

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CONNECTEDNESS IM KLEINEN AND LOCAL
CONNECTEDNESS IN 2^X AND $C(X)$**

JACK TILDEN GOODYKOONTZ, JR.

CONNECTEDNESS IM KLEINEN AND LOCAL CONNECTEDNESS IN 2^X AND $C(X)$

JACK T. GOODYKOONTZ, JR.

Let X be a compact connected metric space and $2^X(C(X))$ denote the hyperspace of closed subsets (subcontinua) of X . In this paper the hyperspaces are investigated with respect to point-wise connectivity properties. Let $M \in C(X)$. Then 2^X is locally connected (connected im kleinen) at M if and only if for each open set U containing M there is a connected open set V such that $M \subset V \subset U$ (there is a component of U which contains M in its interior). This theorem is used to prove the following main result. Let $A \in 2^X$. Then 2^X is locally connected (connected im kleinen) at A if and only if 2^X is locally connected (connected im kleinen) at each component of A . Several related results about $C(X)$ are also obtained.

A continuum X will be a compact connected metric space. $2^X(C(X))$ denotes the hyperspace of closed subsets (subcontinua) of X , each with the finite (Vietoris) topology, and since X is a continuum, each of 2^X and $C(X)$ is also a continuum (see [5]).

One of the earliest results about hyperspaces of continua, due to Wojdyslawski [7], was that each of 2^X and $C(X)$ is locally connected if and only if X is locally connected. As a point-wise property, local connectedness is stronger than connectedness im kleinen, which in turn is stronger than aposyndesis. The author [1] has shown that if X is any continuum, then each of 2^X and $C(X)$ is aposyndetic. It is the purpose of this paper to investigate the internal structure of 2^X and $C(X)$ with respect to these properties. In particular, we determine necessary and sufficient conditions (in terms of the neighborhood structure in X) that 2^X be locally connected at a point and that 2^X be connected im kleinen at a point. We also determine that $C(X)$ has, in general, stronger point-wise connectivity properties that either 2^X or X .

For notational purposes, small letters will denote elements of X , capital letters will denote subsets of X and elements of 2^X , and script letters will denote subsets of 2^X . If $A \subset X$, then A^* (int A) (bd A) will denote the closure (interior) (boundary) of A in X .

Let $x \in X$. Then X is locally connected (l.c.) at x if for each open set U containing x there is a connected open set V such that $x \in V \subset U$. X is connected im kleinen (c.i.k.) at x if for each open set U containing x there is a component of U which contains x in its interior. X is aposyndetic at x if for each $y \in X - x$ there is a

continuum M such that $x \in \text{int } M$ and $y \in X - M$.

If A_1, \dots, A_n are subsets of X , then $N(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \{B \in 2^X \mid \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n, B \cap A_i \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\}$. The collection of all sets of the form $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$, with U_1, \dots, U_n open in X , is a base for the finite topology. It is easy to establish that

$$N(U_1, \dots, U_n)^* = N(U_1^*, \dots, U_n^*)$$

and that $N(V_1, \dots, V_m) \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ if and only if $\bigcup_{j=1}^m V_j \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and for each U_i there exists a V_j such that $V_j \subset U_i$ (see [5]). We remark also that the finite topology is equivalent to the Hausdorff metric topology on 2^X whenever X is a compact metric space (theorem on page 47 of [4]).

If $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^X$, then $\bigcup \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is open (closed) in X whenever \mathcal{A} is open (closed) in 2^X (see [5]). Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A} \cap C(X) \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{A} is connected, then $\bigcup \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is connected (Lemma 1.2 of [3]).

If n is a positive integer, then $F_n(X) = \{A \in 2^X \mid A \text{ has at most } n \text{ elements}\}$ and $F(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(X)$.

An order arc in $2^X(C(X))$ is an arc which is also a chain with respect to the partial order on $2^X(C(X))$ induced by set inclusion. If $A, B \in 2^X$, then there exists an order arc from A to B if and only if $A \subset B$ and each component of B meets A (Lemma 2.3 of [3]). It follows (Lemma 2.6 of [3]) that every order arc whose initial point is an element of $C(X)$ is entirely contained within $C(X)$.

It will be convenient to begin our study by considering points of $C(X)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $M \in C(X)$. Then 2^X is c.i.k. at M if and only if for each open set U containing M there is a component of U which contains M in its interior.*

Proof. Suppose 2^X is c.i.k. at M . Let U be an open set containing M . Then $M \in N(U)$, so there exists a component \mathcal{C} of $N(U)$ containing M in its interior. It follows that $\bigcup \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is a connected set containing M in its interior and lying in U .

