cap L \$$
  
is an interval on  $L$  that is open relative to  $L\}$ 

and  $\tau$  bo the topology for T generated by  $\sigma$ . The function  $P: T \rightarrow S$  is a continuous inverse arc function from T onto S where the topology for T is  $\tau$ . By observing that the boundary of each member of  $\sigma$  has

at most two points, it then follows that dim T=1. Since dim T=1, then for any natural number  $n \ge 2$ , P is a dimension raising continuous inverse arc function.

Example 2 points out that given any *n*-dimensional space S such that each point of S is contained in some arc in S, then there exists

- (1) a 1-dimensional space T and
- (2) a continuous inverse arc function,  $P: T \rightarrow S$ , onto S.

2. Inverse arc functions onto dendrites. A simple property about inverse arc functions is stated first as Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1. If  $f: X \to Y$  and  $g: Y \to Z$  are two inverse arc functions, then  $gf: X \to Z$  is an inverse arc function.

LEMMA 1. Let D be a dendrite,  $p \in D$ , and K the set of endpoints of D. Then

$$D = \bigcup_{x \in K} px$$
.

Theorem 2 says that a dendrite with only a finite number of endpoints is a member of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

THEOREM 2. If D is a dendrite with only a finite number of endpoints,  $z \in D$ , and A = ab an arc, then there exists a continuous inverse arc function, f, with domain A, range D, and

$$f(a) = f(b) = z .$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality consider the arc A as the unit interval I = [0, 1]. Suppose that D has n endpoints and denote these endpoints as  $K = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Lemma 1 implies that

$$D = \bigcup_{i=2}^n x_i x_i$$
.

This proof can be done by induction. If n = 2 and we assume that  $z \notin K$ , then partition I with the partition  $P = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  where  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 = 1/3$ ,  $a_2 = 2/3$ , and  $a_3 = 1$ .

Define

(1)  $f_1: [0, 1/3] \to D$  such that  $f_1$  is a homeomorphism and  $f_1(0) = z$ ,  $f_1(1/3) = x_1$ , and  $f_1([0, 1/3]) = zx_1$ ,

(2)  $f_2: [1/3, 2/3] \rightarrow D$  such that  $f_2$  is a homeomorphism and  $f_2(1/3) = x_1, f_2(2/3) = x_2$ , and  $f_2([1/3, 2/3]) = x_1x_2$ , and

(3)  $f_3: [2/3, 1] \rightarrow D$  such that  $f_3$  is a homeomorphism and  $f_3(2/3) = x_2$ ,  $f_3(1) = z$ , and  $f_3([2/3, 1]) = x_2z$ .

Now, let  $f: [0, 1] \to D$  be the function defined such that  $f(x) = f_i(x)$  for the appropriate natural number *i*. Clearly, *f* is a continuous inverse arc function with domain *I* such that f(I) = D and f(0) = f(1) = z.

If  $z \in K$  then partition [0, 1] with  $P = \{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$  where  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_1 = 1/2$ , and  $a_2 = 1$ . Without loss of generality suppose  $z = x_1$ . Define

(1)  $f_1: [0, 1/2] \to D$  such that  $f_1$  is a homeomorphism and  $f_1(0) = x_1 = z$ ,  $f_1(1/2) = x_2$ , and  $f([0, 1/2]) = x_1x_2$ , and

(2)  $f_2: [1/2, 1] \to D$  such that  $f_2$  is a homeomorphism and  $f_2(1/2) = x_2$ ,  $f_2(1) = x_1 = z$ , and  $f_2([1/2, 1]) = x_2x_1$ .

Now, let  $f: [0, 1] \to D$  be the function defined such that  $f(x) = f_i(x)$  for the appropriate natural number *i*. Clearly, *f* is a continuous inverse arc function with domain *I* such that f(I) = D and f(0) = f(1) = z.

Therefore, if n = 2, then the theorem is true.

