

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CHARACTERISTIC IDEALS IN GROUP ALGEBRAS

INDRANAND SINHA

CHARACTERISTIC IDEALS IN GROUP ALGEBRAS

I. SINHA

If $\mathfrak{F}G$ is the group-algebra of a group G over a field \mathfrak{F} , and \mathfrak{A} is any subgroup of the automorphism group of the \mathfrak{F} -algebra $\mathfrak{F}G$, then an ideal I of $\mathfrak{F}G$, is called \mathfrak{A} -characteristic if $I^\alpha \subseteq I, \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. If A is the whole automorphism group itself, then we merely say that I is characteristic. Then D.S. Passman has proved the following result:

“Let $H \trianglelefteq G$ such that G/H is \mathfrak{F} -complete. Then for each characteristic ideal I of $\mathfrak{F}G, I = (I \cap \mathfrak{F}H)\mathfrak{F}G$.”

The main concern in this paper is to consider the converse of this result.

2. Some preliminaries. For a given ideal $I \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{F}G$, let $\mathcal{R}(I)$ be the set of all $H \leq G$ such that $I = (I \cap \mathfrak{F}H)\mathfrak{F}G$. Let $C(I)$ be the set of all H in G such that if for some right $\mathfrak{F}H$ -module $\mathfrak{M}, I \cap \mathfrak{F}H \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}$, then $I \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}^\sigma$, the induced $\mathfrak{F}G$ -module. We first of all have:

THEOREM 1. (i) For any $I \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{F}G, C(I) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(I)$.
 (ii) If $H \trianglelefteq G$, then $H \in \mathcal{R}(I)$ if and only if $H \in C(I)$.

Proof. (i) Let $I \cap \mathfrak{F}H \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}$ imply that $I \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}^\sigma$. Let $\sum p_i x_i \in I$ with $p_i \in \mathfrak{F}H$, where $G = \cup Hx_i$ is a coset-decomposition. We have $(\sum \mathfrak{M} \otimes x_i)(\sum p_i x_i) = 0$ if $I \cap \mathfrak{F}H \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}$. In particular $(m \otimes I)(\sum p_i x_i) = 0, \forall m \in \mathfrak{M}$, i.e., $\sum m p_i \otimes x_i = 0, \forall m \in \mathfrak{M}$. So $\mathfrak{M} \cdot p_i = 0$ for each i . Thus $p_i \in \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}$. Since \mathfrak{M} is arbitrary with the property that $I \cap \mathfrak{F}H \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}$, so we may take $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F}H/I \cap \mathfrak{F}H$, and conclude that each $p_i \in \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M} = I \cap \mathfrak{F}H$. Thus $\sum p_i x_i \in (I \cap \mathfrak{F}H)\mathfrak{F}G$.

(ii) Suppose $I = \mathfrak{F}G(I \cap \mathfrak{F}H)$ and $I \cap \mathfrak{F}H \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}$, for some $\mathfrak{F}H$ -module \mathfrak{M} . Note that $H \trianglelefteq G$ implies that $\mathfrak{F}G(I \cap \mathfrak{F}H) = (I \cap \mathfrak{F}H)\mathfrak{F}G$. Let $a = \sum x_i p_i \in I$ where $p_i \in I \cap \mathfrak{F}H$. So $a \mathfrak{M}^\sigma = (\sum x_i p_i)(\sum x_j \otimes \mathfrak{M}) = \sum x_i x_j \otimes p_i \mathfrak{M} = 0$ since $p_i \mathfrak{M} = 0$ since $p_i \in I \cap \mathfrak{F}H \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}$. Thus $a \mathfrak{M}^\sigma = 0$ and $I \subseteq \text{Ann } \mathfrak{M}^\sigma$.

Theorem 17.4 of [1] then gives us:

COROLLARY 1. Let $H \trianglelefteq G$ such that G/H is \mathfrak{F} -complete. Then $H \in C(I)$ for every characteristic ideal I of $\mathfrak{F}G$.

Also Theorem 17.7 of [1] implies:

COROLLARY 2. If $H \trianglelefteq G \ni G/H$ is abelian and has no elements of order $p = \text{Char. } \mathfrak{F}$, then $H \in C(J(G))$, where J denotes the

Jacobson-radical of $\mathfrak{F}G$.

3. Main result. We will prove:

THEOREM 2. *For $I = [\mathfrak{F}G, \mathfrak{F}G]$, the commutator ideal and for $J = J(G)$, if $H \leq G$ such that $H \in \mathcal{R}(I)$ and $H \in \mathcal{R}(J)$ then $H \trianglelefteq G$, G/H is abelian with no elements of order p . In particular, $\mathfrak{F}(G/H)$ is semi-simple.*

Further, if \mathfrak{F} is algebraically closed then G/H is \mathfrak{F} -complete.

We observe that the last two statements in the theorem follows from 17.8 and 17.1 (i) respectively of [1]. The rest of the theorem will be proved by a series of results proved below.

