# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COCYLES WITH RANGE {±1}

KEITH YALE

Vol. 45, No. 2

October 1973

# COCYCLES WITH RANGE $\{\pm 1\}$

# KEITH YALE

Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of the real line with the discrete topology and suppose  $\Gamma$  has at least two rationally independent elements. A nontrivial cocycle D whose range  $\{\pm 1\}$  consists only of the numbers +1 and -1 is constructed on the dual G of  $\Gamma$  using properties of local projective representations.

Cocycles play an important role in harmonic analysis on G and three apparently quite different methods for constructing nontrivial cocycles are known: Helson and Lowdenslager [5] (and extended by Helson and Kahane [4]), Gamelin [2] and the author [7]. In answer to a question raised by Helson [3] Gamelin constructed a nontrivial cocycle with range  $\{\pm 1\}$ . In this paper we provide a different construction of cocycles with range  $\{\pm 1\}$  based upon the method introduced in [7].

2. Preliminaries. We will briefly recall a few definitions and will summarize the main idea of [7] to which we refer the reader for further properties of cocycles and projective cocycles.

For the problem at hand it is sufficient to let G be the 2-dimensional torus  $T^2$  realized as the square  $[-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]$  with opposite edges identified ([7], p. 559). The open neighborhood  $(-\pi, \pi) \times (-\pi, \pi)$ of the identity in  $T^2$  is denoted by  $\mathscr{N}$  and  $\Lambda = \{e_t \mid t \in \text{Reals}\}$  is the continuous dense one-parameter subgroup of  $T^2$  formed by the winding line of irrational slope passing through the identity of  $T^2$ . A (Borel) function  $\varphi$  on  $T^2$  is said to be unitary in case  $\varphi(x)$  has modulus one a.e. (x) (with respect to Haar measure on  $T^2$ ). For unitary functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  we write  $\varphi(\cdot) = \psi(\cdot)$  to mean  $\varphi(x) =$  $\psi(x)$  a.e. (x).

It is convenient to view a cocycle A as a family of unitary functions  $A(e_t, \cdot), e_t \in \Lambda$ , which satisfy the identity

(2.1) 
$$A(e_t + e_u, \cdot) = A(e_t, \cdot)A(e_u, \cdot - e_t)$$

in addition to a continuity condition which need not be stated here. If  $A(e_t, \cdot)$  is a constant unitary function for each  $e_t \in \Lambda$  we say that A is a constant cocycle; necessarily A is of the form  $A(e_t, \cdot) = \exp i\lambda t$  for some real number  $\lambda$ . Cocycles of the form  $A(e_t, \cdot) = \varphi(\cdot)\overline{\varphi}(\cdot - e_t)$  for some unitary function  $\varphi$  are called coboundaries. We say that a cocycle A is nontrivial if A is not the product of a constant cocycle and a coboundary. We now turn to the main idea of [7]. Given a local projective multiplier  $\omega$  a projective cocycle  $A_{\omega}$  was formed and this induced a cocycle A related to  $A_{\omega}$  by

(2.2) 
$$A(e_t, \cdot) = q(e_t)A_{\omega}(e_t, \cdot)$$

for some continuous function q on a segment  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  containing the identity. Moreover, A is unique up to a constant cocycle factor and if the multiplier  $\omega$  is nontrivial then the cocycle A is nontrivial; this last assertion relies heavily upon the continuity of  $\omega$ .

Bargmann [1] showed that  $T^2$  has two inequivalent local projective multipliers. We can let  $\omega$  be the continuous (on  $\mathscr{N} \times \mathscr{N}$ ) nontrivial multiplier so that the cocycle A given by (2.2) is nontrivial.

