Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SETS WHICH ARE TAME IN ARCS IN E^3

RICHARD DETMER

Vol. 51, No. 1

November 1974

SETS WHICH ARE TAME IN ARCS IN E^3

RICHARD C. DETMER

Results of McMillan and Cannon may be combined to give an algebraic condition which is sufficient to show that an arc topologically embedded in E^3 is tame in E^3 . The main theorem of this paper gives an essentially algebraic condition involving an arc embedded in E^3 and a compact subset of that arc which is sufficient to show that the arc may be approximated arbitrarily closely without moving the subset, to obtain a tame arc.

1. Preliminaries. The usual Euclidean distance function will be denoted by d. An open neighborhood having radius r about a set S will by denoted by N(S, r). An r-set will be a set having diameter less than r.

1.1. DEFINITION. Suppose that X is a compact subset of a finite complex K which is topologically embedded in E^3 . Then X is said to be tame in K iff given r > 0 there is a homeomorphism $h: K \to E^3$ such that

(1) d(x, h(x)) < r for each x in K,

(2) h(x) = x for each x in X, and

(3) h(K) is tame.

1.2. DEFINITION. Suppose that X is a compact subset of an arc A which is topologically embedded in E^3 . Then X is said to be untangled iff for each r > 0, there is an s > 0 such that if J is a loop in $E^3 - X$ which bounds (homologically) on an s-set in $E^3 - X$, then J shrinks (homotopically) on an r-set in $E^3 - X$.

McMillan [3] has noted that an arc is untangled iff it has free local fundamental groups (1-FLG) at each of its points. He also proved that an arc which has 1-FLG at each point is tame if each of its subarcs pierces a disk. Cannon [2, Theorem 3.16] has shown that an arc which has 1-FLG at each point does pierce a disk. Hence, an arc which is untangled is tame.

1.3. NOTATION. For the remainder of this paper A will denote an arc topologically embedded in E^{3} and X will denote a compact subset of A which is untangled. The arc A will be assumed to have a fixed order, compatible with, and inducing, the given topology on A.

1.4. DEFINITION. Let Y be a subset of A. An indexed collection C_1, \dots, C_n of disjoint connected subsets of E^3 is said to be ordered

with respect to Y iff, in the order on A, each point of $C_i \cap Y$ precedes each point of $C_{i+1} \cap Y$. $(i = 1, \dots, n-1)$.

The lemma below gives a way to separate components of X by open sets in E^3 which are, roughly speaking, not much larger than the components.

1.5. SEPARATION LEMMA. Suppose that s is a positive number. Then there is a finite cover C_1, \dots, C_n of X by connected open subsets of E^3 with disjoint, polyhedral closures such that:

(1) C_1, \dots, C_n is ordered with respect to X, and

(2) For each *i*, there is a component X_i of X in C_i such that $C_i \subset N(X_i, s)$.

Proof. The lemma follows easily from the fact that X is a compact set.

2. Cellularity lemmas. In this section it is shown that if X is untangled, then each component K of X can be enclosed in a polyhedral ball which is "close" to K and which has boundary missing X. The proof falls naturally into two cases, depending on whether or not K is a nondegenerate component of X. The two cases are handled in 2.1 and 2.4 respectively. These results are referred to as cellularity lemmas.

2.1. CELLULARITY LEMMA FOR NONDEGENERATE COMPONENTS. Suppose that e is a positive number and that K is a nondegenerate component of X. Then there is a polyhedral ball B such that K is contained in B, Bd B does not intersect X, and $B \subset N(K, e)$.

Proof. By the results of McMillan [3] and Cannon [2], K is a tame arc. Therefore, there is a 3-cell $C \subset N(K, e)$ which contains $K - \operatorname{Bd} K$ in its interior, which does not intersect A - K, and which has boundary which is polyhedral modulo K.

In view of Dehn's lemma [5], it suffices to prove the fact stated below. Indeed, the fact may be used in conjunction with Dehn's lemma to alter BdC slightly near $K \cap$ BdC and in N(K, e) so as to miss X. The adjusted 2-sphere will then bound a ball B satisfying the requirements of the lemma.

