Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPLETE, DISCRETELY VALUED FIELDS

SETH WARNER

Vol. 48, No. 1 March 1973

A TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPLETE, DISCRETELY VALUED FIELDS

SETH WARNER

It is shown that the topology of a topological field F is given by a complete, discrete valuation if and only if F is locally strictly linearly compact. More generally, the topology of a topological division ring K is given by a complete, discrete valuation and K is finite dimensional over its center if and only if K is locally centrally linearly compact, that is, if and only if K contains an open subring B, the open left ideals of which form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero, such that B, regarded as a module over its center, is strictly linearly compact.

In [5], Jacobson showed that the topology of an indiscrete, totally disconnected, locally compact division ring is given by a discrete valuation (that is, a valuation whose value group is isomorphic to the cyclic group of integers). Consequently, an indiscrete topological division ring K is locally compact and totally disconnected if and only if its topology is given by a complete, discrete valuation whose residue field is finite [4, Prop. 2, p. 118, Prop. 1, p. 156]. From this, it follows rather readily that the center C of K is indiscrete, that K is finite dimensional over C, and that C is either a finite extension of the p-adic number field for some prime p or the field of formal power series over a finite field [4, Theorem 1, p. 158].

Our purpose here is to generalize Jacobson's theorem by characterizing those topological fields whose topology is given by a complete, discrete valuation, and more generally, those topological division rings K such that K is finite dimensional over its center and the topology of K is given by a complete, discrete valuation.

For this purpose, we assume some familiarity with basic properties of linearly compact and strictly linearly compact modules and rings, as developed in [10] or [3, Exercises 14-22, pp. 108-112]. We recall that a (left) topological A-module E (it is not assumed that E is unitary) is linearly topologized if the open submodules of E form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero; E is linearly compact if E is Hausdorff, linearly topologized, and every filter base of cosets of submodules has an adherent point; E is strictly linearly compact if E is linearly compact and every continuous epimorphism from E onto a Hausdorff, linearly topologized E-module is open (equivalently, if E/U is an artinian E-module for every open submodule E of E. A topological ring E is respectively linearly topologized, linearly compact,

or strictly linearly compact if the associated left A-module A is.

DEFINITION. A topological ring A is locally strictly linearly compact if A has an open subring B that is strictly linearly compact for its induced topology.

To handle the noncommutative case, we need the following definition:

DEFINITION. A topological ring B is centrally linearly compact if the open left ideals of B form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero and if B, regarded as a module over its center C_B , is a strictly linearly compact C_B -module. A topological ring A is locally centrally linearly compact if A contains an open subring that is centrally linearly compact for its induced topology.

Thus a commutative topological ring is (locally) centrally linearly compact if and only if it is (locally) strictly linearly compact. Note that if B is a centrally linearly compact ring, then for any subring B_0 of B that contains the center C_B , B is a strictly linearly compact B_0 -module (in particular, B is a strictly linearly compact ring); indeed, since the open left ideals of B form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero, B is a linearly topologized B_0 -module, and since a B_0 -submodule is also a C_B -submodule, every filter base of cosets of B_0 -submodules necessarily has an adherent point.

By a topological division ring (field) K we mean a topological ring that is algebraically a division ring (field); that is, we do not assume that $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous on the set K^* of nonzero elements.

LEMMA 1. If B is an open, centrally linearly compact subring of an indiscrete topological division ring K, then there is an open, centrally linearly compact subring B_1 of K that contains 1.

Proof. Let B_1 be the closure of the subring generated by B and 1. The open left ideals of B then form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero in B_1 ; each open left ideal α of B is a left ideal of B_1 , for as α is closed, $\{x \in B_1 : x\alpha \subseteq \alpha\}$ is a closed subring of B_1 containing B and 1 and hence is all of B_1 .