The idea of this paper is to observe that the continuous multiplier  $\omega^2$  must be equivalent to the trivial multiplier 1 and upon taking square roots properly one finds that  $\omega$  is equivalent to a nontrivial multiplier d with range  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Now d, though not continuous, is measurable and this essentially allows us to construct a measurable projective cocycle  $A_d$  with range  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Although a cocycle,  $qA_d$ , can be induced by  $A_d$  it need not have the desired range and it would be somewhat difficult to prove  $qA_d$  is nontrivial by the techniques of [7] since d is not continuous.

Fortunately, as is shown in §4, a simple modification of  $A_d$  produces a nontrivial cocycle D with range  $\{\pm 1\}$ . In fact D is actually induced by  $A_d$  but not by the general construction of [7].

Since [7] dealt exclusively with continuous multipliers we will indicate those modifications necessary for constructing the measurable multiplier d and its associated projective cocycle. We attend to these matters in § 3 reserving § 4 for the actual construction of D.

3. Measurable projective multipliers d with range  $\{\pm 1\}$  are familiar in the theory of group representations and we will only sketch a construction (Cf. Mackey [6], p. 154).

As mentioned in the preceding section  $T^2$  has only one (up to equivalence) nontrivial continuous local projective multiplier  $\omega$  defined on  $\mathscr{N} \times \mathscr{N}$ . It follows that the continuous multiplier  $\omega^2$  is either equivalent to  $\omega$  or is trivial. If  $\omega^2$  were equivalent to  $\omega$  then  $\omega$  itself would be trivial and so we must assume  $\omega^2$  is trivial, i.e.,

(3.1) 
$$\omega^{2}(x, y)(\bar{s}(x)\bar{s}(y)s(x+y)) = 1$$

for some continuous function s of modulus one on  $\mathcal{N}$  and for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $x + y \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Now let p be a measurable square root of s on  $\mathcal{N}$  and define d by

(3.2) 
$$d(x, y) = \omega(x, y)(\overline{p}(x)\overline{p}(y)p(x+y))$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $x + y \in \mathcal{N}$ . Clearly d is a local projective multiplier with domain  $1/2\mathcal{N} \times 1/2\mathcal{N}$ , say, and with range  $\{\pm 1\}$ .

Actually, our interest lies with the unitary function

(3.3) 
$$d(e_t, \cdot) = \omega(e_t, \cdot)(\overline{p}(e_t)\overline{p}(\cdot)p(e_t + \cdot))$$

defined for each  $e_i \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{N}$ . Notice that  $d(e_i, \cdot)$  has essential range  $\{\pm 1\}$ .

For each  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $A_{\omega}(x, y) = \omega(x, y - x)$  defines a unitary function  $A_{\omega}(x, \cdot)$  since  $\omega$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}([7])$ , p. 563). If d were continuous then  $A_d(x, y) = d(x, y - x)$  formally defines a projective cocycle which satisfies

(3.4) 
$$A_{\omega}(x, y)A_{d}(x, y) = p(x)B(x, y)$$

where  $B(x, y) = \overline{p}(y)p(y - x)$  ([7], p, 562).

However, for our purposes, we need not define  $A_d(x, \cdot)$  for all  $x \in \mathscr{N}$  nor obtain (3.4) for measurable multipliers. Rather, let B be the coboundary  $B(e_t, \cdot) = \overline{p}(\cdot)p(\cdot - e_t)$  (which is defined for all  $e_t \in \Lambda$ ) and let

(3.5) 
$$A_d(e_t, \cdot) = \overline{p}(e_t)\overline{B}(e_t, \cdot)A_{\omega}(e_t, \cdot)$$

which defines  $A_d(e_i, \cdot)$  as a unitary function for each  $e_i \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{N}$ .

A straightforward computation using (3.3), (3.5) and the defining expressions for B and  $A_{\omega}$  shows that  $A_d(e_t, \cdot) = d(e_t, \cdot - e_t)$  for all  $e_t \in A \cap \mathscr{N}$  and we conclude that  $A_d(e_t, \cdot)$  has essential range  $\{\pm 1\}$ .

