

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LINEAR GCD EQUATIONS

DAVID JACOBSON

LINEAR *GCD* EQUATIONS

DAVID JACOBSON

Let R be a *GCD* domain. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and B an $m \times 1$ matrix with entries in R . Let $c \neq 0, d \in R$. We consider the linear *GCD* equation $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$. Let S denote its set of solutions. We prove necessary and sufficient conditions that S be nonempty. An element t in R is called a solution modulus if $X + tR^n \subseteq S$ whenever $X \in S$. We show that if c/d is a product of prime elements of R , then the ideal of solution moduli is a principal ideal of R and its generator t_0 is determined. When R/t_0R is a finite ring, we derive an explicit formula for the number of distinct solutions (mod t_0) of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$.

1. Introduction. Let R be a *GCD* domain. As usual $GCD(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ will denote a greatest common divisor of the finite sequence of elements a_1, \dots, a_m of R .

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with entries a_{ij} in R and let B be an $m \times 1$ matrix with entries b_i in R for $i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $c \neq 0, d$ be elements of R . In this paper we consider the "linear *GCD* equation"

$$(1.1) \quad GCD(a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n + b_1, \dots, \\ a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n + b_m, c) = d.$$

Letting X denote the column of unknowns x_1, \dots, x_n in (1.1), we shall find it convenient to abbreviate the equation (1.1) in matrix notation by

$$(1.2) \quad GCD(AX + B, c) = d.$$

Of course we allow a slight ambiguity in viewing (1.1) as an equation, since the *GCD* is unique only up to a unit.

Let R^n denote the set of $n \times 1$ matrices with entries in R . We let $S \equiv S(A, B, c, d)$ denote the set of all solutions of (1.1), that is

$$S = \{X \in R^n \mid GCD(AX + B, c) = d\}.$$

If S is nonempty, we say that (1.1) or (1.2) is solvable. Note that X satisfies $GCD(AX + B, d) = d$ if and only if X is a solution of the linear congruence system $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$.

We show in Proposition 1 that if (1.1) is solvable, then $d \mid c$, $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ has a solution and $GCD(A, d) = GCD(A, B, c)$. Here $GCD(A, d) = GCD(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1n}, \dots, a_{m1}, \dots, a_{mn}, d)$ and $GCD(A, B, c) = GCD(A, b_1, \dots, b_m, c)$. Conversely we show in Proposition 3 that if

the above conditions hold and $e = c/d$ is atomic, that is e is a product of prime elements of R , then (1.1) is solvable. (Also see Proposition 4).

Let the solution set S of (1.1) be nonempty. We say that t in R is a solution modulus of (1.1) if given X in S and $X \equiv X' \pmod{t}$, then X' is in S . We let $M \equiv M(A, B, c, d)$ denote the set of all solution moduli of (1.1). We show in Theorem 2 that M is an ideal of R and if $e = c/d$ is atomic, then M is actually a principal ideal generated by $d/g(p_1 \cdots p_k)$, where $g = \text{GCD}(A, d)$ and $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is a maximal set of nonassociated prime divisors of e such that for each p_i , the system $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{dp_i}$ is solvable. This generator $d/g(p_1 \cdots p_k)$ denoted by t_0 is called the minimum modulus of (1.1).

In § 4 we assume that R/t_0R is a finite ring and we derive an explicit formula for the number of distinct equivalence classes of $R^n \pmod{t_0}$ comprising S . We denote this number by $N_{t_0} \equiv N_{t_0}(A, B, c, d)$. Let $A' = A/g$ and $d' = d/g$. Let $L = \{X + d'R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}\}$ and $L_i = \{X + d'R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{d'p_i}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. In Theorem 3 we show that

$$(1.3) \quad N_{t_0} = |L| \prod_{i=1}^k (|R/p_iR|^n - |R/p_iR|^{n-(r_i+s_i)})$$

where r_i is rank $A' \pmod{p_i}$ and s_i is the dimension of the R/p_iR vector space L/L_i .

The formula (1.3) is applied in some important cases. For example in Corollary 6 we determine N_{t_0} when R is a principal ideal domain.

This paper is an extension and generalization to GCD domains, of the results obtained over the ring of integers \mathbb{Z} in [2].

2. Solvability of $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable, then the following conditions hold.*

- (2.1) (i) $d \mid c$,
(ii) $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable,
(iii) $\text{GCD}(A, d) = \text{GCD}(A, B, c)$.

Proof. Let X satisfy $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$. Then clearly (i) $d \mid c$ and (ii) $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. Let $AX + B = dU$ where U is an $m \times 1$ matrix with entries u_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\text{GCD}(dU, c) = \text{GCD}(du_1, \dots, du_m, c) = d$. Let $g = \text{GCD}(A, d)$ and $h = \text{GCD}(A, B, c)$. Then $B \equiv 0 \pmod{g}$ as $AX - dU = B$ and $g \mid c$ as $d \mid c$, which shows that $g \mid h$. Also $dU \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$, so that $h \mid \text{GCD}(dU, c)$, that is $h \mid d$. Thus $h \mid g$, which proves (iii).

PROPOSITION 2. *Let e in R have the following property*

(I) $GCD(AX + B, e) = 1$ is solvable whenever $GCD(A, B, e) = 1$. Suppose that $c = de$, $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable and $GCD(A, d) = GCD(A, B, c)$. Then $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable.

Proof. There exist X' in R^n and V in R^m such that $AX' + B = dV$. Let $g = GCD(A, d)$ and let A' denote the matrix with entries a_{ij}/g and B' the matrix with entries b_i/g for $i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $A'X' + B' = d'V$ where $d' = d/g$. We claim that $GCD(A', V, e) = 1$. For let h be any divisor of $GCD(A', V, e)$. Then $B' \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ and $h \mid GCD(A', B', c')$ where $c' = d'e$. However, $GCD(A', B', c') = 1$ as $g = GCD(A, B, c)$. Hence h is a unit, that is $GCD(A', V, e) = 1$. So by property (I), there is a Y in R^n such that $GCD(A'Y + V, e) = 1$. Thus $GCD(A(d'Y) + dV, de) = d$ and if we set $X = X' + d'Y$, then $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$, establishing the proposition.

We show in Proposition 3 that if e is atomic, then e satisfies property (I).