Conversely, suppose that for each open set U containing M there is a component of U which contains M in its interior. Let $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a basic open set containing M and let $N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ be a basic open set such that $M \in N(V_1, \dots, V_m) \subset N(V_1, \dots, V_m)^* \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$. Let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i$. Then there is a component C of V which contains M in its interior. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, let $W_i = V_i \cap \text{int } C$. Then $M \in N(W_1, \dots, W_m) \subset N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$. If $A \in N(W_1, \dots, W_m)$, then $A \subset C^*$, and $A, C^* \in N(V_1^*, \dots, V_m^*) =$

$N(V_1, \dots, V_m)^* \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$. Since C^* is connected there exists an order arc in $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ from A to C^* . It follows that there is a component of $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ which contains M in its interior.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $x \in X$. Then 2^x is c.i.k. at $\{x\}$ if and only if X is c.i.k. at x .*

LEMMA 1. *Let V be a connected open set and V_1, \dots, V_n be open sets such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i = V$. Then $N(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is connected.*

Proof. Let p be the smallest positive integer such that $F_p(X)_i \cap N(V_1, \dots, V_n) \neq \emptyset$. We will show that

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{i=p}^{\infty} (F_i(X) \cap N(V_1, \dots, V_n))$$

is connected.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \mid \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n, x_i \in V_i, \text{ and } x_i = x_j \text{ if and only if } i = j\}$. We will first establish that \mathcal{A} lies in a connected subset of \mathcal{F} . Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y\} \mid y \in V\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\{y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y\} \mid y \in V\}$. Then each of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{B}_1 is the continuous image of the connected set V , so \mathcal{A}_1 is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1\}$ and \mathcal{B}_1 is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1\}$ and $\{y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Similarly, for each $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ define $\mathcal{A}_i = \{\{y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n, y\} \mid y \in V\}$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{\{y_1, \dots, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, y\} \mid y \in V\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}_i is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_i, x_i, \dots, x_n\}$ and \mathcal{B}_i is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{y_1, \dots, y_i, x_i, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\}$. Define $\mathcal{A}_n = \{\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x_n, y\} \mid y \in V\}$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \{\{y_1, \dots, y_n, y\} \mid y \in V\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}_n is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n, x_n\}$ and \mathcal{B}_n is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{y_1, \dots, y_n, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. It follows that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n (\mathcal{A}_i \cup \mathcal{B}_i)$ is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

Now let $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \in \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{A}$. If $p \leq m < n$, choose $n-m$ distinct elements x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n-m$ let $\mathcal{C}_i = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_{m+(i-1)}, y\} \mid y \in V\}$. Then \mathcal{C}_i is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_{m+(i-1)}\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_{m+i}\}$. Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-m} \mathcal{C}_i$ is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

If $m \geq n$, let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y\} \mid y \in V\}$.

Then \mathcal{D}_1 is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1\}$. For each $i = 2, \dots, n$, let $\mathcal{D}_i = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, y\} \mid y \in V\}$. Then \mathcal{D}_i is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_i\}$. Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{D}_i$ is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} containing $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. With an analogous construction we can show that there is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. It follows that there is a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which contains $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

We have now established that \mathcal{A} lies in a connected subset of \mathcal{F} and that each member of $\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{A}$ lies in a connected subset of \mathcal{F} which meets \mathcal{A} . Hence \mathcal{F} is connected. Since \mathcal{F} is dense in $N(V_1, \dots, V_n)$, it follows that $N(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is connected.

THEOREM 2. *Let $M \in C(X)$. Then 2^x is l.c. at M if and only if for each open set U containing M there exists a connected open set V such that $M \subset V \subset U$.*

Proof. Suppose 2^x is l.c. at M . Let U be an open set containing M . Then $M \in N(U)$, so there exists a connected open set \mathcal{V} such that $M \in \mathcal{V} \subset N(U)$. It follows that $M \subset \bigcup \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{V}\} = V \subset U$, and V is open and connected.

Conversely, suppose that for each open set U containing M there exists a connected open set V such that $M \subset V \subset U$. Let $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a basic open set such that $M \in N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ and let $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$. Then there exists a connected open set V such that $M \subset V \subset U$. Let $V_i = V \cap U_i$. Then $M \in N(V_1, \dots, V_n) \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$, and by Lemma 1, $N(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is connected.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $x \in X$. Then 2^x is l.c. at $\{x\}$ if and only if X is l.c. at x .*

We remark that if $M \in C(X)$ and 2^x is l.c. at M , then Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 imply the existence of a local base of connected sets at M , each of which is of the form $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$.

