

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE OF CERTAIN FUNCTORS**

BRIAN KIRKWOOD SCHMIDT

# ON THE HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE OF CERTAIN FUNCTORS

BRIAN K. SCHMIDT

A functor from the category of topological spaces to the category of groups is said to be homotopy invariant if it carries homotopic mappings to the same mapping. It is well known, for example, that the homology and homotopy functors are homotopy invariant. On the other hand, the functor which takes each topological space  $M$  to the free abelian group generated by the points of  $M$  is not homotopy invariant. It will be shown that a functor which is not homotopy invariant must take topological spaces to groups which are very "large". For example, the homology groups of a simplicial complex are finitely generated, while the free abelian group generated by the points of a typical simplicial complex is uncountably generated. Among other results, it will be shown that every functor from simplicial complexes to finitely generated groups is homotopy invariant.

## Notation.

1. Throughout this paper  $\mathfrak{S}$  will denote the category of sets and  $\mathfrak{Top}$  will denote the category of topological spaces. We will denote by  $\mathfrak{S}$  the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Top}$  whose objects are simplicial complexes. The closed interval  $[0, 1]$  on the real line will be denoted  $I$ , and the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Top}$  whose only object is  $I$  will be denoted  $\mathfrak{I}$ .  $\mathfrak{G}$  will denote an arbitrary category.

We will use the word "functor" to mean a covariant functor and the word "cofunctor" to mean a contravariant functor. This allows us to say, for example, that homology is a functor and cohomology is a cofunctor. Thus a functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  assigns to the object  $I$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  an object  $\Omega(I)$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  and to each continuous mapping  $f: I \rightarrow I$  a morphism  $\Omega(f): \Omega(I) \rightarrow \Omega(I)$  in such a way that composition and identity morphisms are preserved.

## Fundamentals.

2. For each  $x \in I$ , let  $k_x$  be the constant mapping from  $I$  to  $I$  which takes every element of  $I$  to  $x$ .

3. THEOREM. *Let  $\Omega: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  be a functor. If there exist distinct continuous mappings  $f, g: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$ , then there exist distinct  $x, y \in I$  such that  $\Omega(k_x) = \Omega(k_y)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f \neq g$ , there exists  $z \in I$  such that  $f(z) \neq g(z)$ . Let  $x = f(z)$  and  $y = g(z)$ . Then  $\Omega(k_x) = \Omega(k_{f(z)}) = \Omega(fk_z) = \Omega(f)\Omega(k_z) = \Omega(g)\Omega(k_z) = \Omega(gk_z) = \Omega(k_{g(z)}) = \Omega(k_y)$ .

4. THEOREM. Let  $\Omega: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  be a functor. If there exist distinct  $x, y \in I$  such that  $\Omega(k_x) = \Omega(k_y)$ , then  $\Omega(k_0) = \Omega(k_1)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that there exists a continuous mapping  $f: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(y) = 1$ . So  $\Omega(k_0) = \Omega(k_{f(x)}) = \Omega(fk_x) = \Omega(f)\Omega(k_x) = \Omega(f)\Omega(k_y) = \Omega(fk_y) = \Omega(k_{f(y)}) = \Omega(k_1)$ .

5. THEOREM. If  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a category such that every functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  satisfies  $\Omega(k_0) = \Omega(k_1)$ , then every functor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.

*Proof.* Let  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  be homotopic mappings in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Define  $j_0: M \rightarrow M \times I$  by  $j_0(x) = (x, 0)$ , and define  $j_1: M \rightarrow M \times I$  by  $j_1(x) = (x, 1)$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic, there exists a continuous mapping  $h: M \times I \rightarrow N$  such that  $f = hj_0$  and  $g = hj_1$ .

