

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE RADICALS OF LATTICE-ORDERED RINGS

H. J. SHYR AND T. M. VISWANATHAN

ON THE RADICALS OF LATTICE-ORDERED RINGS

H. J. SHYR AND T. M. VISWANATHAN

In this note, it is shown that for several classes of lattice-ordered rings, the l -radical $L(A)$ and the prime radical $P(A)$ coincide and that A modulo the l -radical is an f -ring. In particular, this is true for the class of positive square rings satisfying the identity $a_+a_- = 0$.

The most well-behaved lattice-ordered rings are the f -rings satisfying the identities $xa_+ \wedge a_- = 0$ where x is an arbitrary positive element and a an arbitrary element of the l -ring A . All other rings are then studied by dissecting the ring into parts — one part called the radical where the idiosyncracies of the ring play a role and the other is the ring modulo the radical where the ring is expected to behave more like an f -ring. The radicals are themselves varied: There is the l -radical $L(A)$ of Birkhoff and Pierce which is the union of nilpotent l -ideals of A and the P -radical $\mathcal{P}(A)$, being the intersection of all the prime l -ideals of A . It is known that $L(A) \subseteq P(A)$. The object of this note is to show that equality holds and that the radicals behave well for several classes of l -rings.

2. Square-archimedean rings. A square-archimedean ring A is an l -ring satisfying the following: Given x, y in the positive cone A_+ , there exists a positive integer $n = n(x, y)$ such that $xy + yx \leq n(x^2 + y^2)$. The positive square l -rings, having square elements positive or zero are indeed square-archimedean. The following is an example of a commutative l -ring with identity which is square-archimedean but not positive square: The ring A has the additive group of two copies of the ordered group Z of integers with multiplication defined by $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_1 + a_1b_2)$ and order provided by (a_1, a_2) in A^+ if $a_2 \geq a_1 \geq 0$ in Z . Notice also that the bound $n(x, y)$ may not be uniform.

It is appropriate at this point to introduce the upper l -radical $U(A)$ which is the union of all nil l -ideals of A . $U(A)$ is an l -ideal whereas the set $H(A)$ of all absolutely nilpotent elements need not be an ideal. We have the containment relation $L(A) \subseteq P(A) \subseteq U(A) \subseteq H(A)$. Throughout the remaining part of this section A is assumed to be a square-archimedean ring.

PROPOSITION 1. If x and y are elements of A^+ and m a positive integer, then there exist positive integers λ_m and μ_m such that $(x + y)^{2^m} \leq \lambda_m(x^{2^m} + y^{2^m})$ and $(xy)^{2^m} \leq \mu_m(x^{2^{m+1}} + y^{2^{m+1}})$.

Proof. Use induction on m . For the second inequality, $xy \leq xy + yx \leq n(x^2 + y^2)$ and so $(xy)^{2^m} \leq n^{2^m}(x^2 + y^2)^{2^m}$ and now use the first.

PROPOSITION 2. *The set $H(A)$ is a sublattice subring of A which is also square-archimedean.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 1 and the following identity in A : $a + b = (a \vee b) + (a \wedge b)$.

THEOREM 1. *If A is a square-archimedean ring, then $L(A) = P(A) = U(A)$. In particular, the three radicals coincide for positive square l -rings.*

Proof. We shall obtain a reduction to the case when A itself will be a nil ring. For this, $U(A)$ is an l -ideal of A and so by (2.18) of [2], the l -radical of $U(A)$ is equal to $L(A)$. Since $U(A)$ is a nil ring, the theorem will be proved if we show that the l -radical of a nil ring is the whole ring. This is the next lemma.

