# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MACKEY UNIFORMITY $m(L^{\infty}, L^{1})$ FOR FINITE MEASURES

KEITH DUNCAN STROYAN

Vol. 49, No. 1

May 1973

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE MACKEY UNIFORMITY $m(L^{\infty}, L^1)$ FOR FINITE MEASURES

#### K. D. STROYAN

Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{M}$  over a set X. As usual  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  denotes the space of  $\mu$ -essentially bounded measurable functions and  $L^{1}(\mu)$  denotes the space of  $\mu$ -integrable functions. In this article we use nonstandard analysis to give a simple description of the Mackey uniformity  $m(L^{\infty}, L^{1})$ . The Mackey uniformity is the finest locally convex linear uniformity on  $L^{\infty}$  for which each continuous linear functional has an  $L^{1}$  representation. The famous theorem of Mackey-Arens says it is given by uniform convergence on the weakly compact subsets of  $L^{1}$ .

Our description is simply this: Let p be a seminorm on  $L^{\infty}$ . Then p is Mackey continuous if and only if whenever g is a finitely bounded element of the nonstandard extension  $*L^{\infty}$  which is infinitesimal, except possibly on a set of infinitesimal internal measure, then p(g) is infinitesimal.

For the reader who is unfamiliar with nonstandard analysis we remark that  $\psi$  is  $L^{\infty}$ -norm continuous at f if and only if whenever gis a finitely bounded element of the nonstandard extension which is infinitesimally close to f, except possibly on a set of zero internal measure, then  $\psi(g)$  is infinitesimally close to  $\psi(f)$ . (This follows easily from Robinson's treatment of metric spaces.) We write  $f \stackrel{n}{=} g$ if  $(||f - g||_{\infty}$  is finite and) f(x) is infinitesimally close to  $g(x), f(x) \approx$ g(x), except possibly on a set of measure zero and say f is a norminfinitesimal from g.

This characterization uses the idea of a linear infinitesimal relation which generalizes the nonstandard treatment of metric and uniform spaces given by Robinson [6], Luxemburg [3], and Machover and Hirschfeld [5]. The generalization first appeared in the authors dissertation in the context of bounded holomorphic functions, see Stroyan [7] and Luxemburg and Stroyan [4]. The reader is referred to the references [3, 4, 5, 6] for an introduction to standard analysis which we shall not give.

We say a measure  $\lambda$  is  $\mu$ -continuous if for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$  there is a  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  so that whenever  $\mu(E) < \delta$  for  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $|\lambda(E)| < \varepsilon$ .

In the nonstandard model an internal measure (or \*-measure)  $\lambda$  is called  $\mu$ -S-continuous if  $\mu(E) \approx 0$ , for  $E \in *\mathcal{M}$ , implies  $\lambda(E) \approx 0$ . A function  $f \in *L^1$  is  $\mu$ -S-continuous if the \*-measure  $\lambda(E) = \int_E f(x)d\mu(x)$  is  $\mu$ -S-continuous. This is equivalent to saying that for every standard  $\varepsilon \in {}^{\sigma}R^{+} = \{ *r : r \in R^{+} \}$  there exists a standard  $\delta \in {}^{\sigma}R^{+}$  so that if  $\mu(E) < \delta$ , then  $|\lambda(E)| < \varepsilon$ .

LEMMA 1. If  $K \subseteq L^{1}(\mu)$  is weakly compact, then K is  $L^{1}$ -norm bounded and uniformly  $\mu$ -continuous, that is, for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$ , there exists a  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$  so that  $\mu(E) < \delta$  implies  $\left| \int_{E} k(x) d\mu(x) \right| < \varepsilon$  for any  $k \in K$ .

*Proof.* This standard result can be found, for example, in Dunford and Schwartz [1].