Fact. Suppose that J is a simple closed curve in BdC which separates the endpoints of K from each other in BdC, and suppose that J bounds a disk D in BdC of diameter less than some given positive number q. Then J bounds a singular disk D' in $E^3 - X$ of diameter less than q.

The fact is proved as follows. Let $r = q - \operatorname{diam} D$. Choose s > 0so small that loops which bound on s-sets in $E^3 - X$ shrink on r-sets in $E^3 - X$. Pick a 3-cell T of diameter less than s such that Bd T separates the endpoints of K in E^3 and (Bd T) \cap (Bd C) is a simple closed curve in Int D. Let E denote the disk $C \cap \operatorname{Bd} T$. Use the separation lemma 1.5 to cover components of X which intersect (Bd T) - E by a finite collection of disjoint open sets whose polyhedral closures miss $E \cup K$. Let W be the union of these sets and assume that Bd W is in general position with respect to Bd T. Because Cl W does not intersect $K \cup E$, Bd E bounds homologically on the s-set Bd $(T - W) - \operatorname{Int} E$ in $E^3 - X$ and therefore bounds a singular r-disk F in $E^3 - X$. The set $(D - K) \cup F$, which lies in $E^3 - X$, contains a singular disk D' of diameter less than q which is bounded by J. This establishes the fact and completes the proof of the lemma.

If, in the proof of 2.1, C is first partitioned by means of disjoint spanning disks D_1 and D_2 into three 3-cells — a central 3-cell C_3 , whose intersection with K is an arc $K_3 \subset \text{Int } K$, and end cells C_1 and C_2 whose intersections with K are the closed components K_1 and K_2 of $K - K_3$ and Bd C is adjusted only very near (Bd $C_1 - D_1$) $\cap K$ and (Bd $C_2 - D_2$) $\cap K$ in constructing Bd B, then the following is evident.

2.2. ADDENDUM. The ball B in 2.1 may be chosen in such a manner that it can be partitioned by disjoint spanning disks D_1 and D_2 into 3-cells B_1 , B_2 , and $B_3(B_i \cap B_3 = D_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2)$ satisfying

(1) $B_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}\cap A$ is a subarc of Int K which spans the cell $B_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$ from $D_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ to $D_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$,

(2) the diameter of B_i is less than e(i = 1, 2), and

(3) $B_i \cap A$ lies in an e-arc on A(i = 1, 2) with one endpoint of this e-arc missing X (unless B_i contains an endpoint of A).

The next lemma is well-known.

2.3. LEMMA. Suppose that J is a simple closed curve in E^3 which bounds an orientable surface T of diameter less than r, and suppose that L is an arc of diameter less than s which misses J. Then J bounds a surface of diameter less than r + s in $E^3 - L$ and this surface may be chosen in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $T \cup L$.

2.4. CELLULARITY LEMMA FOR DEGENERATE COMPONENTS. Suppose that s is a positive number and that $\{p\}$ is a degenerate component of X. Then there is a polyhedral 3-cell B such that p lies in B, Bd $B \cap X = \emptyset$, and $B \subset N(p, s)$.

Proof. As a first approximation to the desired 3-cell, let B' = Cl(N(p, r)) where 0 < r < s/2 and r is so small that B' intersects no

component of X with diameter as large as s/4. Choose q > 0 so small that loops which bound on q-sets in $E^3 - X$ shrink on r/2-sets in $E^3 - X$.

Choose a collection D_1, \dots, D_n of small disjoint polyhedral disks on Bd B' with boundaries missing X and a collection S_1, \dots, S_m of small polyhedral spheres in $E^3 - X$ such that $X \cap Bd B'$ is contained in $D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_n \cup (\operatorname{Int} S_1 \cap Bd B') \cup \dots \cup (\operatorname{Int} S_m \cap Bd B')$. Specifically, select for each degenerate component $\{x\}$ of X which lies on Bd B' a disk $D_x \subset Bd B'$ with $Bd D_x \cap X = \emptyset$ and so small that diam $D_x < q/2$ and $D_x \cap A$ lies on a subarc of A with diameter less than q/2 which has endpoints in A - X. Select a sphere for each nondegenerate component of X which intersects Bd B' using the cellularity lemma for nondegenerate components, 2.1, with s/4 as the value of e in that lemma. A finite collection of these disks and spheres satisfies the conditions above except for the requirement that the disks be disjoint. Since their boundaries miss X, the disks may be made disjoint by simply putting their boundaries in general position and cutting them apart.