Since B is open, $B \neq (0)$; let b be some nonzero element of B, and let c be its inverse in K. Then, $B_1 = B_1bc \subseteq B_1Bc$, so $B_1 \subseteq Bc$ since, as we saw above, B is a left ideal of B_1 . Thus $Bc \supseteq B_1 \supseteq B$, so Bc is a linearly topologized C_B -module, where C_B is the center of B. Hence Bc is a strictly linearly compact C_B -module, as it is the image of the strictly linearly compact C_B -module B under the continuous homomorphism $x \mapsto xc$. Consequently, the closed C_B -submodule

 B_1 of Bc is strictly compact; as C_B is contained in the center of B_1 , B_1 is a fortiori strictly linearly compact over its center.

We recall that an element a of a topological ring is topologically nilpotent if $\lim a^n = 0$.

LEMMA 2. Let K be a Hausdorff topological division ring, let B be an open subring of K that contains 1, and let x be the radical of B. If B is strictly linearly compact, then B is a (left) noetherian ring, B/x is a division ring, the topology of B is the x-adic topology, and x is the set of all topological nilpotents of B.

Proof. As B is open and as $y \mapsto yx$ is a homeomorphism for each $x \in K^*$, Bx is open for every $x \in K^*$, and hence every nonzero left ideal of B is open. Let $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{r}^n$. Assume that $\mathfrak{F} \neq (0)$. Then \mathfrak{F} is open, so B/\mathfrak{F} is an artinian B-module and hence an artinian ring. Consequently, its radical $\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{F}$ is nilpotent, so there exists n such that $\mathfrak{r}^n = \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $(0) \neq \mathfrak{r}^n = \mathfrak{r}^{n+1} = \cdots$, in contradiction to [10, Theorem 9]. Therefore, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{r}^n = (0)$.

Since every nonzero left ideal of B is open and hence closed, B is a (left) noetherian ring, B/r is an artinian ring, and the topology of B is its r-adic topology by [13, Theorem 16]. Consequently, every element of r is a topological nilpotent. Therefore, as B is complete, B is suitable for building idempotents [11, Lemma 4; 6, Definition 1, p. 53]. Thus every idempotent of B/r is the coset of r determined by an idempotent of B [6, Proposition 4, p. 54]. But as K is a division ring, B has no idempotents other than 0 and 1. Thus B/r is an artinian, semisimple ring whose only idempotents are 0 and 1. By the Wedderburn-Artin theorem, therefore, B/r is a division ring. In particular, if $r \notin r$, then $r \in r$ is not a nilpotent of r is a r is not a topological nilpotent.

THEOREM 1. If K is an indiscrete, Hausdorff topological field, then the topology of K is given by a complete, discrete valuation if and only if K is locally strictly linearly compact.

Proof. Necessity. It is well known that a complete, semilocal noetherian ring, equipped with its natural r-adic topology, is strictly linearly compact [cf. 13, Corollary of Lemma 2]. In particular, the valuation ring of a complete discrete valuation is strictly linearly compact.

Sufficiency. By Lemma 1, there is an open, strictly linearly compact subring B of K that contains 1. By Lemma 2, B is a complete, local noetherian domain, and its topology is its natural m-adic topology, where m is the maximal ideal of B. In particular, B is not

a field since B is not discrete. Therefore, as B is open in the topological field K, the topology of K is defined by a complete, discrete valuation [12, Theorem 6].

THEOREM 2. If K is an indiscrete, Hausdorff topological division ring, then the topology of K is given by a complete, discrete valuation and K is finite-dimensional over its center C if and only if K is locally centrally linearly compact; in this case, C is indiscrete, and hence its topology is given by a complete, discrete valuation.

Proof. Necessity. As K is finite-dimensional over C, the valuation induced on C by that of K is not the improper valuation; hence as Cis closed, the topology of C is given by a complete, discrete valuation v. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis of K over C such that $e_1 = 1$, and let $e_i e_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{ijk} e_k$. Let $\lambda \in C$ be such that $v(\lambda) \geq 0$ and $v(\lambda) \geq -1$ $\min \{v(\alpha_{ijk}): 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n\}$. Let $f_1 = 1$ and $f_k = \lambda e_k$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$. Let V be the valuation ring of C, and for each $m \ge 0$ let $V_m = \{x \in$ $V: v(x) \ge m$. Let $B = Vf_1 + \cdots + Vf_n$, and for each $m \ge 0$ let $\mathfrak{b}_m =$ $V_m f_1 + \cdots + V_m f_n$. Easy calculations establish that B is a ring and that \mathfrak{b}_m is an ideal of B for each $m \geq 0$. By [2, Theorem 2, p. 18], $F: (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i$ is a topological isomorphism from the Cvector space C^n onto the C-vector space K. Hence B is an open subring of K, and $(\mathfrak{b}_m)_{m\geq 0}$ is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero in B, each an ideal of B. We saw earlier that V is strictly linearly compact; hence as $B = F(V^n)$, B is a strictly linearly compact V-module and, a fortiori, is a centrally linearly compact ring.