Suppose that the theorem is true for n = k - 1. Now, let

$$D = \bigcup_{i=2}^k x_i x_i$$
 .

Let p be the first point on

$$x_k x_1 \cap \left(igcup_{i=2}^{k-1} x_1 x_i
ight)$$

from  $x_k$  to  $x_1$ . The induction hypothesis says that there exists

$$g: I \longrightarrow \bigcup_{i=2}^{k-1} x_i x_i$$

a continuous inverse arc function onto

$$\bigcup_{i=2}^{k-1} x_i x_i$$

such that g(0) = g(1) = p. Let  $I_1 = [0, 2]$  and partition [1, 2] with the partition  $P = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2k}\}$  where  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 2k + 1/2k$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $a_j = 2k + j/2k$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $a_{2k} = 2$  and denote  $\Delta_j = [a_{j-1}, a_j]$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$ . Define

(1)  $f_1: \mathcal{A}_1 \to D$  such that  $f_1$  is a homeomorphism,  $f_1(a_0) = p$ ,  $f_1(a_1) = x_k$ , and  $f_1(\mathcal{A}_1) = px_k$ ,

(2)  $f_j: \Delta_j \to D$  such that  $f_j$  is a homeomorphism,  $f_j(a_{j-1}) = x_k$ ,  $f_j(a_j) = x_{j/2}$ , and  $f_j(\Delta_j) = x_k x_{j/2}$  if 1 < j < 2k and j is even,

(3)  $f_j: \Delta_j \to D$  such that  $f_j$  is a homeomorphism,  $f_j(a_{j-1}) = x_{j-1/2}$ ,  $f_j(a_j) = x_k$ , and  $f_j(\Delta_j) = x_{j-1/2}x_k$  if 1 < j < 2k and j is odd, and

(4)  $f_{2k}: \mathcal{A}_{2k} \to D$  such that  $f_{2k}$  is a homeomorphism,  $f_{2k}(a_{2k-1}) = x_k$ ,  $f_{2k}(a_{2k}) = p$ , and  $f_{2k}(\mathcal{A}_{2k}) = x_k p$ .

Let  $h: I_1 \rightarrow D$  be the function defined such that

$$h(x) = g(x)$$
 if  $x \in [0, 1]$ 

or

 $h(x) = f_i(x)$  for the appropriate natural number *i* if  $x \in [1, 2]$ .

Again, h is a continuous function defined on the arc  $I_1$  such that  $h(I_1) = D$ . Let L be any arc in D. If

$$L \subset igcup_{i=2}^{k-1} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} x_{i}$$

then the induction hypothesis implies that there exists an arc

$$L_{_1} \subset$$
 [0, 1]

such that  $g(L_1) = L$ . Since h extends g then  $h(L_1) = L$ . Otherwise, if L is an arc in D such that

$$L 
ot \subset igcup_{i=2}^{k=1} x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} x_i$$
 ,

then there exists a natural number j such that  $L \subset x_j x_k$ . Now, note that  $f_{2j}(\Delta_{2j}) = x_k x_j$  and since  $f_{2j}$  is a homeomorphism on  $\Delta_{2j}$  then there exists an arc  $L_1 \subset \Delta_{2j}$  such that  $f_{2j}(L_1) = L$ . Since h extends  $f_{2j}$ , then  $h(L_1) = L$ . Therefore, h is an inverse arc function.

Let  $z \in D$ . If z = p then h is the desired function since h is a continuous inverse arc function with domain an arc, range D, and h(0) = h(2) = z. Otherwise, suppose  $z \neq p$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Consider the closed interval  $[-\varepsilon, 2 + \varepsilon]$ .

Define

(1)  $h_1: [-\varepsilon, 0] \to D$  such that  $h_1$  is a homeomorphism onto zp,  $h_1(-\varepsilon) = z$ , and  $h_1(0) = p$ , and

(2)  $h_2: [2, 2 + \varepsilon] \rightarrow D$  such that  $h_2$  is a homeomorphism onto pz,  $h_2(2) = p$ , and  $h_2(2 + \varepsilon) = z$ .