Define the functor  $\Pi: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  by letting  $\Pi(I)$  equal  $M \times I$  and, for each continuous mapping  $d: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $\Pi(d)$  equal the mapping from  $M \times I$  to  $M \times I$  which takes  $(x, y)$  to  $(x, d(y))$ . It is easy to verify that  $\Pi(k_0)j_0 = j_0$  and  $\Pi(k_1)j_0 = j_1$ . And  $\Delta\Pi: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is a functor, so  $\Delta\Pi(k_0) = \Delta\Pi(k_1)$ . Thus  $\Delta(f) = \Delta(hj_0) = \Delta(h)\Delta(j_0) = \Delta(h)\Delta(\Pi(k_0)j_0) = \Delta(h)\Delta\Pi(k_0)\Delta(j_0) = \Delta(h)\Delta\Pi(k_1)\Delta(j_0) = \Delta(h)\Delta(\Pi(k_1)j_0) = \Delta(h)\Delta(j_1) = \Delta(hj_1) = \Delta(g)$ .

6. THEOREM. If for every functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  there exist distinct continuous mappings  $f, g: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$ , then every functor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.

*Proof.* Combine Theorems 3, 4, and 5.

7. All of our results on homotopy invariance will be based on Theorem 6. To get these results, we must find methods of showing that for certain categories  $\mathfrak{G}$ , every functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  takes two distinct continuous mappings to the same morphism. We will present several methods, each applicable to a certain type of category. Our first method is quite simple.

### First Approach — Functors.

8. THEOREM. Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a category such that for every object

*$G$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  the set of morphisms from  $G$  to  $G$  is countable. Then every functor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.*

*Proof.* Consider any functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ . The set of morphisms from  $\Omega(I)$  to  $\Omega(I)$  is countable, but the set of continuous mappings from  $I$  to  $I$  is uncountable. Hence there exist distinct continuous mappings  $f, g: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$ .

9. For example, every functor from  $\mathfrak{P}$  to the category of finitely generated abelian groups must be homotopy invariant. Thus Theorem 8 constitutes a proof that the homology functors, with domain  $\mathfrak{P}$ , are homotopy invariant. This is rather surprising, since we have made very little use of the definition of homology. Similarly, every functor from  $\mathfrak{P}$  to the category of finitely generated groups must be homotopy invariant. This proves that the first homotopy functor  $\pi_1$ , with domain  $\mathfrak{P}$ , is homotopy invariant. And Theorem 8 can be applied to many other categories whose objects are finite or finitely generated in some sense.

#### First Approach — Cofunctors.

10. Now we will turn to cofunctors. In view of the fact that a cofunctor to  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the same thing as a functor to  $\mathfrak{G}^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{G}^*$  is the category dual to  $\mathfrak{G}$ , Theorems 6 and 8 may be restated to deal with cofunctors as follows:

11. THEOREM. *If for every cofunctor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  there exist distinct continuous mappings  $f, g: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$ , then every cofunctor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.*

12. THEOREM. *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a category such that for every object  $G$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  the set of morphisms from  $G$  to  $G$  is countable. Then every cofunctor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.*

13. For example, every cofunctor from  $\mathfrak{P}$  to the category of finitely generated abelian groups must be homotopy invariant. This proves that the cohomology cofunctors are homotopy invariant. Here is a more unusual example. A functor or cofunctor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$  is said to be homotopy preserving if, for any two homotopic mappings  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\Delta(f)$  is homotopic to  $\Delta(g)$ .

14. THEOREM. *Every functor or cofunctor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$  is homotopy preserving.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{h}$  denote the category whose objects are simplicial

complexes and whose morphisms are homotopy classes of continuous mappings. Let  $\Phi: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ph}$  be the functor given by  $\Phi(M) = (M)$  and  $\Phi(f) =$  the homotopy class of  $f$ . Given any simplicial complex  $M$ , the simplicial approximation theorem says that every continuous mapping from  $M$  to  $M$  is homotopic to a simplicial mapping. And the set of simplicial mappings from  $M$  to  $M$  is obviously countable. Hence the set of morphisms from  $M$  to  $M$  in  $\mathfrak{Ph}$  is countable. Thus, by Theorems 8 and 12, every functor or cofunctor from  $\mathfrak{P}$  to  $\mathfrak{Ph}$  is homotopy invariant. In particular,  $\Phi\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ph}$  is homotopy invariant. And this says precisely that  $\Delta$  is homotopy preserving.

