Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME REMARKS ON HIGH ORDER DERIVATIONS

Yasunori Ishibashi

Vol. 52, No. 2 February 1974

SOME REMARKS ON HIGH ORDER DERIVATIONS

Yasunori Ishibashi

Let k, A and B be commutative rings such that A and B are k-algebras. In this paper it is shown that $\Omega_k^{(a)}(A \otimes_k B)$, the module of high order differentials of $A \otimes_k B$ can be expressed by making use of $\Omega_k^{(c)}(A)$ and $\Omega_k^{(c)}(B)$. On the other hand let K/k be a finite purely inseparable field extension. Sandra Z. Keith has given a criterion for a k-linear mapping of K into itself to be a high order derivation of K/k. The representation of $\Omega_k^{(c)}(A \otimes_k B)$ is used to show that Keith's result is valid for larger class of algebras.

Let k, A and B be commutative rings with identities such that A and B are k-algebras. $A \bigotimes_k B$ is an A-algebra (resp. a B-algebra) via the natural homomorphism f_A (resp. f_B) such that $f_A(a) = a \otimes 1$ (resp. $f_B(b) = 1 \otimes b$). In [5] Y. Nakai proved that there exists a direct sum decomposition

$$arOmega_k^{(q)}(Aigotimes_k B) = arOmega_k^{(q)}(A)igotimes_k B igoplus Aigotimes_k arOmega_k^{(q)}(B) igoplus U_{Aigotimes B)k}^{(q)}$$
 .

The submodule $U_{A\otimes B|k}^{(q)}$ has the universal mapping property with respect to qth order derivations of $A\bigotimes_k B$ which vanish on $f_A(A)$ and $f_B(B)$. In this paper we shall investigate the structure of $U_{A\otimes B|k}^{(q)}$. In fact we can express $U_{A\otimes B|k}^{(q)}$ by making use of $\Omega_k^{(i)}(A)$ and $\Omega_k^{(j)}(B)$ when k is a field.

On the other hand Sandra Z. Keith proved

THEOREM ([4]). Let K/k be a finite purely inseparable field extension and let φ be a k-linear mapping of K into itself. Then we have $\varphi \in D_0^{(q)}(K/k)$ if and only if $\delta \varphi \in D_0^{(1)}(K/k) \longrightarrow D_0^{(q-1)}(K/k) + D_0^{(2)}(K/k) \longrightarrow D_0^{(q-2)}(K/k) + \cdots + D_0^{(q-1)}(K/k) \longrightarrow D_0^{(1)}(K/k)$, where δ is the Hochschild coboundary operator (cf. [2]) and denotes the cupproduct.

This gives an alternative inductive definition of qth order derivations which is meaningful for not-necessarily commutative rings but which possibly differs from Nakai's for commutative rings in general. In this paper we shall use our representation of $U_{A\otimes B/k}^{(q)}$ to show that Keith's result is generalized to larger class of algebras.

Any ring in this paper is assumed to be commutative and contain 1. Let k and A be commutative rings. We say that A is a k-algebra if there exists a ring homomorphism f such that f(1) = 1. The readers are expected to refer the paper [5] for notations and terminologies.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor Y. Nakai for his suggestions and encouragement.

1. Representation of $U_{A\otimes B/k}^{(q)}$. Let k, A and B be rings such that A and B are k-algebras.

LEMMA 1. Let D be an mth order derivation of A/k into an A-module M and let Δ be an nth order derivation of B/k into a B-module N. Then $D \otimes \Delta$ is an (m+n)th order derivation of $A \otimes_k B$ into $M \otimes_k N$.

Proof. We consider the idealizations $A \oplus M$ and $B \oplus N$ of M and N respectively. Then D (resp. Δ) is regarded as an mth (resp. nth) order derivation of A (resp. B) into $A \oplus M$ (resp. $B \oplus N$). The mapping $D \otimes \Delta$ of $A \bigotimes_k B$ into $(A \oplus M) \bigotimes_k (B \oplus N)$ is decomposed as follows:

$$A \otimes B_k \xrightarrow{D \otimes 1_B} (A \oplus M) \otimes_k B \xrightarrow{1_{A \otimes M} \otimes A} (A \oplus M) \otimes_k (B \oplus N).$$

By Corollary 6.1 in [5], $D \otimes A$ is an (m+n)th order derivation. The following lemmas are immediate.