We require the following useful lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $e = p_1 \cdots p_k$ be a product of nonassociated prime elements p_1, \dots, p_k in R . If $GCD(A, B, e) = 1$, then $GCD(AX + B, e) = 1$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $GCD(A, B, e) = 1$. We use induction on k . Let $k = 1$. If $GCD(B, p_1) = 1$, then $X = 0$ satisfies $GCD(AX + B, p_1) = 1$. Suppose that $B \equiv 0 \pmod{p_1}$. Then $GCD(A, p_1) = 1$. Hence there is a j such that $GCD(a_{1j}, \dots, a_{mj}, p_1) = 1$. Let X^j in R^n have a 1 in the j th position and 0's elsewhere. Then $GCD(AX^j + B, p_1) = GCD(AX^j, p_1) = 1$. Thus $GCD(AX + B, p_1) = 1$ is solvable. Now let $k > 1$ and let $e' = p_1 \cdots p_{k-1}$. By the induction assumption there is X' in R^n such that $GCD(AX' + B, e') = 1$. Let $B' = AX' + B$. We claim that $GCD(Ae', B', p_k) = 1$. If $GCD(A, p_k) = 1$, then $GCD(Ae', B', p_k) = 1$. Suppose that $A \equiv 0 \pmod{p_k}$. If $B' \equiv 0 \pmod{p_k}$, then $B \equiv 0 \pmod{p_k}$, contradicting the hypothesis that $GCD(A, B, e) = 1$. Hence $GCD(B', p_k) = 1$, establishing the claim. So there exists a Y in R^n such that $GCD((Ae')Y + B', p_k) = 1$. Let $X = X' + e'Y$. Then $X \equiv X' \pmod{e'}$ yields that $AX + B \equiv B' \pmod{e'}$. Thus $GCD(AX + B, e') = 1$ since $GCD(B', e') = 1$. Also

$$GCD(AX + B, p_k) = GCD((Ae')Y + B', p_k) = 1,$$

so that $GCD(AX + B, e'p_k) = 1$, completing the proof.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that e is an atomic element of R .

Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ be a maximal set of nonassociated
 (*) prime divisors of e such that for each p_i , the system
 $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{dp_i}$ is solvable.

Then X is a solution of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ if and only if $GCD(AX + B, de_0) = d$, where $c = de$ and $e_0 = p_1 \cdots p_k$.

Proof. Since e is atomic, it is clear that we may select a set $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ as defined in (*). If this set is empty, we let $e_0 = 1$. Suppose that X satisfies $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$. Then there is U in R^m such that $AX + B = dU$ and $GCD(U, e) = 1$. Since $e_0 | e$, $GCD(U, e_0) = 1$ and thus $GCD(dU, de_0) = d$, that is, $GCD(AX + B, de_0) = d$.

Conversely let X satisfy $GCD(AX + B, de_0) = d$. Then $AX + B = dU$ and $GCD(U, e_0) = 1$. Suppose there is a prime $p | e$ and $U \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{dp}$ and the maximal property of the set $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ shows that p is an associate of some p_i . So $U \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$, contradicting that $GCD(U, e_0) = 1$. Hence $GCD(U, p) = 1$ for all primes $p | e$ and thus $GCD(U, e) = 1$, that is $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose that $c = de$, $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable and $GCD(A, d) = GCD(A, B, c)$. If e is atomic, then $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable.

Proof. Let e be atomic. By Proposition 2 it suffices to show that e satisfies property (I). Thus let $GCD(A_0, B_0, e) = 1$ where A_0 is an $m \times n$ matrix and B_0 is an $m \times 1$ matrix. By Lemma 2, $GCD(A_0X + B_0, e) = 1$ is solvable if and only if $GCD(A_0X + B_0, e_0) = 1$ is solvable where $e_0 = p_1 \cdots p_k$ is a product of nonassociated prime divisors of e . However by Lemma 1, $GCD(A_0X + B_0, e_0) = 1$ is solvable since $GCD(A_0, B_0, e_0) = 1$. Thus (I) holds and $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable.

THEOREM 1. Let R be a GCD domain. Consider the following condition

(II) $GCD(a_1x + b_1, \dots, a_mx + b_m, c) = 1$ is solvable if

$$GCD(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m, c) = 1;$$

(i) If R satisfies (II), then $GCD(AX + B, c) = 1$ is solvable whenever $GCD(A, B, c) = 1$.

(ii) If R is a Bezout domain such that $GCD(ax + b, c) = 1$ is solvable whenever $GCD(a, b, c) = 1$, then R satisfies (II).

Proof. (i) Let R satisfy (II). Let $GCD(A, B, c) = 1$ where A

is an $m \times n$ matrix. We prove that $GCD(AX + B, c) = 1$ is solvable by induction on n . For $n = 1$, solvability is granted by the supposition (II). Let $n > 1$ and let A' denote the $m \times (n - 1)$ matrix with entries $a_{i,j+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n - 1$. If $c' = GCD(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}, c)$, then $GCD(A', B, c') = 1$. Hence by the induction assumption, there exist x_2, \dots, x_n in R such that $GCD(a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n + b_1, \dots, a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n + b_m, c') = 1$. If $b'_i = a_{i2}x_2 + \dots + a_{in}x_n + b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $GCD(a_{11}, \dots, a_{m1}, b'_1, \dots, b'_m, c) = 1$. Thus by (II), there exists x_1 in R such that $GCD(a_{11}x_1 + b'_1, \dots, a_{m1}x_1 + b'_m, c) = 1$. So if X in R^n has entries x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , then $GCD(AX + B, c) = 1$, completing the proof of (i).

(ii) Let R be a Bezout domain, that is a domain in which every finitely generated ideal is principal. Suppose that R has the property that $GCD(ax + b, c) = 1$ is solvable if $GCD(a, b, c) = 1$. Let

$$GCD(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m, c) = 1.$$

Let A and B denote the $m \times 1$ matrices with entries a_1, \dots, a_m and b_1, \dots, b_m respectively. Then by [3, Theorem 3.5], there exists an invertible $m \times m$ matrix P such that PA has entries $a, 0, \dots, 0$. Also it is clear that $GCD(PA, PB, c) = 1$. Let PB have entries b, b'_2, \dots, b'_m . Thus by hypothesis, $GCD(ax + b, c') = 1$ is solvable where $c' = GCD(b'_2, \dots, b'_m, c)$. Hence $GCD(Ax + B, c) = 1$ is solvable, that is R satisfies (II).