### Generalizations.

15. We will say that a category  $\mathfrak{T}$  is "admissible" if Theorems 6, 8, 11, and 12 remain true when  $\mathfrak{P}$  is replaced by  $\mathfrak{T}$ . For example, let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Top}$ . It is clear that the proofs of these theorems stand without modification provided that  $I$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$  is closed under the operation product-with- $I$ . Hence all such categories are admissible. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{T}$  may be admissible even if  $\mathfrak{T}$  is not closed under product-with- $I$  or if  $I$  is not an object of  $\mathfrak{T}$ . For if the real line  $\mathbf{R}$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$  is closed under product-with- $\mathbf{R}$ , we may replace  $I$  by  $\mathbf{R}$  in the proofs of 6, 8, 11, 12, and all preceding theorems. This does not change the meaning of homotopy: Two continuous mappings  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  are homotopic in the usual sense if and only if there exists a continuous mapping  $h: M \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow N$  such that  $h(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $h(x, 1) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ . Hence the category of topological manifolds with boundary is admissible, even though it is not closed under product-with- $I$ . And the category of topological manifolds is admissible, though it does not contain the object  $I$ . Similarly, if the circle  $S^1$  is an object of  $\mathfrak{T}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$  is closed under product-with- $S^1$ , then  $\mathfrak{T}$  is admissible. Hence the category of compact topological manifolds and the category of compact topological manifolds with boundary are admissible. In general, given a topological space  $H$ , distinct points  $y, z \in H$ , and continuous mappings  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$ , we say that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $(H, y, z)$ -homotopic if there exists a continuous mapping  $h: M \times H \rightarrow N$  such that  $h(x, y) = f(x)$  and  $h(x, z) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ . It is not hard to verify that  $(H, y, z)$ -homotopy is equivalent to ordinary homotopy if

(a) there exist continuous mappings  $i: I \rightarrow H, j: H \rightarrow I$  such that  $i(0) = y, i(1) = z, j(y) = 0$ , and  $j(z) = 1$ .

And the proof of Theorem 4 remains valid if

(b) given distinct  $s, t \in H$ , there exists a continuous mapping  $m: H \rightarrow H$  such that  $m(s) = y$  and  $m(t) = z$ .

Thus, if (a) and (b) are satisfied, every category  $\mathfrak{T}$  which contains

$H$  and is closed under product-with- $H$  is admissible.

16. There are some admissible subcategories of  $\mathfrak{Top}$  which are not full. Consider, for example, the category of smooth manifolds. As was said in 15, two continuous mappings  $f, g: M \rightarrow N$  are homotopic if and only if there exists a continuous mapping  $h: M \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow N$  such that  $h(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $h(x, 1) = g(x)$ . And it is well known that if  $f$  and  $g$  are smooth, we may take  $h$  to be smooth. (Smooth homotopy is equivalent to continuous homotopy.) Thus, replacing  $I$  by  $\mathbf{R}$ , we find that the category of smooth manifolds is admissible. Similarly, replacing  $I$  by  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $S^1$ , we find that the following categories are admissible: smooth manifolds with boundary, compact smooth manifolds, compact smooth manifolds with boundary.

17. Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  denote the category of pairs of simplicial complexes. An object in  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is a pair  $(M_1, M_2)$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are simplicial complexes and  $M_2$  is a subset of  $M_1$ . And a morphism  $f: (M_1, M_2) \rightarrow (N_1, N_2)$  is a continuous mapping from  $M_1$  to  $N_1$  such that  $f(M_2) \subset N_2$ . We say that two morphisms  $f, g: (M_1, M_2) \rightarrow (N_1, N_2)$  are homotopic if and only if there exists a continuous mapping  $h: M_1 \times I \rightarrow N_1$  such that  $h(x, 0) = f(x)$  and  $h(x, 1) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in M$ , and  $h(M_2, y) \subset N_2$  for all  $y \in I$ . If we replace  $I$  by the pair  $(I, I)$  in the proofs of 6, 8, 11, 12, and all preceding theorems, it follows that  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is an admissible category. Likewise, the category of pairs of any category in 15 or 16 is admissible.

