Pacific Journal of Mathematics

STIELTJES DIFFERENTIAL-BOUNDARY OPERATORS. II

ALLAN MORTON KRALL

Vol. 55, No. 1

September 1974

STIELTJES DIFFERENTIAL-BOUNDARY OPERATORS, II

Allan M. Krall

The differential boundary system

$$Ly = (y + H[Cy(0) + Dy(1)] + H_1 \Psi)' + Py$$
 ,
 $Ay(0) + By(1) + \int_0^1 dK(t)y(t) = 0$,
 $\int_0^1 dK_1(t)y(t) = 0$,

and its adjoint system are written as Stieltjes integral equation systems with end point boundary conditions. Fundamental matrices are exhibited and, from these, a spectral analysis and a Green's matrix are produced. These are used to achieve spectral resolutions in both self-adjoint and nonself-adjoint situations.

1. Introduction. This article is a continuation of [2] and [6] which showed the density of the domain of L in $\mathscr{L}_n^p[0, 1], 1 \leq p < \infty$, when the boundary functionals satisfied certain conditions, and which derived the dual operator in $\mathscr{L}_n^q[0, 1], 1/p + 1/q = 1$, in those circumstances. Rather than repeat those results, we prefer to refer the reader to the articles mentioned. For our purposes here it is sufficient to state that y is an n dimensional vector in $\mathscr{L}_n^p[0, 1]$; A and B are $m \times n$ matrices, $m \leq 2n$, such that rank (A:B) = m; C and D are (2n-m) imes n matrices such that $inom{A}{C} inom{B}{D}$ is nonsingular; K is an $m \times n$ matrix valued function of bounded variation such that the measure it generates satisfies dK(0) = A, dK(1) = B; K_1 is an $r \times n$ matrix valued function of bounded variation which is not absolutely continuous, satisfying $dK_1(0) = 0$, $dK_1(1) = 0$; H and H_1 are, respectively, $n \times (2n - m)$ and $n \times s$ matrix valued functions of bounded variation, H_1 not absolutely continuous; P is a continuous $n \times n$ matrix; and, finally, Ψ is an s dimensional constant vector.

Because we wish to exhibit the contributions of K, K_1 , H, H_1 at 0 and 1 separately, integrals involving their resulting measures will not include contributions at 0 or 1. At all other points, however, we do assume that these functions are regular as defined by Hildebrandt [4]. This results in considerable simplification throughout. Of course, all integrals are Lebesgue or Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals.

It is convenient to note that the adjoint system has the form

$$L^{*}z = -(z + K^{*}[\widetilde{A}z(0) + \widetilde{B}z(1)] + K^{*}_{_{1}}\phi)' + P^{*}z$$
 ,

$$\widetilde{C}z(0)\,+\,\widetilde{D}z(1)\,+\,\int_{_{0}}^{^{1}}dH^{*}(t)z(t)\,=\,0$$
 , $\int_{_{0}}^{^{1}}dH_{^{1}}^{*}(t)z(t)\,=\,0$,

where ϕ is an r dimensional constant vector, and \widetilde{A} , \widetilde{B} , \widetilde{C} , \widetilde{D} satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\widetilde{A}^* & -\widetilde{C}^* \\ \widetilde{B}^* & \widetilde{D}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\widetilde{A}^* & -\widetilde{C}^* \\ \widetilde{B}^* & \widetilde{D}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = I_{2n} \ .$$

2. Integral equation representation. Let us make the following definitions. Let

$$egin{aligned} &\xi_1 = y \;, \ &\xi_2 = Ay(0) + \int_0^t dK(x)y(x) \;, \ &\xi_3 = Cy(0) + Dy(1) \;, \ &\xi_4 = \int_0^t dK_1(x)y(x) \;, \ &\xi_5 = \Psi \;. \end{aligned}$$