Application of Lemma 2.3 shows that, for each *i*, Bd D_i bounds on a *q*-set in $E^3 - X$, and hence shrinks on an r/2-set in $E^3 - X$. Because of this, if Int D_i is thrown away and Bd D_i shrunk, a singular 2-sphere R_0 may be produced which intersects X in "fewer" places than did Bd B' and which is essential in $E^3 - \{p\}$ since it is homotopic to Bd B' in $E^3 - N(p, r/2)$. If any of the spheres S_i contains p, the proof is finished since each S_i has diameter less than s. Otherwise, for each i in turn, choose a point x_i in Int $S_i - R_{i-1}$ and project "radially" the part of R_{i-1} in Int S_i into S_i to form a singular sphere R_i . The final result is a singular sphere R_m in $E^3 - X$, essential in $E^3 - \{p\}$, and lying in N(p, s). Using a strong form of the sphere theorem (which is implicit in the original proof in [5] and is stated explicitly in [6]), there is a nonsingular, polyhedral sphere with the same properties. Taking B to be the closure of the interior of this sphere completes the proof.

3. The following theorem is the main result of this paper. It says that if a compact subset of an arc is untangled, then it is tame in that arc.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that X is a compact subset of an arc A which is topologically embedded in E^3 , and that for each positive number r, there is a positive number s such that if J is a loop in $E^3 - X$ which bounds on an s-set missing X, then J shinks on an r-set missing X. Then for each positive number q there is a homeomorphism $f: A \to E^3$ such that

(1) f(x) = x for each x in X,

(2) d(x, f(x)) < q for each x in A, and

(3) f(A) is tame.

Proof. The idea of the proof is as follows. Construct a homeomorphism $h: E^3 \to E^3$ such that the restriction of h to X takes X in an order preserving fashion into the x-axis. Define $f(A) = h^{-1}(I)$ where I is a suitably chosen subinterval of the x-axis. Care must be taken in the construction of h in order that A and f(A) be close homeomorphically. The details of this process are described below.

It may be assumed that A is locally polyhedral modulo X. Use the cellularity lemma for nondegenerate components, 2.1, to construct a collection B'_1, \dots, B'_n of disjoint polyhedral 3-cells with boundaries in $E^3 - X$, one for each component of X with diameter as large as q/12. Choose e in that lemma and its addendum, 2.2, less than q/6, and so small that the collection of cells is ordered with respect to X(although it need not cover X).

The set $X' = X - \bigcup B'_i$ is a compact subset of A with no component having diameter as large as q/12; X' is untangled. "Small" 3-cells covering X' are now constructed. By an appropriate choice of s in the separation lemma, 1.5, a cover C_1, \dots, C_m of X' may be obtained, the closures of whose elements miss $\bigcup B'_i$, have diameters less than q/6, and are ordered with respect to X. A suitable choice of s also guarantees that each C_i intersects at most one of the q/6arcs associated (by the Addendum 2.2) with any B'_i , and that $C_i \cap A$ lies in a q/6-arc on A.

Each C_i may be changed to a ball, using the two cellularity lemmas to cover each component of X inside C_i by a polyhedral ball, picking a finite subcover of these, and following the methods of Bing [1] to cut apart and reconnect these balls to form a single ball containing $X \cap C_i$ in its interior. Retain the notation C_i for such a polyhedral ball.

Modify each $B'_i(j = 1, \dots, n)$ as follows. The set $B'_j \cap Cl(A - B'_j)$ is contained in (at most) two q/6-arcs A_1 and A_2 on A, and the intersection of each of these with B'_j lies in a q/6-cell (by the addendum). If A_i intersects $X - B_j$, then $A_i \cap (X - B_j)$ is contained in some of the C_i 's. Connect these C_i 's to the q/6-cells using disjoint q/6-cells, so that the result is two 5q/6-cells, each of which intersects one of A_1 or A_2 and no other point of $A - B'_j$.

