

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE LOCAL COMPACTNESS OF  $vX$**

DOUGLAS HARRIS

# THE LOCAL COMPACTNESS OF $\nu X$

DOUGLAS HARRIS

**Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the local compactness of the Hewitt realcompactification  $\nu X$  of a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$ ; the conditions are expressed in terms of the space  $X$  alone. In addition, the local compactness of other extensions is considered.**

**Introduction.** There has been much recent interest in determining conditions on a completely regular Hausdorff space  $X$  that are equivalent to the local compactness of its Hewitt realcompactification  $\nu X$ . This interest stems primarily from the fact that the seemingly artificial hypothesis " $\nu X$  is locally compact" enters quite naturally into the examination of the relation  $\nu X \times \nu Y = \nu(X \times Y)$ . Apparently the only known condition equivalent to the local compactness of  $\nu X$  is one discussed by Comfort in [1] and [2]. As remarked by Comfort, the condition is not on  $X$  alone, but involves  $\nu X$  essentially in its statement.

In the present paper a condition on  $X$  is given which is equivalent to the local compactness of  $\nu X$  (Theorem 2.7) and a number of known results are obtained as corollaries of this characterization theorem. Another characterization (Theorem 2.3) is given of the local compactness of  $\nu X$  in terms of real maximal ideals.

It was shown by Comfort in [1] and [2] that the local pseudocompactness of  $X$  plays an important role in connection with the local compactness of  $\nu X$ . The precise role is established below, where it is shown that the local pseudocompactness of  $X$  is equivalent to the local compactness of the extension  $\eta X$  of  $X$  constructed by Johnson and Mandelker in [9]. In addition a characterization is given of those spaces for which the extension  $\psi X$  constructed by Johnson and Mandelker is locally compact.

Our attention will be restricted entirely to completely regular Hausdorff spaces. The terminology and notation of [4] will be used without further comment.

Given  $f \in C(X)$  the symbols  $N(f)$  and  $S(f)$  represent respectively  $\{x \in X: f(x) \neq 0\}$  and  $\text{cl}_X\{x \in X: f(x) \neq 0\}$ ; these sets are called the *cozero set* and the *support* of  $f$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of  $X$ , write  $A \ll B$  if  $A$  is completely separated from  $X - B$ . We shall frequently apply [4, 1.15] to construct additional separating zero sets when  $A \ll B$ .

The symbol  $M^p$  will denote the maximal ideal in  $C(X)$  which corresponds to the point  $p$  of  $\beta X$ , and  $\mathcal{M}^p$  will denote the corresponding  $z$ -ultrafilter (written  $A^p$  in [4]). Similarly  $O^p$  represents the ideal defined in [4, 7.12] and  $\mathcal{O}^p$  the corresponding  $z$ -filter.

The referee deserves acknowledgment for a number of useful suggestions. Thanks are also due to Marquette University for a Summer Faculty Fellowship which supported a portion of this work.

2. The local compactness of  $\nu X$ . The family  $C_\psi(X)$  of functions with pseudocompact support, discussed at length in [9] and [11], plays the major role in our condition for local compactness of  $\nu X$ . This is to be expected since by 2.1(d) below the isomorphism  $f \rightarrow f^\nu$  is an isomorphism of  $C_\psi(X)$  with  $C_K(\nu X)$ . We write  $\mathcal{Z}_\psi(X)$  for the corresponding collection of zero sets.

The following results are either found in [4] or may be established using results from [4].

- 2.1. (a)  $Z(f^\nu) = \text{cl}_{\nu X} Z(f)$ .  
 (b)  $N(f^\nu) = \text{int}_{\nu X} (\nu X - Z(f))$ .  
 (c)  $S(f^\nu) = \text{cl}_{\nu X} S(f) = \text{cl}_{\nu X} N(f)$ .  
 (d)  $S(f^\nu)$  is compact if and only if  $S(f)$  is pseudocompact.

The following result from [11] is frequently useful.

2.2. Every support in a pseudocompact space is pseudocompact.

Since the isomorphism  $f \rightarrow f^\nu$  induces a bijection between real maximal ideals in  $C(X)$  and fixed ideals in  $C(\nu X)$ , the following result is an immediate consequence of [4, 4D3].

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The space  $\nu X$  is locally compact if and only if  $C_\psi(X)$  is not contained in any real maximal ideal.*

We turn now toward a condition expressible in terms of  $X$  alone. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $f \in C(X)$ , define  $U_\varepsilon(f) = \{x \in X: |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ ; this is a zero set in  $X$ . The following results are essential for our characterization theorem.