The next several results concern the relationships between 2^x and $C(X)$ with respect to local connectedness and connectedness im kleinen at points of $C(X)$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $M \in C(X)$. If 2^x is c.i.k. at M , then $C(X)$ is c.i.k. at M .*

Proof. Let $N(U_1, \dots, U_n) \cap C(X)$ be an open set containing M . Let $N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ be an open set such that $M \in N(V_1, \dots, V_m) \subset$

$N(V_1, \dots, V_m)^* \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$. Since 2^X is c.i.k. at M , there exists an open set $N(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ such that

$$M \in N(W_1, \dots, W_k) \subset N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$$

and with the property that $B \in N(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ implies $N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ contains a connected set containing B and M . Then $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ contains a continuum containing B and M .

Let $K \in N(W_1, \dots, W_k) \cap C(X)$. Then there exists a continuum \mathcal{L} in $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ containing K and M . Now $\bigcup \{A \mid A \in \mathcal{L}\} = L \in C(X)$, and $L \in N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$, since $\mathcal{L} \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$. It follows that there exist order arcs \mathcal{L}_K and \mathcal{L}_M in $N(U_1, \dots, U_n) \cap C(X)$ from K to L and from M to L . So $\mathcal{L}_K \cup \mathcal{L}_M$ is a continuum in $N(U_1, \dots, U_n) \cap C(X)$ containing K and M . Hence $C(X)$ is c.i.k. at M .

COROLLARY 3. *Let $M \in C(X)$. If for each open set U containing M there is a component of U which contains M in its interior, then $C(X)$ is c.i.k. at M .*

Corollary 3 is a generalization of Theorem 6 of [6]. The example following Theorem 6 of [6] shows that the converse of Corollary 3 is false. It also shows that the converse of Question 1 below is false.

Question 1. Let $M \in C(X)$. If 2^X is l.c. at M , is $C(X)$ l.c. at M ?

COROLLARY 4. *Let $x \in X$. Then X is c.i.k. at x if and only if $C(X)$ is c.i.k. at $\{x\}$.*

Proof. If X is c.i.k. at x , then by Corollary 1, 2^X is c.i.k. at $\{x\}$, and by Theorem 3, $C(X)$ is c.i.k. at $\{x\}$.

Suppose $C(X)$ is c.i.k. at $\{x\}$. Let U be an open set containing x . Then $\{x\} \in N(U) \cap C(X)$, so there exists an open set $N(V) \cap C(X)$, $\{x\} \in N(V) \cap C(X) \subset N(U) \cap C(X)$, with the property that $M \in N(V) \cap C(X)$ implies $N(U) \cap C(X)$ contains a connected set containing M and $\{x\}$.

Now $x \in V \subset U$. Let $y \in V$. Then $\{y\} \in N(V) \cap C(X)$, so $N(U) \cap C(X)$ contains a connected set \mathcal{L} containing $\{y\}$ and $\{x\}$. It follows that $\bigcup \{L \mid L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is a connected subset of U containing x and y . Hence X is c.i.k. at x .

COROLLARY 5. *Let $x \in X$. If X is l.c. at x , then $C(X)$ is l.c. at $\{x\}$.*

Proof. This follows from the observation that if V is connected, then $N(V) \cap C(X)$ is connected, since each point of $(N(V) \cap C(X)) - F_1(V)$ can be joined by an order arc in $N(V) \cap C(X)$ to a point of $F_1(V)$, and $F_1(V)$ is connected.

The next example shows that the converse of Corollary 5 is false.

EXAMPLE 1. This example is from page 113 of [2]. For each positive integer n and each positive integer m let $L_{n,m}$ denote the line segment in the plane from $(1/(n+1), (-1)^{n+1}/m(n+1))$ to $(1/n, 0)$. Let $A_n = (\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} L_{n,m})^*$ and let $X = (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^*$. Then X is c.i.k. at $(0, 0)$ but is not l.c. at $(0, 0)$.

We now give a brief argument that $C(X)$ is l.c. at $\{(0, 0)\}$. For each $n \geq 2$ choose q_n, r_n , and s_n so that $1/(n+1) < q_n < r_n < 1/n < s_n < 1/(n-1)$. Let $U_n = \{(x, y) \mid x < r_n\}$ and $V_n = \{(x, y) \mid q_n < x < s_n\}$. Then $N(U_n) \cup N(U_n, V_n)$ is a continuum-wise connected open set in $C(X)$ containing $\{(0, 0)\}$, for if $M, N \in N(U_n) \cup N(U_n, V_n)$, then $M, N \subset \{(x, y) \mid x < 1/n\} \cup \{(x, 0) \mid 1/n \leq x < s_n\}$ and a continuum can be constructed in $C(X)$ containing M and N and lying in $N(U_n) \cup N(U_n, V_n)$. Clearly $\{N(U_n) \cup N(U_n, V_n) \mid n = 2, 3, \dots\}$ is a neighborhood base at $\{(0, 0)\}$.