As an immediate consequence of the preceding propositions and Theorem 1, we state

PROPOSITION 4. *Let R be a UFD or a Bezout domain such that $GCD(ax + b, c) = 1$ is solvable if $GCD(a, b, c) = 1$. Then $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable if and only if $d \mid c$, $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable and $GCD(A, d) = GCD(A, B, c)$.*

We remark that we do not know whether there exists a GCD domain in which (II) is not valid. Any Bezout domain satisfying (II) is an elementary divisor domain [3, Theorem 5.2].

We conclude this section with the following result.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let R be a Bezout domain. Suppose that (0) $GCD(ax + b, c) = 1$ is solvable whenever $GCD(a, b) = 1$ and $a \mid c$. Then $GCD(ax + b, c) = 1$ is solvable whenever $GCD(a, b, c) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $GCD(a, b, c) = 1$. If $a' = GCD(a, c)$, then $GCD(a', b) = 1$ and $a' \mid c$. By the assumption (0), there is x' in R such that $GCD(a'x' + b, c) = 1$. If $u = a'x' + b$, then $a' \mid (u - b)$ and since R is a Bezout domain, there is an x in R such that $ax + b \equiv u \pmod{c}$.

Thus $GCD(ax + b, c) = 1$ since $GCD(u, c) = 1$.

Let $a \mid c$ and let $\nu: R/cR \rightarrow R/aR$ be the epimorphism given by $\nu(r + cR) = r + aR$ for all r in R . Let G (resp. G') denote the group of units of R/cR (resp. R/aR). If $\nu': G \rightarrow G'$ is the induced homomorphism, then note that (0) is equivalent to the condition that $\nu'(G) = G'$. (See [5].)

3. The minimum modulus. Let the solution set S of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ be nonempty. Then

$$M = \{t \in R \mid X + tR^n \subseteq S \text{ for all } X \in S\}$$

is the set of solution moduli of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$.

Note that $c \in M$ for if $X \in S$ and $X \equiv X' \pmod{c}$, then $AX + B \equiv AX' + B \pmod{c}$, so that $d = GCD(AX' + B, c)$.

It is obvious that $M = R$, that is $S = R^n$ if and only if $d = GCD(A, d) = GCD(A, B, c)$ and $GCD(A/d(X) + B/d, c/d) = 1$ for all X in R^n .

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a GCD domain. Let $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ be solvable. Let $e = c/d = \prod_{i=1}^k e_i$. Let $\hat{e}_i = e_1 \cdots e_{i-1} e_{i+1} \cdots e_k$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

(1) *M is an ideal of R ,*

(2) *$M \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i$ where M_i is the ideal of solution moduli for $GCD(AX + B, de_i) = d$.*

(3) *If each \hat{e}_i satisfies property (I) of Proposition 2, then $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i$ and M is a principal ideal if each M_i is principal.*

(4) *If e is atomic, then M is a principal ideal generated by $d/g(p_1 \cdots p_k)$ where $g = GCD(A, d)$ and $\{p_i, \dots, p_k\}$ is defined in (*) of Lemma 2.*

Proof.

(1) As S is nonempty, the set M is well-defined and 0, c belong to M . Let t_1, t_2 be in M and let $r \in R$. Let $X \in S$ and let $Y \in R^n$. Then $X + t_1 Y \in S$ and hence $(X + t_1 Y) + t_2(-Y) \in S$, that is $X + (t_1 - t_2)Y \in S$ which shows that $t_1 - t_2 \in M$. Also $X + t_1(rY) \in S$, that is $X + (t_1 r)Y \in S$. So $t_1 r \in M$ and thus M is an ideal of R .

(2) As $d \mid c$ we let $c = de$. Let S_i denote the solution set of $GCD(AX + B, de_i) = d$ where $e = \prod_{i=1}^k e_i$. Then clearly $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^k S_i$. Let $t \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i$. Let $X \in S$ and let $Y \in R^n$. Then $X + tY \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k S_i$ since $X \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k S_i$. So $X + tY \in S$, that is $t \in M$, which proves that $M \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i$.

(3) Assume that each \hat{e}_i satisfies property (I). We prove that $M \subseteq M_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. As $g = GCD(A, d) = GCD(A, B, c)$, let $A' = A/g$, $B' = B/g$, and $d' = d/g$. Let i be fixed and let $X_i \in S_i$.

Then $A'X_i + B' = d'U$ where $GCD(U, e_i) = 1$. We claim that $GCD(e_iA', U, \hat{e}_i) = 1$. For let h be a divisor of $GCD(e_iA', U, \hat{e}_i)$. Then $A' \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ since $GCD(h, e_i) = 1$. Thus $h \mid GCD(A', B', d'e)$, that is $h \mid 1$. So by assumption there exists X' in R^n such that

$$GCD((e_iA')X' + U, \hat{e}_i) = 1.$$

Let $X = X_i + d'e_iX'$. Then for $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\begin{aligned} GCD(A'X + B', d'e_j) \\ = d' GCD((e_iA')X' + U, e_j) = d'. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $X \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k S_j$, that is $X \in S$. Now let $t \in M$ and let $Y \in R^n$. Then $X + tY \in S$ and so $X + tY \in S_i$. However, $X + tY \equiv X_i + tY \pmod{d'e_i}$ and thus $X_i + tY \in S_i$, that is $t \in M_i$, which proves that $M \subseteq M_i$. So by (2), $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i$. Moreover, if each M_i is a principal ideal, say $M_i = t_iR$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^k M_i$ is a principal ideal generated by the LCM(t_1, \dots, t_k).

(4) Let t be any element of M . We show that $d/g \mid t$ where $g = GCD(A, d)$. First note that S is the solution set of $GCD(A'X + B', d'e) = d'$ where $A' = A/g$, $B' = B/g$, and $d' = d/g$. Let $X \in S$ and let $A'X + B' = d'U$. Then $GCD(A'(X + tY) + B', d'e) = d'$ for all Y in R^n . So $GCD((A't)Y + d'U, d'e) = d'$ and thus $(A't)Y \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}$ for all Y in R^n . Hence $A't \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}$ and since $GCD(A', d') = 1$, it follows that $d' \mid t$.

