Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FIXED POINTS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS

RAYMOND EARL SMITHSON

Vol. 45, No. 1

September 1973

FIXED POINTS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS

R. E. SMITHSON

In the first section of this paper a converse of a fixed point theorem for increasing functions on partially ordered sets is obtained. In the second part of the paper some results on common fixed points for commuting families of functions are extended to multifunctions and some known results are obtained as corollaries.

The results referred to above are in [1], [2], [5], and [6]. In [5] Smithson extended a theorem of Abian and Brown [1] to multifunctions, and by using the results of Davis [2] obtained a characterization of complete lattices in terms of fixed points of multifunctions as a corollary. In the first part of this note, the converse of Theorem 1.1 of [5] is proved and thus a characterization of certain partially ordered sets in terms of the fixed point property is obtained. This theorem extends a result of Davis [2]. In the second part some theorems for commuting functions and commuting multifunctions are obtained. These results extend a theorem of Tarski [6].

In the following (X, \leq) is a nonempty set with a partial order \leq . A subset C of X is called a *chain* in case it is totally ordered. Least upper bounds are defined in the usual way and x < y means $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$. A multifunction $F: X \to X$ is a point to set correspondence. (i.e., F(X) is a nonempty subset of X for each $x \in X$.) The term function shall mean a single-valued function. We shall denote a multifunction by an upper case letter, F, G etc., and a function by a lower case letter. A function $f: X \to X$ is increasing in case $x \leq y, x, y \in X$, implies that $f(x) \leq f(y)$. Let $F: X \to X$ be a multifunction on X into X. Then we use the following two conditions from [5] (Condition II was designated III in [5]).

I. If $x_1 \leq x_2$, x_1 , $x_2 \in X$, and if $y_1 \in F(x_1)$, then there is a $y_2 \in F(x_2)$ such that $y_1 \leq y_2$.

II. Let C be a chain in X and suppose there is an increasing function $f: C \to X$ such that $f(x) \in F(x)$ for all $x \in C$. If $x_0 = \text{lub } C$, then there exists a $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ such that $f(x) \leq y_0$ for all $x \in C$.

Note that an increasing single-valued function may be considered a multifunction and satisfies both Conditions I and II.

Finally if $f: X \to X$ is a function, a *fixed point* of f is a point $x \in X$ such that f(x) = x and if F is a multifunction, then x is a *fixed point* in case $x \in F(x)$.

1. The converse of a fixed point theorem. In [5] the following extension of a result of Abian and Brown [1] was proved.

THEOREM A. Suppose that each nonempty chain in X has a least upper bound and suppose that $F: X \to X$ is a multifunction that satisfies I and II. If there is an $e \in X$ and a point $y \in F(e)$ such that $e \leq y$, then F has a fixed point.

First note that we only needed to assume that each chain which contains e has a least upper bound. Next, since increasing functions satisfy Conditions I and II, we state and prove the converse of Theorem A for functions and obtain as a corollary a characterization of the fixed point property for a class of multifunctions on a partially ordered set. Theorem 1.2 is also an extension of a result of Davis [2].

Lemma 1.1. below is given as an exercise on page 68 of [4] and the proof is omitted.

LEMMA 1.1. Every nonempty chain in a partially ordered set contains a well ordered cofinal subset.

We also need the condition used by Wolk in [7].

D. If a, b are upper bounds of a totally ordered subset A of the partially ordered set X, then there is an upper bound d for A such that $d \leq a$ and $d \leq b$.

THEOREM 1.2. Suppose that (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set which satisfies condition D. Let $e \in X$ and suppose that there is a chain C in X which contains e and which does not have a least upper bound in X. Then there exists an increasing function $f: X \to X$ such that $e \leq f(e)$ and $f(x) \neq x$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 1.1 we obtain a well ordered cofinal subset W of C which contains e as a smallest element. Further, since C does not have a least upper bound in X neither does W. We have two main cases to consider. First assume that there is an upper bound of W in X, and set $A = \{x \in X : x \text{ is an upper bound of } W\}$. Then apply the Hausdorff maximal principle to obtain a maximal chain in A, and from this maximal chain we obtain a set B, by applying Lemma 1.1 to the dual order, such that if x is in the chain, there is a $b \in B$ with $b \leq x$, and such that each nonempty subset of B contains a largest element. Then by Condition D no element of A is a lower bound of B, and we define f: $X \to X$ as follows. First suppose that $x \notin A$ and set $W(x) = \{w \in W : w \leq x\} \neq \emptyset$.