Then the equation Ly = 0, together with the boundary conditions is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}_1 \\ \hat{\xi}_2 \\ \hat{\xi}_3 \\ \hat{\xi}_4 \\ \hat{\xi}_5 \end{pmatrix} (t) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}_1 \\ \hat{\xi}_2 \\ \hat{\xi}_3 \\ \hat{\xi}_4 \\ \hat{\xi}_5 \end{pmatrix} (0) + \int_0^t d \begin{pmatrix} -Q & 0 & -H & 0 & -H_1 \\ K & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ K_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (x) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}_1 \\ \hat{\xi}_2 \\ \hat{\xi}_3 \\ \hat{\xi}_4 \\ \hat{\xi}_5 \end{pmatrix} (x) ,$$

where $Q(t) = \int_0^t P(x) dx$,

If M(t) represents the Stieltjes measure in the integral equation, then Hildebrandt's $\Delta M^{\pm}(t)$ has zero entries along the diagonal. Hence $I \pm \Delta M^{\pm}$ is always nonsingular.

The adjoint system $L^*z = 0$, together with the boundary conditions is

These representations should be compared to those found in [5] which they generalize under certain conditions.

In addition we note that the problem $Ly = \lambda y$ has a similar representation. The only change necessary is to replace $Q(t) = \int_0^t P(x)dx$ by $Q(t) - \lambda t$. The nonhomogeneous problem Ly = f has a representation as a nonhomogeneous integral equation with an additional term

$$F(t) = \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (x) dx$$

on the right side.

3. Fundamental matrices. We can express the homogeneous integral problem generated by $(L - \lambda I)y = 0$ together with the boundary conditions in a more compact way by the expressions

$$egin{aligned} \hat{\xi}(t) &= \hat{\xi}(0) + \int_{_{0}}^{t} dM_{\lambda}(x) \hat{\xi}x \;, \ R \hat{\xi}(0) + S \hat{\xi}(1) &= 0 \;; \end{aligned}$$

likewise the adjoint system by

$$egin{aligned} \eta(t) &= \eta(0) - \int_0^t dM^*_\lambda(x)\eta(x) \;, \ &\widetilde{R}\eta(0) + \,\widetilde{S}\eta(1) = 0 \;. \end{aligned}$$

We shall assume in addition that $M_{\lambda}(t)$ is regular:

$$egin{aligned} M_{\lambda}(t) &= 1/2[M_{\lambda}(t+) + \, M_{\lambda}(t-)] \;, \ M(0) &= M(0+) \;, \qquad M(1) = M(1-) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hildebrandt [4] and Vejvoda and Tvrdy [8] have shown that under these conditions the first integral equation has a solution given by $\hat{\xi}(t) = U_{\lambda}(0, t)\hat{\xi}(0)$, where $U_{\lambda}(s, t)$ is the uniform limit of Picard-like approximations beginning with I (hence U_{λ} is analytic in λ) satisfying

$$U_{\lambda}(s, t) = I + \int_{s}^{t} dM_{\lambda}(x) U_{\lambda}(s, x) .$$

 U_{λ} has the additional properties $U_{\lambda}(t, t) = I$, and $U_{\lambda}(r, t)U_{\lambda}(s, r) = U_{\lambda}(s, t)$. U_{λ} is therefore a fundamental matrix when M_{λ} is absolutely continuous.

Similarly the adjoint equation has a solution given by $\eta(t) = V_{2*}(0, t)\eta(0)$, where $V_{3*}(s, t)$ satisfies

$$V_{\lambda*}(s, t) = I - \int_s^t dM_\lambda^*(x) \, V_{\lambda*}(s, x)$$
 ,

 $V_{\lambda^*}(t, t) = I, \ V_{\lambda^*}(r, t) V_{\lambda^*}(s, r) = V_{\lambda^*}(s, t).$

Since M_{λ} is regular, it is possible to show that U_{λ} and V_{λ^*} are related through the formula

$$U_{\lambda}(s, t) = V_{\lambda}(t, s)$$
.