As before, let s:  $[-\varepsilon, 2 + \varepsilon] \rightarrow D$  be the function defined such that

$$s(x) = h(x)$$
 if  $x \in [0, 2]$ 

or

$$s(x) = h_i(x)$$
 for the appropriate natural number i if

 $x \in [-\varepsilon, 0] \cup [2, 2 + \varepsilon]$ .

Again, s is a continuous function defined on  $[-\varepsilon, 2+\varepsilon]$  such that  $s([-\varepsilon, 2+\varepsilon]) = D$ . Since s extends h, then s is also an inverse arc function. The function s is defined such that

$$s(-arepsilon)=s(2+arepsilon)=z$$
 .

Let A be any arc denoted A = ab. Let  $r: A \to [-\varepsilon, 2 + \varepsilon]$  be a homeomorphism onto  $[-\varepsilon, 2 + \varepsilon]$ . Now define f to be the function  $rs: A \to D$  and then f is the function desired to prove this theorem by induction.

COROLLARY 1. If D is a dendrite with only a number of endpoints, A = ab an arc, and  $z_1, z_2 \in D$ , then there exists a continuous inverse arc function, f, with domain A, range D,  $f(a) = z_1$ , and  $f(b) = z_2$ .

*Proof.* This corollary can be proved in a similar way to that used to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 2 can be easily proved and is an aid in the proof of Theorem 3.

LEMMA 2. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a continuous inverse arc function such that f(X) = Y, then for each arc  $ab \subset Y$ , there exists an arc  $a_0b_0 \subset X$  such that

- (1)  $f(a_0b_0) = ab$ ,
- (2)  $f(a_0) = a, f(b_0) = b, and$
- (3) if  $x \in a_0 b_0$ ,  $x \neq a_0$ , and  $x \neq b_0$ , then  $f(x) \neq a$  and  $f(x) \neq b$ .

THEOREM 3. Let D be a dendrite with infinitely many endpoints and I the unit interval. Then there does not exist  $f: I \rightarrow D$  a continuous inverse arc function such that f(I) = D.

*Proof.* Let y be a cut point of D and  $D - y = A \cup B$  sep. Without loss of generality suppose that B contains infinitely many endpoints of D. Pick a point  $z \in A$  and a countable infinite subset of endpoints contained in B and name this subset,  $\{y_n\}$ . Now suppose that there exists  $f: I \to D$  a continuous inverse arc function such that f(I) = D. Define

$$K=igcup_{n=1}^{\infty}zy_n$$
 .

Using Lemma 2 we can obtain for each n an arc  $p_n x_n \subset I$  such that  $f(p_n x_n) = zy_n$  having the properties stated in Lemma 2. Let

$$\mathscr{M}_{1} = \{p_{n}x_{n}: n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$$

and define  $\mathscr{M}_2 \subset \mathscr{M}_1$  such that  $p_1 x_1 \in \mathscr{M}_2$ . Suppose that for some n it has been decided for each k < n whether or not  $p_k x_k \in \mathscr{M}_2$ . Then  $p_n x_n \in \mathscr{M}_2$  if and only if  $p_n x_n \cap p_k x_k = \emptyset$  for each k < n such that

 $p_k x_k \in \mathscr{M}_2$ . By induction  $\mathscr{M}_2$  is defined.