The following definition and Lemma 2 concern the finite topology and will be used in proving our main results, in which we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions that 2^X be l.c. (c.i.k.) at an arbitrary point.

Let $A \in 2^X$. A basic open set $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is *essential with respect to A* if $A \in N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $A - \bigcup_{j \neq i} U_j \neq \emptyset$.

LEMMA 2. Let $A \in 2^X$ and $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be an open set containing A . Then there exists an open set $N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ such that $A \in N(V_1, \dots, V_m) \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ and $N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ is essential with respect to A .

Proof. Choose $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ such that $x_i \in U_i$. Let V_1, \dots, V_n be open sets such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $x_i \in V_i \subset \bigcap \{U_j \mid x_i \in U_j\}$ and with the additional property that $V_i = V_j$ if $x_i = x_j$ and $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ if $x_i \neq x_j$. Let $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ (relabeling if necessary) be the set of V_i 's which are distinct. For each $y \in A - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i$ let O_y be an open set such that $y \in O_y \subset \bigcap \{U_j \mid y \in U_j\}$ and such that $O_y \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \emptyset$. Since $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i$ is compact, there exist y_1, \dots, y_p such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^p O_{y_i}$. We may assume that all the O_{y_i} 's are distinct. Let $\{O_{y_1}, \dots, O_{y_q}\}$ (relabeling if necessary) be the subset of

$\{O_{y_1}, \dots, O_{y_p}\}$ consisting of all the O_{y_i} 's with the property that $(A - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i) - \bigcup_{j \neq i} O_{y_j} \neq \emptyset$.

For notational purposes, for each $j = 1, \dots, q$ let $O_{y_j} = V_{k+j}$ and let $k + q = m$. Then $A \in N(V_1, \dots, V_k, V_{k+1}, \dots, V_m)$. Clearly

$$N(V_1, \dots, V_k, V_{k+1}, \dots, V_m) \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n).$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, k$ there exists $x_i \in A$ such that $x_i \in V_j$ and $x_i \notin (\bigcup_{p=1}^m V_p) - V_j$. For each $j = k + 1, \dots, m$,

$$\left(A - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i\right) - \bigcup_{\substack{i=k+1 \\ i \neq j}}^m V_i \neq \emptyset,$$

so there exists $a_j \in V_j \cap (A - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i)$ such that $a_j \notin \bigcup_{i \neq j} V_i$. It follows that $N(V_1, \dots, V_m)$ is essential with respect to A .

THEOREM 4. *Let $A \in 2^X$. Then 2^X is c.i.k. at A if and only if 2^X is c.i.k. at each component of A .*

Proof. Suppose that 2^X is c.i.k. at A . Let A_1 be a component of A and let W be an open set containing A_1 . Let U be an open set such that $A_1 \subset U \subset U^* \subset W$ and such that $(\text{bd } U) \cap A = \emptyset$. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be a finite cover of $A - U$ by open sets such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $U \cap U_i = \emptyset$ and $A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \in N(U, U_1, \dots, U_n)$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a component of $N(U, U_1, \dots, U_n)$ which contains A in its interior. Define $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow N(U)$ by $f(B) = B \cap U$. If $N(V_1, \dots, V_p) \subset N(U)$, then $f^{-1}(N(V_1, \dots, V_p)) = N(V_1, \dots, V_p, U_1, \dots, U_n) \cap \mathcal{C}$, so f is continuous. Hence $f(\mathcal{C})$ is connected.

Let $N(V_1, \dots, V_q)$ be an open set such that $A \in N(V_1, \dots, V_q) \subset \mathcal{C}$. Let $\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ (relabeling if necessary) be the largest subset of $\{V_1, \dots, V_q\}$ with the property that for each $j = 1, \dots, m$, $V_j \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ (relabeling if necessary) be the largest subset of V_1, \dots, V_m with the property that for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, $V_j \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i) = \emptyset$. For each $j = 1, \dots, k$, let $V_j^1 = V_j \cap U$ and $V_j^2 = V_j \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A &\in N(V_1^1, \dots, V_k^1, V_{k+1}, \dots, V_m, V_1^2, \dots, V_k^2, V_{m+1}, \dots, V_q) \\ &= \mathcal{V} \subset N(V_1, \dots, V_q) \subset \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $B \in \mathcal{V}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(B) &= B \cap U \\ &= B \cap \left[\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j^1 \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=k+1}^m V_j \right) \right] \in N(V_1^1, \dots, V_k^1, V_{k+1}, \dots, V_m). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose $C \in N(V_1^1, \dots, V_k^1, V_{k+1}, \dots, V_m)$. For each $j = 1, \dots, k$, let $x_j \in V_j^2$ and for each $j = m + 1, \dots, q$ let $x_j \in V_j$. Then

$C \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_q\} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $f(C \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_q\}) = C \in f(\mathcal{V})$. Hence $f(\mathcal{V}) = N(V_1^i, \dots, V_k^i, V_{k+1}, \dots, V_m)$. So $f(\mathcal{C})$ contains an open set containing $A \cap U$.