Hence $U_{\lambda}(s, t)^{-1} = V_{\lambda}(s, t)$. Regularity, however, is not inherited from M_{λ} unless $(\varDelta^+ M_{\lambda})^2 \equiv 0$. This occurs only when $\varDelta^+ K \varDelta^+ H \equiv 0$, $\varDelta^+ K_1 \varDelta^+ H \equiv 0$, $\varDelta^+ K \varDelta^+ H_1 \equiv 0$, $\varDelta^+ K_1 \varDelta^+ H_1 \equiv 0$, and will not be necessary.

The fundamental matrices U_{λ} and V_{λ} may be easily calculated in the same way as was done in [5]. If Y(t) is a fundamental matrix for Y' + PY = 0 satisfying Y(0) = I, and

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{H}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda x} Y(t) Y(x)^{-1} dH(x) \;, \ \mathscr{H}_{1}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\lambda x} Y(t) Y(t)^{-1} dH_{1}(x) \;, \ \mathscr{H}_{1}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} dK(x) e^{\lambda x} Y(x) \;, \ \mathscr{H}_{1}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} dK(x) e^{\lambda x} Y(x) \;, \ \mathscr{H}_{1}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} dK(z) \int_{0}^{z} e^{\lambda(z-x)} Y(z) Y(x)^{-1} dH(x) \;, \ \mathscr{H}_{01}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} dK(z) \int_{0}^{z} e^{\lambda(z-x)} Y(z) Y(x)^{-1} dH_{1}(x) \;, \ \mathscr{H}_{10}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} dK_{1}(z) \int_{0}^{z} e^{\lambda(z-x)} Y(z) Y(x)^{-1} dH(x) \;, \ \mathscr{H}_{11}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} dK_{1}(z) \int_{0}^{z} e^{\lambda(z-x)} Y(z) Y(x)^{-1} dH_{1}(x) \;, \end{split}$$

and $\mathscr{M}(t)$, $\mathscr{M}_{01}(t)$, $\mathscr{M}_{10}(t)$, $\mathscr{M}_{11}(t)$ are defined by the same formulae as $\mathscr{L}(t)$, $\mathscr{L}_{01}(t)$, $\mathscr{L}_{10}(t)$, $\mathscr{L}_{11}(t)$ with only the limits of integration with respect to x changed to from z to t, then

$$U_{\lambda}(0, t) = egin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} Y(t) & 0 & -e^{\lambda t} \mathscr{H}(t) & 0 & -e^{\lambda t} \mathscr{H}_{1}(t) \ \mathscr{K}(t) & I & -\mathscr{L}(t) & 0 & -\mathscr{L}_{01}(t) \ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \ \mathscr{H}_{1}(t) & 0 & -\mathscr{L}_{10}(t) & I & -\mathscr{L}_{11}(t) \ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \ \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$V_{\lambda}(0,\,t) = egin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda t}\,Y(t)^{-1} & 0 & Y(t)^{-1}\mathscr{H}(t) & 0 & Y(t)\mathscr{H}_1(t) \ -\mathscr{H}(t)e^{-\lambda t}\,Y(t)^{-1} & I & -\mathscr{M}(t) & 0 & -\mathscr{M}_{01}(t) \ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \ -\mathscr{H}_1(t)e^{-\lambda t}\,Y(t)^{-1} & 0 & -\mathscr{M}_{10}(t) & I & -\mathscr{M}_{11}(t) \ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \ \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying the boundary condition of U_{λ} the following theorem immediately follows.

