Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SEMI-GROUPS AND COLLECTIVELY COMPACT SETS OF LINEAR OPERATORS

JOHN DERYCK DE PREE AND HARRY SCOTT KLEIN

Vol. 55, No. 1

September 1974

SEMI-GROUPS AND COLLECTIVELY COMPACT SETS OF LINEAR OPERATORS

J. D. DEPREE AND H. S. KLEIN

A set of linear operators from one Banach space to another is collectively compact if and only if the union of the images of the unit ball has compact closure. Semi-groups $S = \{T(t): t \ge 0\}$ of bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space into itself and in which every operator T(t), t > 0 is compact are considered. Since $T(t_1 + t_2) = T(t_1)T(t_2)$ for each operator in the semi-group, it would be expected that the theory of collectively compact sets of linear operators could be profitably applied to semi-groups.

1. Introduction. Let X be a complex Banach space with unit ball X_1 and let [X, X] denote the space of all bounded linear operators on X equipped with the uniform operator topology. The semi-group definitions and terminology used are those of Hille and Phillips [6]. Let S be a semi-group of vector-valued functions $T: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [X, X]$. It is assumed that T(t) is strongly continuous for $t \ge 0$. If $\lim_{t \to t_0} || T(t)x - T(t_0)x || = 0$ for each $t_0 \ge 0$, $x \in X$ and if there is a constant M such that the $|| T(t) || \le M$ for each $t \ge 0$, then $S = \{T(t): t \ge 0\}$ is called an equicontinuous semi-group of class C_0 . The infinitesimal generator A of the semi-group S is defined by

$$Ax = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{S} [T(s)x - x]$$

whenever the limit exists. The domain D(A) of A is a dense subset of X consisting of just those elements x for which this limit exists. A is a closed linear operator having resolvents $R(\lambda)$ which, for each complex number λ with the real part of λ greater than zero, are given by the absolutely summable Riemann-Stieltjes integral

(1)
$$R(\lambda)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t) x dt, \ x \in X \ .$$

It follows from (1) that

(2)
$$|| R(\lambda) || \leq \frac{M}{re(\lambda)}, re(\lambda) > 0$$
.

In particular, sets of the type $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge \alpha > 0\}$ are equicontinuous subsets of [X, X].

Results yielding the collective compactness of the resolvents of

A have recently been obtained independently by N. E. Joshi and M. V. Deshpande.

2. Semi-groups of compact operators. First, note that (1) states that the resolvents of A are Laplace transforms of the semigroup S. Consequently, there are many other important integral expressions involving the elements of the semi-group and the resolvents. In order to take advantage of these, we prove the following lemma, in which |v| denotes the total variation of a complex measure v.

LEMMA 2.1. Let Ω be a topological space and \mathscr{M} a collection of complex-valued Borel measures on Ω . Suppose there exists a constant α for which $|v| \Omega \leq \alpha$ for each $v \in \mathscr{M}$. Let $\mathscr{K}: \Omega \to [X, X]$ be an operator-valued function defined on Ω which is strongly measurable with respect to each $v \in M$ [6, page 74] and suppose $\mathscr{K} = \{K(w): w \in \Omega\}$ is a bounded subset of [X, X]. For each $v \in \mathscr{M}$ and $x \in X$, let $F_v(x) = \int_{\Omega} K(w)xdv$, where the integral exists in the Bochner sense since $\int_{\Omega} ||K(w)x|| d |v| < \infty$ [6, page 80]. Let $\mathscr{F} =$ $\{F_v: v \in \mathscr{M}\}$. Whenever $\mathscr{K}(\mathscr{K}^*)$ is collectively compact, $\mathscr{F}(\mathscr{F}^*)$ is also collectively compact.