We wish to point out here that K is uniformly  $\mu$ -continuous if and only if each member of K is  $\mu$ -S-continuous. To see the equivalence of these conditions observe that uniform continuity is expressed by the formal sentence

$$(\forall \varepsilon \in {\pmb{R}}^+) (\exists \delta \in {\pmb{R}}^+) (\forall k \in K) (\forall E \in \mathscr{M}) \Big[ \mu(E) < \delta \Longrightarrow \Big| \int_{\scriptscriptstyle E} k d\mu \Big| < \varepsilon \Big] \, .$$

By Leibniz principle (that 'whatever' is true or false for the standard model is true or false for the nonstandard or ideal one) we have the equivalent sentence in the nonstandard model

$$(\forall \varepsilon \in {}^{*}R^{+})(\exists \delta \in {}^{*}R^{+})(\forall k \in {}^{*}K)(\forall E \in {}^{*}\mathscr{M})\Big[\mu(E) < \delta \Longrightarrow \Big| \int_{E} k d\mu \Big| < \varepsilon \Big] \, .$$

If K is standard and uniformly  $\mu$ -continuous and  $E_0 \in {}^*\mathcal{M}$  has infinitesimal  $\mu$ -measure, take  $\varepsilon_0 \in {}^{\sigma}\mathbf{R}^+$ , a standard positive tolerance, and apply the  $\varepsilon - \delta$  formula in the standard model to that particular  $\varepsilon_0$ . That is, there is a standard  $\delta_0$ , etc. Now shift the particular sentence

$$(\forall k \in K) (\forall E \in \mathscr{M}) \Big[ \mu(E) < \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \Longrightarrow \Big| \int_{\scriptscriptstyle E} k d\mu \Big| < \varepsilon_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \Big]$$

to the nonstandard model (put \*'s on K and  $\mathscr{M}$ ). Since  $\mu(E_0) < \delta$ , the integral is less than an arbitrary standard positive  $\varepsilon_0$ , hence infinitesimal.

Conversely, if each member of \*K is  $\mu$ -S-continuous and  $\varepsilon_0 \in {}^{\sigma}R^+$  is given, then taking  $\delta \approx 0$  we see that the formula

$$(\exists \delta \in {}^{*}R^{+})(\forall k \in {}^{*}K)(\forall E \in {}^{*}\mathscr{M}) \Big[ \mu(E) < \delta \Longrightarrow \Big| \int_{E} k d\mu \Big| < \varepsilon_{\mathsf{o}} \Big]$$

holds in the nonstandard model. But this formula has a standard interpretation (without the \*'s) which amounts to uniform  $\mu$ -continuity for that particular  $\varepsilon_0$ . Since  $\varepsilon_0$  was an arbitrary standard tolerance we are done.

Another simple nonstandard reformulation is as follows.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\mathscr{F}$  be a family of functions from a set Y into C. Let  $\Sigma$  be a collection of subsets of Y. The uniformity of uniform convergence on the sets of  $\Sigma$  is characterized by the infinitesimal relation on  $\mathscr{F}$  given by " $f \stackrel{\Sigma}{=} g$  if and only if  $f(s) \approx g(s)$  for all  $s \in \bigcup [\mathscr{F}S: S \in \Sigma]$ ". More precisely, the entourages of that uniformity are exactly those subsets U of  $\mathscr{F} \times \mathscr{F}$  for which  $\mathscr{V} \supseteq \{(f, g): f \stackrel{\Sigma}{=} g\}$ and  $\{(f, g): f \stackrel{\Sigma}{=} g\} = \bigcap [\mathscr{V}U: U \text{ is an entourage in the standard model}].$ 

*Proof.* The seminorms  $\sup [|f(s) - g(s)| : s \in S]$  characterize this uniformity and Luxemburg [3] has shown that the monad of the uniformity given by  $\{(f, g): \lambda(f, g) \approx 0 \text{ for all standard semimetrics } \lambda$  in a gauge of a uniformity} characterizes the uniformity (in an enlargement).

If  $f(s) \approx g(s)$  for  $s \in \bigcup (*S: S \in \Sigma)$  then the set  $\{|f(s) - g(s)|: s \in *S\}$  is a bounded internal set. In fact, since it contains only infinitesimals it is bounded by every positively finite number and since that is an external set it actually has infinitesimal bounds. This means that the standard semimetrics are infinitesimal and the converse is clear.

We apply Lemma 2 to the Mackey uniformity to see that in  $L^{\infty}$ the Mackey infinitesimals are characterized by

"
$$f \stackrel{m}{=} g$$
 if and only if  $\int_{x} f(x)k(x)d\mu(x) \approx \int_{x} g(x)k(x)d\mu(x)$ 

for every weakly compact  $k \in \operatorname{cpt}_w(^*L^1)$ ".

The weakly compact points of  $*L^1$  are given by

 $\operatorname{cpt}_w(^*L^1) = \bigcup [^*K: K \text{ is a weakly compact subset of } L^1]$ .