Sufficiency. By Lemma 1, there is an open, centrally linearly compact subring B that contains 1. Let r be the radical of B. As the r-adic topology is the given indiscrete topology of B by Lemma 2, there exists a nonzero $a \in B$ such that $\lim a^n = 0$. Let K_0 be the closed subfield generated by C and a, let $B_0 = K_0 \cap B$, and let r_0 be the radical of B_0 . Since the open left ideals of B form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero for B, the open ideals of B_0 form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero for B_0 . Moreover, the center C_B of B is simply $C \cap B$; indeed, if $c \in C_B$ and if $x \in K$, then $a^nx \in B$ for some n as $\lim a^nx = 0$, whence $(a^nx)c = c(a^nx) = (ca^n)x = 0$ Thus $C_B = C \cap B \subseteq K_0 \cap B = B_0$, so B_0 is a closed $(a^nc)x$, so xc=cx. C_B -submodule of B and hence is a strictly linearly compact C_B -module. Consequently, B_0 is a strictly linearly compact ring, so by Lemma 2, the topology of B_0 is the r_0 -adic topology, and r and r_0 are respectively the set of topological nilpotents in B and B_0 , whence $\mathfrak{r}_0 = \mathfrak{r} \cap B_0$. Hence $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathfrak{r}_0^n B)^- \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{r}^n = (0)$. As the topology of B_0 is indiscrete, $r_0^2 \neq (0)$, so $r_0^2 B$ is open as it contains a nonzero left ideal of B. By

[13, Theorem 10], r_0B is a finitely generated B_0 -module; let $r_0B =$ $B_0x_1 + \cdots + B_0x_m$. Also as B is a strictly linearly compact C_R -module and as r_0B is open, B/r_0B is an artinian C_B -module, hence an artinian B_0 -module; now B/r_0B admits the structure of B_0/r_0 -module, and B_0/r_0 is a field by Lemma 2; consequently B/r_0B is an artinian, therefore, finite-dimensional, and hence noetherian B_0/r_0 -vector space; thus B/r_0B is a noetherian B_0 -module. Let $x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n \in B$ be such that B = $B_0x_{m+1}+\cdots+B_0x_n+\mathfrak{r}_0B$. Then $B=B_0x_1+\cdots+B_0x_n$. Consequently, x_1, \dots, x_n is a set of generators of the K_0 -vector space K, for if $z \in K$, there exists t such that $a^t z \in B$, whence $a^t z = b_1 x_1 + \cdots + b_n x_n$ where $b_i \in B_0$, and thus $z = (a^{-t}b_1)x_1 + \cdots + (a^{-t}b_n)x_n \in K_0x_1 + \cdots + \cdots$ K_0x_n . By [1, Theorem 16], the centralizer K'_0 of K_0 has degree $\leq n$ over C. But $K'_0 \supseteq K_0$ as K_0 is commutative. Moreover, the topology of K_0 is given by a discrete valuation by Theorem 1, as B_0 is an open, strictly linearly compact subring. Therefore, as $[K_0: C] \leq n$, the valuation induced on C is not the improper valuation; hence the topology of C is given by a complete, discrete valuation. As

$$[K: C] = [K: K_0][K_0: C] \leq n^2$$
,

the given topology of K is the only topology for which K is a Hausdorff topological vector space over C [2, Theorem 2, p. 18]; by valuation theory, that topology is given by a complete, discrete valuation.

The idea of using [1, Theorem 16] is suggested by Kaplansky's treatment of locally compact division rings in [8].