Proof. Assume that \mathscr{K} is collectively compact. Let $B = \{K(w)x: w \in \Omega, ||x|| \leq 1\}$ and let C denote the balanced convex hull of B. Both B and C are totally bounded subsets of X. It suffices to show that $F_v(x) \in \alpha \overline{C}$ for any $F_v \in \mathscr{F}$ and x with $||x|| \leq 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\{K(w_1)x_1, \cdots, K(w_n)x_n\}$, an ε/α -net for B. For $i = 1, \cdots, n$, let $\Omega_i = \{w: ||K(w)x - K(w_i)x_i|| \leq \varepsilon/\alpha\}$ and let $\Omega'_i = \Omega_j \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \Omega_j$ be a decomposition of the Ω_i into pairwise disjoint sets. Then

$$ig\|F_v(x)-\sum\limits_{i=1}^n K(w_i)x_iv(arOmega'_i)ig\| \leq \sum\limits_{i=1}^n \int_{arOmega'_i} || K(w)x-K(w_i)x_i || \ d \mid v \mid (w) \\ \leq (arepsilon/lpha) \mid v \mid (arOmega) \leq arepsilon \;.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |v(\Omega'_i)| \leq \alpha$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} K(w_i) x_i v(\Omega'_i)$ is an element of αC . It follows that $F_v(x) \in \alpha \overline{C}$ and so \mathscr{F} is also collectively compact.

Now assume that \mathscr{K}^* is collectively compact. Let V be any neighborhood of 0 in the norm topology of X. There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $U = \{x: ||x|| \le \varepsilon\} \subseteq V$. Since \mathscr{K}^* is collectively compact, [2, Theorem 2.11, part (c)] implies that there exists a weak neighborhood W of the origin with $\mathscr{K}(W \cap X_1) \subseteq (1/\alpha)U$. For $F_v \in \mathscr{F}$ and $x \in W \cap X_1$, $||F_v(x)|| \le \int_{\Omega} ||K(w)x|| d |v| \le (\varepsilon/\alpha) |v| (\Omega) \le$ ε . So $\mathscr{F}(W \cap X_1) \subseteq V$. Again using [2, Theorem 2.1, part (c)], we see that \mathscr{F}^* is also collectively compact.

The following is essentially a result of P. Lax [6, page 304]. Rephrased in the terminology of collectively compact sets of operators, it becomes quite transparent.

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose that some $T(t_0)$, $t_0 > 0$, is a compact operator. Then $\mathscr{K} = \{T(t): t \ge t_0\}$ is a totally bounded, collectively compact subset of [X, X]. Consequently, T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $t \ge t_0$.

Proof. Since $T(t) = T(t - t_0)T(t_0) = T(t_0)T(t - t_0)$ for $t \ge t_0$, it follows that $\mathscr{K} = T(t_0)\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}T(t_0)$. $T(t_0)$ is a compact operator and the collection \mathscr{S} is equicontinuous. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 of [2], both \mathscr{K} and \mathscr{K}^* are collectively compact. [2, Corollary 2.6] implies that \mathscr{K} is a totally bounded subset of [X, X]. Since T(t)is continuous in the strong operator topology, T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $t \ge t_0$.

COROLLARY 2.3. Suppose every T(t), t > 0, is a compact operator. Let $\mathscr{F} = \{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ be the collection of the resolvents of the infinitesimal generator A corresponding to the half-plane $\{\lambda \in C: re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$. Then \mathscr{F} is a totally bounded, collectively compact set of operators.

It should be noted that for any $\alpha > 0$, the following arguments can be applied to $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge \alpha\}$. One particular half-plane is chosen simply to keep the notation as uncomplicated as possible.