4. The construction. We can eliminate  $A_{\omega}$  from (2.2) and (3.5) to obtain

(4.1) 
$$A_d(e_t, \cdot) = \overline{pq}(e_t)\overline{B}A(e_t, \cdot)$$

for all  $e_t \in \Lambda_0$ .

With the exception of q all the terms in (4.1) are defined, at least, for all  $e_t \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{N}$ . Hence the unitary function  $P(e_t, \cdot)$  given by

(4.2) 
$$P(e_t, \cdot) = \overline{A}_d(e_t, \cdot)\overline{B}A(e_t, \cdot)$$

is defined for all  $e_t \in \Lambda \cap \mathscr{N}$  and coincides with the constant unitary function  $pq(e_t)$  for  $e_t \in \Lambda_0$ .

Disregarding the fact that  $A_d(e_t, \cdot)$  is not defined for all  $e_t \in A$ the function  $A_d$  is a cocycle only if P is a cocycle. Now  $P^2$  but not necessarily P is a cocycle and  $D = \bar{r}\bar{B}A$  where r is a cocycle square root of  $P^2$  is the desired nontrivial cocycle with range  $\{\pm 1\}$ . To see this first square both sides of (4.2) to obtain

(4.3)  $P^2(e_t, \cdot) = (\overline{B}A)^2(e_t, \cdot)$ 

for all  $e_t \in \Lambda \cap \mathcal{N}$ .

We can use (4.3) to extend  $P^2$  to  $\Lambda$  since  $(\overline{B}A)^2$  is a cocycle and as such  $\overline{B}A(e_t, \cdot)$  is a unitary function for all  $e_t \in \Lambda$ . Thus, retaining the same notation, (4.3) is valid for all  $e_t \in \Lambda$  and we see that  $P^2$  is a cocycle.

Now  $P^2(e_t, \cdot) = (pq)^2(e_t)$  for  $e_t \in \Lambda_0$  and a routine application of the cocycle identity (2.1) shows that  $P^2(e_t, \cdot)$  is a constant unitary function for all  $e_t \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $P^2$  is a constant cocycle and we have  $P^2(e_t, \cdot) = \exp(i2\lambda t)$  for some real number  $2\lambda$ . The constant cocycle r given by  $r(e_t) = \exp(i\lambda t)$  is evidently a square root of  $P^2$ .

Let D be defined for all  $e_t \in \Lambda$  by

(4.4) 
$$D(e_t, \cdot) = \overline{r}(e_t)\overline{B}A(e_t, \cdot) .$$

Clearly D is a cocycle and since D is a square root of  $\overline{P}^2\overline{B}^2A^2 = 1$  it follows that the essential range of  $D(e_t, \cdot)$  is contained in  $\{\pm 1\}$  for each  $e_t \in A$ . Moreover, D is nontrivial because A is nontrivial.

5. Remarks. In [3] Helson showed that any cocycle A can be written as the product

$$(5.1) A = CRD'$$

where C is a coboundary, D' a cocycle with range  $\{\pm 1\}$  and R is a regular cocycle given by

(5.2) 
$$R(e_t, x) = \exp\left(i\int_0^t m(x - e_u)du\right)$$
, a.e.(x),

for some real Borel function m on  $T^2$ . It was the factoring (5.1) which led to the question if nontrivial cocycles with range  $\{\pm 1\}$  exist.

If we apply the factoring (5.1) to the cocycle A induced by  $A_{\omega}$  and substitute into (4.4) we obtain

(5.3) 
$$D\overline{D}'(e_t, \cdot) = \overline{r}(e_t)(\overline{B}C)(e_t, \cdot)R(e_t, \cdot).$$

Notice that  $D\overline{D}'$  is trivial if and only if R is trivial. However, nothing is known about the regular factor R of the cocycle A induced by  $A_{\omega}$ . In particular, if R were trivial then projective multipliers would give rise to a class of nontrivial cocycles quite distinct from the non-trivial regular cocycles produced in [4] and [5].