Let $C = \bigcup \{f(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Then $C^* \subset U^* \subset W$. Let $C(A_i)$ be the component of C^* which contains A_i . Let $N(V_1, \dots, V_m, V_{m+1}, \dots, V_p)$ be an open set such that $A \in N(V_1, \dots, V_m, V_{m+1}, \dots, V_p) \subset \mathcal{C}$ and such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i \subset U$ and $\bigcup_{i=m+1}^p V_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$. Let $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ (relabeling if necessary) be the largest subset of $\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ with the property that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $V_i^* \cap C(A_i) = \emptyset$. (A slight modification of the following argument is necessary in the case that $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\} = \emptyset$.) Let O be an open set containing $C(A_i)$ such that $O \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i^*) = \emptyset$ and such that $(\text{bd } O) \cap C^* = \emptyset$.

Let $x \in A_i$. Suppose $x \notin \text{int } C(A_i)$. Let O_x be an open set containing x such that $O_x \subset O \cap (\bigcap \{V_i \mid x \in V_i\})$. Let $y \in O_x$ such that $y \notin C(A_i)$ and let $C(y)$ be the component of C^* which contains y . Since $(\text{bd } O) \cap C^* = \emptyset$, $C(y) \subset O$. Let O_y be an open set containing $C(y)$ such that $O_y \subset O$, $O_y \cap C(A_i) = \emptyset$, and such that $(\text{bd } O_y) \cap C^* = \emptyset$.

Now O_y , $O - O_y^*$, and $X - O^*$ are disjoint open sets, and $C^* \subset O_y \cup (O - O_y^*) \cup (X - O^*)$. Consequently the sets $N(O_y)$, $N(O - O_y^*)$, $N(X - O^*)$, $N(O_y, O - O_y^*)$, $N(O_y, X - O^*)$, $N(O - O_y^*, X - O^*)$, and $N(O_y, O - O_y^*, X - O^*)$ are pairwise disjoint, and $f(\mathcal{C})^*$ is contained in the union of these sets.

For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $x_i \in V_i$. For each $i = k + 1, \dots, m$, $C(A_i) \cap V_i^* \neq \emptyset$, and since $O - O_y^*$ is an open set containing $C(A_i)$, there exists $x_i \in O - O_y^*$ such that $x_i \in V_i$. Then $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y\} \in N(V_1, \dots, V_m) \subset f(\mathcal{C})$. Furthermore, $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \in N(O - O_y^*, X - O^*)$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y\} \in N(O_y, O - O_y^*, X - O^*)$. Hence $f(\mathcal{C})^*$ is not connected, so $f(\mathcal{C})$ is not connected, a contradiction. Thus the assumption that $x \notin \text{int } C(A_i)$ was false. It follows that $C(A_i)$ is a connected subset of C^* which contains A_i in its interior. Hence, by Theorem 1, 2^x is c.i.k. at A_i .

For the converse, suppose that 2^x is c.i.k. at each component of A . Let \mathcal{U} be an open set containing A and $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a basic open set such that $A \in N(U_1, \dots, U_n) \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n)^* \subset \mathcal{U}$. By Lemma 2 we may assume that $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is essential with respect to A . For each component A_α of A let $\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_{n_\alpha}}\}$ be the largest subset of $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ with the property that for $j = 1, \dots, n_\alpha$, $A_\alpha \cap U_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$. Then $A_\alpha \in N(U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_{n_\alpha}})$. Let $U_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} U_{i_j}$. By Theorem 1 there is a component M_α of U_α which contains A_α in its interior. For each $j = 1, \dots, n_\alpha$ let $V_j^\alpha = (\text{int } M_\alpha) \cap U_{i_j}$. Then $A_\alpha \in N(V_1^\alpha, \dots, V_{n_\alpha}^\alpha) \subset N(U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_{n_\alpha}})$.

