Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE LIFTING THEOREM

Tim Eden Traynor

Vol. 53, No. 1 March 1974

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 53, No. 1, 1974

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE LIFTING THEOREM

TIM TRAYNOR

An elementary proof is given of the lifting theorem for a complete totally finite measure space, which does not use the martingale theorem or Vitali differentiation.

Introduction. In this paper we give a proof of the lifting theorem for a complete totally finite measure space, which involves only elementary properties of measure. The complicated isomorphism theorem of Maharam's original proof [4] is avoided. On the other hand, we do not use the concepts of martingale or of Vitali differentiation ([1] [2] [3] [5]). In fact, the entire construction takes place in the σ-field of measurable sets, without passing to the algebra of essentially bounded measurable functions. We feel this makes it easier to see what is involved.

Throughout what follows:

$(S, \mathscr{M}, \mu)$ is a complete measure space with $\mu(S) < \infty$;

$\mathscr{N} = \{A \in \mathscr{M}: \mu(A) = 0\}$;

N is the set of nonnegative integers;

For subsets A, B of S,

$$AB = A \cap B ;$$
$$A\backslash B = \{s \in A: s \notin B\} ;$$
$$A^c = S\backslash A ;$$
$$A \Delta B = AB^c \cup BA^c ;$$
$$A \doteq B \text{ iff } A, B \in \mathscr{M} \text{ and } \mu(A \Delta B) = 0 .$$

For a family $\mathscr{K}$ of subsets of S,

$$\bigcup \mathscr{K} = \bigcup_{E \in \mathscr{K}} E .$$

1. DEFINITIONS. For any field $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{M}$,

(1) d is a (lower) density on $\mathscr{A}$ iff d is a mapping on $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{A}$ such that, for A, B in $\mathscr{A}$,

(i) $d(A) \doteq A$;

(ii) $A \doteq B$ implies $d(A) = d(B)$;

(iii) $d(\varnothing) = \varnothing$, $d(S) = S$;

(iv) $d(AB) = d(A)d(B)$.

(2) l is a lifting on $\mathscr{A}$ iff l is a density on $\mathscr{A}$ such that

(v) $l(A^c) = l(A)^c$, for A in $\mathscr{A}$.

For a detailed study of liftings and their applications, we refer to A. and C. Ionescu Tulcea [3].

2. REMARKS. Let l be a lifting on the σ-field $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{F} = l[\mathscr{A}]$. Then:

(1) $\mathscr{F}$ is a field in S.

(2) $\mathscr{F} \subset \{E \in \mathscr{A}: 0 < \mu(E) < \mu(S)\} \cup \{\varnothing, S\}$.

(3) If, for each n in N, $E_n \in \mathscr{F}$, and $A = \bigcup_n E_n$, then $l(A) \supset A$. (Indeed, for each n, $E_n \backslash l(A) \subset A \backslash l(A) \doteq \varnothing$, so $E_n \backslash l(A) = \varnothing$, by (2).)

3. THEOREM. *If d is a density on a field $\mathscr{A}$ with $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{M}$, then there exists a lifting l on $\mathscr{A}$, with*

$$(*) \qquad d(A) \subset l(A) \subset d(A^c)^c\,, \qquad \textit{for } A \textit{ in } \mathscr{A}\,.$$

Proof. For each filterbase $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{A}$, let $\hat{\mathscr{B}}$ denote an ultrafilter containing $\mathscr{B}$. We recall that for subsets A, B of S,

(a) $A \in \hat{\mathscr{B}}$ iff $A^c \notin \hat{\mathscr{B}}$, and

(b) $A \cap B \in \hat{\mathscr{B}}$ iff $A \in \hat{\mathscr{B}}$ and $B \in \hat{\mathscr{B}}$.

For each s in S, let

$$\mathscr{F}(s) = \{A \in \mathscr{A}: s \in d(A)\}\,.$$

Since d is a density, $\mathscr{F}(s)$ is a filterbase. Put

$$l(A) = \{s \in S: A \in \hat{\mathscr{F}}(s)\}\,, \qquad \text{for } A \text{ in } \mathscr{A}\,.$$

By the properties (a), (b) of an ultrafilter, for A, B in $\mathscr{A}$, we have (v) $l(A^c) = l(A)^c$ and (iv) $l(AB) = l(A)l(B)$. Moreover, if $s \in d(A)$, then $A \in \mathscr{F}(s) \subset \hat{\mathscr{F}}(s)$, so that $s \in l(A)$. Hence, $d(A) \subset l(A)$. Similarly $d(A^c) \subset l(A^c)$. Using (v) we find that (*) holds. Since $d(A) \doteq A \doteq d(A^c)^c$, we have (i) $l(A) \doteq A$. If $N \doteq \varnothing$, then $d(N) = d(\varnothing) = \varnothing$ and $d(N^c) = d(S) = S$, so that, by (*), $l(N) = \varnothing$. Hence, (iii) $l(\varnothing) = \varnothing$, $l(S) = S$ and (ii) if $A \doteq B$, then $l(A) \varDelta l(B) = l(A \varDelta B) = \varnothing$, so that $l(A) = l(B)$. This completes the proof.