Now we apply Lemma 1 to see that the weakly compact points of  $*L^1$  are norm finitely bounded and  $\mu$ -S-continuous. This observation makes it clear that the infinitesimal relation:

"
$$f \stackrel{M}{=} g$$
 if and only if  $||f - g||_{\infty}$  is finite and  $f(x) \approx g(x)$   
except on a set of infinitesimal internal measure",

is finer than the Mackey infinitesimals. This is because if k is merely a  $L^1$ -norm finite  $\mu$ -S-continuous  $*L^1$  function and  $f(x) \approx g(x)$  except on E with  $\mu(E) \approx 0$ , then

$$\left|\int_{x} (f(x) - g(x))k(x)d\mu(x)\right| \leq \left|\int_{x \setminus E} (f - g)kd\mu\right| + ||f - g|| \left|\int_{E} |kd\mu|$$

and both terms on the right side are infinitesimal so that

$$\int_{x} fkd\mu \approx \int_{x} gkd\mu \; .$$

The relation  $\stackrel{M}{=}$  is not the monad of a uniformity [3] but it is close enough to  $\stackrel{m}{=}$  to recapture it.

Suppose now that  $\varphi: L^{\infty} \to C$  is a standard linear functional satisfying the continuity requirement that whenever  $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$  in  ${}^{*}L^{\infty}$ , then  $\varphi(f) \approx \varphi(g)$ . We wish to draw two immediate conclusions from this. First,  $\varphi$  is norm-continuous since  $f \stackrel{n}{=} g$  implies  $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$ . Second,  $\varphi$  induces a  $\mu$ -S-continuous standard measure on X via

The next result says  $\Phi$  is countably additive.

LEMMA 3. If  $\lambda$  is a  $\mu$ -S-continuous finite internal measure, then  $\Lambda(E) = \operatorname{st}(\lambda(^*E))$ , for  $E \in \mathscr{M}$ , is countably additive.

*Proof.*  $\Lambda$  is finitely additive by the additivity of st. Given  $\varepsilon \in {}^{\sigma}R^{+}$  there is a  $\delta \in {}^{\sigma}R^{+}$  so that if  $\mu(E) < \delta$ , then  $|\lambda(E)| < \varepsilon$ . Now take a partition  $E_{k}$  of X. The sum  $\Sigma \mu(E_{k})$  converges so given  $\delta$  there is an l so that  $\sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \mu(E_{k}) < \delta$ , hence  $|\lambda(\bigcup_{k\geq l} E_{k})| < \varepsilon$  and

 $\left| \Lambda(\bigcup E_k) - \sum_{k=1}^l \Lambda(E_k) \right| \leq \varepsilon$ .

Now we can apply the Radon-Nikodym theorem to get an  $L^1$  representation for  $\mathcal{P}$ . Therefore,  $\stackrel{M}{=}$  -continuous standard linear functionals are in  $L^1$ , or in other words,  $\stackrel{M}{=}$  -continuity is compatible with the dual pair  $\langle L^{\infty}, L^1 \rangle$ .

Let  $\psi$  be an arbitrary (linear or not) standard functional on  ${}^*L^{\infty}$ which satisfies  $\stackrel{M}{=}$ -continuity:  $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$  implies  $\psi(f) \approx \psi(g)$ . Define a uniformity on  $L^{\infty}$  by the semimetrics

$$|\psi(f)-\psi(g)|$$

for  $\psi$  standard and  $\stackrel{M}{=}$  -continuous.

LEMMA 4. If 
$$||f - g||_{\infty}$$
 is finite, then  
 $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$  if and only if  $||f - g||_{1} \approx 0$ 

*Proof.* If  $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$ , then  $f(x) \approx g(x)$  except on E with  $\mu(E) \approx 0$ , so  $\int |f - g| d\mu \leq \int_{x \setminus E} |f - g| d\mu + \int_{F} ||f - g||_{\infty} d\mu \approx 0.$  Conversely, suppose  $\int |f - g| d\mu \approx 0$ . For each  $n \in N$  define the internal sequence

$$arepsilon_n=\mu\{x : |f(x)-g(x)|>1/n\}$$
 .

We know that for standard  $n \in {}^{\sigma}N$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \approx 0$  and Robinson's infinitesimal sequence lemma ([6], Theorem 3.3.20 or [4], Theorem 8.1.4) says  $\varepsilon_n$  is infinitesimal out to some infinite subscript, so  $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$ .