### A Generalization That Fails.

18. Since the cardinality of  $I$  is  $C$ , the cardinality of the continuum, one would expect the set of continuous mappings from  $I$  to  $I$  to have cardinality greater than  $C$ . But a continuous mapping from  $I$  to  $I$  is determined by its restriction to the set of rational numbers in the domain. Hence the set of continuous mappings from  $I$  to  $I$  has cardinality  $C$ . Thus the proof of Theorem 8 does not work for categories  $\mathfrak{G}$  in which the set of morphisms from  $G$  to  $G$  can have cardinality  $C$ . We will now strengthen Theorem 8 to make it work for many such categories.

### Second Approach — Functors.

19. Let  $B$  be a set, and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Ens}$  whose only object is  $B$ . Suppose there exists a functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$  only if  $f = g$ . We will prove that  $B$  is uncountable. As in 2, let  $k_x: I \rightarrow I$  be the mapping which takes every element of  $I$  to  $x$ . Let  $e_x = \Omega(k_x)$ .

20. THEOREM. For all  $x, y \in I$ ,  $e_y e_x = e_y$ .

*Proof.*  $e_y e_x = \Omega(k_y)\Omega(k_x) = \Omega(k_y k_x) = \Omega(k_y) = e_y$ .

21. COROLLARY. For all  $x \in I$ ,  $e_x e_x = e_x$ .

22. THEOREM. Consider  $b \in B$  and distinct  $x, y \in I$ . If  $e_x(b) = e_y(b)$ , then  $e_z(b) = e_x(b)$  for all  $z \in I$ .

*Proof.* Clearly there exists a continuous mapping  $f: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(x) = x$  and  $f(y) = z$ . Then  $f k_x = k_x$  and  $f k_y = k_z$ . So  $\Omega(f) e_x = \Omega(f) \Omega(k_x) = \Omega(f k_x) = \Omega(k_x) = e_x$ . Likewise,  $\Omega(f) e_y = e_z$ . Thus  $e_z(b) = \Omega(f) e_y(b) = \Omega(f) e_x(b) = e_x(b)$ .

23. Let  $B_0$  be the set of all  $b \in B$  such that  $e_x(b) = b$  for all  $x \in I$ . And let  $B_1$  be the set of all  $b \in B$  such that  $e_x(b) = b$  for exactly one  $x \in I$ .

24. COROLLARY. Consider  $b \in B$  and distinct  $x, y \in I$ . If  $e_x(b) = b$  and  $e_y(b) = b$ , then  $b \in B_0$ .

25. COROLLARY. Consider  $b \in B$  and  $x \in I$ . If  $e_x(b) = b$ , then either  $b \in B_0$  or  $b \in B_1$ .

26. THEOREM.  $B_1$  is not empty.

*Proof.* Suppose  $B_1 = \emptyset$ . Consider any  $b \in B$  and distinct  $x, y \in I$ . By Corollary 21,  $e_x(e_x(b)) = e_x(b)$ . Hence, by Corollary 25,  $e_x(b)$  is an element of either  $B_0$  or  $B_1$ . Since  $B_1 = \emptyset$ ,  $e_x(b) \in B_0$ . Thus  $e_y(e_x(b)) = e_x(b)$ . But by Theorem 20,  $e_y(e_x(b)) = e_y(b)$ . Since  $b$  was arbitrary, we have  $e_x = e_y$ , or  $\Omega(k_x) = \Omega(k_y)$ . This contradicts 19.

27. Let  $c$  be any element of  $B_1$ . Define a mapping  $\omega: I \rightarrow B$  by  $\omega(x) = e_x(c)$ .

28. THEOREM.  $\omega$  is one-to-one.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exist distinct  $x, y \in I$  such that  $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$ ; that is,  $e_x(c) = e_y(c)$ . Then Theorem 22 says that  $e_z(c)$  must be the same element of  $B$  for all  $z \in I$ . But there is exactly one  $z \in I$  such that  $e_z(c) = c$ , since  $c \in B_1$ . This is a contradiction.

29. COROLLARY. If  $B$  is a set as in 19, then  $B$  is uncountable.

30. THEOREM. Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Cns}$  in which every

*object is countable. Then every functor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.*

*Proof.* Consider any functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $B = \Omega(I)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Gns}$  whose only object is  $B$ . We may view  $\Omega$  as a functor from  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Since  $B$  is countable, Corollary 29 says that there exist distinct continuous mappings  $f, g: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$ . Hence, by Theorem 6, every functor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.