Let $B_1, \dots, B_n, B_{n+1}, \dots, B_k$ be the modified B_j 's $(j = 1, \dots, n)$ plus the C_j 's not used in the modifications. Assume that the indices are arranged so that this collection is ordered with respect to X.

Figure 1 illustrates the situation at this point of the proof.

The purpose in constructing B_1, \dots, B_n so carefully is to make it possible to change A homeomorphically by moving only points of



A which are near small components of X and near the endpoints of large components of X. Define a homeomorphism f' on A to move some subarcs of the q/6-arcs associated with B_1, \dots, B_n into the 5q/6cells at the "ends" of these "large" balls, and to move some subarcs of the q/6-arcs associated with B_{n+1}, \dots, B_k into these balls; do this so that f'(A) intersects each Bd B_j in at most two points (and only one point if B_j (contains an endpoint of A.)

The first approximation h_1 to the homeomorphism h taking X into the x-axis is defined to take $f'(A) - \bigcup B_i$ into the x-axis, to take each B_i to a small neighborhood of an arc on the x-axis, and to take each component of X with diameter as large as q/12 into the x-axis, everything with order preserved. Subsequent approximations to h will be the identity outside the images under h_1 of the 5q/6-cells associated with B_1, \dots, B_n and of B_{n+1}, \dots, B_k , and this will ensure that conclusion (2) of the theorem is true.

Approximations to h are now obtained sequentially. At the second stage of the construction, a new collection of balls is chosen, inside the fiast, closer to X, and separating the components of X with diameters as large as q/24. A homeomorphism h_2 of E^3 onto itself is obtained which is the identity on each of the h_1 -images of the components of X which have diameter as large as q/12. This homeomorphism maps h_1 -images of the new balls to neighborhoods of arcs on the x-axis, and h_2h_1 also sends components of X with diameter as large as q/24 into the x-axis, preserving order of cells.

Continue in this manner, choosing the balls so small that the sequence $h_1, h_2h_1, h_3h_2h_1, \cdots$ of homeomorphisms converges to a homeomorphism h. Let I be the smallest subarc of the x-axis which contains $h(f'(A)) \cap (x\text{-axis})$. The arc $h^{-1}(I)$ differs from f'(A) only in the end cells of B_1, \cdots, B_n and in B_{n+1}, \cdots, B_k and so a homeomorphism $f: A \to E^3$ may be defined so that $f(A) = h^{-1}(I)$ and d(x, f(x)) < q for each x in A. The homeomorphism f may also be chosen to fix points of X since the restriction of h to X is a homeomorphism of X into the x-axis. This completes the proof of the theorem.

There is also a relative version of 3.1:

THEOREM 3.2. Suppose that X is a compact subset of an arc A

which is topologically embedded in E^3 and that X is untangled. Suppose that $g: A \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function so that $g^{-1}(0) \cap X = \emptyset$. Then there is a homeomorphism $f: A \to E^3$ such that

- (1) f(x) = x for each x in X,
- (2) d(x, f(x)) < g(x) for each x in A, and
- (3) f(A) is locally tame modulo the set $g^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. The proof is almost exactly the same as for 3.1, except for beginning with an approximation to A which is locally polyhedral modulo $X \cup g^{-1}(0)$ and which is homeomorphically within g of A. This new arc is then modified on subarcs close to X in the same way as in the proof of 3.1.

4. Theorem 3.1 may be combined with a characterization of subsets of arcs due to R. L. Moore [4, Theorem 135] to yield a characterization of subsets of tame arcs in E^3 . Moore's theorem is proved for a space satisfying his axioms 0-5 and E^3 does not satisfy axiom 4. However the proof is not difficult in this case.

THEOREM (R. L. Moore) 4.1. In order that the compact point set M in E^3 be a subset of an arc it is necessary and sufficient that every closed and connected subset of M be either a degenerate point set or an arc t such that no point of t, except for its endpoints is a limit point of M - t.

4.2. CHARACTERIZATION OF SUBSETS OF TAME ARCS IN E^3 . Suppose that X is a compact subset of E^3 . Then X is a subset of a tame arc in E^3 if and only if each component of X is a point or an arc t such that no point of t, except possibly for an endpoint, is a limit point of X - t, and for each positive number r, there is a positive number s such that each loop which bounds on a s-set in $E^3 - X$ shrinks on an r-set in $E^3 - X$.