- 2.4. (a) If  $\varepsilon > \delta > 0$  then  $N(f) \gg U_\delta(f) \gg U_\varepsilon(f)$ .  
 (b) If  $p \in \beta X$  and  $f \in C^*(X)$  then  $f^\beta(p) = 0$  if and only if  $U_\varepsilon(f) \notin \mathcal{M}^p$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . (Also  $\mathcal{M}^p$  may be replaced by  $\mathcal{O}^p$  in this condition).  
 (c) For each  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $U_\varepsilon(f^\nu) = \text{cl}_{\nu X} U_\varepsilon(f)$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of (a) and (c) are straightforward.

(b) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if  $U_\varepsilon(f) \in \mathcal{M}^p$ , then  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} U_\varepsilon(f)$  and  $f(p) \geq \varepsilon$ , a contradiction. Hence  $U_\varepsilon(f) \notin \mathcal{M}^p$ .

Conversely let  $U_\varepsilon(f) \notin \mathcal{O}^p$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\{x \in X: |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{M}^p$  by [4, 7.12(b)]; hence  $f^\beta(p) \leq \varepsilon$ . Thus  $f^\beta(p) = 0$ .

Let  $U(X)$  represent the set of units in  $C(X)$ ; by [4, 1.12], these are the functions with empty zero set. Clearly the image of  $U(X)$

under the isomorphism  $f \rightarrow f^\nu$  is  $U(\nu X)$ .

A *scale* on  $X$  is a function  $\varepsilon: f \rightarrow \varepsilon(f)$  from  $U(X)$  to the positive real numbers. If  $\varepsilon$  is a scale, put  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon) = \{U_{\varepsilon(f)}(f): f \in U(X)\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *A  $z$ -ultrafilter is real if and only if it contains  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)$  for some scale  $\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon$  be a scale on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{M}^p$  be a hyper-real  $z$ -ultrafilter. By [4, 8.8] there is a bounded unit  $f$  in  $C(X)$  with  $f^\beta(p) = 0$ ; hence  $U_{\varepsilon(f)}(f) \notin \mathcal{M}^p$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}^p$  does not contain  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)$ .

Let  $p \in \nu X$ . For any  $f \in U(X)$  put  $\varepsilon(f) = |f^\nu(p)|$ . Since  $f^\nu$  is a unit of  $C(\nu X)$ ,  $\varepsilon(f) > 0$ , and thus  $\varepsilon$  is a scale on  $X$ . Since  $p \in U_{\varepsilon(f)}(f^\nu) = \text{cl}_{\nu X} U_{\varepsilon(f)}(f) \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} U_{\varepsilon(f)}(f)$ , it follows that  $U_{\varepsilon(f)}(f) \in \mathcal{M}^p$ . Thus  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{M}^p$ .

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is contained in a real  $z$ -ultrafilter if and only if every member of  $\mathcal{F}$  meets every member of  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)$  for some scale  $\varepsilon$ .*

**THEOREM 2.7.** *The space  $\nu X$  is locally compact if and only if  $X$  satisfies the following condition: (RL). For every scale  $\varepsilon$  there are  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in U(X)$  and  $g \in C_\psi(X)$  such that  $Z(g) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_{\varepsilon(f_i)}(f_i)) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  satisfy (RL). For any  $p \in \nu X$ , by 2.5 there is a scale  $\varepsilon$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{M}^p$ . By (RL),  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X) \not\subset \mathcal{M}^p$ ; thus  $\nu X$  is locally compact by 2.3.

Suppose  $\nu X$  is locally compact and  $\varepsilon$  is a scale on  $X$ . By 2.3,  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X) \not\subset \mathcal{M}^p$  for any  $p \in \nu X$ . Thus, by 2.5,  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X) \cup \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)$  lacks the finite intersection property; that is, condition RL is satisfied.

**REMARK 2.8.** It is clear that we need consider in condition RL only those scales for which the family  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)$  has the finite intersection property, since the condition is trivially fulfilled when some finite subfamily of  $\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)$  has empty intersection. The condition is also fulfilled trivially when  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  contains a unit of  $C(X)$ , and this occurs precisely when  $X$  is pseudocompact.