LEMMA 1. *Let $H \leq G$, $I \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{F}G$ and $H \in \mathcal{R}(I)$. Then $H \supseteq \mathfrak{X}^{-1}(I) = \{g \in G \mid g - 1 \in I\}$.*

Proof. Let $G = \cup Hx_i$ be a coset-decomposition, and $g \in \mathfrak{X}^{-1}(I)$ such that $g \notin H$. Then $g = hx_i$ for some i , where $x_i \neq 1$, and $h \in H$; and $hx_i - 1 \in (I \cap \mathfrak{F}H)\mathfrak{F}G = \sum (I \cap \mathfrak{F}H)x_i$. Since $\{x_i\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathfrak{F}H$, $h \in I \cap \mathfrak{F}H$, and $x_i \neq 1$, so $g \in I$ which implies that $1 \in I$, a contradiction.

LEMMA 2. *If $I = [\mathfrak{F}G, \mathfrak{F}G]$, and $H \in \mathcal{R}(I)$ then $H \trianglelefteq G$ and G/H is abelian.*

Proof. Observe that I is a proper ideal in $\mathfrak{F}G$, since $\mathfrak{X}(I) = 0$. Also by Lemma 1, $H \supseteq \mathfrak{X}^{-1}(I)$. Since $(ghg^{-1}h^{-1} - 1)hg = gh - hg \in I$, for all $g, h \in G$, so $(ghg^{-1}h^{-1} - 1) \in I$. Hence $ghg^{-1}h^{-1} \in \mathfrak{X}^{-1}(I) \subseteq H$; i.e., G' , the commutator-subgroup is in H . Hence $H \trianglelefteq G$ and G/H is abelian.

Now let H satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2. Then we have:

LEMMA 3. *Let $I = J(G)$ and $H \in \mathcal{R}(I)$. Then $\mathfrak{F}(G/H)$ is semi-simple and G/H has no elements of order $p = \text{Char. } \mathfrak{F}$.*

Proof. $J(G) = (J(G) \cap \mathfrak{F}H)\mathfrak{F}G \subseteq J(H) \cdot \mathfrak{F}G$ by 16.9 of [1]. Now $\mathfrak{F}H[\mathfrak{X}_H(H) \cong \mathfrak{F}]$ where $\mathfrak{X}_H(H)$ is the ideal of $\mathfrak{F}H$, generated by $\{h - 1 \mid h \in H\}$. So $\mathfrak{X}_H(H) \supseteq J(H)$. Hence $\mathfrak{X}_H(H)\mathfrak{F}G = \mathfrak{X}_G(H) \supseteq J(H) \cdot \mathfrak{F}G \supseteq J(G)$, where $\mathfrak{X}_G(H)$ is the ideal in $\mathfrak{F}G$, generated by $\{h - 1 \mid h \in H\}$. Now $\mathfrak{X}_G(H)$ is the kernel of the natural map of $\mathfrak{F}G$ onto $\mathfrak{F}(G/H)$; [see for example proof of Theorem 1 in [2]]. Thus $\mathfrak{F}(G/H) \cong \mathfrak{F}G/\mathfrak{X}_G(H)$ is semi-simple. Since G/H is abelian by Lemma

2, so it is clear that it has no elements of order p , as $\mathfrak{S}(G/H)$ is semi-simple.

This also completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. D. S. Passman, *Infinite Group Rings*, Marcel Dekkar Inc., N.Y., 1971.
2. I. Sinha, *On the augmentation-maps of subgroups of a group*, Math. Zeitschs., **94** (1966), 193-206.

Received April 18, 1973.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

| | |
|--|-----|
| Robert Lee Anderson, <i>Continuous spectra of a singular symmetric differential operator on a Hilbert space of vector-valued functions</i> | 1 |
| Michael James Cambern, <i>The isometries of $L^p(X, K)$</i> | 9 |
| R. H. Cameron and David Arne Storvick, <i>Two related integrals over spaces of continuous functions</i> | 19 |
| Gary Theodore Chartrand and Albert David Polimeni, <i>Ramsey theory and chromatic numbers</i> | 39 |
| John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Characterization of collectively compact sets of linear operators</i> | 45 |
| John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Semi-groups and collectively compact sets of linear operators</i> | 55 |
| George Epstein and Alfred Horn, <i>Chain based lattices</i> | 65 |
| Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the irrationality of certain series</i> . . . | 85 |
| Zdeněk Frolík, <i>Measurable uniform spaces</i> | 93 |
| Stephen Michael Gagola, Jr., <i>Characters fully ramified over a normal subgroup</i> | 107 |
| Frank Larkin Gilfeather, <i>Operator valued roots of abelian analytic functions</i> | 127 |
| D. S. Goel, A. S. B. Holland, Cyril Nasim and B. N. Sahney, <i>Best approximation by a saturation class of polynomial operators</i> | 149 |
| James Secord Howland, <i>Puiseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue</i> | 157 |
| David Jacobson, <i>Linear GCD equations</i> | 177 |
| P. H. Karvellas, <i>A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices</i> | 195 |
| Allan Morton Krall, <i>Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II</i> | 207 |
| D. G. Larman, <i>On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets</i> | 219 |
| S. N. Mukhopadhyay, <i>On the regularity of the P^n-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series</i> | 233 |
| Dwight Webster Read, <i>On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras</i> | 249 |
| David Francis Rearick, <i>Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series</i> | 277 |
| Indranand Sinha, <i>Characteristic ideals in group algebras</i> | 285 |
| Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Homomorphisms of Riesz spaces</i> | 289 |
| Kunio Yamagata, <i>The exchange property and direct sums of indecomposable injective modules</i> | 301 |