Proof. It will suffice to show that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a totally bounded, collectively compact set of operators \mathscr{K} such that for any $R(\lambda) \in \mathscr{F}$, there exists a $K \in \mathscr{K}$ with $|| R(\lambda) - K|| \leq \varepsilon$. For this ε , choose $\delta > 0$ with $\int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-t}dt < \varepsilon/M$, where M is such that $|| T(\lambda) || \leq M$ for t > 0. Let λ be any complex number with $re(\lambda) \geq 1$ and $x \in X$. Since $R(\lambda)x = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)xdt$, $|| R(\lambda)x - \int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)xdt || \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-\lambda t} || T(t)x || dt \leq \int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-t}dt M || x || \leq \varepsilon || x ||$. Consequently, $|| R(\lambda) - \int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)dt \leq \varepsilon$. Now $\mathscr{K} = \{\int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T(t)dt : re(\lambda) \geq 1\}$ is a totally bounded, collectively compact set of operators. To see this, note that $\sup \{\int_{\delta}^{\infty} |e^{-\lambda t}| dt : re(\lambda) \geq 1\} \leq 1$ and that both $\{T(t): t \geq \delta\}$ and $\{T^*(t): t \geq \delta\}$ are collectively compact. Lemma 2.1 implies that both \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}^* are collectively compact. As before, [2, Corollary 2.6] implies that \mathcal{K} is a totally bounded subset of [X, X].

The following lemma will be useful in the next section. Since a quotable reference cannot be found, a brief proof is included.

LEMMA 2.4. Let \mathscr{S} be an equicontinuous semi-group of class C_0 . Then $R(\lambda)$ converges to zero in the strong operator topology as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, $re(\lambda) \ge 1$. Whenever $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ is a totally bounded subset of [X, X], the $R(\lambda)$ converge to zero in the uniform operator topology as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, $re(\lambda) \ge 1$.

Proof. The second assertion follows immediately from the first.

Let $x \in D(A)$, the domain of the infinitesimal generator A. Since $R(\lambda)(\lambda - A)x = x$, we have the identity

$$R(\lambda)x = \frac{1}{\lambda}[x + R(\lambda)Ax]$$
.

By (2) of §1, $\{R(\lambda)Ax: re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ is a bounded subset of X. It follows that $||R(\lambda)x|| \to 0$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, $re(\lambda) \ge 1$, for each $x \in D(A)$. Since D(A) is dense in X, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem implies that this type of convergence holds for each $x \in X$. We see that the first assertion of this lemma holds also.

3. Semi-groups with compact resolvents. Suppose that the domain of the infinitesimal generator of a semi-group can be given a topology τ such that the topological space $\langle D(A), \tau \rangle$ is a Banach space and the natural injection $i: \langle D(A), \tau \rangle \rightarrow X$ is a compact operator. In such cases, it might be possible to prove that certain sets of the resolvents of A are equicontinuous subsets of $[X, \langle D(A), \tau \rangle]$, i.e., collectively compact subsets of [X, X]. A specific example is the case in which X is some L^p space and A is the negative of a uniformly strongly elliptic differential operator defined on a Sobolev space $H = \langle D(A), \tau \rangle$. The so-called "a priori inequalities" [4, Theorems 18.2 and 19.2, pages 69 and 77] imply that, after a suitable translation, $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ is an equicontinuous subset of $[L^{p}, H]$. Since the injection $i: H \rightarrow L^{p}$ is a compact operator [4, Theorem 11.2, page 31], $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ is a collectively compact subset of $[L^{p}, L^{p}]$. The obvious question is what are the implications of such assumptions for a general semi-group \mathcal{S} .

We first consider the case in which A has one compact resolvent. Of course, the first resolvent equation,

$$R(\lambda_1)-R(\lambda_2)=(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)R(\lambda_1)R(\lambda_2)$$
 ,

then implies that all resolvents of A are compact operators.

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose A has one compact resolvent. Let Ω be a compact subset of $\{\lambda: re(\lambda) > 0\}$. Then $\{R(\lambda): \lambda \in \Omega\}$ is collectively compact.

Proof. Since $R(\lambda)$ is a holomorphic function in the right halfplane, $\{R(\lambda): \lambda \in \Omega\}$ is a totally bounded subset of [X, X]. Each element in this collection is a compact operator. So [2, Corollary 2.7] implies that $\{R(\lambda): \lambda \in \Omega\}$ is collectively compact.

The following is a partial converse of Theorem 2.2.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Suppose A has compact resolvents. Let $t_0 > 0$. If T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, then $T(t_0)$ is a compact operator.