Fix a standard functional  $\psi$ , we will show that there exists a sequence  $\varepsilon_n$  so that

$$\bigcup [F(n, \varepsilon_n): n \in N] \subseteq \{(f, g): |\psi(f) - \psi(g)| < 1\}$$

where

 $F(n, \varepsilon) = \{(f, g) \colon ||f - g||_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty} < n \quad ext{and} \quad ||f - g||_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} < \varepsilon\}$  .

Take  $n \in N$ , since  $\stackrel{M}{=}$  agrees with  $L^1$ -infinitesimals on the set  $\{(f, g): ||f - g||_{\infty} < n\}$  we know  $(\exists \varepsilon \in {}^{\ast}R^+)[F(n, \varepsilon) \subseteq \{(f, g): |\psi(f) - \psi(g)| < 1\}]$  holds in the nonstandard model by taking  $\varepsilon \approx 0$ . Therefore, the same sentence holds in the standard model, so select such an  $\varepsilon$  and call it  $\varepsilon_n$ .

Sets of the form  $\bigcup [F(n, \varepsilon_n): n \in N]$  generate a standard linear uniformity finer than that generated by the  $\psi$ 's. This is the finest uniformity agreeing with the  $L^1$ -norm on  $L^{\infty}$ -norm bounded sets.

Finally, consider the collection of standard  $\stackrel{M}{=}$ -continuous seminorms p on  $L^{\infty}$ , that is, if  $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$  then  $p(f - g) \approx 0$ . These generate the finest locally convex linear uniformity whose monad contains  $\stackrel{M}{=}$ . This is the Mackey topology since any Mackey-continuous seminorm is  $\stackrel{M}{=}$ -continuous and every  $\stackrel{M}{=}$ -continuous linear functional has an  $L^1$ representation.

We have shown:

THEOREM. The Mackey uniformity  $m(L^{\infty}, L^{1})$  for a finite measure is characterized by the infinitesimal relation on  $*L^{\infty}$  given by:

"
$$f \stackrel{M}{=} g$$
 if and only if  $||f - g||_{\infty}$  is finite and  $f(x)$  is infinitesimally close to  $g(x)$ , except possibility on a set of infinitesimal measure".

Precisely, a standard seminorm  $p: L^{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is Mackey continuous if and only if whenever  $f \stackrel{M}{=} g$ , then  $p(f - g) \approx 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators Part I*, Pure and Applied Math., Interscience, New York, 1958.

2. W. A. J. Luxemburg, editor, Applications of Model Theory to Algebra, Analysis, and Probability, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969.

3. \_\_\_\_, A General Theory of Monads, in [2].

4. W. A. J. Luxemburg and K. D. Stroyan, Lecture Notes on Nonstandard Analysis to appear.

5. M. Machover and J. Hirschfeld, *Lectures on Non-Standard Analysis*, Springer-Verlag lecture notes 94, Berlin, 1969.

6. A. Robinson, Non-Standard Analysis, Studies in Logic and the Foudations of Math., North Holland, Amsterdam, 1966.

7. K. D. Stroyan, Infinitesimal relations on the space of bounded holomorphic functions, (to appear).

Received August 21, 1972. The author was supported by NSF Grant GP-24182.

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

#### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI\* Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