Now suppose that e is atomic. By Lemma 2, S is also the solution set of $GCD(A'X + B', d'e_0) = d'$ where $e_0 = p_1 \cdots p_k$ and $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is defined in (*). Thus M is also the ideal of solution moduli of $GCD(A'X + B', d'e_0) = d'$. Let M'_i denote the ideal of solution moduli of $GCD(A'X + B', d'p_i) = d'$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then Lemma 1 shows that (3) can be applied to yield that $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M'_i$. We prove that each M'_i is a principal ideal generated by $d'p_i$. Clearly $d'p_i \in M'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let i be fixed and let t be any element in M'_i . Then as shown earlier, $d' \mid t$ say $t = d't'$. By (*) there exists X in R^n such that $A'X + B' \equiv 0 \pmod{d'p_i}$. Thus $GCD(A', p_i) = 1$ since $GCD(A', B', d'e) = 1$. So there is a j for which $GCD(A'E_j, p_i) = 1$ where E_j is the $n \times 1$ matrix with 1 in the j th position and 0's elsewhere.

Now assume that $GCD(t', p_i) = 1$. Let $X' = X + tE_j$. Then $GCD(A'(X' - X), d'p_i) = d' GCD(t'A'E_j, p_i) = d'$ since $GCD(t'A'E_j, p_i) = 1$. So $GCD(A'X' - A'X, d'p_i) = d'$ and thus $GCD(A'X' + B', d'p_i) = d'$ as $B \equiv -A'X \pmod{d'p_i}$. Hence $GCD(A'(X' + t(-E_j)) + B', d'p_i) = d'$ since $t \in M'_i$. That is $GCD(A'X + B', d'p_i) = d'$ and thus $d'p_i \mid d'$, which contradicts that p_i is a nonunit. So the assumption that $GCD(t', p_i) = 1$ is untenable, that is $p_i \mid t'$. Thus $d'p_i \mid t$ proving that

$M'_i = d'p_iR$. However $M = \bigcap_{i=1}^k M'_i$, so that M is a principal ideal generated by the $LCM(d'p_1, \dots, d'p_k)$, that is M is generated by $d'p_1 \cdots p_k$.

The generator $d'p_1 \cdots p_k$ of M is called the minimum modulus of $GCD(AX + B, de) = d$.

4. The number of solutions with respect to a modulus. Let $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ be solvable where $e = c/d$ is atomic. If t in R is a solution modulus of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$, then S consists of equivalence classes of $R^n(\text{mod } t)$. If R/tR is also a finite ring, we let $N_t \equiv N_t(A, B, c, d)$ denote the number of distinct equivalence classes of $R^n(\text{mod } t)$ comprising S .

For R/tR finite, let $|t| = |R/tR|$ denote the number of elements in R/tR . Note that if $t_0 | t$, then each equivalence class of $R^n(\text{mod } t_0)$ consists of $|t/t_0|^n = (|t|/|t_0|)^n$ classes of $R^n(\text{mod } t)$. Thus if t is a solution modulus and t_0 denotes the minimum modulus of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$, then $N_t = |t/t_0|^n N_{t_0}$. In Theorem 3, we explicitly determine N_{t_0} .

The following lemma is also of independent interest.

LEMMA 3. Let R be a GCD domain and suppose that R/dR is a finite ring. Let p_1, \dots, p_k be nonassociated elements such that R/p_iR is a finite field for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and let r_i denote the rank of $A(\text{mod } p_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{X \in R^n \mid AX \equiv 0(\text{mod } d)\}$ and $L = \{X + dR^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}\}$. Let $e_0 = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ and let $\mathcal{L}' = \{X \in R^n \mid AX \equiv 0(\text{mod } de_0)\}$ and $L' = \{X + de_0R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}'\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_i = \{X \in R^n \mid AX \equiv 0(\text{mod } dp_i)\}$ and $L_i = \{X + dR^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $H = \{X + e_0R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}'\}$ and $H_i = \{X + p_iR^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$(1) \quad |L'| = |L| |H|$$

and

$$|H| = \prod_{i=1}^k |H_i|.$$

$$(2) \quad L/L_i \text{ is an } R/p_iR \text{ vector space of dimension } s_i \text{ and } |H_i| = |R/p_iR|^{n-(r_i+s_i)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

$$(3) \quad s_i = 0 \text{ if and only if for each } X \text{ in } \mathcal{L} \text{ there exists } X' \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_i \text{ such that } X' \equiv X(\text{mod } d).$$

$$(4) \quad \text{If } GCD(d, p_i) = 1, \text{ then } s_i = 0.$$

$$(5) \quad |L| = 1 \text{ if and only if } n = \text{rank } A(\text{mod } p) \text{ for each prime } p \mid d.$$

Proof.

(1) In the obvious way, L , L' , and H are R -modules. Let $\sigma: L' \rightarrow H$ denote the R -homomorphism defined by $\sigma(X + de_0R^n) = X + e_0R^n$ for all X in \mathcal{L}' . Then clearly $\text{Ker } \sigma = \{e_0Y + de_0R^n \mid Y \in \mathcal{L}\}$ so that $L \cong \text{Ker } \sigma$ under the R -isomorphism $\tau: L \rightarrow \text{Ker } \sigma$ defined by $\tau(Y + dR^n) = e_0Y + de_0R^n$ for all Y in \mathcal{L} . Thus $|L'| = |L| |H|$ since $\text{Im } \sigma = H$. We now show that H is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k H_i$, the direct sum of the R -modules H_i . Let $\gamma: H \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H_i$ denote the R -homomorphism defined by $\gamma(X + e_0R^n) = (X + p_1R^n, \dots, X + p_kR^n)$ for all X in \mathcal{L}' . If $X + e_0R^n \in \text{Ker } \gamma$, then $X \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, that is $X \equiv 0 \pmod{e_0}$, which shows that γ is 1-1. To show that $\text{Im } \gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H_i$, let $X_i \in \mathcal{L}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since R/dR is finite, it is easy to verify that d is atomic. Thus let $d = d_0 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{m_i}$ where $m_i \geq 0$ and $\text{GCD}(d_0, p_i) = 1$. By the Chinese remainder theorem there exists X in R^n such that $X \equiv 0 \pmod{d_0}$ and $X \equiv X_i \pmod{p_i^{m_i+1}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. However, $AX_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{m_i+1}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, so that $AX \equiv 0 \pmod{(d_0 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{m_i+1})}$, that is $AX \equiv 0 \pmod{de_0}$. Thus $X + e_0R^n \in H$ and $\gamma(X + e_0R^n) = (X_1 + p_1R^n, \dots, X_k + p_kR^n)$. Hence γ is an isomorphism and $|H| = \prod_{i=1}^k |H_i|$.