 $f(x) = \min W(x)$. Next suppose that $x \in A$. Then the set $B(x) = \{b \in B: x \leq b\} \neq \emptyset$, and we set $f(x) = \max B(x)$. To see that f is increasing suppose that $x_1 \leq x_2$. If $x_1 \in A$, then $x_2 \in A$ and $B(x_1) \subset B(x_2)$; hence $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$. If neither x_1, x_2 are in A, then $W(x_1) \supset W(x_2)$ which implies that $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$. Finally if $x_1 \notin A$ and $x_2 \in A$ then $f(x_1) \in W$, and $f(x_2) \in B$ which again implies that $f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$. To see that f has no fixed point first observe that $f(X) \subset W \cup B$ and hence, $f(x) \neq x$ for all $x \notin W \cup B$. If $x \in W$, then $f(x) = \min \{w \in W: x < w\}$ and if $x \in B$, then $f(x) = \max \{b \in B: b < x\}$. In any case $f(x) \neq x$. Finally note that $e \leq f(e)$.

To complete the proof suppose that W has no upper bound. Then for $x \in X$, set $W(x) = \{w \in W : w \leq x\}$, and set $f(x) = \min W(x)$. The verification that $e \leq f(e)$, f is increasing and that f has no fixed point is analogous to the above case.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let $e \in X$ and let \mathscr{F} be the set of multifunctions on X which satisfies Conditions I and II. Further, suppose that for each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ there is a $y \in F(e)$ such that $e \leq y$. Then every multifunction in \mathscr{F} has a fixed point if and only if each chain in X which contains e has a least upper bound in X.

2. Commuting families. In this section we obtain an analog of Theorem A for commuting families of functions, and we extend a theorem of Tarski's [6] to commuting families of multifunctions where a family of functions is commuting in case $f, g \in \mathscr{F}$ implies that $f \circ g = g \circ f$.

Theorem 2.1 below is a version of a theorem of DeMarr [3].

THEOREM 2.1. Let $e \in X$ and let \mathscr{F} be a commuting family of increasing functions on X into X such that $e \leq f(e)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$. If each chain in X which contains e has a least upper bound in X, then there is a point $x \in X$ such that f(x) = x for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{S} be the set of all chains in X which contain e and which satisfy: If $x \in C \in \mathscr{S}$, then $x \leq f(x)$ for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$. By Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal element C_0 in \mathscr{S} . Let $x_0 = \text{lub } C_0(x_0)$ exists since C_0 is a chain and $e \in C_0$). First we shall show that $x_0 \in C_0$. For let $f \in \mathscr{F}$ and let $x \in C_0$. Then $x \leq x_0$ and therefore $x \leq f(x) \leq f(x_0)$. Hence, $f(x_0)$ is an upper bound for C_0 and thus $x_0 \leq f(x_0)$. Next suppose that $x_0 \neq f(x_0)$ for some $f \in \mathscr{F}$. Then we shall show that $C_0 \cup \{f(x_0)\} \in \mathscr{S}$. For this let $g \in \mathscr{F}$. Then $x_0 \leq g(x_0)$ and $f(x_0) \leq g(f(x_0))$. Hence, $C_0 \cup \{(f(x_0)\} \in \mathscr{S})$ which contradicts the maximality of C_0 . Hence, $f(x_0) = x_0$ for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$. A family \mathscr{F} of multifunctions is commuting in case $F \circ G = G \circ F$ for all $F, G \in \mathscr{F}$ where $F \circ G(x) = F(G(x)) = \bigcup \{F(y) : y \in G(x)\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let \mathscr{F} be a family of commuting multifunctions on X into X such that each member of \mathscr{F} satisfies I. Suppose that lub $F(x) \in F(x)$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$ and $x \in X$. Then for $F \in \mathscr{F}$ define f(x) = lub F(x) for all $x \in X$. If \mathscr{F}_0 is the collection of such functions, then \mathscr{F}_0 is a commuting family and each member of \mathscr{F}_0 is increasing.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathscr{F}_0$ where $f(x) = \operatorname{lub} F(x)$ and $g(x) = \operatorname{lub} G(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f(g(x)) \in F(G(x)) = G(F(x))$. Thus there is a $y \in F(x)$ such that $f(g(x)) \in G(y)$. Further, $y \leq f(x) = \operatorname{lub} F(x)$, and so by I there exists a $z \in G(f(x))$ such that $f(g(x)) \leq z$. Therefore $f(g(x)) \leq g(f(x))$, and we also get $g(f(x)) \leq f(g(x))$ by a similar argument. Thus $f \circ g = g \circ f$. Finally, if $x_1 \leq x_2$, Condition I implies that $\operatorname{lub} F(x_1) \leq \operatorname{lub} F(x_2)$ and hence, each $f \in \mathscr{F}_0$ is increasing.

Before giving the next theorem we examine a simple example which shows that Condition I was needed in Proposition 2.2.