Now $A \subset \bigcup_\alpha (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} V_j^\alpha)$ and since A is compact there exists a finite subcover of A of the form $\bigcup_{i=1}^m (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_i}} V_j^{\alpha_i})$. Then

$$A \in N(V_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_1}}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_1^{\alpha_m}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_m}}^{\alpha_m}) \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n).$$

The last inclusion follows from the construction and the fact that $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is essential with respect to A . Let $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_{\alpha_i}^*$. Then $M \in N(U_1, \dots, U_n)^*$.

Let $B \in N(V_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_1}}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_1^{\alpha_m}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_m}}^{\alpha_m})$. Note that $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (B \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_j}} V_j^{\alpha_j*})$. Now $B \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_1}} V_j^{\alpha_1*}) \subset M_{\alpha_1}^*$, so there exists an order arc \mathcal{B}_{α_1} from $B \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_1}} V_j^{\alpha_1*})$ to $M_{\alpha_1}^*$. Define $f_1: \mathcal{B}_{\alpha_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ by $f_1(C) = C \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^m (B \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_j}} V_j^{\alpha_j*}))$. Since union is continuous, $f_1(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_1})$ is connected, and $B, M_{\alpha_1}^* \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^m (B \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_j}} V_j^{\alpha_j*})) \in f_1(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_1})$. For each $i = 2, \dots, m$, there exists an order arc \mathcal{B}_{α_i} from $B \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_i}} V_j^{\alpha_i*})$ to $M_{\alpha_i}^*$. For each $i = 2, \dots, m-1$, define $f_i(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ by

$$f_i(C) = \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} M_{\alpha_k}^* \right) \cup C \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=i+1}^m \left(B \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_{\alpha_k}} V_k^{\alpha_k*} \right) \right).$$

Then $f_i(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_i})$ is a connected subset of \mathcal{U} containing $(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} M_{\alpha_k}^*) \cup (\bigcup_{k=i}^m (B \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_k}} V_j^{\alpha_k*}))$ and $(\bigcup_{k=1}^i M_{\alpha_k}^*) \cup (\bigcup_{k=i+1}^m (B \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_k}} V_j^{\alpha_k*}))$. Define $f_m(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_m}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ by $f_m(C) = (\bigcup_{k=1}^{m-1} M_{\alpha_k}^*) \cup C$. Then $f_m(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_m})$ is a connected subset of \mathcal{U} containing $(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m-1} M_{\alpha_k}^*) \cup (B \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_m}} V_j^{\alpha_m*})$ and M . Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^m f_i(\mathcal{B}_{\alpha_i})$ is a connected subset of \mathcal{U} containing B and M . It follows that 2^X is c.i.k. at A .

THEOREM 5. *Let $A \in 2^X$. Then 2^X is l.c. at A if and only if 2^X is l.c. at each component of A .*

Proof. Suppose that 2^X is l.c. at A . Let A_1 be a component of A and let W be an open set containing A_1 . Let U be an open set such that $A_1 \subset U \subset W$ and such that $(\text{bd } U) \cap A = \emptyset$. Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ be a finite cover of $A - U$ by open sets such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $U \cap U_i = \emptyset$ and $A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \in N(U, U_1, \dots, U_n)$.

Let \mathcal{V} be a connected open set such that $A \in \mathcal{V} \subset N(U, U_1, \dots, U_n)$. Define $f: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow N(U)$ by $f(B) = B \cap U$. An argument similar to the one used in Theorem 5 will establish that f is both continuous and open. Hence $f(\mathcal{V})$ is connected and open.

Let $V = \bigcup \{f(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Then $V \subset U$. Let $Q(A_1)$ be the quasicomponent of V which contains A_1 and let $x \in Q(A_1)$. Let $B \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in f(B)$. Then there exists an open set $N(V_1, \dots, V_m, V_{m+1}, \dots, V_p)$ such that $B \in N(V_1, \dots, V_m, V_{m+1}, \dots, V_p) \subset N(V_1^*, \dots, V_m^*, V_{m+1}, \dots, V_p) \subset \mathcal{V}$ and such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i^* \subset U$ and $\bigcup_{i=m+1}^p V_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$. Let $\{V_i, \dots, V_k\}$ be the largest subset of $\{V_1, \dots, V_m\}$ with the property that for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $V_i^* \cap Q(A_1) = \emptyset$. (A slight modification of the following argument is necessary in the case that

$\{V_1, \dots, V_k\} = \emptyset$.) Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i^*$ is compact, there exist disjoint open-closed sets S and T such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i^* \subset S$, $Q(A_1) \subset T$ and $S \cup T = V$.

Suppose $x \notin \text{int } Q(A_1)$. Let O be an open set containing x such that $O \subset T \cap (\bigcap \{V_i \mid x \in V_i\})$. Let $y \in O$ such that $y \notin Q(A_1)$. Then there exist disjoint open-closed sets T' and T'' such that $Q(A_1) \subset T'$, $y \in T''$, and $T' \cup T'' = T$.