LEMMA 2. In $A \bigotimes_k A$ we have

$$egin{aligned} (1 igotimes a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} igotimes 1) & \cdots & (1 igotimes a_{\scriptscriptstyle q} - a_{\scriptscriptstyle q} igotimes 1) \ &= (1 igotimes a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdots a_{\scriptscriptstyle q} - a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdots a_{\scriptscriptstyle q} igotimes 1) \ &+ \sum\limits_{s=1}^{q-1} (-1)^s \sum\limits_{i_1 < \cdots < i_s} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_s} (1 igotimes a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdots \hat{a}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{i_s} \cdots a_{\scriptscriptstyle q} \ &- a_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdots \hat{a}_{i_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{i_s} \cdots a_{\scriptscriptstyle q} igotimes 1) \;. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. Let D be a qth order derivation of $A \bigotimes_k B$ into an $A \bigotimes_k B$ -module M vanishing on $f_A(A)$ and $f_B(B)$, where f_A (resp. f_B) is the homomorphism of A (resp. B) into $A \bigotimes_k B$ such that $f_A(a) = a \otimes 1$ (resp. $f_B(b) = 1 \otimes b$). Then we have

$$egin{aligned} D(a_1 & \cdots & a_i \otimes b_1 & \cdots & b_{q+1-i}) \ &= \sum\limits_{s=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{s-1} \sum\limits_{lpha_1 < \cdots < lpha_s} (a_{lpha_1} & \cdots & a_{lpha_s} \otimes 1) \ & imes D(a_1 & \cdots & \widehat{a}_{lpha_1} & \cdots & \widehat{a}_{lpha_s} & \cdots & a_i \otimes b_1 & \cdots & b_{q+1-i}) \ &+ \sum\limits_{t=1}^{q-i} (-1)^{t-1} \sum\limits_{eta_1 < \cdots < eta_t} (1 \otimes b_{eta_1} & \cdots & \widehat{b}_{eta_t}) \ & imes D(a_1 & \cdots & a_i \otimes b_1 & \cdots & \widehat{b}_{eta_1} & \cdots & \widehat{b}_{eta_t} & \cdots & b_{q+1-i}) \ &+ \sum\limits_{s,t=1}^{s \leq i-1,t \leq q-i} (-1)^{s+t-1} \sum\limits_{eta_1 < \cdots < lpha_s \atop eta_1 < \cdots < eta_t} (a_{lpha_1} & \cdots & a_{lpha_s} \otimes b_{eta_1} & \cdots & b_{eta_t}) \ & imes D(a_1 & \cdots & \widehat{a}_{lpha_1} & \cdots & \widehat{a}_{lpha_s} & \cdots & a_i \otimes b_1 & \cdots & \widehat{b}_{eta_t} & \cdots & b_{q+1-i}) \end{array}.$$

We denote by $\delta_{A/k}^{(q)}$ the canonical qth order derivation of A into $\Omega_k^{(q)}(A)$. Unless any confusion arises, $\delta_{A/k}^{(q)}$ is denoted by $\delta_A^{(q)}$ or $\delta^{(q)}$ simply. If $i \leq j$, we have the canonical epimorphism φ_{ij} of $\Omega_k^{(j)}(A)$ onto $\Omega_k^{(i)}(A)$ given by $\varphi_{ij}(\delta^{(j)}a) = \delta^{(i)}a$. Let ψ_{ij} be the homomorphism of $\Omega_k^{(j)}(B)$ onto $\Omega_k^{(i)}(B)$ defined as above. We define the homomorphism Φ_q of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-1} \Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(q-i)}(B)$ into $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-2} \Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(q-1-i)}(B)$ as follows: for $x \otimes y \in \Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(j)}(B)$,

$$oldsymbol{arPhi}_q(xigotimes y) = egin{cases} arPhi_{q-2,q-1}\!(x)igotimes y & ext{if} & i=q-1,\,j=1 \ arphi_{i-1,i}\!(x)igotimes y-xigotimes \psi_{j-1,j}\!(y) & ext{if} & i,\,j>1 \ & -xigotimes \psi_{q-2,q-1}\!(y) & ext{if} & i=1,\,j=q-1 \ . \end{cases}$$

Obviously Φ_q is surjective.

THEOREM 1. There exists a natural isomorphism

- (1) $U_{A\otimes B/k}^{\scriptscriptstyle{(2)}}\cong\operatorname{Ker}arPhi_2=arOmega_k^{\scriptscriptstyle{(1)}}(A)igotimes_karOmega_k^{\scriptscriptstyle{(1)}}(B),$
- (2) for $q \geq 3$, $U_{A\otimes B/k}^{(q)} \cong \operatorname{Ker} \Phi_q$ if k is a field.