We show that no more than one member of  $\mathscr{H}_1$  intersects another member of  $\mathscr{H}_1$ . Suppose that  $p_i x_i$  and  $p_j x_j$  are distinct members of  $\mathscr{H}_1$  such that  $p_i x_i \cap p_j x_j \neq \emptyset$ . Since for each k, no point of

$$p_k x_k - \{p_k, x_k\}$$

maps onto either z or  $y_y$ , then the only way that  $p_i x_i \cap p_j x_j \neq \emptyset$  is for  $p_i = p_j$  and without loss of generality  $x_i < p_i < x_j$ . (Note that if  $p_i = p_j < x_i < x_j$  or if  $x_j < x_i < p_i = p_j$  we we will reach a contradiction by again arguing as is in this paragraph below.) That is, the arcs  $p_i x_i$  and  $p_j x_j$  are the the closed intervals  $[x_i, p_i]$  and  $[p_j, x_j]$ respectively with  $p_i = p_j$ . The case is, that no other  $p_k x_k \in \mathscr{M}_1$  is such that  $p_k x_k \cap p_i x_i \neq \emptyset$ , for if we assume so, then without loss of generality  $p_k x_k = [x_k, p_k]$  and  $p_i x_i = [x_i, p_i]$  is true which implies either  $[x_i, p_i] \subset [x_k, p_k]$  or  $[x_k, p_k] \subset [x_i, p_i]$ . If  $[x_i, p_i] \subset [x_k, p_k]$ , then  $f(x_i p_i) = zy_i \subset f(x_k p_k) = zy_k$ . This says that  $y_i$  is contained in an arc of D and is not an and point of that arc. This is a contradiction to the definition of  $y_i$  being an endpoint of D. Similarly, a contradiction can be reached if we assume that  $[x_k, p_k] \subset [x_i, p_i]$ . Thus, no more than one member of  $\mathscr{M}_1$  intersects another member of  $\mathscr{M}_1$ .

Suppose that  $\mathscr{N}_2$  is finite. The method of definition of  $\mathscr{N}_2$  implies that there exists N such that for each n > N,

$$p_n x_n \in \mathscr{M}_1 - \mathscr{M}_2$$
.

For convenience, denote  $\mathscr{M}_2 = \{p_1x_1, \cdots, p_kx_k\}$ . Since

$$P_{\scriptscriptstyle N+1}x_{\scriptscriptstyle N+1}\,{\in}\,\mathscr{N}_1\,{-}\,\mathscr{N}_2$$
 ,

then there exists only one member of  $\mathscr{A}_2$  intersecting  $p_{N+1}x_{N+1}$  If there were more than one, then this would contradict the argument in the preceding paragraph. Likewise,  $p_{N+2}x_{N+2}$  intersects only one member of  $\mathscr{A}_2$ . The member of  $\mathscr{A}_2$  that  $p_{N+2}x_{N+2}$  intersects is distinct from the one that  $p_{N+1}x_{N+1}$  intersects for if not, then again the argument in the preceding paragraph would be contradicted. After k considerations, the set of arcs  $L = \{p_{N+1}x_{N+1}, \dots, p_{N+k}x_{N+k}\}$ , has the property that each member of L intersects one and only one distinct member of  $\mathscr{A}_2$ . This exhausts  $\mathscr{A}_2$  and implies that  $p_{N+k+1}x_{N+k+1}$  intersects no member of  $\mathscr{A}_2$ . This contradicts the definition of  $\mathscr{A}_2$  and therefore  $\mathscr{A}_2$  is infinite.

Since we know that  $\mathscr{N}_2$  is an infinite set of mutually exclusive arcs in *I*, then we can pick a null sequenc  $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{N}_2$ . Denote  $\mathscr{N}$  by  $\mathscr{N} = \{p_{n_i} x_{n_i}\}$  and let  $z_0$  be a member of the limit set of  $\mathscr{N}$ . Assume that  $f(z_0) \neq z$ . Let *U* be any open set such that  $f(z_0) \in U$  and  $z \notin U$ . Since *f* is continuous, then there exists an open set *V* such that  $z_0 \in V$  and  $f(V) \subset U$ . Because  $\mathscr{A}$  is a null sequence and  $z_0$  is in the limit set of  $\mathscr{A}$ , then there exists an *i* such that  $p_{n_i}x_{n_i} \subset V$ . Thus,  $z = f(p_{n_i}) \subset f(V) \subset U$  which contradicts the definition of U. Thus,  $f(z_0) = z$ .