The proof of the following theorem usually uses martingales or Vitali differentiation. We use neither. However, the reader familiar with Sion [5] will recognize the connection with his method. (See Remark 7 below.)

4. THEOREM. *Suppose that, for each n in N, $\mathscr{A}_n$* is a *σ-field with $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{A}_n \subset \mathscr{A}_{n+1} \subset \mathscr{M}$ and l_n is a lifting on $\mathscr{A}_n$ with $l_n = l_{n+1} \mid \mathscr{A}_n$. Put $\mathscr{A} = \sigma$-field $(\bigcup_n \mathscr{A}_n)$. Then there is a lifting l on $\mathscr{A}$ with $l_n = l \mid \mathscr{A}_n$, for each n in N.*

Proof. The result will follow immediately from Theorem 3 if we can construct a density d on $\mathscr{A}$ with $d(A) = l_n(A)$ for A in $\mathscr{A}_n$. To this end, for each k in N, let $\mathscr{F}_k$ denote $l_k[\mathscr{A}_k]$. For each A in $\mathscr{A}$, k in N, and $r < 1$, put

$$\mathscr{D}(A; k, r) = \{E \in \mathscr{F}_k : \mu(AF) \geqq r\mu(F), \text{ whenever } E \supset F \in \mathscr{F}_k\} ,$$
$$d(A; k, r) = \bigcup \mathscr{D}(A; k, r) , \text{ and}$$
$$d(A) = \bigcap_{r<1} \bigcup_{n \in N} \bigcap_{k \geqq n} d(A; k, r) .$$

We will show that d is a suitable density function on $\mathscr{A}$.

For fixed A, r, and k, let $\mathscr{K}$ be a maximal disjoint subfamily of $\mathscr{D}(A; k, r)$. Then $\mathscr{K}$ is countable. Put $B = l_k(\cup \mathscr{K})$. Clearly, $B \in \mathscr{D}(A; k, r)$. Moreover, if $E \in \mathscr{D}(A; k, r)$, $E \backslash B = \emptyset$, by Remark 2(3) and the maximality of $\mathscr{K}$. This shows that $d(A; k, r) = B$ is the largest element of $\mathscr{D}(A; k, r)$. In particular, $d(A; k, r) \in \mathscr{F}_k \subset \mathscr{A}$. If $r < s < 1$, we have $d(A; k, r) \supset d(A; k, s)$, so we need only consider rational r. Since $\mathscr{A}$ is a σ-field, we conclude that $d(A) \in \mathscr{A}$.

There is no difficulty in showing that $A \doteq B \in \mathscr{A}$ implies $d(A) = d(B)$, or that $d(A) = l_n(A)$, for A in $\mathscr{A}_n$. In particular, $d(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $d(S) = S$. We have left to check conditions (i) and (iv) of the definition of a density.

To check condition (iv), let $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$, $k \in N$, $r < 1$. For each F in $\mathscr{F}_k$ contained in $d(A; k, (r + 1)/2) \cap d(B; k, (r + 1)/2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(ABF) &= \mu(AF) + \mu(BF) - \mu((A \cup B)F) \\ &\geqq ((r + 1)/2)\mu(F) + ((r + 1)/2)\mu(F) - \mu(F) \\ &= r\mu(F) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d(A; k, (r + 1)/2) \cap d(B; k, (r + 1)/2) \subset d(AB; k, r)$. By direct computation, this yields $d(A)d(B) \subset d(AB)$. On the other hand, for each k and r, $d(AB; k, r) \subset d(A; k, r) \cap d(B; k, r)$, so that $d(AB) \subset d(A)d(B)$, establishing (iv).

To verify condition (i), let $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and put

$$d'(A) = \bigcup_{0<r<1} \bigcap_{n \in N} \bigcup_{k \geqq n} d(A; k, r) .$$

We will show that

(a) $d'(A)A^c \doteq \emptyset$,
(b) $Ad'(A^c) \doteq \emptyset$, and
(c) $d'(A^c) \supset d(A)^c$, $d'(A) \supset d(A)$,

from which we get

$$d(A) \Delta A = d(A)A^c \cup Ad(A)^c \subset d'(A)A^c \cup Ad'(A^c) \doteq \emptyset ,$$

as required.