Proof. Since the resolvents are Laplace transforms of $\{T(t): t \ge 0\}$, we may use the formula based upon fractional integration of order two [6, page 220] which states that

$$\int_{_0}^s (s-t) T(t) dt = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{_{1-i\infty}}^{_{1+i\infty}} rac{e^{\lambda s}}{\lambda^2} R(\lambda) d\lambda, \; s>0 \; .$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose N such that

$$\int_{1-i\infty}^{1-iN}+\int_{1+iN}^{1+i\infty}rac{1}{\left|\lambda^2
ight|}||\,e^{\lambda s}R(\lambda)\,||\,d\mid\lambda|$$

Then

$$\left\|\int_{_0}^s (s-t) T(t) dt - rac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{_{1-iN}}^{_{1+iN}} rac{e^{\lambda s}}{\lambda^2} R(\lambda) d\lambda
ight\| < arepsilon \; .$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 2.1, the integral of $(e^{\lambda s}/\lambda^2)R(\lambda)$ over the finite segment of the vertical line is a compact operator. It follows that for each $s \ge 0$, $\int_{0}^{s} (s-t)T(t)dt$ is a compact operator.

Consider the function

$$F(s) = \int_{a}^{s} (s-t)T(t)dt, \ s \ge 0$$
.

Each value of F is a compact operator. Elementary calculations show that F is differentiable in the uniform operator topology. Consequently, each

$$F'(s)=\int_{_0}^s T(t)dt,\ s\ge 0$$
 ,

is the limit in the uniform operator topology of a sequence of compact operators. Hence, each F'(s), $s \ge 0$, is a compact operator. In taking derivatives again, we see that for h > 0,

$$\left\|rac{1}{h}\int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} T(t)dt - T(t_0)
ight\| \leq \sup\left\{ \mid\mid T(t_0+lpha) - T(t_0)\mid\mid: 0 \leq lpha \leq h
ight\}$$
 .

If $T(t_{0} + \alpha)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $\alpha \geq 0$, then

$$T(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = ext{uniform} - \lim_{h o 0^+} rac{1}{h} \int_{t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}^{t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+h} T(t) dt \; .$$

It follows that $T(t_0)$ is a compact operator.

See [6, page 537] for a discussion of the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Consider the semi-group \mathscr{S} of left translations on the space $C_0[0, 1]$ consisting of continuous functions x(u) vanishing at 1, where the norm $||x|| = \sup \{|x(u)|: 0 \le u \le 1\}$. Let [T(t)x](u) = x(u + t), for $0 \le u \le \max \{0, 1 - t\}$, and 0 for $\max \{0, 1 - t\} \le u \le 1$. The infinitesimal generator of \mathscr{S} is the operator of differentiation d/(du) with domain

$$D\Bigl(rac{d}{du}\Bigr) = \{x \colon x' \in C_{\mathfrak{d}}[0, \, 1]\}\;.$$

The compact resolvents are given by

$$[R(\lambda)x](u) = \int_0^{1-u} e^{-\lambda t} x(u + t) dt, \ \lambda \in C.$$

For $t \ge 1$, T(t) is the compact operator 0 while for t, s < 1, || T(t) - T(s) || = 2. This can easily be seen by evaluating T(t) - T(s) at a function $x \in C_0[0, 1]$ with $|| x || \le 1$ and x(t) = 1, x(s) = -1. So T(t) is continuous in the uniform operator topology only for $t \ge 1$.

Choose a monotonically increasing sequence of positive functions $\{y_n\} \subseteq C_0[0, 1]$ such that $\lim_n y_n(u) = 1$ for each u < 1. For t < 1, $\{T(t)y_n\}$ is a sequence of functions having no subsequence which can converge uniformly. So T(t), t < 1, is not a compact operator.

For $\lambda = \sigma + i\tau$, let $x_n(u) = e^{i\tau u}y_n(u)$ in the definition of $R(\lambda)$. We see that

$$[R(\lambda)x_n](0) = \int_0^1 e^{-\sigma t} y_n(t) dt .$$

Since $||x_n|| = 1$ for each n,

$$|| R(\lambda) || \geq \sup_{n} | [R(\lambda)x_{n}](0) | = \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\sigma t} dt$$
.