31. For example, every functor from  $\mathfrak{P}$  to the category of countable abelian groups must be homotopy invariant. This proves that the  $n$ th homotopy functor  $\pi_n$ , with domain  $\mathfrak{P}$ , is homotopy invariant. Similarly, every functor from  $\mathfrak{P}$  to the category of countable rings must be homotopy invariant.

32. For completeness, we will prove two more theorems at this point. Let  $B, \mathfrak{B}$ , and  $\Omega$  be as in 19.

33. THEOREM.  $\omega(x) \in B_1$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

*Proof.* For any  $y \in I$ ,  $e_y \omega(x) = e_y e_x(c) = e_y(c) = \omega(y)$ . Thus  $e_y \omega(x) = \omega(x)$  precisely when  $y = x$ .

34. THEOREM. For any continuous mapping  $f: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $\Omega(f)\omega = \omega f$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in I$ ,  $\Omega(f)\omega(x) = \Omega(f)e_x(c) = \Omega(f)\Omega(k_x)(c) = (fk_x)(c) = \Omega(k_{f(x)})(c) = e_{f(x)}(c) = \omega f(x)$ .

35. This theorem asserts the naturality of  $\omega$ . Strictly speaking, it says that  $\omega$  is a natural transformation from the forgetful functor (from  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $\mathfrak{Gns}$ ) to  $\Omega$  (viewed as a functor from  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $\mathfrak{Gns}$ ). [1].

## Second Approach — Cofunctors.

36. Now we would like to prove that Theorem 30 remains true if  $\Delta$  is a cofunctor instead of a functor. Unfortunately, the proof of Theorem 30 relies on the construction of a mapping  $\omega: I \rightarrow B$ , and this construction does not work for cofunctors. Hence we must take a slightly different approach.

37. As before, let  $B$  be a set and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Gns}$  whose only object is  $B$ . Suppose there exists a cofunctor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$  only if  $f = g$ .

38. Recall that  $I$  is a linearly ordered set. Given  $x, y \in I$ , let  $p_x(y)$  denote the smaller of  $x$  and  $y$ . Then  $p_x: I \rightarrow I$  is a continuous mapping. And let  $\mathfrak{Aut}_+$  denote the set of all continuous mappings  $f: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $f^{-1}: I \rightarrow I$  exists ( $f^{-1}f$  and  $ff^{-1}$  are the identity) and such that  $x < y$  implies  $f(x) < f(y)$ . It is easy to verify that:

39. If  $x \leq y$ , then  $p_x p_y = p_x$  and  $p_y p_x = p_x$ .

40. Given  $x \in I$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{Aut}_+$ ,  $f p_x f^{-1} = p_{f(x)}$ .

Let  $q_x = \Omega(p_x)$ .

41. THEOREM. If  $x \leq y$ , then  $q_x q_y = q_x$  and  $q_y q_x = q_x$ .

*Proof.* Using 39, we have  $q_x q_y = \Omega(p_x) \Omega(p_y) = \Omega(p_y p_x) = \Omega(p_x) = q_x$ . Likewise  $q_y q_x = \Omega(p_y) \Omega(p_x) = \Omega(p_x p_y) = \Omega(p_x) = q_x$ .

42. THEOREM. Given  $x \in I$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{Aut}_+$ ,  $\Omega(f^{-1}) q_x \Omega(f) = q_{f(x)}$ .

*Proof.* Using 40, we have

$$\Omega(f^{-1}) q_x \Omega(f) = \Omega(f^{-1}) \Omega(p_x) \Omega(f) = \Omega(f p_x f^{-1}) = \Omega(p_{f(x)}) = q_{f(x)}.$$

43. THEOREM. For any  $f \in \mathfrak{Aut}_+$ ,  $\Omega(f^{-1}) = [\Omega(f)]^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $1_I$  and  $1_B$  denote the identity mappings on  $I$  and  $B$  respectively. Then  $\Omega(f) \Omega(f^{-1}) = \Omega(f^{-1} f) = \Omega(1_I) = 1_B$ . Likewise  $\Omega(f^{-1}) \Omega(f) = \Omega(ff^{-1}) = \Omega(1_I) = 1_B$ .