The set A is an open set containing z and since  $f(z_0) = z$  there exists an open set V such that  $z_0 \in V$  and  $f(V) \subset A$ . Again, because  $\mathscr{N}$  is a null sequence and  $z_0$  is in the limit set of  $\mathscr{N}$  there exists an *i* such that  $p_{n_i} x_{n_i} \subset V$ . Therefore,

$$y_{n_i} = f(x_{n_i}) \subset f(V) \subset A$$

which contradicts  $y_{n_i} \in B$ . This final contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 4. The subclasses  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  are characterized as

 $\mathscr{H} = \{X: X \in \mathscr{D} \text{ and } X \text{ has only a finite number of endpoints}\}$ 

and

 $\mathscr{K} = \{X: X \in \mathscr{D} \text{ and } X \text{ has infinitely many endpoints} \}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of theorem is a consequence of Theorems 2 and 3.

Theorem 4 classifies dendrites into the class of all dendrites that are the range of an inverse arc function whose domain is an arc and the class of all those dendrites that cannot be the range of an inverse arc function with domain an arc. The remaining theorems in this section tell us what dendrites can be ranges of continuous inverse arc functions having dendrites as domains. In addition, Theorem 5 will be used as a tool to prove Theorem 8 in § 3.

**LEMMA 3.** If D is a dendrite and H is an uncountable collection of arcs, each contained in D, then there exists  $z \in D$  such that z is contained in uncountably many members of H.

THEOREM 5. Let S be a topological space that contains a subspace M where

$$M = igcup_{y \, \epsilon \, L} p y$$
 ,

L is an uncountable subset of S,  $p \in S$ , and if  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are distinct members of L, then  $y_1 \notin py_2$  and  $y_2 \notin py_1$ . If D is a dendrite with only countably many endpoints, then there does not exist  $f: D \to S$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that f(D) = S. *Proof.* If we assume the contrary, then for each arc  $py, y \in L$ , there exists an arc  $L_y = o_p x_y \subset D$  with the properties given by Lemma 2. That is,  $f(L_y) = py$ ,  $f(o_p) = p$ , and  $f(x_y) = y$ . Let  $H = \{L_y: y \in L\}$ . Lemma 3 implies that there exists a point  $z \in D$  such that z is contained in uncountably many members of H. Let

$$K = \{L_y: L_y \in H, z \in L_y\}$$
.

Note that K is uncountable and that for each

$$L_y = o_p x_y \in K$$
,  $z x_y \subset L_y$  .

If we denote the endpoints of D as  $\{d_n\}$ , then Lemma 1 allows us to denote

$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} z d_n$$
.

Since K is uncountable there will exist  $L_{y_1}, L_{y_2} \in K$ , a natural number N, and  $y_1 \neq y_2$  such that  $x_{y_1}$  and  $x_{y_2}$  are points on the arc  $zd_N$ . Without loss of generality suppose  $x_{y_1} < x_{y_2}$  on  $zd_N$  from z to  $d_N$ . Thus,  $zx_{y_1} \subset zx_{y_2}$  and

$$f(zx_{y_1}) \subset f(zx_{y_2}) \subset f(L_{y_2}) = py_2$$
 .

This says that  $f(x_{y_1}) = y_1 \in py_2$  which contradicts hypothesis of the theorem.

COROLLARY 2. If D is a dendrite with uncountably many endpoints and  $D_1$  a dendrite with only countably many endpoints, then there does not exist  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that  $f(D_1) = D$ .

*Proof.* Pick a point  $p \in D$ . Denote D by

$$D = \bigcup_{y \in L} py$$

where L is the set of endpoints of D. The hypothesis says that L is uncountable. Theorem 5 then implies the desired result.

THEOREM 6. If D is a dendrite with an infinite number of endpoints and  $D_1$  is a dendrite with only a finite number of endpoints, then there does not exist  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that  $f(D_1) = D$ .