Certainly if  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  lacks the countable intersection property then it is not contained in a real  $z$ -ultrafilter. It will now be shown that  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  lacks the property precisely when  $\nu X$  is locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact. Our condition is shown to be related to one given by Hager in [7].

**THEOREM 2.9.** *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

(a)  $\nu X$  is locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact.

(b)  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  lacks the countable intersection property.

(c) (Hager)  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ , where each  $A_n$  is pseudocompact and  $A_n \ll A_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ .

*Proof.* (a) implies (c). If  $\nu X$  is locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact then [3, XI, 7.2]  $\nu X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty U_n$ , where each  $U_n$  is open and has compact closure and  $\text{cl}_{\nu X} U_n \subset U_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ . By [4, 3.11(a)],  $U_n \ll U_{n+1}$ . Setting  $A_n = \text{cl}_X (U_n \cap X)$  it follows from [2, 4.1] that each  $A_n$  is pseudocompact.

(c) implies (b). Let  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ , with  $A_n$  pseudocompact and  $A_n \ll A_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ . Choose for each  $n$  a function  $f_n$  such that  $A_n \subset N(f_n) \subset S(f_n) \subset A_{n+1}$ . Then, by [2.2] each  $f_n \in C_\psi(X)$ , and clearly  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty Z(f_n) = \phi$ .

(b) implies (a). Let  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty Z(f_n) = \phi$ , where  $f_n \in C_\psi(X)$  for each  $n$ . Then, by 2.1(a) and [4, 8.7],  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty Z(f_n^\nu) = \phi$ , and thus  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty S(f_n^\nu) = \nu X$ . By 2.1(d) each  $S(f_n^\nu)$  is compact, thus  $\nu X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. By 2.3,  $\nu X$  is locally compact.

Comfort [2, 4.6] gives another condition (C) which is equivalent to the local compactness of  $\nu X$ . A direct proof of the equivalence of (C) with the condition of Theorem 2.3 will now be given.

2.10.  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  is not contained in any real  $z$ -ultrafilter if and only if: (C) For each  $p \in \nu X$  there exist pseudocompact subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $p \in \text{cl}_{\nu X} A$  and  $A \ll B$ .

*Proof.* If  $X$  satisfies condition (C) and  $\mathcal{M}^p$  is a real maximal ideal then there are pseudocompact sets  $A$  and  $B$  and functions  $f, g \in C(X)$  such that  $p \in \text{cl}_{\nu X} A$  and  $A \subset Z(f) \subset N(g) \subset B$ . It follows from 2.2 that  $g \in C_\psi(X)$ . Since  $p \in \text{cl}_{\nu X} A$  then  $f \in M^p$ , and thus  $g \notin M^p$ . Thus  $C_\psi(X) \not\subset M^p$ .

Conversely, for any  $p \in \nu X$  there is  $f \in C_\psi(X)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{M}^p$  such that  $Z(f) \cap Z(g) = \phi$ ; thus  $Z(g) \ll N(f)$  and there exist  $h, k \in C(X)$  such that  $Z(g) \subset N(k) \subset Z(h) \subset N(f)$ . Put  $A = S(k)$  and  $B = S(f)$ . Since  $A \subset S(f)$ , it follows from 2.2 that  $A$  is pseudocompact. Also  $p \in \text{cl}_{\nu X} Z(g)$ , since  $g \in \mathcal{M}^p$ , so  $p \in \text{cl}_{\nu X} A$ . Finally,  $A \subset Z(h)$  and  $X - B \subset Z(f)$ , with  $Z(h) \cap Z(f) = \phi$ , so  $A \ll B$ . Thus condition (C) is satisfied.

3. The local pseudocompactness of  $X$ . The space  $X$  is *locally pseudocompact* if every point has a pseudocompact neighborhood. Locally pseudocompact spaces are discussed in [1] and [2]. The results in this section clarify the relationship between the local pseudocompactness of  $X$  and the local compactness of  $\nu X$ .

3.1. The space  $X$  is locally pseudocompact if and only if  $C_\psi(X)$  is not contained in any fixed maximal ideal.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be locally pseudocompact. Then any point  $x$  in  $X$  has a pseudocompact neighborhood  $A$ . Therefore, there is  $f \in C(X)$  with  $x \in N(f) \subset A$ . Thus  $x \notin Z(f)$  and by 2.2, the set  $S(f)$  is pseudocompact, so  $f \in C_\psi(X)$ . Therefore,  $C_\psi(X)$  is not contained in any fixed maximal ideal. Conversely suppose  $C_\psi(X)$  is contained in no fixed maximal ideal. Then for each  $x \in X$  there is  $f \in C_\psi(X)$  with  $x \in N(f)$ , and thus  $S(f)$  is a pseudocompact neighborhood of  $x$ .