## References

1. V. Bargmann, On unitary ray representations of continuous groups, Ann. of Math., **59** (1954), 1-46.

2. T. W. Gamelin, Uniform Algebras, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1969.

3. H. Helson, Compact groups with ordered duals, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 14A (1965), 144-156.

4. H. Helson and J.-P. Kahane, Compact groups with ordered duals III, to appear.

5. H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, *Invariant subspaces*, Proc. Int. Symp. on Linear Spaces, Jerusalem (1960), Macmillan (Pergamon), New York, 1961, 251-262.

6. G. W. Mackey, Induced representations of locally compact groups and applications, Proc. Conf. on Functional Analysis and Related Fields, Chicago (1968), Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970, 132-166.

7. K. Yale, Invariant subspaces and projective representations, Pacific. J. Math., 36 (1971), 557-565.

Received February 29, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

С. R. Новву

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B.H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

Wolf K. Yoshida

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 45, No. 2 October, 1973

Kenneth Paul Baclawski and Kenneth Kapp, <i>Induced topologies for quasigroups</i>	393
and loopsD. G. Bourgin, <i>Fixed point and</i> min – max <i>theorems</i>	403
J. L. Brenner, Zolotarev's theorem on the Legendre symbol	403
Jospeh Atkins Childress, Jr., <i>Restricting isotopies of spheres</i>	415
John Edward Coury, Some results on lacunary Walsh series	419
James B. Derr and N. P. Mukherjee, <i>Generalized Sylow tower groups. II</i>	419
Paul Frazier Duvall, Jr., Peter Fletcher and Robert Allen McCoy, <i>Isotopy Galois</i>	
spaces	435
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Strictly cyclic operator algebras on a Banach space</i>	443
Abi (Abiadbollah) Fattahi, On generalizations of Sylow tower groups	453
Burton I. Fein and Murray M. Schacher, <i>Maximal subfields of tensor products</i>	479
Ervin Fried and J. Sichler, Homomorphisms of commutative rings with unit	
element	485
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Essential products of nonsingular rings</i>	493
George Grätzer, Bjarni Jónsson and H. Lakser, The amalgamation property in	
equational classes of modular lattices	507
H. Groemer, On some mean values associated with a randomly selected simplex	
in a convex set	525
Marcel Herzog, Central 2-Sylow intersections	535
Joel Saul Hillel, On the number of type-k translation-invariant groups	539
Ronald Brian Kirk, A note on the Mackey topology for $(C^b(X)^*, C^b(X))$	543
J. W. Lea, <i>The peripherality of irreducible elements of lattice</i>	555
John Stewart Locker, Self-adjointness for multi-point differential operators	561
Robert Patrick Martineau, Splitting of group representations	571
Robert Massagli, <i>On a new radical in a topological ring</i>	577
James Murdoch McPherson, <i>Wild arcs in three-space. I. Families of Fox-Artin</i>	585
James Murdoch McPherson, Wild arcs in three-space. III. An invariant of	
oriented local type for exceptional arcs	599
Fred Richman, <i>The constructive theory of countable abelian</i> p-groups	621
Edward Barry Saff and J. L. Walsh, <i>On the convergence of rational functions</i> which interpolate in the roots of unity	639
Harold Eugene Schlais, <i>Non-aposyndesis and non-hereditary</i>	057
decomposability	643
Mark Lawrence Teply, A class of divisible modules	653
Edward Joseph Tully, Jr., <i>H-commutative semigroups in which each</i>	
homomorphism is uniquely determined by its kernel	669
Garth William Warner, Jr., Zeta functions on the real general linear group	681
Keith Yale, <i>Cocyles with range</i> {±1}	693
Chi-Lin Yen, On the rest points of a nonlinear nonexpansive semigroup	699