*Proof.* Assume that such a function f does exist. Theorem 2 implies that there exists  $g: A \rightarrow D_1$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that A is an arc and  $g(A) = D_1$ . Then  $fg: A \rightarrow D$  is a con-

tinuous inverse arc function such that  $fg(A) = D_{\mathbf{x}}$  which contradicts Theorem 3.

LEMMA 4. If D is a dendrite and A is any arc contained in D, then D is retractible to A.

THEOREM 7. If S is a topological space that is retractible to an arc  $L_1$  and D is any dendrite with only a finite number of endpoints, then there exists  $f: S \to D$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that f(S) = D.

**Proof.** Since S is retractible to  $L_1$  there exists a continuous function  $g: S \to S$  such that  $g(S) = L_1$  and g is the identity function on  $L_1$ . Theorem 2 says that there exists  $h: L_1 \to D$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that  $h(L_1) = D$ . Let  $f: S \to D$  be the continuous function from S onto D such that f = hg. Let L be any arc in D. Since h is an inverse arc map, there exists an arc  $L_2 \subset L_1$ such that  $h(L_2) = L$ . The definition of f implies that

$$f(L_2) = h(g(L_2)) = h(L_2) = L$$
 .

Thus, f is an inverse arc map and the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 3. If  $D_1$  is any dendrite and D is any dendrite with only a finite number of endpoints, then there exists  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that  $f(D_1) = D$ .

Proof. The proof is obtained by using Lemma 4 and Theorem 7.

Let D and  $D_1$  be dendrites with infinitely many endpoints. The question that is interesting in this case is: "Does there exist  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D$ , a continuous inverse arc function such that  $f(D_1) = D$ ?" The only portion of this question that is answered in this paper is when  $D_1$  has countably many endpoints and D has uncountably many endpoints. For this, Corollary 2 reveals the answer to be no. Thus, there are three cases for further study.

3. A dimension related problem. This section gives a partial answer to the dimension raising ability of a continuous inverse arc function whose domain is a dendrite.

**THEOREM 8.** If D is a dendrite with only a countable number of endpoints, then there does not exist a continuous inverse arc function, f, with domain D such that dim  $f(D) \ge 2$ .

**Proof.** Let D be a dendrite and suppose that there does exist a continuous inverse arc function, f, with domain D and dim  $f(D) \ge 2$ . Pick a point  $p \in f(D)$  at which f(D) has dimension larger than one. The space f(D) has a convex metric  $\rho$ , [2]. Using this convex metric to generate the topology of f(D) and using the definition of dimension, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the boundary, B of the  $\varepsilon$ sphere with center at p is uncountable. Again using the metric  $\rho$ , we can construct an arc py for each  $y \in B$  such that if  $y_1, y_2 \in B$ ,  $y_1 \neq y_2$ , then  $y_1 \notin py_2$  and  $y_2 \notin py_1$ . Let

$$M = \bigcup_{y \in B} py$$

and then note that Theorem 5 says that f cannot exist and a contradiction is reached.

COROLLARY 4. If S is a Peano continuum that is the continuous inverse arc image of the unit interval I, then dim  $S \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Since I is a dendrite with only two endpoints, then Theorem 8 applies.

At this time I have not been able to discover whether or not dimension can be raised on a dendrite with uncountably many endpoints by a continuous inverse arc function. However, Theorem 9 does answer this question in the special case when dim f(D) = n and f(D) can be imbedded in  $E_n$ .

THEOREM 9. If D is a dendrite, f is an inverse arc function with domain D, dim f(D) = n, and f(D) can be imbedded in  $E_n$ , then  $n \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* If we suppose the contrary, then  $n \ge 2$ . Thus, [3], f(D) contains a nonempty open subset of  $E_n$  and in particular contains an *n*-cube,  $n \ge 2$ . Because of this, f(D) contains an uncountable collection, G, of mutually exclusive arcs. Since f is an inverse arc function, we can pick an arc  $L_x \subset f^{-1}(X)$  for each  $X \in G$ . Thus,  $H = \{L_x \colon X \in G\}$  is an uncountable collection of mutually exclusive arcs contained in D which contradicts Lemma 3.