Fix r in $(0, 1)$ and write $D_k = d(A; k, r)$, for k in N. Since $D_k \in \mathscr{D}(A; k, r)$, we have for each B in $\mathscr{A}_k$,

$$\mu(ABD_k) = \mu(Al_k(B)D_k) \geqq r\mu(l_k(B)D_k) = r\mu(BD_k) .$$

Suppose $B \in \bigcup_n \mathscr{A}_n$. Then there exists an n in N such that $B \in \mathscr{A}_n$. For $m \geqq n$, $\mathscr{A}_m \supset \mathscr{A}_n$, and putting $C_m = BD_m \backslash \bigcup_{n \leqq k < m} D_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(AB \bigcup_{k \geqq n} D_k) &= \sum_{m \geqq n} \mu(AC_m) \\ &\geqq \sum_{m \geqq n} r\mu(C_m) \\ &= r\mu(B \bigcup_{k \geqq n} D_k) . \end{aligned}$$

Taking intersections over n we have

$$\mu(AB \bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geqq n} D_k) \geqq r\mu(B \bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geqq n} D_k) .$$

By considering monotone sequences of such B we see that this holds for all B in $\mathscr{A}$, the σ-field generated by the field $\bigcup_n \mathscr{A}_n$. In particular, putting $B = A^c$ we have $0 \geqq r\mu(A^c \bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geqq n} D_k)$. But $r > 0$, so $\mu(A^c \bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geqq n} D_k) = 0$. Taking the union over rational r in $(0, 1)$ we have $A^c d'(A) \doteq \varnothing$. This proves (a). Replacing A by A^c we have (b).

To prove (c) we let $k \in N$, $0 < r < 1$ and show

$$d(A; k, r)^c \subset d(A^c; k, 1 - r) .$$

To this end suppose $\varnothing \neq E \in \mathscr{F}_k$ and $E \subset d(A; k, r)^c$. Then $E \notin \mathscr{D}(A; k, r)$, so there exists F in $\mathscr{F}_k$ contained in E with $\mu(AF) < r\mu(F)$. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be a maximal disjoint collection of such F. By Remark 2(3) and maximality of $\mathscr{K}$ we have $E \backslash l_k(\bigcup \mathscr{K}) = \varnothing$, so $E = l_k(\bigcup \mathscr{K})$. Moreover, $\mu(AE) = \sum_{F \in \mathscr{K}} \mu(AF) \leqq \sum_{F \in \mathscr{K}} r\mu(F) = r\mu(E)$. In other words, $\mu(A^c E) \geqq (1 - r)\mu(E)$. This shows that $d(A; k, r)^c \in \mathscr{D}(A^c; k, 1 - r)$, so $d(A; k, r)^c \subset d(A^c; k, 1 - r)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} d(A)^c &= \bigcup_{r \in (0,1)} \bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geqq n} d(A; k, r)^c \\ &\subset \bigcup_{r \in (0,1)} \bigcap_n \bigcup_{k \geqq n} d(A^c; k, 1 - r) \\ &= d'(A^c) . \end{aligned}$$

Since it is clear that $d(A) \subset d'(A)$, this proves (c) and completes the proof of the theorem.

To prove the lifting theorem, we need one more lemma, due to A. and C. Ionescu Tulcea [2]. For completeness, we include a proof here.

5. LEMMA. *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a σ-field with $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{M}$, l a lifting*

on $\mathscr{A}$. If $A \in \mathscr{M}\backslash\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{A}' = $ field $(\mathscr{A} \cup \{A\})$, then there exists a lifting on $\mathscr{A}'$ extending l.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{F} = l[\mathscr{A}]$, $\mathscr{E} = \{E \in \mathscr{F}: \mu(EA^c) = 0\}$. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be a maximal disjoint subfamily of $\mathscr{E}$ and let $A_1 = l(\bigcup \mathscr{K})$. Then $A_1 \in \mathscr{E}$ and, by maximality of $\mathscr{K}$ and Remark 2(3), $E\backslash A_1 = \varnothing$, for all E in $\mathscr{E}$, so that A_1 is the largest element of $\mathscr{E}$. Similarly, let A_2 be the largest E in $\mathscr{F}$ with $\mu(EA) = 0$. Put $\bar{A} = (A \cup A_1)\backslash A_2$. Then $\bar{A} \doteq A$. (Indeed, $\bar{A}\Delta A \subset A_1A^c \cup A_2^cA \doteq \varnothing$.) Thus, $\mathscr{A}' =$ field $(\mathscr{A} \cup \{\bar{A}\})$ $(= \{(C\bar{A} \cup D\bar{A}^c: C, D \in \mathscr{A}\})$. For E, F in $\mathscr{F}$,

(a) $E\bar{A} \doteq F\bar{A}$ implies $E\bar{A} = F\bar{A}$, and

(b) $E\bar{A}^c \doteq F\bar{A}^c$ implies $E\bar{A}^c = F\bar{A}^c$.