Now T' , T'' , and S are disjoint open sets whose union is V . Consequently the sets $N(T')$, $N(T'')$, $N(S)$, $N(T', T'')$, $N(T', S)$, $N(T'', S)$, and $N(T', T'', S)$ are pairwise disjoint and $f(\mathcal{V})$ is contained in the union of these sets.

For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $x_i \in V_i$. For each $i = k+1, \dots, m$, $Q(A_1) \cap V_i^* \neq \emptyset$, and since T' is an open set containing $Q(A_1)$, there exists $x_i \in T'$ such that $x_i \in V_i$. Then

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \{x_1, \dots, x_m, y\} \in N(V_1, \dots, V_m) \subset f(\mathcal{V}).$$

Furthermore, $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \in N(T', S)$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y\} \in N(T', T'', S)$. Hence $f(\mathcal{V})$ is not connected, a contradiction, so the assumption that $x \notin \text{int } Q(A_1)$ was false.

We have now established that $Q(A_1)$ is open. So $Q(A_1)$ and $V - Q(A_1)$ are disjoint open-closed subsets of V . If $Q(A_1)$ were not connected, there would exist a proper open-closed subset of $Q(A_1)$ (and hence of V) containing A_1 , which is impossible. It follows that $Q(A_1)$ is an open connected subset of V containing A_1 . Hence, by Theorem 2, 2^x is l.c. at A .

For the converse, suppose that 2^x is l.c. at each component of A . Let $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ be a basic open set containing A . By Lemma 2 we may assume that $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is essential with respect to A . For each component A_α of A let $\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_{n_\alpha}}\}$ be the largest subset of $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ with the property that for each $j = 1, \dots, n_\alpha$, $U_{i_j} \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Then $A_\alpha \in N(U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_{n_\alpha}})$. Let $U_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} U_{i_j}$. By Theorem 2 there is a connected open set V_α such that $A_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$. For each $j = 1, \dots, n_\alpha$ let $V_j^\alpha = V_\alpha \cap U_{i_j}$. Then

$$A_\alpha \in N(V_1^\alpha, \dots, V_{n_\alpha}^\alpha) \subset N(U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_{n_\alpha}})$$

and by Lemma 1, $N(V_1^\alpha, \dots, V_{n_\alpha}^\alpha)$ is connected. Now $A \subset \bigcup_\alpha (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_\alpha} V_j^\alpha)$, and since A is compact, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_i}} V_j^{\alpha_i})$. Then

$$A \in N(V_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_1}}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, V_1^{\alpha_m}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_m}}^{\alpha_m}) = \mathcal{V} \subset N(U_1, \dots, U_n).$$

The last inclusion follows from the construction and the fact that $N(U_1, \dots, U_n)$ is essential with respect to A .

Let $B, C \in \mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ and for and $i = 1, \dots, m$ let $B_i = B \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_i}} V_j^{\alpha_i})$ and $C_i = C \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{\alpha_i}} V_j^{\alpha_i})$. Then $B_i, C_i \in N(V_1^{\alpha_i}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_i}}^{\alpha_i}) \cap F(X)$. As in the proof of Theorem 2, for each $i = 1, \dots, m$ there exists a connected set \mathcal{L}_i in $N(V_1^{\alpha_i}, \dots, V_{n_{\alpha_i}}^{\alpha_i}) \cap F(X)$ which contains B_i and C_i . Define $f_1: \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ by $f_1(D) = D \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^m B_i)$. Since f_1 is continuous, $f_1(\mathcal{L}_1)$ is a connected subset of $\mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ containing B and $C_1 \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^m B_i)$. For each $i = 2, \dots, m-1$ define $f_i: \mathcal{L}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ by $f_i(D) = (\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} C_k) \cup D \cup (\bigcup_{k=i+1}^m B_k)$. Then $f_i(\mathcal{L}_i)$ is a connected subset of $\mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ containing $(\bigcup_{k=1}^{i-1} C_k) \cup (\bigcup_{k=i}^m B_k)$ and $(\bigcup_{k=1}^i C_k) \cup (\bigcup_{k=i+1}^m B_k)$. Define $f_m: \mathcal{L}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ by $f_m(D) = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} C_i) \cup D$. Then $f_m(\mathcal{L}_m)$ is a connected subset of $\mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ containing $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m-1} C_i) \cup B_m$ and C . Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^m f_i(\mathcal{L}_i)$ is a connected subset of $\mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ containing B and C . It follows that $\mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ is connected, and since $\mathcal{V} \cap F(X)$ is dense in \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{V} is connected. Hence 2^X is l.c. at A .