THEOREM 3.1. If Y(t) is a fundamental matrix for Y' + PY = 0 satisfying Y(0) = I, then the system

$$Ly = \lambda y$$
 , $Ay(0) + By(1) + \int_0^1 dK(t)y(t) = 0$, $\int_0^1 dK_1(t)y(t) = 0$

is compatible if and only if the rank of

$$egin{pmatrix} A & -I & 0 & 0 & 0 \ Be^{\lambda}Y(1)+\mathscr{K}(1) & I - Be^{\lambda}\mathscr{H}(1)-\mathscr{L}(1) & 0 - Be^{\lambda}\mathscr{H}_1(1)-\mathscr{L}_{01}(1) \ De^{\lambda}Y(1)+C & 0 - De^{\lambda}\mathscr{H}(1)-I & 0 - De^{\lambda}\mathscr{H}_1(1) \ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \ \mathscr{K}_1(1) & 0 & -\mathscr{L}_{10}(1) & I & -\mathscr{L}_{11}(1) \ \end{pmatrix}$$

is less than 3n + r + s. If m = n, the system is compatible if and only if the determinant of the matrix above is zero.

We shall assume throughout the remainder of this article that m = n in order to derive eigenfunction expansions under various conditions.

,

4. The Green's matrix. Whenever the homogeneous problem is not comparable, the nonhomogeneous problem possesses a unique solution generated by a Green's matrix, just as is the case for the regular Sturm-Liouville problem. Hildebrandt [4] shows that the solution to

$$egin{aligned} \hat{\xi}(t) &= \int_{_0}^{_t} dM_\lambda(s) \hat{\xi}(s) + \mathscr{F}(t) \;, \ \hat{\xi}(0) &= \mathscr{F}(0) \end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$\xi(t) = U_{\lambda}(0, t) \mathscr{F}(0) + \int_{0}^{t} U_{\lambda}(s, t) d\mathscr{F}(s)$$

whenever $\Delta^{\pm} \mathscr{F} \equiv 0$. Since in our situation $\mathscr{F}(t) = F(t) + \xi(0)$, where F(t) is absolutely continuous, $F'(t) = f_0(t) = (f(t), 0 \cdots 0)^T$, we find that the solution can be expressed by

$$\xi(t) = U_{\lambda}(t, 0)y(0) + \int_{0}^{t} U_{\lambda}(s, t)f_{0}(s)ds$$

If $\xi(1)$ is calculated and $R\xi(0) + S\xi(1)$ is set equal to 0, $\xi(0)$ is determined, and the solution takes the form

$$\hat{\xi}(t) = \int_0^1 \mathscr{G}_{\lambda}(s,\,t) f_0(s) ds$$
 ,

where the Green's function \mathcal{G} is given by

$${\mathscr G}_{2}(s, t) = U(0, t)[R + SU_{2}(0, 1)]^{-1}RU_{2}(0, s)^{-1}, s < t , \ = -U(0, t)[R + SU_{2}(0, 1)]^{-1}SU_{2}(0, 1)U_{2}(0, s)^{-1}, s > t .$$

This is the same formula as that encountered in the regular Sturm-Liouville problem. The Green's function \mathcal{G} possesses the properties, including the adjoint properties, usually attributed to Green's functions.

We note in particular that λ is in the spectrum of the operator L if and only if

$$\det [R + SU_{\lambda}(0, 1)] = 0.$$

Since $[R + SU_{\lambda}(0, 1)]$ is analytic in λ , this implies that either the entire complex plane is in the point spectrum of L, or else the spectrum of L consists only of isolated eigenvalues, accumulating only at ∞ .

5. Self-adjoint Stieltjes differential-boundary expansions. It was shown earlier in [6] that the operator T = iL is self-adjoint in

 $\mathcal{L}_n^2[0, 1]$ if and only if

- 1. $P^* = -P$ 2. m = n, r = s.
- 3. $K = [BD^* AC^*]H^*$ a.e.
- 4. $AA^* = BB^*$
- 5. $H[CC^* DD^*] = 0$ a.e.
- 6. $K_1 = MH_1^*$, where M is a nonsingular $r \times r$ matrix.

This being the case, then the spectrum of T is contained in the real axis. Every point with nonzero imaginary part lies in the resolvent. This implies that det $[R + U_{\lambda}(0, 1)S] = 0$ only at isolated real points with ∞ their only limit. An application of the spectral resolution theorem for self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space results in the following.