For any space  $Y$  denote by  $L(Y)$  the set of all points of  $Y$  that have a compact neighborhood in  $Y$ ; i.e.,  $L(Y) = Y - R(Y)$ , where  $R(Y)$  is as defined in [8, p. 87]. Clearly  $L(Y)$  is locally compact. For any space  $X$  define  $\kappa X = \{p \in \beta X: \mathcal{C}_\psi(X) \not\subset \mathcal{M}^p\}$ ; equivalently,  $\kappa X = \beta X - \theta(\mathcal{C}_\psi(X))$ , where  $\theta(\mathcal{C}_\psi(X))$  is as defined in [4, 70].

**THEOREM 3.2.** *For each space  $X$ ,  $\kappa X = L(\nu X) = \text{int}_{\beta X} \nu X$ , and thus  $\kappa X$  is locally compact.*

*Proof.* The relation  $L(\nu X) = \text{int}_{\beta X} \nu X$  follows from [4, 3.15(b)]. By [9, 3.1],  $\beta X - \kappa X = \theta(\mathcal{C}_\psi(X)) = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X - \nu X)$ , so  $\kappa X = \text{int}_{\beta X} \nu X$ .

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *The space  $X$  is locally pseudocompact if and only if  $X \subset \kappa X$ . In this case  $\kappa X$  is the largest locally compact space between  $X$  and  $\nu X$ .*

The following result is due to Comfort ([1] and [2]).

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *The space  $X$  is locally pseudocompact if and only if there is a locally compact space  $Y$  between  $X$  and  $\nu X$ .*

4. Functions with small support. Another ideal in  $C(X)$  plays an important role in connection with local compactness. Before discussing this ideal, the class of *small* sets will be examined, where a set  $A \subset X$  is *small* if any zero set contained in  $A$  is compact.

4.1. The set  $A$  is small if and only if every zero set that intersects  $X - A$  in a compact set is compact.

*Proof.* Certainly in the latter condition holds then  $A$  is small. Now suppose  $A$  is small and  $Z$  is a zero set such that  $Z \cap (X - A)$  is compact. If  $\alpha$  is a cover of  $Z$  by cozero sets then finitely many of the cozero sets cover  $Z \cap (X - A)$ . Their union is a cozero set  $N(g)$  and  $Z(g) \cap Z$  is compact, since it is a zero set. Thus, finitely many

additional members of  $\alpha$  can be chosen to complete the choice of a finite subcover of  $Z$ .

#### 4.2. The finite union of small cozero sets is small.

*Proof.* Let  $N(f)$  and  $N(g)$  be small, and let  $Z(h) \subset N(f) \cup N(g)$ . Then  $Z(h) \cap (X - N(g)) = Z(h) \cap Z(g) \subset N(f)$ . Since  $N(f)$  and  $N(g)$  are small it follows from 4.1 that  $Z(h)$  is compact.

A function  $f \in C(X)$  has *small support* if and only if  $N(f)$  is small. Equivalently, according to [4, 4E2], the function  $f$  belongs to every free maximal ideal in  $C(X)$ . It is clear from this latter characterization that the collection  $C_s(X)$  of functions with small support is an ideal; this can also be shown directly from 4.2.

REMARK 4.3. The term *small support* may be misleading; the condition applies to  $N(f)$  and not  $S(f)$ . The ideal  $C_s(X)$  contains the ideal  $C_K(X)$  [4, 4D5 and 4E2]. Spaces for which  $C_K(X) = C_s(X)$  are called  $\mu$ -compact and are fully discussed in [9] and [11]; in [9] the ideal  $C_s(X)$  is called  $I(X)$ .

The following result should be compared with [4, 4D1 and 4D3], as well as with Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 4.4. *The space  $X$  is locally compact if and only if  $C_s(X)$  is not contained in any fixed maximal ideal.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is locally compact then  $C_K(X)$  is not contained in any fixed maximal ideal; since  $C_K(X) \subset C_s(X)$  then  $C_s(X)$  is not contained in any fixed maximal ideal.