(2) Let $L'_i = \{X + dp_iR^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let i be fixed. Let $\nu: L'_i \rightarrow L_i$ denote the R -homomorphism defined by $\nu(X + dp_iR^n) = X + dR^n$ for all X in \mathcal{L}_i . Then clearly $\text{Ker } \nu = \{dY + dp_iR^n \mid AY \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}\}$ and it follows that

$$|\text{Ker } \nu| = |R/p_iR|^{n-r_i} \equiv |p_i|^{n-r_i}$$

where $r_i = \text{rank } A \pmod{p_i}$. Thus $|L'_i| = |p_i|^{n-r_i} |L_i|$ since $\text{Im } \nu = L_i$. However by (1), $|L'_i| = |L| |H_i|$. Also since L_i is an R -submodule of L , the quotient module L/L_i is defined and $|L| = |L_i| |L/L_i|$. Thus we obtain that $|H_i| |L/L_i| = |p_i|^{n-r_i}$. We now show that L/L_i is an R/p_iR vector space. Let $\langle X \rangle = X + dR^n$ for X in R^n . Then $L/L_i = \{\langle X \rangle + L_i \mid X \in \mathcal{L}\}$. For r in R , let $\bar{r} = r + p_iR$ in R/p_iR . We define $\bar{r}(\langle X \rangle + L_i) = \langle rX \rangle + L_i$ for all r in R and X in \mathcal{L} . We claim that this is a well-defined R/p_iR multiplication on L/L_i . For let $\bar{r} = \bar{r}'$ and $\langle X \rangle + L_i = \langle X' \rangle + L_i$, where $r, r' \in R$ and $X, X' \in \mathcal{L}$. Then $r - r' \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$ and $\langle X \rangle - \langle X' \rangle \in L_i$, that is $\langle X - X' \rangle \in L_i$. Thus there exists Y in \mathcal{L}_i such that $\langle X - X' \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$. We must show that $\langle rX \rangle + L_i = \langle r'X' \rangle + L_i$, that is $\langle rX - r'X' \rangle \in L_i$. We write $rX - r'X' = (r - r')X + r'(X - X')$. However, $X - X' \equiv Y \pmod{d}$ and thus $r(X - X') \equiv rY \pmod{d}$. So $rX - r'X' \equiv (r - r')X + rY \pmod{d}$ and $(r - r')X + rY \in \mathcal{L}_i$. Hence $\langle rX - r'X' \rangle \in L_i$, which establishes the claim. It follows immediately that L/L_i is an R/p_iR vector space since L/L_i is an R -module.

Let s_i denote the dimension of the R/p_iR vector space L/L_i .

Then $|L/L_i| = |p_i|^{s_i}$ and as $|H_i| |L/L_i| = |p_i|^{n-r_i}$, we obtain that $|H_i| |p_i|^{s_i} = |p_i|^{n-r_i}$. Thus $0 \leq s_i \leq n - r_i$ and $|H_i| = |p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)}$, which completes the proof of (2).

(3) As $|L| = |L_i| |p_i|^{s_i}$, it is immediate that $s_i = 0$ if and only if $L = L_i$, that is if and only if for each X in \mathcal{L} there exists X' in \mathcal{L}_i such that $X' \equiv X \pmod{d}$.

(4) Suppose that $\text{GCD}(d, p_i) = 1$. Let $X \in \mathcal{L}$. By the Chinese remainder theorem there exists X' in R^n such that $X' \equiv X \pmod{d}$ and $X' \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$. Thus $AX' \equiv 0 \pmod{dp_i}$, so that $s_i = 0$ by (3).

(5) Let p be a prime dividing d and let $d = d_1 p$. Then $L = \{X + d_1 p R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}\}$. However as shown in the proof of (2), $|L| = |p|^{n-r_0} |L_0|$ where $r_0 = \text{rank } A \pmod{p}$ and $L_0 = \{X + d_1 R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}\}$. Thus if $|L| = 1$, then $n = \text{rank } A \pmod{p}$ for any prime $p \mid d$. The converse is trivial.

THEOREM 3. *Let R be a GCD domain. Let $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$ be solvable and suppose that $e = c/d$ is atomic. Let $A' = A/g$ and $d' = d/g$ where $g = \text{GCD}(A, d)$. Let $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ denote the minimum modulus of $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$ where $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is defined in (*) of Lemma 2. Suppose that $R/t_0 R$ is a finite ring. Let $L = \{X + d' R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}\}$ and $L_i = \{X + d' R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{d'p_i}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then*

$$(4.1) \quad N_{t_0} = |L| \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)})$$

where r_i denotes $\text{rank } A' \pmod{p_i}$ and s_i denotes the dimension of the $R/p_i R$ vector space L/L_i .

Proof. Let S denote the solution set of $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$. As $g = \text{GCD}(A, B, c)$, let $B' = B/g$. Then by Lemma 2, S is also the solution set of $\text{GCD}(A'X + B, d'e_0) = d'$ where $e_0 = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of X in R^n such that $A'X + B' \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}$. Let \mathcal{S}_i denote the set of X in R^n such that $A'X + B' \equiv 0 \pmod{d'p_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. It is clear that $S = \mathcal{S} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{S}_i$. Let $T_0 = \{X + t_0 R^n \mid X \in S\}$. Then $|T_0|$ is what we have denoted by N_{t_0} . Also let $T = \{X + t_0 R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and $T_i = \{X + t_0 R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{S}_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence $T_0 = T \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k T_i$ and by the method of inclusion and exclusion

$$(4.2) \quad N_{t_0} = |T_0| = \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} |T_I|$$

where the summation is over all subsets I of

$$I_k = \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ and } T_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} T_i.$$

Now let $\mathcal{S}_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{S}_i$ and $d'_I = d' \prod_{i \in I} p_i$ for each subset I of

I_k . Then it is easy to see that \mathcal{S}_I is the set of X in R^n such that $A'X + B' \equiv 0 \pmod{d'_I}$ and $T_I = \{X + t_0 R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{S}_I\}$. Let $T'_I = \{X + d'_I R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{S}_I\}$ and let $I' = I_k \setminus I$. Then $|T_I| = |T'_I| \prod_{i \in I'} |p_i|^n$, since $X + d'_I R^n$ consists of $|t_0/d'_I|^n = \prod_{i \in I'} |p_i|^n$ distinct classes of $R^n \pmod{t_0}$.