THEOREM 5.1. If T is self-adjoint, then

1. The spectrum of T consists of a denumerable set of real eigenvalues, accumulating only at ∞ .

2. Each eigenvalue corresponds to at most n eigenfunctions. Eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

3. For each complex number λ , not an eigenvalue, $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ exists and can be represented by a unique linear integral operator

$$(T-\lambda I)^{-1}f(t)=\int_0^1 G_\lambda(s,\,t)f(s)ds\;.$$

4. The Green's function $G_{\lambda}(s, t)$ satisfies

a. As a function of $t, s \neq t$,

$$(T - \lambda I)G_{\lambda}(s, t) = 0$$
.

b.
$$AG_{\lambda}(s, 0) + BG_{\lambda}(s, 1) + \int_{0}^{1} dK(t)G_{\lambda}(s, t) = 0$$

a.e. in s.

- c. $\int_{0}^{1} dK_{1}(t)G_{2}(s, t) = 0$ a.e. in s.
- d. $G_{\lambda}(t, s) = G_{\lambda}^{*}(s, t)$ a.e. in s and t.
- e. The eigenfunctions of T are complete in $\mathcal{L}_n^2[0, 1]$.

If those corresponding to the same eigenvalue have been made orthonormal (denote them by $\{y_i\}_{i}^{\infty}$), then for all f in $\mathscr{L}_n^2[0, 1]$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f, y_i) y_i$$
.

Operators self-adjoint under a transformation are substantially more complex and will be discussed in a subsequent paper. At this point the existence of such a transformation except in trivial cases is doubtful. 6. Nonself-adjoint Stieltjes differential-boundary expansions. Expansions for nonself-adjoint systems have been derived in certain earlier circumstances. First, for the case where H = 0, $H_1 = 0$, $K_1 = 0$ or when H = 0, $H_1 = 0$, K = 0 (the adjoint of the former), an expansion was derived in [2] using familiar techniques. Second, when $H_1 = 0$, $K_1 = 0$ (so r = 0, s = 0) and H and K are absolutely continuous, an expansion was derived in [5].

In the present situation troubles arise. The bottom terms in the matrix of Theorem 3.1 do not all asymptotically have nice limits as Re $(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$, a necessary sort of condition previously. For example, when

$$K_{j/6}(t) = 0,\, 0 \leq t < rac{j}{6}$$
 , $= 1, rac{j}{6} < t \leq 1$,

the system

$$egin{aligned} Ly&=(y+K_{\scriptscriptstyle 1/6}[y(0)-y(1)]+K_{\scriptscriptstyle 2/6}arphi)'\ y(0)+y(1)+\int_{_0}^{_1}dK_{\scriptscriptstyle 3/6}y&=0\ ,\ &\int_{_0}^{_1}d[K_{\scriptscriptstyle 4/6}+K_{\scriptscriptstyle 5/6}]y&=0\ , \end{aligned}$$

has eigenvalues which are zeros of the determinant of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e^{\lambda} + e^{3\lambda/6} & 1 & -e^{5\lambda/6} - e^{2\lambda/6} & 0 & -e^{4\lambda/6} - e^{\lambda/6} \\ e^{\lambda} + 1 & 0 & -e^{5\lambda/6} - e^{2\lambda/6} & 0 & -e^{4\lambda/6} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ e^{4\lambda/6} + e^{5\lambda/6} & 0 & -e^{3\lambda/6} - e^{4\lambda/6} & 1 & -e^{2\lambda/6} - e^{3\lambda/6} \end{bmatrix}$$

These are $\lambda = (2k + 1)6\pi i$; $k = 0, \pm 1, \cdots$. As $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \to -\infty$, however, the matrix has a singular limit.