Proof. We consider the mapping δ of $A \bigotimes_k B$ into $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-1} \Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(q-i)}(B)$ defined by

$$\delta(a \otimes b) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{q-1} \delta_A^{(i)} a \otimes \delta_B^{(q-i)} b$$
 .

By Lemma 1 we see that δ is a qth order derivation. Since the image of δ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_q$, δ induces a qth order derivation of $A \bigotimes_k B$ into $\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_q$. The induced one is also denoted by δ . Clearly δ vanishes on $f_A(A)$ and $f_B(B)$. We have only to prove that the pair $\{\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_q, \delta\}$ satisfies the universal mapping property with respect to qth order derivations of $A \bigotimes_k B$ which vanish on $f_A(A)$ and $f_B(B)$ ([5]). Let I_A (resp. I_B) be the kernel of the contraction mapping: $A \bigotimes_k A \to A$ (resp. $B \bigotimes_k B \to B$). We regard $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B$ as an $A \bigotimes_k B$ -module via

$$(a \otimes b)\{(x \otimes y) \otimes (u \otimes v)\} = (ax \otimes y) \otimes (bu \otimes v)$$
.

Under our assumption it will be shown that we have a natural isomorphism of $A \bigotimes_k B$ -modules

$$\operatorname{Ker} arPhi_q \cong I_{\scriptscriptstyle A} igotimes_{\scriptscriptstyle k} I_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \Big/ \sum_{i=1}^q I_{\scriptscriptstyle A}^i igotimes I_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{\scriptscriptstyle q+1-i}$$
 ,

where $I_A^i \otimes_k I_B^j$ denotes the image of the canonical homomorphism of $I_A^i \otimes_k I_B^j$ into $I_A \otimes_k I_B$. For q=2 our assertion is obvious. For $q \geq 3$ we assume that k is a field. We define the $A \otimes_k B$ -linear mapping Ψ of $I_A \otimes_k I_B$ into $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-1} \Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \otimes_k \Omega_k^{(q-i)}(B)$ by

$$\varPsi((1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1)) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{q-1} \delta_A^{(i)} a \otimes \delta_B^{(q-i)} b$$
 .

Obviously we have $\operatorname{Im} \Psi \subset \operatorname{Ker} \Phi_q$. We shall show that Ψ is an epimorphism of $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B$ onto Ker Φ_q with kernel $\sum_{i=1}^q I_A^i \bigotimes I_B^{q+1-i}$. Let $f \in I_A \bigotimes_k I_B$ and let $\pi_i(f)$ denote the canonical image of f in $\Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k$ $\Omega_k^{(q-i)}(B)$. We assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \pi_i(f_i) \in \operatorname{Ker} \Phi_q$ for $f_i \in I_A \bigotimes_k I_B (1 \leq 1)$ $i \leq q-1$). From the definition of Φ_q we see that $f_i - f_{i+1} \in I_A^{i+1} \otimes I_A^{i+1}$ $I_B + I_A \otimes I_B^{q-i}$ ($1 \le i \le q-2$). Hence we have $f_i + \alpha_i = f_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1}$ for some $\alpha_i \in I_A^{i+1} \bigotimes I_B$ and $\beta_{i+1} \in I_A \bigotimes I_B^{q-i}$ $(1 \le i \le q-2)$, and so it follows that $f_1 + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2} = f_2 + \beta_2 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{q-2} = \cdots = \alpha_{q-2}$ $f_{q-1} + \beta_2 + \cdots + \beta_{q-1}$. Let f be this equal element of $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B$. Then we have $\pi_i(f) = \pi_i(f_i)$ and therefore Ψ is surjective. Next we prove $\operatorname{Ker} \Psi = \sum_{i=1}^q I_A^i \otimes I_B^{q+1-i}$. Let us consider an element g of $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B$. If g is in Ker Ψ , we have $g \in I_A^{i+1} \bigotimes I_B + I_A \bigotimes I_B^{q+1-i}$ $(1 \le 1)$ $i \leq q-1$) and so $g=arepsilon_i+\zeta_i$ for suitable $arepsilon_i \in I_{A}^{i+1} \otimes I_{B}$ and $\zeta_i \in I_{A} \otimes I_{A}$ I_B^{q+1-i} . On the other hand we get $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} = \zeta_{i+1} - \zeta_i \in (I_A^{i+1} \bigotimes I_B) \cap$ $(I_{\scriptscriptstyle A} \otimes I_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{q-i}) = I_{\scriptscriptstyle A}^{i+1} \otimes I_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{q-i} \; ext{ since } \; k \; ext{ is a field. This implies easily } \; g \in$ $\sum_{i=1}^{q} I_A^i \otimes I_B^{q+1-i}$. We wish to show that the pair $\{\text{Ker } \Phi_q, \delta\}$ has the universal mapping property. Let D be a qth order derivation of $A \bigotimes_k B$ into an $A \bigotimes_k B$ -module M vanishing on $f_A(A)$ and $f_B(B)$. Then it suffices to prove that there is an $A \bigotimes_k B$ -homomorphism Θ of $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B / \sum_{i=1}^q I_A^i \bigotimes I_B^{q+1-i}$ into M satisfying