44. Define a mapping  $\lambda: B \rightarrow I$  by letting  $\lambda(b)$  equal the greatest lower bound in  $I$  of  $\{x \in I \mid q_x(b) = b\}$ . Note that we do not know whether  $q_{\lambda(b)}(b) = b$ . But we can at least say the following.

45. THEOREM. Consider  $x \in I$  and  $b \in B$  with  $x \neq \lambda(b)$ . Then  $\lambda(b) < x$  if and only if  $q_x(b) = b$ .

*Proof.* If  $q_x(b) = b$ , it is obvious that  $\lambda(b) < x$ . Conversely, if  $\lambda(b) < x$ , there exists  $w \in I$  such that  $\lambda(b) \leq w < x$  and  $q_w(b) = b$ . By Theorem 41,  $q_x q_w = q_w$ . Thus  $q_x q_w(b) = q_w(b)$ , which says that  $q_x(b) = b$ .

46. THEOREM. For any  $f \in \mathfrak{Aut}_+$ ,  $f^{-1} \lambda = \lambda \Omega(f)$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $x \in I$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $x$  is not equal to  $f^{-1} \lambda(b)$  or  $\lambda \Omega(f)(b)$ . It suffices to show that  $f^{-1} \lambda(b) < x$  if and only if  $\lambda \Omega(f)(b) < x$ . Our approach will be the following.

$$\begin{array}{c}
f^{-1}\lambda(b) < x \\
\Updownarrow \text{ (i)} \\
\lambda(b) < f(x) \\
\Updownarrow \text{ (ii)} \\
q_{f(x)}(b) = b \\
\Updownarrow \text{ (iii)} \\
\Omega(f^{-1})q_x\Omega(f)(b) = b \\
\Updownarrow \text{ (iv)} \\
q_x\Omega(f)(b) = \Omega(f)(b) \\
\Updownarrow \text{ (v)} \\
\lambda\Omega(f)(b) < x .
\end{array}$$

(i) follows from the fact that  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  belong to  $\mathfrak{Aut}_+$ . Note that, since  $x \neq f^{-1}\lambda(b)$ , we have  $f(x) \neq \lambda(b)$ . Thus (ii) follows from Theorem 45. (iii) follows from Theorem 42, and (iv) follows from Theorem 43. And, since we have assumed that  $x \neq \lambda\Omega(f)(b)$ , (v) follows from Theorem 45.

47. This theorem asserts a kind of naturality of  $\lambda$ . Viewing  $\mathfrak{Aut}_+$  as a category whose only object is  $I$ , we may define a cofunctor  $A: \mathfrak{Aut}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{Ens}$  by letting  $A(I) = I$  and  $A(f) = f^{-1}$ . Then  $\lambda$  is a natural transformation from  $\Omega$  (viewed as a cofunctor from  $\mathfrak{Aut}_+$  to  $\mathfrak{Ens}$ ) to  $A$ . Note that this kind of naturality is much more restricted than that of Theorem 34.

48. THEOREM. *There exists  $c \in B$  such that  $0 < \lambda(c) < 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there is no such  $c$ . By Theorem 41,  $q_{1/2}(q_{1/2}(b)) = q_{1/2}(b)$  for all  $b \in B$ . Thus by Theorem 45,  $\lambda q_{1/2}(b) \leq 1/2$ . So  $\lambda q_{1/2}(b)$  must equal 0. Therefore, by 45 again,  $q_{1/4}(q_{1/2}(b)) = q_{1/2}(b)$  for all  $b \in B$ . But, by Theorem 41,  $q_{1/4}(q_{1/2}(b)) = q_{1/4}(b)$  for all  $b \in B$ . So  $q_{1/2} = q_{1/4}$ , which says that  $\Omega(p_{1/2}) = \Omega(p_{1/4})$ . This contradicts 37.

49. THEOREM.  *$\lambda$  maps  $B$  onto the interior of  $I$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 48, there exists  $c \in B$  with  $0 < \lambda(c) < 1$ . Given any  $x \in I$  with  $0 < x < 1$ , there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{Aut}_+$  such that  $f^{-1}\lambda(c) = x$ . Thus, by Theorem 46,  $\lambda(\Omega(f)(c)) = x$ .