Jacobson's theorem concerning totally disconnected locally compact division rings follows at once from Theorem 2. Indeed, if K is an indiscrete, totally disconnected, locally compact division ring, then K contains a compact open subring B [9, Lemma 4]; the open ideals of B form a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero [7, Lemmas 9 and 10], and therefore the compact ring B is clearly centrally linearly compact; by Theorem 2, K is finite-dimensional over its center, which is indiscrete, and the topology of K is given by a complete, discrete valuation.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Artin and G. Whaples, The theory of simple rings, Amer. J. Math., 65 (1943), 87-107.
- 2. N. Bourbaki, Espaces vectoriels topologiques, Ch. 1-2, 2nd ed., Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- 3. ——, Algèbre commutative, Ch. 3-4, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- 4. ——, Algèbre commutative, Ch. 5-6, Hermann, Paris, 1964.
- N. Jacobson, Totally disconnected locally compact rings, Amer. J. Math., 58 (1936), 433-449.
- 6. ———, Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Providence, R. I., 1956.
- 7. Irving Kaplansky, Topological rings, Amer. J. Math., 69 (1947), 153-183.

- 8. Irving Kaplansky, Topological methods in valuation theory, Duke Math. J., 14 (1947), 527-541.
- 9. _____, Locally compact rings, Amer. J. Math., 70 (1948), 447-459.
- 10. Horst Leptin, Linear kompakte Moduln und Ringe, Math. Z., 62 (1955), 241-267.
- 11. Seth Warner, Compact rings, Math. Ann., 145 (1962), 52-63.
- 12. ———, Openly embedding local noetherian domains, J. Reine Angew. Math., 253 (1972), 146-151.
- 13. ——, Linearly compact rings and modules, Math. Ann., 197 (1972), 29-43.

Received June 12, 1972.

DUKE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 48, No. 1

March, 1973

Jan Aarts and David John Lutzer, <i>Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire</i>	1
spaces	1
Gordon Owen Berg, Metric characterizations of Euclidean spaces	11
Ajit Kaur Chilana, The space of bounded sequences with the mixed topology	29
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Differentiable open maps of</i>	35
(p+1)-manifold to p-manifold	33
P. D. T. A. Elliott, On additive functions whose limiting distributions possess a finite mean and variance	47
M. Solveig Espelie, <i>Multiplicative and extreme positive operators</i>	57
Jacques A. Ferland, Domains of negativity and application to generalized convexity	
on a real topological vector space	67
Michael Benton Freeman and Reese Harvey, A compact set that is locally	
holomorphically convex but not holomorphically convex	77
Roe William Goodman, Positive-definite distributions and intertwining	
operators	83
Elliot Charles Gootman, The type of some C* and W*-algebras associated with	
transformation groups	93
David Charles Haddad, Angular limits of locally finitely valent holomorphic	
functions	107
William Buhmann Johnson, On quasi-complements	113
William M. Kantor, On 2-transitive collineation groups of finite projective	
spaces	119
Joachim Lambek and Gerhard O. Michler, Completions and classical localizations	
of right Noetherian rings	133
Kenneth Lamar Lange, Borel sets of probability measures	141
David Lowell Lovelady, Product integrals for an ordinary differential equation in a	
Banach space	163
Jorge Martinez, A hom-functor for lattice-ordered groups	169
W. K. Mason, Weakly almost periodic homeomorphisms of the two sphere	185
Anthony G. Mucci, Limits for martingale-like sequences	197
Eugene Michael Norris, Relationally induced semigroups	203
Arthur E. Olson, A comparison of c-density and k-density	209
Donald Steven Passman, On the semisimplicity of group rings of linear groups.	
<i>II</i>	215
Charles Radin, Ergodicity in von Neumann algebras	235
P. Rosenthal, On the singularities of the function generated by the Bergman operator of the second kind	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Multiplications on homogeneous spaces</i> , nonassociative algebras and connections	247
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the Poincaré 3-ball	267
Ramachandran Subramanian, On a generalization of martingales due to Blake	275
Bui An Ton, On strongly nonlinear elliptic variational inequalities	279
Seth Warner, A topological characterization of complete, discretely valued fields	293
Chi Song Wong, Common fixed points of two mappings	