Proof. Sufficiency follows from 3.1 and 4.1. Necessity is obvious.

References

1. R. H. Bing, Tame Cantor sets in E³, Pacific J. Math., 11 (1961), 435-446.

2. J. W. Cannon, ULC properties in neighborhoods of embedded surfaces and curves in E^3 , Canad. J. Math., **25** (1973), 31-73.

3. D. R. McMillan, Local properties of the embedding of a graph in a three-manifold, Canad. J. Math., **18** (1966), 517-528.

4. R. L. Moore, Foundations of Point Set Theory, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub., vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., 1962.

5. C. D. Papakyriakopolous, On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots, Ann. of

Math., (2) 66 (1957), 1-26.

6. J. H. C. Whitehead, On 2-spheres in 3-manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 64 (1958), 161-166.

Received December 12, 1972. This paper formed part of the author's doctoral thesis at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, under the direction of Professor J. W. Cannon.

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI* Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

R. A. BEAUMONT

B. H. NEUMANN

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by Intarnational Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 51, No. 1 November, 1974

Zvi Arad, π -homogeneity and π' -closure of finite groups Ivan Bayss A connected Hausdorff space which is not contained in a maximal	1
connected space	11
Eric Bedford, <i>The Dirichlet problem for some overdetermined systems on the unit</i> ball in C^n	19
R. H. Bing, Woodrow Wilson Bledsoe and R. Daniel Mauldin, <i>Sets generated by rectangles</i> .	27
Carlo Cecchini and Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, <i>Projections of uniqueness for</i> $L^{p}(G)$	37
Gokulananda Das and Ram N. Mohapatra, <i>The non absolute Nörlund summability of</i> <i>Fourier series</i>	29 29
Frank Rimi DeMeyer On senarable polynomials over a commutative ring	57
Pichard Detmer. Sets which are tame in arcs in F^3	67
William Erb Districh Ideala in completion algebras on Abelian groups	75
William Erb Dietrich, <i>Taeats in convolution algebras on Abelian groups</i>	/3
Bryce L. Elkins, A Galois theory for linear topological rings	89
William Alan Feldman, A characterization of the topology of compact convergence $C(X)$	100
On C(X)	109
Hillel Halkin Gershenson, A problem in compact Lie groups and framed	101
cobordism	121
Samuel R. Gordon, Associators in simple algebras	131
Marvin J. Greenberg, <i>Strictly local solutions of Diophantine equations</i>	143
Jon Craig Helton, <i>Product integrals and inverses in normed rings</i>	155
Domingo Antonio Herrero, <i>Inner functions under uniform topology</i>	167
Jerry Alan Johnson, <i>Lipschitz spaces</i>	177
Marvin Stanford Keener, Oscillatory solutions and multi-point boundary value functions for certain nth-order linear ordinary differential equations	187
John Cronan Kieffer, A simple proof of the Moy-Perez generalization of the Shannon-McMillan theorem	203
Joong Ho Kim, <i>Power invariant rings</i>	207
Gangaram S. Ladde and V. Lakshmikantham, <i>On flow-invariant sets</i>	215
Roger T. Lewis, Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for some self-adjoint even	221
Jürg Thomas Marti, On the aristance of support points of solid conversets	221
John Powlay Martin, Determining knot types from diagrams of linets	233
John Kowidy Martin, Determining Knot types from diagrams of knots	241
characterized by a non-radial rate of growth	251
K. C. O'Meara, Intrinsic extensions of prime rings	257
Stanley Poreda, A note on the continuity of best polynomial approximations	271
Robert John Sacker, Asymptotic approach to periodic orbits and local prolongations	272
Erio Datar Smith The Carabadian function of an arbitrary compart act	275
Area Stray, Dointuige bounded approximation by functions satisfies a side	289
Arne Stray, Pointwise bounded approximation by functions satisfying a side condition	301
John St. Clair Werth, Jr., Maximal pure subgroups of torsion complete abelian	
p-groups	307
Robert S. Wilson, On the structure of finite rings. II	317
Kari Ylinen, The multiplier algebra of a convolution measure algebra	327