It follows immediately from the definition of $R(\lambda)$ that the reverse inequality holds also. Consequently, $|| R(\lambda) || = \int_0^1 e^{-\sigma t} dt$. In particular, $\lim_{|\tau|\to\infty} || R(\sigma + i\tau) || \neq 0$. This serves to distinguish this differential operator from the class of infinitesimal generators which we consider next.

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose \mathscr{S} is a semi-group such that the set of resolvents $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$ corresponding to the vertical line $re(\lambda) = 1$ is collectively compact. Then $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ is also collectively compact.

Proof. For each $x \in X$, $R(\lambda)x$ is a holomorphic and bounded function of λ , $re(\lambda) > 1/2$. So $R(\lambda)x$ admits Poisson's integral representation [6, page 229]

$$R(\sigma+i au)x=rac{\sigma-1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}rac{R(1+ieta)x}{(\sigma-1)^2+(au-eta)^2}deta$$

for $\sigma > 1$, $x \in X$. Since $\{R(1 + i\beta): -\infty < \beta < \infty\}$ is collectively compact and the integral of the Poisson kernel over $-\infty < \beta < \infty$ is identically one, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) > 1\}$ is collectively compact. Taking the union of this set and $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$, one obtains the desired result.

For
$$x \in X$$
 and $x^* \in X^*$,
 $\langle x^*, R(\sigma + i\tau)x \rangle = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\tau t} (e^{-\sigma t} \langle x^*, T(t)x \rangle) dt$.

This is this Fourier transform of the absolutely summable function $e^{-\sigma t}\langle x^*, T(t)x \rangle$, $t \ge 0$. The convergence of

$$|| R(\sigma + i\tau) || = \sup \{| \langle x^*, R(\sigma + i\tau)x \rangle | : || x ||, || x^* || \leq 1\}$$

to 0 as $|\sigma|$ and $|\tau|$ approach infinity can be viewed as a "uniform" Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

THEOREM 3.5. If $\mathscr{F} = \{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ is collectively compact, then $|| R(\lambda) ||$ converges to 0 as $|\lambda|$ approaches ∞ , $re(\lambda) \ge 1$.

Proof. Throughout the following proof, we assume that $re(\lambda) \ge 1$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and choose real β so large that $1 + \beta \ge M/\varepsilon$, where M is the constant in §1 which bounds the operator norms of elements of \mathscr{S} . By (2),

$$|| \, R(\lambda + eta) \, || \leq rac{M}{re(\lambda) + eta} \leq rac{M}{1 + eta} \leq arepsilon \; .$$

In view of Lemma 2.4, \mathscr{F} is an equicontinuous collection with $R(\lambda)$ converging to zero as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ pointwise on the relatively compact set $\mathscr{F}(X_i)$. Therefore, $||R(\lambda)F|| \to 0$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ uniformly for $F \in \mathscr{F}$. Choose N such that $|\lambda| \ge N$ implies that

 $|| R(\lambda) R(\lambda + eta) || \leq arepsilon / eta$.

The first resolvent equation states that

 $R(\lambda) - R(\lambda + \beta) = (\lambda + \beta - \lambda)R(\lambda)R(\lambda + \beta)$.

So, for $|\lambda| \ge N$,

$$\|\,R(\lambda)\,\| \leq \|\,eta R(\lambda)R(\lambda+eta)\,\| + \|\,R(\lambda+eta)\,\| \leq 2arepsilon\;.$$

Note that we have used the fact that \mathscr{F} contains those resolvents $R(\lambda)$ with $re(\lambda)$ arbitrarily large in an essential way.

COROLLARY 3.6. Let \mathscr{S} be any semi-group whose infinitesimal generator A has compact resolvents, i.e., each $R(\lambda)$, $re(\lambda) > 0$, is a compact operator. Then $\mathscr{F} = \{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$ is collectively compact if and only if $||R(\lambda)|| \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, $re(\lambda) \ge 1$.