$$\Theta(\pi\{(1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1)\}) = D(a \otimes b),$$

where π is the canonical homomorphism of $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B$ onto $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B / \sum_{i=1}^q I_A^i \bigotimes_k I_B^{q+1-i}$. We consider the mapping Λ of $(A \bigotimes_k A) \bigotimes_k (B \bigotimes_k B)$ into M defined by

$$\Lambda((x \otimes y) \otimes (u \otimes v)) = (x \otimes u)D(y \otimes v)$$
.

Since D vanishes on $f_A(A)$ and $f_B(B)$, Λ induces the mapping of $I_A \bigotimes_k I_B$ into M sending $(1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1)$ to $D(a \otimes b)$. Now it follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 that Λ vanishes on $\sum_{i=1}^q I_A^i \otimes I_B^{q+1-i}$, and so Λ induces the desired mapping Θ . This completes our proof.

REMARK. If $\Omega_k^{(i)}(A) = I_A/I_A^{i+1}$ (resp. $\Omega_k^{(i)}(B) = I_B/I_B^{i+1}$) is k-flat for every i, we have $(I_A^{i+1} \otimes I_B) \cap (I_A \otimes I_B^{q-i}) = I_A^{i+1} \otimes I_B^{q-i}$ by [1] (§ 1, n°6, Proposition 7). In this case our proof shows that we have $U_{A\otimes B/k}^{(q)} \cong \operatorname{Ker} \Phi_q$ for $q \geq 3$.

2. A generalization of the result due to Keith. Let k and A be rings such that A is a k-algebra. Let M and N be A-modules. We consider the homomorphism ω of $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, A) \bigotimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_A(N, A)$ into $\operatorname{Hom}_{A \otimes_{k} A}(M \bigotimes_k N, A)$ given by

$$[\omega(f\otimes g)](m\otimes n)=f(m)g(n)$$

for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, A)$, $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(N, A)$, $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Now A is regarded as an $A \bigotimes_k A$ -module via the contraction mapping: $A \bigotimes_k A \to A$.

LEMMA 4. If M is a finite projective A-module, then ω is an epimorphism.

Proof. When M is a finite free A-module, our assertion is obvious. If M is finite A-projective, M is a direct summand of a finite free A-module and hence we see easily that ω is an epimorphism.

Let φ and ψ be k-linear mappings of A into itself. The Hochschild coboundary $\delta \varphi$ of φ is given by $(\delta \varphi)(a, b) = \varphi(ab) - a\varphi(b) - b\varphi(a)$ for $a, b \in A$ (cf. [2]). On the other hand the cupproduct $\varphi \ \psi$ of φ and ψ is the k-bilinear mapping of $A \oplus A$ into A such that $(\varphi \ \psi)(a, b) = \varphi(a)\psi(b)$ for $a, b \in A$. Let P and Q be A-submodules of $\operatorname{Hom}_k(A, A)$, the set of k-linear mappings of A into itself. Then the cup-product $P \ Q$ is the set of k-bilinear mappings of $A \oplus A$ into A which are finite sums of mappings of form $\varphi \ \psi$ for $\varphi \in P$ and $\psi \in Q$.

THEOREM 2. Let A be an algebra over a field k such that $\Omega_k^{(i)}(A)$ is a finite projective A-module for every $i \geq 1$. Let φ be a k-linear mapping of A into iteslf. Then we have $\varphi \in D_0^{(a)}(A/k)$ if and only if $\partial \varphi \in D_0^{(1)}(A/k) \cap D_0^{(q-1)}(A/k) + D_0^{(2)}(A/k) \cap D_0^{(q-2)}(A/k) + \cdots + D_0^{(q-1)}(A/k) \cap D_0^{(1)}(A/k)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{\mathbf{A} \otimes A/k}^{(q)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-1} \Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(q-i)}(A)$$