Let \mathcal{S}_I denote the set of X in R^n such that $A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{d'_I}$. Let $L'_I = \{X + d'_I R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{S}_I\}$. As \mathcal{S}_i is nonempty for $i = 1, \dots, k$, an argument involving the Chinese remainder theorem shows that each \mathcal{S}_I is nonempty. Hence it follows that $|T'_I| = |L'_I|$. Let $L = \{X + d' R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{S}_\emptyset\}$ and $L_i = \{X + d' R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{S}_{\{i\}}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then (1) and (2) of Lemma 3 yield that $|L'_I| = |L| \prod_{i \in I} |p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)}$ where $r_i = \text{rank } A' \pmod{p_i}$ and $s_i = \text{dimension of the } R/p_i R \text{ vector space } L/L_i$.

Hence by (4.2),

$$N_{t_0} = |L| \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} |p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)} \prod_{i \in I'} |p_i|^n$$

where the summation is over all subsets I of I_k and $I' = I_k \setminus I$. Thus we may write

$$N_{t_0} = |L| \prod_{i=1}^k |p_i|^n \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} |p_i|^{-(r_i+s_i)}$$

where the summation is over all subsets I of I_k . However,

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (1 - |p_i|^{-(r_i+s_i)}) = \sum_I (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} |p_i|^{-(r_i+s_i)},$$

which yields the formula (4.1) for N_{t_0} . This completes the proof of the theorem.

We remark that if $p_i^{m_i}$ is the highest power of p_i dividing d' , then s_i is also the dimension of the $R/p_i R$ vector space K_i^0/K_i where $K_i^0 = \{X + p_i^{m_i} R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{m_i}}\}$ and

$$K_i = \{X + p_i^{m_i} R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{m_i+1}}\}.$$

Also note that $r_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

In Corollaries 1 and 2, the notation is the same as in Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$ be solvable and suppose that $e = c/d$ is atomic. Let $R/t_0 R$ be finite where $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is the minimum modulus of $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$.*

(i) *If $\text{GCD}(d', e) = 1$, then*

$$(4.3) \quad N_{t_0} = |L| \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-r_i}).$$

(ii) *If $|L| = 1$, then*

$$(4.4) \quad N_{t_0} = \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-r_i}),$$

where $r_i = n$ if $p_i \mid d'$.

(iii) If $n' = \text{rank } A'(\text{mod } p_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, where n' denotes the smaller of m and n , then

$$(4.5) \quad N_{t_0} = |L| \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-n'}).$$

(iv) $N_{t_0} = 1$ if and only if (a) $|L| = 1$ and there exists no prime $p \mid e$ such that $AX + B \equiv 0(\text{mod } dp)$ is solvable, or (b) $n = 1$ and $|p| = 2$ for any prime $p \mid e$ such that $AX + B \equiv 0(\text{mod } dp)$ is solvable.

Proof.

(i) If $\text{GCD}(d', p_i) = 1$, then (4) of Lemma 3 shows that $s_i = 0$ in (4.1). Hence if $\text{GCD}(d', e) = 1$, then $s_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, which yields (4.3).

(ii) Suppose that $|L| = 1$. If $p_i \mid d'$, then $n = r_i$ by (5) of Lemma 3 and thus $s_i = 0$ since $s_i \leq n - r_i$. However if $\text{GCD}(d', p_i) = 1$, then $s_i = 0$, so that (4.4) is immediate from (4.1).

In particular if $d = 1$, then N_{t_0} is given by (4.4). If A' is invertible (mod d'), then (4.4) also applies.

(iii) If $n = r_i$, then $s_i = 0$. If $m = r_i$, then the criterion in (3) shows that $s_i = 0$. Thus (4.5) follows from (4.1).

(iv) Suppose that $N_{t_0} = 1$. Then by (4.1), $|L| = 1$ and thus $s_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. If p_i is a prime dividing e such that $AX + B \equiv 0(\text{mod } dp_i)$ is solvable, then $|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-r_i} = 1$, so that $n = r_i = 1$ and $|p_i| = 2$. Thus either (a) or (b) holds. Conversely if (a) holds, then $N_{t_0} = 1$. If $n = 1$, then clearly $|L| = 1$ and hence (b) implies that $N_{t_0} = 1$.

COROLLARY 2. Let $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$ be solvable and let $e = c/d$. Suppose that R/cR is a finite ring. Then

$$(4.6) \quad N_c = |L| |ge|^n \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - |p_i|^{-(r_i + s_i)}).$$

Proof. Since R/cR is finite, e is atomic. Thus $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is the minimum modulus of $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$. Also R/t_0R is finite since $t_0 \mid c$, so that N_{t_0} is given by (4.1). However $N_c = |c/t_0|^n N_{t_0}$, which yields the result (4.6).

COROLLARY 3. Suppose that R/cR is a finite ring. Then $\text{GCD}(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + b, c) = d$ is solvable if and only if $d \mid c$ and $\text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n, d) = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n, b, c)$. Let $a'_j = a_j/g$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$

where $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n, d)$. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ be a maximal set of nonassociated prime divisors of $e = c/d$ such that $\text{GCD}(a'_i, \dots, a'_n, p_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$(4.7) \quad N_c = |c|^{n-1} |ge| \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - |p_i|^{-1}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $c = de$ and $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n, b, c)$. Since R/cR is finite, d is atomic and R/pR is a finite field for any prime $p \mid d$. Hence as $g \mid b$, a standard argument shows that $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + b \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable and has $|g| |d|^{n-1}$ distinct solutions \pmod{d} . Thus $\text{GCD}(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + b, c) = d$ is solvable since e is atomic. Let $d' = d/g$ and $b' = b/g$. Since $\text{GCD}(a'_i, \dots, a'_n, d'p_i) = 1$ and $R/d'p_iR$ is finite, $a'_1x_1 + \dots + a'_nx_n + b' \equiv 0 \pmod{d'p_i}$ is solvable for $i = 1, \dots, k$. It follows that $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is the minimum modulus of $\text{GCD}(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + b, c) = d$. Let A' denote the $1 \times n$ matrix (a'_1, \dots, a'_n) . Then $\text{rank } A' \pmod{p_i} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Also $a_1x_1 + \dots + a'_nx_n \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}$ has $|d'|^{n-1}$ distinct solutions $\pmod{d'}$. Thus by (iii) of Corollary 1,

$$N_{t_0} = |d'|^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-1}),$$

which yields (4.7).