50. COROLLARY. *If  $B$  is a set as in 37, then  $B$  is uncountable.*

51. THEOREM. *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathfrak{Cns}$  in which every object is countable. Then every cofunctor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  is homotopy invariant.*

*Proof.* Corollary 50 says that for every cofunctor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$  there exist distinct continuous mappings  $f, g: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$ . Hence the assertion follows by Theorem 11.

### Generalizations.

52. In Theorem 30,  $\mathfrak{P}$  may be replaced by any of the categories named in 15, 16 or 17. If we replace  $I$  by a space  $H$  as in 15, the proof of Theorem 22 requires that  $H$  satisfy the added condition that

(c) given distinct  $s, t \in H$  and any  $u \in H$ , there exists a continuous mapping  $m: H \rightarrow H$  such that  $m(s) = s$  and  $m(t) = u$ .

This is satisfied by  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $S^1$ , and many other spaces.

In Theorem 51,  $\mathfrak{P}$  may be replaced by any of the categories named in 15 or 17. We may replace  $I$  by  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $S^1$  as in 15, but our construction of  $\lambda$  makes it difficult to replace  $I$  by anything more general. Likewise, the construction of  $\lambda$  rules out the categories in 16, which admit only smooth mappings. This happens because the mappings  $p_x: I \rightarrow I$  have no smooth analog.

53. Note that the proof of Theorem 51 is very symmetrical. In particular, this proof can be dualized to give a proof of Theorem 30. But the proof we have given of Theorem 30 is simpler and more general, in that it applies to smooth structures as well as topological structures.

54. There is one important category  $\mathfrak{G}$  to which Theorems 30 and 51 do not apply: the category  $\mathfrak{B}_K^a$  of countable dimensional vector spaces over a field  $K$ . The problem is that a countable dimensional vector space (or even a finite dimensional vector space) may have an uncountable number of elements. It will now be shown that Theorems 30 and 51 remain true when  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{B}_K^a$ .

55. THEOREM. *Every functor  $\Delta: \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_K^a$  is homotopy invariant.*

*Proof.* We will proceed as in Theorems 20–29. Let  $B$  be a vector space over  $K$ , and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the full subcategory of the category of vector spaces over  $K$  whose only object is  $B$ . If there exists a functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$  only if  $f = g$ , then there is a one-to-one mapping  $\omega: I \rightarrow B$  such that  $\Omega(f)\omega = \omega f$  for all continuous mappings  $f: I \rightarrow I$ . Suppose that the image of  $\omega$  is a linearly dependent

set. Then there exists an element  $x \in I$ , a finite set  $Y \subset I - \{x\}$ , and scalars  $a_y \in K$  indexed by  $y \in Y$  such that  $\omega(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} a_y \omega(y)$ . It is easy to construct a continuous mapping  $f: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $f(y) = y$  for all  $y \in Y$  and  $f(x) \neq x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(f(x)) &= \Omega(f)\omega(x) = \Omega(f)\left(\sum_{y \in Y} a_y \omega(y)\right) = \sum_{y \in Y} a_y \Omega(f)\omega(y) \\ &= \sum_{y \in Y} a_y \omega(f(y)) = \sum_{y \in Y} a_y \omega(y) = \omega(x).\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, since  $\omega$  is one-to-one. Hence the image of  $\omega$  is a linearly independent set. And the image of  $\omega$  is uncountable, so it follows that the dimension of  $B$  is uncountable. Thus for every functor  $\Omega: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_K^\sigma$  there exist distinct continuous mappings  $f, g: I \rightarrow I$  such that  $\Omega(f) = \Omega(g)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 6, every functor  $\mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_K^\sigma$  is homotopy invariant.

56. THEOREM. *Every cofunctor  $\mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_K^\sigma$  is homotopy invariant.*

*Proof.* This is analogous to the proof of Theorem 55. Proceed as in Theorems 41–50, and use naturality of  $\lambda$ .

57. Note that we may replace  $\mathfrak{B}_K^\sigma$  by the category  $\mathfrak{A}_K^\sigma$  of countable dimensional algebras over  $K$  in Theorems 55 and 56, because every functor  $\mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_K^\sigma$  can be viewed as a functor from  $\mathfrak{F}$  to  $\mathfrak{B}_K^\sigma$ .