Indeed, $E\bar{A} \doteq F\bar{A}$ implies $\mu((E\Delta F)A) = \mu((E\Delta F)\bar{A}) = 0$, so that, by definition of A_2, $E\Delta F \subset A_2 \subset \bar{A}^c$. Thus, $(E\Delta F)\bar{A} = \varnothing$, so $E\bar{A} = F\bar{A}$. The proof of (b) is similar.

Now define l' on $\mathscr{A}'$ by

$$l'(C\bar{A} \cup D\bar{A}^c) = l(C)\bar{A} \cup l(D)\bar{A}^c\,, \quad \text{for } C, D \text{ in } \mathscr{A}\,.$$

Using (a) and (b) we see that l' is well-defined and that for M_1, M_2 in $\mathscr{A}'$, $M_1 \doteq M_2$ implies $l'(M_1) = l'(M_2)$. The other properties of a lifting are easily verified. Moreover, for C in $\mathscr{A}$, $l'(C) = l(C)\bar{A} \cup l(C)\bar{A}^c = l(C)$, so l' extends l.

We can now prove *the lifting theorem*:

6. THEOREM. *Let $(S, \mathscr{M}, \mu)$ be a complete measure space with $\mu(S) < \infty$. Then, there exists a lifting on $\mathscr{M}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the set of pairs $(\mathscr{A}, l)$ where $\mathscr{A}$ is a σ-field with $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{M}$ and l is a lifting on $\mathscr{A}$, with the ordering: $(\mathscr{A}, l) \leqq (\mathscr{A}', l')$ iff $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}'$ and $l = l' \mid \mathscr{A}$. We show that $\mathscr{H}$ has a maximal element. Indeed, suppose $\mathscr{H}' = \{(\mathscr{A}_i, l_i): i \in I\}$ is a totally ordered subfamily of $\mathscr{H}$. We distinguish two cases:

(a) If $\mathscr{H}'$ has no countable cofinal subfamily, put $\mathscr{A} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathscr{A}_i$ and $l(A) = l_i(A)$, for A in $\mathscr{A}_i$, i in I. Then $(\mathscr{A}, l)$ is an upper bound for $\mathscr{H}'$ in $\mathscr{H}$.

(b) If $\mathscr{H}'$ has a countable cofinal subfamily $\mathscr{H}'' = \{(\mathscr{A}_{i_n}, l_{i_n}): n \in N\}$, then by Theorem 4, $\mathscr{H}''$ (and hence $\mathscr{H}'$) has an upper bound in $\mathscr{H}$. By Zorn's lemma, we conclude that $\mathscr{H}$ has a maximal element, $(\mathscr{A}, l)$.

By Lemma 3, and maximality, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{M}$, and the theorem is proved.

7. REMARKS.

(1) To see the relationship of our method to that of Sion [5],

for each k in N and s in S, let $\hat{\mathscr{F}}_k(s) = \{F \in \mathscr{F}_k : s \in F\}$, directed downward by inclusion. Then,

$$d(A; k, r) = l_k\left(\left\{s \in S : \varliminf_{F \in \hat{\mathscr{F}}_k(s)} \frac{\mu(AF)}{\mu(F)} \geqq r\right\}\right).$$

(One inclusion is obvious, the other follows from Sion's Theorem 2′.)

(2) As several authors have pointed out (see, for example, Sion [5], and for more references, Sion [6]), liftings provide very special Vitali differentiation system, even when no others are available. (If l is a lifting on $\mathscr{M}$, such a system is obtained by assigning to each s in S, $\{F: s \in F \in l[\mathscr{M}]\}$, directed downward by inclusion.) Apart from our desire for an elementary proof, this was our main motivation in looking for a construction of a lifting without using differentiation concepts.

(3) *Added in proof.* S. Graf [On the existence of strong liftings in second countable topological spaces, (to appear)] has noticed that one may change the word "lifting" to "density" in the statement of Theorem 4. The proof is essentially contained in our proof. Graf has independently obtained a proof of this result (using Radon-Nikodým derivatives).

References

1. G. Hansel, *Théorème de relèvement et mesures bivalent*, Ann. Inst. Poincaré **8** (1972), 395-401.
2. A. and C. Ionescu Tulcea, *On the lifting property I*, J. Math. Annal. Appl., **3** (1961), 537-546.
3. ———, *Topics in the Theory of Lifting*, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
4. D. Maharam, *On a theorem of Von Neuman*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **9** (1958), 987-994.
5. M. Sion, *A proof of the lifting theorem*, Univ. of British Columbia, 1970.
6. ———, *A Theory of Semigroup-valued Measures*, Univ. of British Columbia 1971-72.

Received August 1, 1973 and in revised form October 3, 1973. This work was supported by the Summer Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress.

UNIVERSITY OF WINDSOT

Printed in Japan by Intarnational Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 53, No. 1 March, 1974