However, the system

$$egin{aligned} Ly &= (y + K_{_{3/6}} arPsi)' \ y(0) + y(1) &= 0 \ , \ & \int_{_0}^{_1} dK_{_{3/6}} y &= 0 \ , \end{aligned}$$

has as its eigenvalue determining matrix

$$egin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ -e^\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & -e^{\lambda/2} \ 1+e^\lambda & 0 & -1 & 0 & -e^{\lambda/2} \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ -2e^{\lambda/2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are easily seen to be $\lambda = 2k\pi i$, $\mathscr{E} = 0, \pm 1, \cdots$. The limit of the matrix above as $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ is nonsingular. Frankly, the author does not entirely understand what is going on.

It is possible to extend the results of [5] under some rather severe restrictions. Let us assume that $H_1 = 0$ and $K_1 = 0$ so that a 3 dimensional vector representation (with $\xi_4 = 0$ and $\xi_5 = 0$) is possible. In addition assume that H is continuous (or by considering the adjoint problem that K is continuous). One system has the form

$$egin{aligned} Ly &= (y + H[Cy(0) + Dy(1)])' + Py \ Ay(0) + By(1) + \int_0^1 dKy &= 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

If y is replaced by \tilde{y} under the invertable transformation $y = Y\tilde{y}$ (Y' + PY = 0), then we find the equations Ly = f, $Ly = \lambda y$ are equivalent to

$$\left(\widetilde{y} + \left[Y^{-1}H - \int_0^t Y^{-1}Pdx\right] [CY(0)\widetilde{y}(0) + DY(1)\widetilde{y}(1)]\right)' = Y^{-1}f \text{ or } = \lambda \widetilde{y} .$$

The new equations are of the same form as the old, with the same assumptions, with the absence in the second set of the term Py. This results in an equivalent system in which the terms Y and Y^{-1} are missing, a considerable simplification in calculation. We shall henceforth assume that P = 0.

The following lemma is the analog of Lemmas 6.4-6.8 of [5].

LEMMA 6.1. (a) $\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} \mathscr{H}(t) = 0$ a.e. In particular $\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} \mathscr{H}(1) = 0$.

(b) $\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} e^{\lambda t} [\mathscr{H}(1) - \mathscr{H}(t)] = 0$ a.e.

(c) $\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \mathscr{K}(t) = 0$ a.e.

In particular $\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} e^{-\lambda} \mathscr{K}(1) = 0.$

(d) $\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} \left[\mathscr{K}(t) \cdot \mathscr{H}(1) - \mathscr{L}(t) \right] = 0$ a.e.

(e) $\lim_{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} \mathscr{M}(t) = 0$ a.e.

In particular $\lim_{\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)\to\infty} \mathscr{M}(1) = 0$.

Proof. Let V_{α}^{β} stand for the total variation from α to β . (a) If 0 < a < t, then for an appropriate norm

$$egin{aligned} &|| \, \mathscr{H}(t) \, || \, = \, \left\| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda x} d\, \mathscr{H}(x)
ight\| \ &\leq \left\| \int_0^a e^{-\lambda x} d\, \mathscr{H}(x) \,
ight\| \, + \, \left\| \int_a^t e^{-\lambda x} d\, \mathscr{H}(x)
ight\| \ &\leq V_0^a || \, \mathscr{H} \, || \, + \, e^{-a\lambda} \, V_a^t || \, \mathscr{H} \, || \, . \end{aligned}$$

The first can be made less than half of any preassingned ε if a is sufficiently close to 0. The second is less than $\varepsilon/2$ if Re(λ) is sufficiently large.

(b)
$$||e^{\lambda t}[\mathscr{H}(1) - \mathscr{H}(t)]|| = \left\|e^{\lambda t}\int_{t}^{1}e^{-\lambda x}d\mathscr{H}(x)\right\|$$

 $\leq \left\|e^{\lambda t}\int_{t+\delta}^{1}e^{\lambda x}d\mathscr{H}(x)\right\| + \left\|e^{\lambda t}\int_{t}^{t+\delta}e^{\lambda x}d\mathscr{H}(x)\right\|$

when $t \leq t + \delta \leq 1$. The second term is less than $V_t^{t+\delta}|| \mathscr{H} ||$. This can be made less than any $\varepsilon/2$ by choosing δ small. The first is bounded by $e^{-\lambda\delta} V_0^1|| \mathscr{H} ||$ which becomes small as $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \to \infty$.