### Conclusion.

- List A: simplicial complexes
  - topological manifolds
  - topological manifolds with boundary
  - compact topological manifolds
  - compact topological manifolds with boundary
  - pairs in any category above
- List B: smooth manifolds
  - smooth manifolds with boundary
  - compact smooth manifolds
  - compact smooth manifolds with boundary
  - pairs in any category above
- List C: finitely generated abelian groups
  - finitely generated groups
  - finitely generated rings
- List D: countable abelian groups
  - countable groups
  - countable rings

countable dimensional vector spaces over a field  $K$   
countable dimensional algebras over a field  $K$ .

58. We have shown that every functor from a category in List A or B to a category in List C or D is homotopy invariant. And every cofunctor from a category in List A to a category in List C or D, or from a category in List B to a category in List C, is homotopy invariant. And these results can be extended to many other categories by the methods developed herein. Some questions that remain are:

1. Is every cofunctor from smooth manifolds to countable groups homotopy invariant?
2. For which rings  $R$  can the category of countably generated  $R$ -modules be placed in List D?

#### REFERENCES

1. Peter Freyd, *Abelian Categories*, Harper and Row, 1964.
2. John W. Keesee, *On the homotopy axiom*, Ann. of Math., (2) **54** (1951), 247-249.
3. James R. Munkres, *Elementary Differential Topology*, Princeton University Press, 1966.
4. Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1966.

Received February 21, 1973.

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Ralph K Amayo, <i>Engel Lie rings with chain conditions</i> .....	1
Bernd Anger and Jörn Lembcke, <i>Hahn-Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals on preordered topological vector spaces</i> .....	13
Gregory Frank Bachelis and Samuel Ebenstein, <i>On <math>\Lambda(p)</math> sets</i> .....	35
Harvey Isaac Blau, <i>Indecomposable modules for direct products of finite groups</i> .....	39
Larry Eugene Bobisud and James Calvert, <i>Singular perturbation of a time-dependent Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space</i> .....	45
Walter D. Burgess and Robert Raphael, <i>Abian's order relation and orthogonal completions for reduced rings</i> .....	55
James Diederich, <i>Representation of superharmonic functions mean continuous at the boundary of the unit ball</i> .....	65
Aad Dijkma and Hendrik S. V. de Snoo, <i>Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric subspaces</i> .....	71
Gustave Adam Efroymson, <i>A Nullstellensatz for Nash rings</i> .....	101
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions onto compact orientable surfaces</i> .....	113
John Douglas Faires, <i>Comparison of the states of closed linear transformations</i> .....	123
Joe Wayne Fisher and Robert L. Snider, <i>On the von Neumann regularity of rings with regular prime factor rings</i> .....	135
Franklin Takashi Iha, <i>A unified approach to boundary value problems on compact intervals</i> .....	145
Palaniappan L. Kannappan and Che Tat Ng, <i>On functional equations connected with directed divergence, inaccuracy and generalized directed divergence</i> .....	157
Samir A. Khabbaz and Elias Hanna Toubassi, <i>The module structure of <math>\text{Ext}(F, T)</math> over the endomorphism ring of <math>T</math></i> .....	169
Garo K. Kiremidjian, <i>On deformations of complex compact manifolds with boundary</i> .....	177
Dimitri Koutroufiotis, <i>Mappings by parallel normals preserving principal directions</i> .....	191
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian adjoint group</i> .....	201
Norman R. Reilly, <i>Extension of congruences and homomorphisms to translational hulls</i> .....	209
Sadahiro Saeki, <i>Symmetric maximal ideals in <math>M(G)</math></i> .....	229
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>On the homotopy invariance of certain functors</i> .....	245
H. J. Shyr and T. M. Viswanathan, <i>On the radicals of lattice-ordered rings</i> .....	257
Indranand Sinha, <i>Certain representations of infinite group algebras</i> .....	261
David Smallen, <i>The group of self-equivalences of certain complexes</i> .....	269
Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>On a certain problem of realization in homotopy theory</i> .....	277
James Edward West, <i>Sums of Hilbert cube factors</i> .....	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Fixed points and characterizations of certain maps</i> .....	305