Now if  $C_s(X)$  is not contained in any fixed maximal ideal then for each  $x \in X$  there is  $f \in C_s(X)$  such that  $x \in N(f)$ . Thus, there is a zero set neighborhood of  $x$  such that  $Z \subset N(f)$ , and it follows that  $Z$  is compact. Thus  $X$  is locally compact.

REMARK 4.5. One sense in which Theorem 4.4 is more appropriate than the characterization [4, 4D3] of local compactness is when the generalization to  $T_1$  spaces and  $T_1$  compactifications is considered. In [5] the *compact small* sets of a space  $X$  are defined as those sets such that any closed set contained in  $A$  is compact. It is shown there that the spaces for which each point has a compact-small neighborhood are appropriate generalizations of locally compact completely regular spaces. It is shown in [6] that results analogous to Theorem 4.4 hold for locally compact-small spaces.

#### 5. The local compactness of $\eta X$ and $\psi X$ . Two additional

subspaces of  $\nu X$  are of special interest in connection with local compactness. Mandelker defines (in [11]) a space  $X$  to be  $\psi$ -compact if  $C_K(X) = C_\psi(X)$ , and Mandelker and Johnson define (in [9]) a space  $X$  to be  $\eta$ -compact if  $C_s(X) = C_\psi(X)$ ; they construct extensions  $\eta X$  and  $\psi X$  as the intersections respectively of the  $\eta$ -compact and the  $\psi$ -compact subspaces of  $\beta X$ .

The following results are shown in [9].

5.1. (a)  $\eta X = X \cup \text{int}_{\beta X} \nu X$ .

(b)  $\psi X - X = \bigcup_{f \in C_\psi(X)} [S(f^\nu) - S(f)]$ .

The next results are immediate from 5.1(a) and Theorem 3.2.

5.2. (a)  $\kappa X = \text{int}_{\beta X} \eta X = \text{int}_{\beta X} \psi X$ .

(b)  $\eta X = X \cup \kappa X$

The next theorem characterizes the local compactness of  $\eta X$ . The proof is immediate from 5.2 and Corollary 3.3.

**THEOREM 5.3.** *The space  $\eta X$  is locally compact if and only if  $X$  is locally pseudocompact.*

**THEOREM 5.4.** *The space  $\psi X$  is locally compact if and only if  $X$  is locally pseudocompact and  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  is round.*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi X$  be locally compact. Then  $X$  is locally pseudocompact by Corollary 3.4. Also  $\psi X$  is open in  $\beta X$ , so  $\beta X - \psi X$  is closed. By [9, 5.3],  $C_\psi(X) = M^{\beta X - \psi X}$  and thus  $\beta X - \psi X$  is round; hence by [10, 4.2]  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  is round.

Let  $X$  be locally pseudocompact and let  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  be round. By 3.3 and 5.2(a),  $X \subset \kappa X \subset \psi X$ . Let  $p \in \nu X - X$ . Using 5.1(b) choose  $f \in C_\psi(X)$  so that  $p \in S(f^\nu)$ ; since  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  is round there is  $g \in C_\psi(X)$  with  $Zg \ll Zf$ . By [4, 7.14],  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$  is a neighborhood of  $\text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$ , and thus there is a compact set  $F$  with  $\beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f) \subset F \subset \beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$ . Since  $N(f) \subset \beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(f)$  and (by [9, 3.1])  $\beta X - \nu X \subset \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g)$ , it follows that  $p \in S(f^\nu) \subset F \subset \beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X} Z(g) \subset \nu X$ , and hence

$$p \in \text{int}_{\beta X} \nu X = \kappa X.$$

Thus  $\psi X = \kappa X$  and so  $\psi X$  is locally compact.

It is instructive in the use of scales to deduce directly from Condition (RL) that  $X$  is locally pseudocompact and  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  is round.

5.5. If  $X$  satisfies Condition (RL) then  $X$  is locally pseudocompact and  $\mathcal{E}_\psi(X)$  is round.

*Proof.* The first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2.7 shows that  $X$  will be locally pseudocompact. Now suppose  $f \in C_\psi(X)$ . Then



$S(f)$  is pseudocompact, and it follows that every  $h \in U(X)$  is bounded away from zero on  $N(f)$ . Choose a scale  $\varepsilon$  so that  $|h| \geq \varepsilon(h)$  on  $N(f)$ , for each  $h \in U(X)$ . Since  $(RL)$  is satisfied, there are  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in U(X)$  and  $g \in C_p(X)$  such that  $Zg \cap (\cap U_{\varepsilon(h_i)}(h_i)) = \emptyset$ . Clearly

$$Z(g) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{x \in X: |h_i(x)| < \varepsilon(h_i)\} \subset Z(f).$$

It follows that  $g \ll f$ .