LEMMA 1. *For every integer $m \geq 1$, let $p(m) = 2^m$. If A is a nil ring then the set $I_m = \{x \in A : |x|^{p(m)} = 0\}$ is a nil potent l -ideal. Hence $L(A) = A$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $m = 1$, since the general case would then follow by induction by passing to the quotient say A/I_{m-1} . For $m = 1$, we already know from Proposition 1 that I_1 is a sublattice subring of A . Given $x \geq 0$ in I_1 and a in A^+ , we have $axx = axx + ax^2 \leq n(ax)^2$ for some positive integer n and by iteration, $axx \leq n^s a^s axx$ for every $s \geq 2$ and so $axx = 0$, making the square of both ax and xa vanish. Thus I_1 is a nilpotent l -ideal of index 2.

REMARK 1. The question naturally arises whether there exists a positive square l -ring for which $U(A) \neq H(A)$. This is another form of a question of Diem. (See p. 79 of [2].)

3. Rings with well-behaved radicals. We shall now complete the work of Diem by showing that for several classes of rings satisfying specific l -ring identities, the l -radical equals the set N of nilpotents so that all the radicals coincide. A basic tool is the notion of an f -ideal, which is an l -ideal I such that A/I is an f -ring. Thus an l -ideal I is an f -ideal if and only if it contains all elements of the form $xa^+ \wedge a^-$ and $a^+x \wedge a^-$ for all $x \geq 0$ and for all a in A . We observe that if the l -ring A has a nilpotent f -ideal, then $L(A) = N$, making all the radicals coincide and in this case the l -radical indeed behaves well since $A/L(A)$ is an f -ring without nilpotent elements.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be an ℓ -ring which satisfies one of the following identities:*

- (i) $xa^+ \wedge xa^- = 0$ and $a^+x \wedge a^-x = 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and a in A .
- (ii) $xa^+x \wedge xa^-x = 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and a in A .
- (iii) $a^+xa^- = 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and a in A .
- (iv) $xa^+xa^-x = 0$ for all $x \geq 0$ and a in A .
- (v) $a^+a^- = 0$ for all a in A . Then $L(A) = N$.

Proof. We shall produce a nilpotent \underline{f} -ideal in all cases except (v).

(i) and (ii). Let $I = \{x \in A : AxA = 0\}$. Let us show that I is an \underline{f} -ideal in the case of (ii). A similar proof works for (i). If c, d , and $x \geq 0$ in A and a an element of A , then $c(xa^+ \wedge a^-)d \leq cxa^+d \wedge ca^-d \leq ea^+e \wedge ea^-e$ where e is any upper bound of c, cx , and d and this last element is 0. Since any element is the difference of two positive elements, this shows that $xa^+ \wedge a^-$ belongs in I . Similarly $a^+x \wedge a^-$ belongs in I . Clearly I is a nilpotent $\underline{\ell}$ -ideal.

(iii) and (iv). It is clearly enough to prove (iv). Notice that for every $x \geq 0$ and a in A , the element $(xa)^2x \geq 0$. Using this, it is easy to show that the set $J = \{a \in A : (x|a|)^2x = 0 \forall x \in A^+\}$ is a nilpotent \underline{f} -ideal.

(v) Since A in this case is a positive square ring, by Theorem 1, $L(A) = P(A)$ and by Corollary 4.6 of [2], $P(A) = N$.

COROLLARY. *Let A be an ℓ -ring. Suppose the upper radical is square-archimedean or satisfies one of the identities above, then $L(A) = P(A) = U(A)$.*

REMARK 2. The ℓ -ring satisfying the identity $a^+a^- = 0$ also has a nilpotent \underline{f} -ideal. The proof however requires that $H(A)$ be an $\underline{\ell}$ -ideal, a consequence of Corollary 3.8 of [2]. Since the existence of a nilpotent \underline{f} -ideal implies that only a part of the $\underline{\ell}$ -radical behaves undesirably, it may be useful to describe this \underline{f} -ideal.

From Lemma 1, if a and s are elements of A^+ and if $a^2 = 0$ and s nilpotent, then $asa = 0$. Now if $r \in A^+$ and $a \in A^+$ an element such that $a^2 = 0$, then rar is nilpotent, since $H(A)$ is an $\underline{\ell}$ -ideal. Hence for every r in A^+ we have $arara = 0$.