R. A. BEAUMONT

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

\* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

### Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 49, No. 1 May, 1973

A. Bigard, <i>Free lattice-ordered modules</i>	1
Richard Bolstein and Warren R. Wogen, Subnormal operators in strictly cyclic	
operator algebras	7
Herbert Busemann and Donald E. Glassco, II, <i>Irreducible sums of simple</i> <i>multivectors</i>	13
W. Wistar (William) Comfort and Victor Harold Saks, <i>Countably compact groups</i>	
and finest totally bounded topologies	33
Mary Rodriguez Embry, Maximal invariant subspaces of strictly cyclic operator	
algebras	45
Ralph S. Freese and James Bryant Nation, <i>Congruence lattices of semilattices</i>	51
Ervin Fried and George Grätzer, A nonassociative extension of the class of	
distributive lattices	59
John R. Giles and Donald Otto Koehler, <i>On numerical ranges of elements of locally</i>	
m-convex algebras	79
David A. Hill, On dominant and codominant dimension of QF – 3 rings	93
John Sollion Hsia and Robert Paul Johnson, <i>Round and Pfister forms over</i> $R(t)$	101
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Equally partitioned groups</i>	109
Athanassios G. Kartsatos and Edward Barry Saff, <i>Hyperpolynomial approximation</i>	
of solutions of nonlinear integro-differential equations	117
Shin'ichi Kinoshita, On elementary ideals of $\theta$ -curves in the 3-sphere and 2-links in	
the 4-sphere	127
Ronald Brian Kirk, <i>Convergence of Baire measures</i>	135
R. J. Knill, <i>The Seifert and Van Kampen theorem via regular covering spaces</i>	149
Amos A. Kovacs, <i>Homomorphisms of matrix rings into matrix rings</i>	161
Young K. Kwon, <i>HD-minimal but no HD-minimal</i>	171
Makoto Maejima, On the renewal function when some of the mean renewal lifetimes	
are infinite	177
Juan José Martínez, <i>Cohomological dimension of discrete modules over profinite</i>	
groups	
	185
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</i>	185 191
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</i>	
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</i> Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal</i> <i>ideals</i>	
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost</li> </ul>	191 199
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal <i>ideals</i>.</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices</li> </ul>	191 199 211
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions</li> </ul>	191 199
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals.</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions.</li> <li>Keith Duncan Stroyan, A characterization of the Mackey uniformity m(L<sup>∞</sup>, L<sup>1</sup>) for</li> </ul>	191 199 211 219
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals.</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions.</li> <li>Keith Duncan Stroyan, A characterization of the Mackey uniformity m(L<sup>∞</sup>, L<sup>1</sup>) for finite measures</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>191</li> <li>199</li> <li>211</li> <li>219</li> <li>223</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units .</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals .</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices .</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions .</li> <li>Keith Duncan Stroyan, A characterization of the Mackey uniformity m(L<sup>∞</sup>, L<sup>1</sup>) for finite measures .</li> <li>Edward G. Thurber, The Scholz-Brauer problem on addition chains .</li> </ul>	191 199 211 219
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units .</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals.</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices .</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions .</li> <li>Keith Duncan Stroyan, A characterization of the Mackey uniformity m(L<sup>∞</sup>, L<sup>1</sup>) for finite measures .</li> <li>Edward G. Thurber, The Scholz-Brauer problem on addition chains .</li> <li>Joze Vrabec, Submanifolds of acyclic 3-manifolds .</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>191</li> <li>199</li> <li>211</li> <li>219</li> <li>223</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals.</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions.</li> <li>Keith Duncan Stroyan, A characterization of the Mackey uniformity m(L<sup>∞</sup>, L<sup>1</sup>) for finite measures</li> <li>Edward G. Thurber, The Scholz-Brauer problem on addition chains</li> <li>Joze Vrabec, Submanifolds of acyclic 3-manifolds</li> <li>Philip William Walker, Adjoint boundary value problems for compactified singular</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>191</li> <li>199</li> <li>211</li> <li>219</li> <li>223</li> <li>229</li> <li>243</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals.</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions.</li> <li>Keith Duncan Stroyan, A characterization of the Mackey uniformity m(L<sup>∞</sup>, L<sup>1</sup>) for finite measures</li> <li>Edward G. Thurber, The Scholz-Brauer problem on addition chains.</li> <li>Joze Vrabec, Submanifolds of acyclic 3-manifolds</li> <li>Philip William Walker, Adjoint boundary value problems for compactified singular differential operators.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>191</li> <li>199</li> <li>211</li> <li>219</li> <li>223</li> <li>229</li> <li>243</li> <li>265</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>W. K. Nicholson, Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</li> <li>Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals.</li> <li>Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, Some commutants in B(c) which are almost matrices</li> <li>John Philip Riley Jr., Cross-sections of decompositions.</li> <li>Keith Duncan Stroyan, A characterization of the Mackey uniformity m(L<sup>∞</sup>, L<sup>1</sup>) for finite measures</li> <li>Edward G. Thurber, The Scholz-Brauer problem on addition chains</li> <li>Joze Vrabec, Submanifolds of acyclic 3-manifolds</li> <li>Philip William Walker, Adjoint boundary value problems for compactified singular</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>191</li> <li>199</li> <li>211</li> <li>219</li> <li>223</li> <li>229</li> <li>243</li> </ol>