COROLLARY 6. *Let $A \in 2^X$. If X is c.i.k. (l.c.) at each point of A , then 2^X is c.i.k. (l.c.) at A .*

The converses of Corollary 6 are false. It is easy to verify (see Lemma 2 of [1]) that for any continuum X , 2^X is l.c. at X .

COROLLARY 7. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, X is c.i.k. (l.c.) at p_i .*
- (2) *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, 2^X is c.i.k. (l.c.) at $\{p_i\}$.*
- (3) *2^X is c.i.k. (l.c.) at $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.*

REFERENCES

1. J. T. Goodykoontz, Jr., *Aposyndetic properties of hyperspaces*, Pacific J. Math., **47** (1973), 91-98.
2. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Reading, Mass., 1961.
3. J. L. Kelley, *Hyperspaces of a continuum*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1942), 23-36.
4. K. Kuratowski, *Topology II*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1968.
5. E. Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1951), 152-182.
6. J. T. Rogers, Jr., *The cone equals hyperspace property*, Canad. J. Math., **24** (1972), 279-285.
7. M. Wojdyslawski, *Retracts absolus et hyperespaces des continus*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, **32** (1939), 184-192.

Received April 30, 1973.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific of Journal Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Kenneth Abernethy, <i>On characterizing certain classes of first countable spaces by open mappings</i>	319
Ross A. Beaumont and Donald Lawver, <i>Strongly semisimple abelian groups</i>	327
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and parallel bodies</i>	337
Victor P. Camillo and Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>On Loewy length of rings</i>	347
Stephen LaVern Campbell, <i>Linear operators for which T^*T and TT^* commute.</i> <i>II</i>	355
Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Philip Wesley Smith, <i>Characterization of a function by certain infinite series it generates</i>	363
Allan L. Edelson, <i>Conjugations on stably almost complex manifolds</i>	373
Patrick John Fleury, <i>Hollow modules and local endomorphism rings</i>	379
Jack Tilden Goodykoontz, Jr., <i>Connectedness in kleinen and local connectedness in 2^X and $C(X)$</i>	387
Robert Edward Jamison, II, <i>Functional representation of algebraic intervals</i>	399
Athanassios G. Kartsatos, <i>Nonzero solutions to boundary value problems for nonlinear systems</i>	425
Soon-Kyu Kim, Dennis McGavran and Jingyal Pak, <i>Torus group actions on simply connected manifolds</i>	435
David Anthony Klarner and R. Rado, <i>Arithmetic properties of certain recursively defined sets</i>	445
Ray Alden Kunze, <i>On the Frobenius reciprocity theorem for square-integrable representations</i>	465
John Lagnese, <i>Existence, uniqueness and limiting behavior of solutions of a class of differential equations in Banach space</i>	473
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>A fixed point theorem for families on nonexpansive mappings</i> ...	487
Lewis Lum, <i>A quasi order characterization of smooth continua</i>	495
Andy R. Magid, <i>Principal homogeneous spaces and Galois extensions</i>	501
Charles Alan McCarthy, <i>The norm of a certain derivation</i>	515
Louise Elizabeth Moser, <i>On the impossibility of obtaining $S^2 \times S^1$ by elementary surgery along a knot</i>	519
Gordon L. Nipp, <i>Quaternion orders associated with ternary lattices</i>	525
Anthony G. O'Farrell, <i>Equiconvergence of derivations</i>	539
Dorte Olesen, <i>Derivations of AW^*-algebras are inner</i>	555
Dorte Olesen and Gert Kjærgaard Pedersen, <i>Derivations of C^*-algebras have semi-continuous generators</i>	563
Duane O'Neill, <i>On conjugation cobordism</i>	573
Chull Park and S. R. Paranjape, <i>Probabilities of Wiener paths crossing differentiable curves</i>	579
Edward Ralph Rozema, <i>Almost Chebyshev subspaces of $L^1(\mu; E)$</i>	585
Lesley Millman Sibner and Robert Jules Sibner, <i>A note on the Atiyah-Bott fixed point formula</i>	605
Betty Salzberg Stark, <i>Irreducible subgroups of orthogonal groups generated by groups of root type 1</i>	611
N. Stavrakas, <i>A note on starshaped sets, (k)-extreme points and the half ray property</i>	627
Carl E. Swenson, <i>Direct sum subset decompositions of Z</i>	629
Stephen Tefteller, <i>A two-point boundary problem for nonhomogeneous second order differential equations</i>	635
Robert S. Wilson, <i>Representations of finite rings</i>	643