(c) This is shown by the same technique as was used in (a).

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{d}) & || \, \mathscr{K}(t) \, \mathscr{H}(1) - \, \mathscr{L}(t) \, || = \left\| \int_{0}^{t} d \, \mathscr{K}(z) \, \int_{z}^{1} e^{\lambda(z-x)} d \, \mathscr{H}(x) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \int_{0}^{t} d \, \mathscr{K}(z) \, \int_{z+\delta}^{1} e^{\lambda(z-x)} d \, \mathscr{H}(x) \, \right\| \\ & + \left\| \int_{0}^{t} d \, \mathscr{K}(z) \, \int_{z}^{z+\delta} e^{\lambda(z-x)} d \, \mathscr{H}(x) \, \right\| \, . \end{array}$$

The second term is bounded by $V_0^{-1}||\mathscr{K}|| \cdot \sup_z V_z^{z+\delta}||\mathscr{H}||$. Since \mathscr{H} is continuous on [0, 1] this can be made uniformly small if δ is sufficiently close to 0. The first term is then bounded by $e^{-\lambda\delta} V_0^{-1}||\mathscr{K}|| V_0^{-1}||\mathscr{H}||$ which has zero limit as $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \to \infty$.

(e) This is shown by the same technique as was used in (d).

It is now possible to determine the location of the eigenvalues of L.

THEOREM 6.2. The eigenvalues of L are the zeros of the determinant of

$$egin{array}{lll} \mathcal{A}_1=egin{pmatrix} A&-I&0\ Be^\lambda+\mathscr{K}(1)&I&-Be^\lambda\mathscr{H}(1)-\mathscr{L}(1)\ De^\lambda+C&0&-De^\lambda\mathscr{H}(1)-I \end{pmatrix}. \end{array}$$

If A is nonsingular, they are bounded on the left in the complex plane. If B is nonsingular, they are bounded on the right in the complex plane. Hence when both A and B are nonsingular, the eigenvalues of L be in a vertical strip.

Since det Δ_1 is almost periodic in $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)$, when A and B are nonsingular, the number of zeros lying in a vertical strip $|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)| < h$ also satisfying $\mathscr{A} < \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) < \mathscr{A} + 1$ is bounded by some number independent of \mathscr{E} . For any $\delta > 0$ there is a corresponding $m(\delta) \gg 0$ such that

$$\det \varDelta_1 | > m(\delta)$$

for λ lying in the strip $|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)| < h$ and outside circles of radius δ with centers at the zeros of det Δ_1 .

Proof. An elementary calculation shows, when A is nonsingular, that as Re $(\lambda) \rightarrow -\infty$, det $\Delta_1 = (\det A + o(1))$, which ultimately cannot be zero. Similarly, using Lemma 6.1, when B is nonsingular, as Re $(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$, det $\Delta_1 = -e^{\lambda}(\det B + o(1))$, which is also ultimately non-zero. The final statements follow from [7, pp. 264-269].

We are now in a position to quote directly the results in §6 of [5]. Please note that the phrases "uniformly in \cdots " appearing there should be replaced by "for all x, ξ in (0, 1)". Actually a.e. will do fine. Such is our present situation. Assuming A and B are non-singular, we quote:

THEOREM 6.3. Let λ_0 be in the resolvent set for L. Let $\{\lambda_i\}_1^{\infty}$ be the eigenvalues of L (which for convenience we assume to be simple). Let $\{Y_i\}_1^{\infty}$ and $\{Z_i\}_1^{\infty}$ be the associated eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions, assuming that $\int_0^1 Z_i^* Y_i dx = 1$. Then the Green's function for L, $G_{\lambda_0}(s, t) = \mathcal{G}_{11}(s, t)$ satisfies

$$G_{\lambda_0}(s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} rac{Y_i(t)Z_i^*(s)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_0}$$
 a.e.