EXAMPLE. Let X = [0, 1] and define two multifunctions F and G as follows: Let G(x) = X for all $x \in X$ and F(x) = X for $0 \le x < 1$ and F(1) = 0. Then $F \circ G = G \circ F$ but if f(x) = lub F(x) and g(x) = lub G(x), then f(g(x)) = 0 and g(f(x)) = 1 for all $x \in X$,

THEOREM 2.3. Let $e \in X$ and suppose each chain containing e has a lub in X. Let \mathscr{F} be a commuting collection of multifunctions on X into X such that there exists $y \in F(e)$ with $e \leq y$ for each $F \in \mathscr{F}$. If each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ satisfies Condition I, and if lub $F(x) \in F(x)$ for each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ and for all $x \in X$, then there exists an $x \in X$ such that $x \in F(x)$ for all $F \in \mathscr{F}$.

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.1.

As a corollary we obtain an extension of a theorem of Tarski [6].

COROLLARY 2.4. Let X be a complete lattice and let \mathscr{F} be a commuting family of multifunctions on X. If each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ satisfies Condition I and if lub $F(x) \in F(x)$ for each $F \in \mathscr{F}$ and $x \in X$, then there is a common fixed point for the members of \mathscr{F} .

References

^{1.} S. Abian and A. B. Brown, A theorem on partially ordered sets with applications to fixed point theorems, Canadian J. Math., 13 (1961), 78-82.

^{2.} A. C. Davis, A characterization of complete lattices, Pacific J. Math., 5 (1955), 311-319.

3. R. DeMarr, Common fixed points for isotone mappings, Colloquium Math., 13 (1964), 45-48.

4. P. R. Halmos, Naive Set Theory, Princeton, 1960.

5. R. E. Smithson, Fixed points of order preserving multifunctions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 28 (1971), 304-310.

6. A. Tarski, A lattice-theoretical fixpoint theorem and its applications, Pacific J. Math., 5 (1955), 285-309.

7. E. S. Wolk, Dedekind completeness and a fixed point theorem, Canad. J. Math., 9 (1957), 400-405.

Received November 18, 1971 and in revised form April 7, 1972. Presented to the American Mathematical Society in Las Vegas, Nevada, January 17, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

C. R. HOBBY University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 45, No. 1September, 1973

William George Bade, Complementation problems for the Baire classes	1
Ian Douglas Brown, Representation of finitely generated nilpotent groups	13
Hans-Heinrich Brungs, Left Euclidean rings	27
Victor P. Camillo and John Cozzens, A theorem on Noetherian hereditary rings	35
James Cecil Cantrell, Codimension one embeddings of manifolds with locally flat	
triangulations	43
L. Carlitz, Enumeration of up-down permutations by number of rises	49
Thomas Ashland Chapman, Surgery and handle straightening in Hilbert cube	
manifolds	59
Roger Cook, On the fractional parts of a set of points. II	81
Samuel Harry Cox, Jr., Commutative endomorphism rings	87
Michael A. Engber, A criterion for divisoriality	93
Carl Clifton Faith, <i>When are proper cyclics injective</i>	97
David Finkel, Local control and factorization of the focal subgroup	113
Theodore William Gamelin and John Brady Garnett, Bounded approximation by	
rational functions	129
Kazimierz Goebel, On the minimal displacement of points under Lipschitzian	
mappings	151
Frederick Paul Greenleaf and Martin Allen Moskowitz, <i>Cyclic vectors for</i>	
representations associated with positive definite measures: nonseparable	165
groups	105
Inomas Guy Hallam and Nelson Onuchic, Asymptotic relations between perturbed	107
Devid Kant Hamison and Hout D. Wamon, Infusite primes of field and	18/
Completions	201
James Michael Hornell, Divisorial complete intersections	217
Jan W. Jaworowski, Equivariant extensions of mans	217
John Joho Dendrites, dimension, and the inverse are function	229
Corold William Johnson and David Lee Shoug. <i>Foruman integral</i> of non-factourble	243
finite-dimensional functionals	257
Dong S. Kim. A boundary for the algebras of bounded holomorphic functions	260
Abel Klein Renormalized products of the generalized free field and its derivatives	275
Joseph Michael I ambert. Simultaneous approximation and interpolation in L, and	215
C(T)	293
Kelly Denis McKennon Multipliers of type (p, p) and multipliers of the group	275
L _n -algebras.	297
William Charles Nemitz and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Varieties of implicative</i>	
semi-lattices. II.	303
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Some isolated subsets of infinite solvable groups</i>	313
Norma Mary Piacun and Li Pi Su, Wallman compactifications on E-completely	
regular spaces	321
Jack Ray Porter and Charles I. Votaw, $S(\alpha)$ spaces and regular Hausdorff	
extensions	327
Gary Sampson, <i>Two-sided L_p estimates of convolution transform</i>	347
Ralph Edwin Showalter, <i>Equations with operators forming a right angle</i>	357
Raymond Earl Smithson, Fixed points in partially ordered sets	363
Victor Snaith and John James Ucci, Three remarks on symmetric products and	
symmetric maps	369
Thomas Rolf Turner, <i>Double commutants of weighted shifts</i>	379
George Kenneth Williams, <i>Mappings and decompositions</i>	387