Now if $a \in A$ and $r \in A^+$ then $(ra^+ \wedge a^-)^2 \leq ra^+a^- = 0$. Hence $(ra^+ \wedge a^-)^2 = 0$. Similarly $(a^+r \wedge a^-)^2 = 0$.

Let $Z_1(A) = \{a \in A : (x|a|)^2x = 0 \forall x \in A^+\}$. Since A is a positive square ring, $Z_1(A)$ is a nilpotent $\underline{\ell}$ -ideal. Since it may not contain $ra^+ \wedge a^-$, we construct $Z_2(A)$ as the inverse image of $Z_1(A/Z_1(A))$, using the natural epimorphism $A \rightarrow A/Z_1(A)$. $Z_2(A)$ is a nilpotent \underline{f} -ideal of A .

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff and R. S. Pierce, *Lattice-ordered rings*, An. Acad. Brasil Ci., **28** (1956), 41-69.
2. J. E. Diem, *A radical for lattice-ordered rings*, Pacific J. Math., **25** (1968), 71-82.
3. L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1963.
4. I. N. Herstein, *Noncommutative Rings*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, Number Fifteen, The Mathematical Association of America, 1968.
5. D. G. Johnson, *A structure theory for a class of lattice-ordered rings*, Acta Math., **104** (1960), 163-215.
6. P. Ribenboim, *Rings and Modules*, Interscience Publishers, 1967.

Received July 14, 1972 and in revised form January 8, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON

AND

IMPA, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Ralph K Amayo, <i>Engel Lie rings with chain conditions</i>	1
Bernd Anger and Jörn Lembcke, <i>Hahn-Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals on preordered topological vector spaces</i>	13
Gregory Frank Bachelis and Samuel Ebenstein, <i>On $\Lambda(p)$ sets</i>	35
Harvey Isaac Blau, <i>Indecomposable modules for direct products of finite groups</i>	39
Larry Eugene Bobisud and James Calvert, <i>Singular perturbation of a time-dependent Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space</i>	45
Walter D. Burgess and Robert Raphael, <i>Abian's order relation and orthogonal completions for reduced rings</i>	55
James Diederich, <i>Representation of superharmonic functions mean continuous at the boundary of the unit ball</i>	65
Aad Dijkma and Hendrik S. V. de Snoo, <i>Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric subspaces</i>	71
Gustave Adam Efroymson, <i>A Nullstellensatz for Nash rings</i>	101
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions onto compact orientable surfaces</i>	113
John Douglas Faires, <i>Comparison of the states of closed linear transformations</i>	123
Joe Wayne Fisher and Robert L. Snider, <i>On the von Neumann regularity of rings with regular prime factor rings</i>	135
Franklin Takashi Iha, <i>A unified approach to boundary value problems on compact intervals</i>	145
Palaniappan L. Kannappan and Che Tat Ng, <i>On functional equations connected with directed divergence, inaccuracy and generalized directed divergence</i>	157
Samir A. Khabbaz and Elias Hanna Toubassi, <i>The module structure of $\text{Ext}(F, T)$ over the endomorphism ring of T</i>	169
Garo K. Kiremidjian, <i>On deformations of complex compact manifolds with boundary</i>	177
Dimitri Koutroufiotis, <i>Mappings by parallel normals preserving principal directions</i>	191
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian adjoint group</i>	201
Norman R. Reilly, <i>Extension of congruences and homomorphisms to translational hulls</i>	209
Sadahiro Saeki, <i>Symmetric maximal ideals in $M(G)$</i>	229
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>On the homotopy invariance of certain functors</i>	245
H. J. Shyr and T. M. Viswanathan, <i>On the radicals of lattice-ordered rings</i>	257
Indranand Sinha, <i>Certain representations of infinite group algebras</i>	261
David Smallen, <i>The group of self-equivalences of certain complexes</i>	269
Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>On a certain problem of realization in homotopy theory</i>	277
James Edward West, <i>Sums of Hilbert cube factors</i>	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Fixed points and characterizations of certain maps</i>	305