The proof is by contour integration using the asymptotic estimates established in this section as well as that in [5, §6], suitably avoiding the zeros of det Δ_1 as we know is possible.

By integrating $G_{\lambda_0}(s, t) \cdot f(s)$ with respect to s before the contour approaches ∞ and appealing to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we find:

THEOREM 6.4. Let
$$f$$
 in $\mathscr{L}_n^p[0, 1]$ be in the domain of L , then
$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_i(t) \int_0^1 Z_i^*(s) f(s) ds .$$

COROLLARY 6.5. If f in $\mathscr{L}_n^p[0, 1]$ is in the domain if L and g in $\mathscr{L}_n^q[0, 1]$ is in the domain of L^* , then (Parseval's Equality)

$$\int_{0}^{1} g^{*}(t) f(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} g^{*}(t) Y_{i}(t) dt \int_{0}^{1} Z_{i}^{*}(s) f(s) ds .$$

The problem of expansions in the general case remains open.

ALLAN M. KRALL

References

1. R. C. Brown and A. M. Krall, Adjoints of multipoint-integral boundary value problems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 37 (1973), 213-216.

2. _____, Ordinary differential operators under Stieltjes boundary conditions, submitted for publication.

3. R. C. Brown, G. B. Green, and A. M. Krall, *Eigenfunction expansions under multi*point-integral boundary conditions, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., to appear.

4. T. H. Hildebrandt, On systems of linear differentio-Stieltjes-integral equations, Illinois J. Math., 3 (1959), 352-373.

5. A. M. Krall, *Differential-boundary operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **154** (1971), 429-458.

6. ____, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

7. B. Ja. Levin, Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1964.

8. O. Vejvoda and M. Tvrdy, Existence of solutions to a linear integro-boundarydifferential equation with additional conditions, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **89** (1971), 169-216.

Received March 7, 1974.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by Intarnational Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 55, No. 1September, 1974

Robert Lee Anderson, Continuous spectra of a singular symmetric differential operator on a Hilbert space of vector-valued functions	1
Michael James Cambern, <i>The isometries of</i> $L^p(X, K)$	9
R. H. Cameron and David Arne Storvick, <i>Two related integrals over spaces</i> of continuous functions	19
Gary Theodore Chartrand and Albert David Polimeni, <i>Ramsey theory and</i>	20
	39
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Characterization of</i> collectively compact sets of linear operators	45
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, Semi-groups and collectively	
compact sets of linear operators	55
George Epstein and Alfred Horn, <i>Chain based lattices</i>	65
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the irrationality of certain series</i>	85
Zdeněk Frolík, <i>Measurable uniform spaces</i>	93
Stephen Michael Gagola, Jr., <i>Characters fully ramified over a normal</i>	107
	107
frank Larkin Gilfeather, Operator valued roots of abelian analytic functions	127
D. S. Goel, A. S. B. Holland, Cyril Nasim and B. N. Sahney, <i>Best</i>	
approximation by a saturation class of polynomial operators	149
Lawse Consultion of D : : C (
James Second Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded	
eigenvalue	157
James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations	157 177
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative 	157 177
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices 	157 177 195
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue	157 177 195 207
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets 	157 177 195 207 219
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its 	157 177 195 207 219
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series 	157 177 195 207 219 233
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras David Francis Rearick, Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249 277
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras David Francis Rearick, Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249 277 285
 James Secord Howland, Pulseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue. David Jacobson, Linear GCD equations. P. H. Karvellas, A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices. Allan Morton Krall, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II. D. G. Larman, On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets. S. N. Mukhopadhyay, On the regularity of the Pⁿ-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series. Dwight Webster Read, On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras. David Francis Rearick, Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series. Indranand Sinha, Characteristic ideals in group algebras. 	157 177 195 207 219 233 249 277 285 289