## REFERENCES

1. W. W. Comfort, *Locally compact realcompactifications*, *General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra II*, Proceedings of the Second Prague Topological Symposium, (1966), 95-100.
2. ———, *On the Hewitt realcompactification of a product space*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **131** (1968), 107-118.
3. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
4. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
5. D. Harris, *Closed images of the Wallman compactification*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **42** (1974), 312-319.
6. ———, *Semirings and  $T_1$ -compactifications I*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
7. A. W. Hager, *On the tensor product of function rings*, Doctoral Dissertation, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa., 1965.
8. M. Henriksen and J. Isbell, *Some properties of compactifications*, *Duke Math. J.*, **25** (1958), 83-106.
9. D. G. Johnson and M. Mandelker, *Functions with pseudocompact support*, *General Topology and Appl.*, to appear.
10. M. Mandelker, *Round  $\mathfrak{z}$ -filters and round subsets of  $\beta X$* , *Israel J. Math.*, **7** (1969), 1-8.
11. ———, *Supports of continuous functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **156** (1971), 73-83.

Received October 27, 1972 and in revised form July 25, 1973.

MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI\*  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$60.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$30.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan

\* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Mustafa Agah Akcoglu, John Philip Huneke and Hermann Rost, <i>A counter example to the Blum Hanson theorem in general spaces</i> .....                             | 305 |
| Huzihiro Araki, <i>Some properties of modular conjugation operator of von Neumann algebras and a non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem with a chain rule</i> ..... | 309 |
| E. F. Beckenbach, Fook H. Eng and Richard Edward Tafel, <i>Global properties of rational and logarithmico-rational minimal surfaces</i> .....                      | 355 |
| David W. Boyd, <i>A new class of infinite sphere packings</i> .....  | 383 |
| K. G. Choo, <i>Whitehead Groups of twisted free associative algebras</i> .....   | 399 |
| Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Milton N. Parnes, <i>Limit sets of power series outside the circles of convergence</i> .....  | 403 |
| Allan Clark and John Harwood Ewing, <i>The realization of polynomial algebras as cohomology rings</i> .....  | 425 |
| Dennis Garbanati, <i>Classes of circulants over the <math>p</math>-adic and rational integers</i> .....  | 435 |
| Arjun K. Gupta, <i>On a "square" functional equation</i> .....   | 449 |
| David James Hallenbeck and Thomas Harold MacGregor, <i>Subordination and extreme-point theory</i> .....  | 455 |
| Douglas Harris, <i>The local compactness of <math>vX</math></i> .....  | 469 |
| William Emery Haver, <i>Monotone mappings of a two-disk onto itself which fix the disk's boundary can be canonically approximated by homeomorphisms</i> .....      | 477 |
| Norman Peter Herzberg, <i>On a problem of Hurwitz</i> .....  | 485 |
| Chin-Shui Hsu, <i>A class of Abelian groups closed under direct limits and subgroups formation</i> .....   | 495 |
| Bjarni Jónsson and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Congruence relations and multiplicity types of algebras</i> .....  | 505 |
| Lowell Duane Loveland, <i>Vertically countable spheres and their wild sets</i> .....   | 521 |
| Nimrod Megiddo, <i>Kernels of compound games with simple components</i> .....  | 531 |
| Russell L. Merris, <i>An identity for matrix functions</i> .....   | 557 |
| E. O. Milton, <i>Fourier transforms of odd and even tempered distributions</i> .....   | 563 |
| Dix Hayes Pettey, <i>One-one-mappings onto locally connected generalized continua</i> .....  | 573 |
| Mark Bernard Ramras, <i>Orders with finite global dimension</i> .....  | 583 |
| Doron Ravdin, <i>Various types of local homogeneity</i> .....  | 589 |
| George Michael Reed, <i>On metrizability of complete Moore spaces</i> .....  | 595 |
| Charles Small, <i>Normal bases for quadratic extensions</i> .....  | 601 |
| Philip C. Tonne, <i>Polynomials and Hausdorff matrices</i> .....   | 613 |
| Robert Earl Weber, <i>The range of a derivation and ideals</i> .....   | 617 |