COROLLARY 4. Suppose that R/cR is a finite ring where $c = de$. Let $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_m, d)$ and $a'_i = a_i/g$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $\text{GCD}(a_1x + b_1, \dots, a_mx + b_m, c) = d$ is solvable if and only if

- (1) $\text{GCD}(a_i, d) \mid b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$,
- (2) $a'_ib_j \equiv a'_jb_i \pmod{d}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$,
- (3) $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m, c)$.

Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ be a maximal set of nonassociated prime divisors of e such that for each p_h , $\text{GCD}(a_i, dp_h) \mid b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $a'_i \equiv a'_jb_i \pmod{dp_h}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Then

$$N_c = |ge| \prod_{h=1}^k (1 - |p_h|^{-1}).$$

Proof. Let A and B denote the $m \times 1$ matrices with entries a_1, \dots, a_m and b_1, \dots, b_m respectively. Since R/dR is finite, the reader may easily verify that the system $Ax + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable if and only if (1) and (2) hold. Thus as e is atomic, $\text{GCD}(Ax + B, c) = d$ is solvable if and only if (1), (2), and (3) hold. Let $\text{GCD}(Ax + B, c) = d$ be solvable and let $d' = d/g$. Then it follows that $t_0 = d' \prod_{h=1}^k p_h$ is the minimum modulus of $\text{GCD}(Ax + B, c) = d$. Let A' denote the $m \times 1$ matrix with entries a'_1, \dots, a'_m . Then $\text{rank } A' \pmod{p_i} = 1$ for

$i = 1, \dots, k$. Also the system $A'x \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}$ has only the solution $x \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}$. Thus by (iii) of Corollary 1, $N_{t_0} = \prod_{h=1}^k (|p_h| - 1)$. Hence $N_c = |ge| \prod_{h=1}^k (1 - |p_h|^{-1})$.

COROLLARY 5. *Let $c = de$ where e is atomic. Let $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n, d)$ and $d' = d/g$. Suppose that $R/d'R$ is a finite ring. Then $\text{GCD}(a_1x_1 + b_1, \dots, a_nx_n + b_n, c) = d$ is solvable if and only if $\text{GCD}(a_j, d) \mid b_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c)$. Suppose that $R/(\prod_{i=1}^k p_i)R$ is finite where $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is a maximal set of nonassociated prime divisors of e such that for each p_i , $\text{GCD}(a_j, dp_i) \mid b_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is the minimum modulus of $\text{GCD}(a_1x_1 + b_1, \dots, a_nx_n + b_n, c) = d$. Let $d_j = \text{GCD}(a_j, d)$ and $d'_j = d_j/g$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

$$(4.8) \quad N_{t_0} = \prod_{j=1}^n |d'_j| \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-t_i})$$

where t_i denotes the number of j in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for which

$$\text{GCD}\left(\frac{a_j}{d_j}, p_i\right) = 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that $d_j \mid b_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $a'_j = a_j/g$ and $b'_j = b_j/g$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let A and A' denote the $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with entries a_1, \dots, a_n and a'_1, \dots, a'_n respectively. Let B and B' denote the $n \times 1$ matrices with entries b_1, \dots, b_n and b'_1, \dots, b'_n respectively. Then the system $A'X + B' \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}$ is solvable since $\text{GCD}(a'_j, d') \mid b'_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $R/d'R$ is finite. Thus the system $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable. Hence if $g = \text{GCD}(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c)$, then $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable.

Assume that $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable. It follows that $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is the minimum modulus of $\text{GCD}(AX + B, c) = d$. Let $L = \{X + d'R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{d'}\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \{X \in R^n \mid A'X \equiv 0 \pmod{d'p_i}\}$$

and $L_i = \{X + d'R^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then by (4.1),

$$N_{t_0} = |L| \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)})$$

where $r_i = \text{rank } A' \pmod{p_i}$ and s_i is the dimension of the R/p_iR vector space L/L_i . Clearly $|L| = \prod_{j=1}^n |d'_j|$ since $d'_j = \text{GCD}(a'_j, d')$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $L'_i = \{X + d'p_iR^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}_i\}$ and $H_i = \{X + p_iR^n \mid X \in \mathcal{L}_i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then (1) and (2) of Lemma 3 show that $|L'_i| = |L| |H_i|$ where $|H_i| = |p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. However, $\text{GCD}(a'_j, d'p_i) = d'_j \text{GCD}(a_j/d_j, p_i)$ and thus

$$|L'_i| = |L| \prod_{j=1}^n \left| GCD\left(\frac{a_j}{d_j}, p_i\right) \right|$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence $|p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)} = \prod_{j=1}^n |GCD(a_j/d_j, p_i)|$ and thus $|p_i|^{n-(r_i+s_i)} = |p_i|^{n-t_i}$, since t_i is the number of j in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $GCD(a_j/d_j, p_i) = 1$. So $t_i = r_i + s_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, which yields (4.8).

Note that if R/cR is finite, then

$$N_c = \prod_{j=1}^n |d_j e| \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - |p_i|^{-t_i}).$$

COROLLARY 6. *Let R be a principal ideal domain. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix of rank r and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be the invariant factors of A . Let B be an $m \times 1$ matrix and let $(A: B)$ have rank r' and invariant factors $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r'}$. Then $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable if and only if (1) $d | c$, (2) $GCD(\alpha_i, d) = GCD(\beta_i, c)$, (3) $GCD(\alpha_j, d) = GCD(\beta_j, d)$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and $\beta_{r'} \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ if $r' = r + 1$.*

Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ be a maximal set of nonassociated prime divisors of $e = c/d$ such that each p_i satisfies (3') $GCD(\alpha_j, dp_i) = GCD(\beta_j, dp_i)$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and $\beta_{r'} \equiv 0 \pmod{dp_i}$ if $r' = r + 1$. Let $d_j = GCD(\alpha_j, d)$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$ and $d' = d/d_1$. Then $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is the minimum modulus of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$. Suppose that R/t_0R is finite. Then

$$(4.9) \quad N_{t_0} = |d'|^{n-r} \prod_{j=1}^r |d'_j| \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-t_i})$$

where $d'_j = d_j/d_1$ and t_i denotes the largest j in $\{1, \dots, r\}$ for which $GCD(\alpha_j/d_j, p_i) = 1$.