Proof. The assumption that $|| R(\lambda) || \to 0$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, $re(\lambda) \ge 1$, simply implies that $R(\lambda)$ can be extended to a continuous function on the compactification of the half-plane $\{\lambda: re(\lambda) \ge 1\}$. Consequently, if A has compact resolvents, \mathscr{F} is a totally bounded set of compact operators. [2, Corollary 2.7] implies that \mathscr{F} is collectively compact.

The converse is simply Theorem 3.5.

The behavior of the holomorphic function $R(\lambda)$ on the vertical line $re(\lambda) = 1$ is of fundamental importance. For example, if $d(\lambda)$ denotes the distance of the complex number λ from the spectrum of A, then [3, page 566]

$$d(1 + i\tau) \ge \frac{1}{||R(1 + i\tau)||}$$
.

We see that the spectrum of A must be bounded on the right by the curve

$$\gamma(\tau) = 1 - rac{1}{||R(1+i\tau)||} + i\tau, -\infty < \tau < \infty$$
.

In particular, it follows from Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.4 that when $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$ is collectively compact, the spectrum of A is severely restricted.

The usual methods of inverting Fourier transforms can be typified by the use of (C, 1) means. In [5, page 350], it is shown that for each t > 0

$$T(t) = \lim_{w \to \infty} rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-w}^w \left(1 - rac{|\tau|}{w}
ight) e^{(1+i\tau)t} R(1 + i au) d au \; .$$

However, the measures involved no longer satisfy the requirements of Lemma 2.1. As this situation is typical, we are not able to prove that if $\{R(\lambda): re(\lambda) = 1\}$ is collectively compact, then each $T(t) \in \mathcal{S}, t > 0$, is a compact operator.

References

1. P. M. Anselone and R. H. Moore, Approximate solutions of integral and operator equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 9 (1964), 268-277.

2. J. D. DePree and H. S. Klein, Characterizations of collectively compact set, of linear operators, Pacific J. Math., 55 (1974), 45-54.

3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part I, New York: Interscience, 1958.

4. A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1969.

5. A. Grothendieck, Sur les Applications Lineaires Faiblement Compactes D'Epaces Du Type C(K), Canad. J. Math., 5 (1953), 129-173.

6. E. Hille and R. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Providence, R. I.: American Mathematical Society, 1957.

Received April 4, 1973.

NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by Intarnational Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 55, No. 1September, 1974

Robert Lee Anderson, Continuous spectra of a singular symmetric differential operator on a Hilbert space of vector-valued functions	1
Michael James Cambern, <i>The isometries of</i> $L^p(X, K)$	9
R. H. Cameron and David Arne Storvick, <i>Two related integrals over spaces</i> of continuous functions	19
Gary Theodore Chartrand and Albert David Polimeni, <i>Ramsey theory and</i>	20
	39
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Characterization of</i> collectively compact sets of linear operators	45
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, Semi-groups and collectively	
compact sets of linear operators	55
George Epstein and Alfred Horn, <i>Chain based lattices</i>	65
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the irrationality of certain series</i>	85
Zdeněk Frolík, <i>Measurable uniform spaces</i>	93
Stephen Michael Gagola, Jr., <i>Characters fully ramified over a normal</i>	107
	107
frank Larkin Gilfeather, Operator valued roots of abelian analytic functions	127
D. S. Goel, A. S. B. Holland, Cyril Nasim and B. N. Sahney, <i>Best</i>	
approximation by a saturation class of polynomial operators	149
Lawse Consultion of D : : C (
James Second Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded	
eigenvalue	157
James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations	157 177
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative 	157 177
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices 	157 177 195
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue	157 177 195 207
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets 	157 177 195 207 219
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its 	157 177 195 207 219
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series 	157 177 195 207 219 233
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras David Francis Rearick, Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249 277
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras David Francis Rearick, Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249 277 285
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets. S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series. Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras. David Francis Rearick, Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series. Indranand Sinha, Characteristic ideals in group algebras. 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249 277 285 289