$$\stackrel{\Phi_q}{\longrightarrow} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-2} \Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(q-1-i)}(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Our assumption implies that $\Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(j)}(A)$ is a projective $A \bigotimes_k A$ -module, and so the above sequence splits. Hence we have an epimorphism of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-1} \operatorname{Hom}_{A \otimes_k A} (\Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(q-i)}(A), A)$ onto $\operatorname{Hom}_{A \otimes_k A} (U_{A \otimes A/k}^{(q)}, A)$, where A is considered as an $A \bigotimes_k A$ -module via the contraction mapping: $A \bigotimes_k A \to A$. Since $\Omega_k^{(i)}(A)$ is finite A-projective, Lemma 4 is applicable to see that $\operatorname{Hom}_A (\Omega_k^{(i)}(A), A) \bigotimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_A (\Omega_k^{(j)}(A), A)$ is mapped onto $\operatorname{Hom}_{A \otimes_k A} (\Omega_k^{(i)}(A) \bigotimes_k \Omega_k^{(j)}(A), A)$. Thus we get an epimorphism: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{q-1} \operatorname{Hom}_A (\Omega_k^{(i)}(A), A) \bigotimes_k \operatorname{Hom}_A (\Omega_k^{(q-i)}(A), A) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A \otimes_k A} (U_{A \otimes A/k}^{(q)}, A)$. Let us consider an element φ of $D_0^{(q)}(A/k)$. The contraction mapping of $A \bigotimes_k A$ into A followed by φ is a qth order

derivation of $A \bigotimes_k A/k$ into A. From the direct sum decomposition of $\Omega_k^{(q)}(A \bigotimes_k A)$ it follows that $\partial \varphi$ gives an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A \otimes_k A}(U_{A \otimes_A/k}^{(q)}, A)$. Now only if part is immediate. On the other hand if part is obvious by Proposition 3 of [5].

REMARK. The assumption in Theorem 2 is satisfied in the following two cases, and so in these cases Theorem 2 holds.

- (1) A/k is a finitely generated field extension.
- (2) A is a smooth algebra over a field k ([3] 16.10.1, 16.10.2).

REFERENCES

- 1. N. Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématique, Fasc. XXVII. Algèbre commutative. Chap.
- I, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1290, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- 2. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton, 1956.
- 3. A. Grothendieck, Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV, Publ. Math. N°. 32, 1967.
- 4. Sandra Z. Keith, *High Derivations of Fields*, Dissertion, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa., 1971.
- 5. Y. Nakai, High order derivations I, Osaka J. Math., 7 (1970), 1-27.

Received August 1, 1973.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

> Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor. or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific of Journal Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS. A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho. Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 52, No. 2

February, 1974

Harm Bart, Spectral properties of locally holomorphic vector-valued functions	321
J. Adrian (John) Bondy and Robert Louis Hemminger, <i>Reconstructing infinite graphs</i>	331
Bryan Edmund Cain and Richard J. Tondra, <i>Biholomorphic approximation of planar domains</i>	341
Richard Carey and Joel David Pincus, Eigenvalues of seminormal operators,	
1	347
	359
Joe Wayne Fisher and Louis Halle Rowen, <i>An embedding of semiprime</i>	369
P.Irings	309
	377
1 1	403
Darald Joe Hartfiel, A study of convex sets of stochastic matrices induced by	403
	405
F	419
Donald Gordon James, Orthogonal groups of dyadic unimodular quadratic forms.	717
	425
	443
Darrell Conley Kent, Kelly Denis McKennon, G. Richardson and M. Schroder,	115
	457
	467
	475
	481
Andrew Guy Markoe, A characterization of normal analytic spaces by the	101
homological codimension of the structure sheaf	485
	491
John Phillips, Perturbations of type I von Neumann algebras	505
Billy E. Rhoades, Commutants of some quasi-Hausdorff matrices	513
David W. Roeder, Category theory applied to Pontryagin duality	519
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>The nonminimality of the differential closure</i>	529
Peter Michael Rosenthal, On an inversion theorem for the general Mehler-Fock	32)
transform pair	539
Alan Saleski, Stopping times for Bernoulli automorphisms	547
John Herman Scheuneman, Fundamental groups of compact complete locally affine	
complex surfaces. II	553
Vashishtha Narayan Singh, Reproducing kernels and operators with a cyclic vector.	
I	567
Peggy Strait, On the maximum and minimum of partial sums of random	
variables	585
J. L. Brenner, Maximal ideals in the near ring of polynomials modulo 2	595
Ernst Gabor Straus, Remark on the preceding paper: "Ideals in near rings of	
polynomials over a field"	601
Masamichi Takesaki, Faithful states on a C*-algebra	605
R. Michael Tanner, Some content maximizing properties of the regular simplex	611
Andrew Bao-hwa Wang, An analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem for certain	
	617
James Juei-Chin Veh Inversion of conditional expectations	631