Proof. Since R is a principal ideal domain, it is well-known that there exist invertible matrices P and Q such that $PAQ = A_0$ where A_0 is an $m \times n$ matrix in "diagonal form", with nonzero entries $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ and $\alpha_j | \alpha_{j'}$ if $j < j'$. The elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are called the invariant factors of A and $\alpha_j = D_j/D_{j-1}$ where D_j denotes the GCD of the determinants of all the $j \times j$ submatrices of A . Clearly $GCD(A, d) = GCD(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, d)$, that is $GCD(A, d) = GCD(\alpha_1, d)$ since $\alpha_1 | \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Similarly $GCD(A, B, c) = GCD(\beta_1, c)$. However, it is also well-known that the system $AX + B \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ is solvable if and only if condition (3) holds (see [4]). Thus $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ is solvable if and only if (1), (2), and (3) hold.

Let $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ be solvable and let $c = de$. Then $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is the minimum modulus of $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$. Suppose that R/t_0R is finite. Let S denote the set of X in R^n such

that $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$. Let $PB = B_0$ and let S' denote the set of Y in R^n such that $GCD(A_0Y + B_0, c) = d$. Then clearly $X \in S$ if and only if $Y = Q^{-1}X \in S'$. Thus $GCD(AX + B, c) = d$ and $GCD(A_0Y + B_0, c) = d$ have the same ideal of solution moduli. Let $T_0 = \{X + t_0R^n \mid X \in S\}$ and $T'_0 = \{Y + t_0R^n \mid Y \in S'\}$. Then the mapping $f: T_0 \rightarrow T'_0$ is a bijection, where $f(X + t_0R^n) = Q^{-1}X + t_0R^n$ for all X in S . Hence $|T_0| = |T'_0|$, that is $N_{t_0} = |T'_0|$. Let B_0 have entries b_1^0, \dots, b_m^0 and let $c_0 = GCD(b_{r+1}^0, \dots, b_m^0, c)$. Then S' is the set of solutions of the linear GCD equation

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &GCD(\alpha_1 y_1 + b_1^0, \dots, \alpha_r y_r + b_r^0, 0 \cdot y_{r+1} + 0, \\ &\dots, 0 \cdot y_n + 0, c_0) = d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $t_0 = d' \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ is also the minimum modulus of (4.10) and hence by (4.8) of Corollary 5,

$$N_{t_0} = |d'|^{n-r} \prod_{j=1}^r |d'_j| \prod_{i=1}^k (|p_i|^n - |p_i|^{n-t_i})$$

where $d'_j = d_j/d_1$ and t_i is the largest j in $\{1, \dots, r\}$ for which $GCD(\alpha_j/d_j, p_i) = 1$ since $\alpha_j/d_j \mid \alpha_{j'}/d_{j'}$ if $j < j'$.

If R/cR is finite, then

$$N_c = |c|^{n-r} \prod_{j=1}^r |d_j e| \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - |p_i|^{-t_i}).$$

Finally we remark that the formula for N_{t_0} in (4.1) applies to the class \mathcal{D} of GCD domains R which contain at least one element p such that R/pR is a finite field. Some immediate examples are the integers \mathbb{Z} , the localizations $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ at primes p in \mathbb{Z} and $F[X]$ where F is a finite field.

However, an example of such a ring R in \mathcal{D} which is not a PID is the subring R of $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ consisting of all polynomials whose constant term is in \mathbb{Z} . Indeed R is a Bezout domain which cannot be expressed as an ascending union of PID 's [1]. Clearly if p is a prime in \mathbb{Z} , then R/pR is isomorphic to the finite field $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

We are also indebted to Professor W. Heinzer for the following construction of a ring R in \mathcal{D} which is a UFD but not a PID . Let F be a finite field. Let Y be an element of the formal power series ring $F[[X]]$ such that X and Y are algebraically independent over F . Let V denote the rank one discrete valuation ring $F[[X]] \cap F(X, Y)$ and let $R = F[X, Y][1/X] \cap V$. Then R/XR is isomorphic to F and R is a UFD .

REFERENCES

1. P. M. Cohn, *Bezout rings and their subrings*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **64** (1968), 251-264.

2. D. Jacobson and K. S. Williams, *On the solution of linear GCD equations*, Pacific J. Math., **39** (1971), 187-206.
3. I. Kaplansky, *Elementary divisors and modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **66** (1949), 464-491.
4. H. J. S. Smith, *On systems of linear indeterminate equations and congruences*, Phil. Trans. London, **151** (1861), 293-326. (Collected Mathematical Papers Vol. **1**, Chelsea, N.Y.), (1965), 367-409.
5. R. Spira, *Elementary problem no. E1730*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **72** (1965), 907.

Received February 22, 1974 and in revised form September 3, 1974. This research was supported in part by NRC Grant-A8749.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW BRUNSWICK

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Robert Lee Anderson, <i>Continuous spectra of a singular symmetric differential operator on a Hilbert space of vector-valued functions</i>	1
Michael James Cambern, <i>The isometries of $L^p(X, K)$</i>	9
R. H. Cameron and David Arne Storvick, <i>Two related integrals over spaces of continuous functions</i>	19
Gary Theodore Chartrand and Albert David Polimeni, <i>Ramsey theory and chromatic numbers</i>	39
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Characterization of collectively compact sets of linear operators</i>	45
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Semi-groups and collectively compact sets of linear operators</i>	55
George Epstein and Alfred Horn, <i>Chain based lattices</i>	65
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the irrationality of certain series</i> . . .	85
Zdeněk Frolík, <i>Measurable uniform spaces</i>	93
Stephen Michael Gagola, Jr., <i>Characters fully ramified over a normal subgroup</i>	107
Frank Larkin Gilfeather, <i>Operator valued roots of abelian analytic functions</i>	127
D. S. Goel, A. S. B. Holland, Cyril Nasim and B. N. Sahney, <i>Best approximation by a saturation class of polynomial operators</i>	149
James Secord Howland, <i>Puiseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue</i>	157
David Jacobson, <i>Linear GCD equations</i>	177
P. H. Karvellas, <i>A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices</i>	195
Allan Morton Krall, <i>Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II</i>	207
D. G. Larman, <i>On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets</i>	219
S. N. Mukhopadhyay, <i>On the regularity of the P^n-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series</i>	233
Dwight Webster Read, <i>On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras</i>	249
David Francis Rearick, <i>Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series</i>	277
Indranand Sinha, <i>Characteristic ideals in group algebras</i>	285
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Homomorphisms of Riesz spaces</i>	289
Kunio Yamagata, <i>The exchange property and direct sums of indecomposable injective modules</i>	301