#### References

1. R. H. Bing, Higher-dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1951), 267-273.

2. \_\_\_\_, Partitioning a set, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 55, (1949), 1101-1110.

<sup>3.</sup> Hurewicz and Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press, Third Printing 1952.

4. R. L. Moore, Foundations of point set theory, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloq., Vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1962).

5. G. T. Whyburn, Analytic Topology, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloq. Publ., Vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. (1962).

6. Wilder, *Topology of Manifolds*, Amer. Math. Soc., Colloq. Publ., Vol. **32**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1962).

Received December 7, 1971 and in revised form May 5, 1972.

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 45, No. 1September, 1973

William George Bade, Complementation problems for the Baire classes	1
Ian Douglas Brown, Representation of finitely generated nilpotent groups	13
Hans-Heinrich Brungs, Left Euclidean rings	27
Victor P. Camillo and John Cozzens, A theorem on Noetherian hereditary rings	35
James Cecil Cantrell, Codimension one embeddings of manifolds with locally flat	
triangulations	43
L. Carlitz, Enumeration of up-down permutations by number of rises	49
Thomas Ashland Chapman, Surgery and handle straightening in Hilbert cube	
manifolds	59
Roger Cook, On the fractional parts of a set of points. II	81
Samuel Harry Cox, Jr., Commutative endomorphism rings	87
Michael A. Engber, A criterion for divisoriality	93
Carl Clifton Faith, <i>When are proper cyclics injective</i>	97
David Finkel, Local control and factorization of the focal subgroup	113
Theodore William Gamelin and John Brady Garnett, Bounded approximation by	
rational functions	129
Kazimierz Goebel, On the minimal displacement of points under Lipschitzian	
mappings	151
Frederick Paul Greenleaf and Martin Allen Moskowitz, <i>Cyclic vectors for</i>	
representations associated with positive definite measures: nonseparable	165
groups	105
Inomas Guy Hallam and Nelson Onuchic, Asymptotic relations between perturbed	107
Devid Kant Hamison and Hout D. Wamon, Infusite primes of field and	18/
Completions	201
James Michael Hornell, Divisorial complete intersections	217
Jan W. Jaworowski, Equivariant extensions of mans	217
John Joho Dendrites, dimension, and the inverse are function	229
Corold William Johnson and David Lee Shoug. <i>Foruman integral</i> of non-factourble	243
finite-dimensional functionals	257
Dong S. Kim. A boundary for the algebras of bounded holomorphic functions	260
Abel Klein Renormalized products of the generalized free field and its derivatives	275
Joseph Michael I ambert. Simultaneous approximation and interpolation in L, and	215
C(T)	293
Kelly Denis McKennon Multipliers of type $(p, p)$ and multipliers of the group	275
L <sub>n</sub> -algebras.	297
William Charles Nemitz and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Varieties of implicative</i>	
semi-lattices. II.	303
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Some isolated subsets of infinite solvable groups</i>	313
Norma Mary Piacun and Li Pi Su, Wallman compactifications on E-completely	
regular spaces	321
Jack Ray Porter and Charles I. Votaw, $S(\alpha)$ spaces and regular Hausdorff	
extensions	327
Gary Sampson, <i>Two-sided L<sub>p</sub> estimates of convolution transform</i>	347
Ralph Edwin Showalter, <i>Equations with operators forming a right angle</i>	357
Raymond Earl Smithson, Fixed points in partially ordered sets	363
Victor Snaith and John James Ucci, Three remarks on symmetric products and	
symmetric maps	369
Thomas Rolf Turner, <i>Double commutants of weighted shifts</i>	379
George Kenneth Williams, <i>Mappings and decompositions</i>	387