# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## ON COMPLETENESS AND SEMICOMPLETENESS OF FIRST COUNTABLE SPACES

JOYLYN NEWBERRY REED

Vol. 55, No. 2

October 1974

## ON COMPLETENESS AND SEMICOMPLETENESS OF FIRST COUNTABLE SPACES

## JOYLYN REED

In this paper, well known completeness conditions in Moore spaces are generalized to arbitrary first countable spaces. Relationships are established between these conditions and various other completeness concepts including Čech completeness, countable completeness, and countable subcompactness. Finally, conditions are given for embedding a given first countable space in a "first countable complete" space. As one application of the theory developed, a necessary and sufficient condition is obtained for the embedding of a Moore space in a semicomplete or "Rudin" complete Moore space.

There has been considerable work done concerning complete Moore spaces, i.e., spaces satisfying R. L. Moore's Axiom 1, and completable Moore spaces, i.e., spaces which are dense subspaces of complete Moore spaces. There has also been much interest in M. E. Estill Rudin's concept of semicomplete Moore spaces, i.e., spaces satisfying Axiom 1". In this paper the author applies the concepts of completeness and semicompleteness to more general first countable spaces and establishes some theorems involving these concepts. Embedding theorems are also given. The last theorem of the paper answers a question discussed by Steve Armentrout at the Arizona State Topology Conference in 1967 by supplying a necessary and sufficient condition for a Moore space to be a dense subspace of a semicomplete Moore space.

The lower case letters m, n, i, j, and k will denote positive integers unless otherwise stated.

DEFINITION 1. The statement that the sequence  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \cdots$ is an *f.c.* development for the space X means that for each  $n, G_n = \{g_i(x) \mid i \geq n, x \in X\}$ , where for each  $x \in X$ ,  $g_1(x), g_2(x), g_3(x), \cdots$  is a sequence of open sets forming a local base at x.

DEFINITION 2. The *f.c.* development is complete (semicomplete) provided that if  $M_1, M_2, M_3, \cdots$  is a sequence of sets such that for each  $n, M_n$  is a closed set contained in some element  $g_n$  of  $G_n$  and contains  $M_{n+1}$  ( $M_n$  is an element of  $G_n$  and contains  $\overline{M_{n+1}}$ ) then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i \neq \emptyset$ . The first countable space is complete (semicomplete) if and only if it has an *f.c.* complete (semicomplete) development.

THEOREM 1. A  $T_2$  regular first countable semicomplete space X

satisfies Baire's theorem (the intersection of countably many dense open sets is a dense subset of the space).

Proof. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots$  denote a local base for x such that the corresponding f.c. development  $G_1, G_2, \cdots$  is semicomplete. Let  $D = d_1, d_2, \cdots$  denote a countable collection of open sets, each dense in X, and let  $\cdot R$  be an open set. R contains a point  $p_1$  of  $d_1$ . Let  $g_{n_1}(p_1) \in G_1$  such that  $p_1 \in g_{n_1}(p_1)$  and  $\overline{g_{n_1}(p_1)} \subseteq R \cap d_1$ . For i > 1, let  $p_i \in g_{n_{i-1}}(p_{i-1}) \cap d_i$  and let  $g_{n_i}(p_i) \in G_i$  such that  $p_i \in g_{n_i}(p_i)$  and  $\overline{g_{n_i}(p_i)} \subseteq g_{n_{i-1}}(p_{i-1}) \cap d_i$ . Notice that for each  $i, g_{n_i}(p_i) \subseteq d_i \cap R$  and  $\overline{g_{n_i}(p_i)} \subseteq g_{n_{i-1}}(p_{i-1})$ . Thus  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{n_i}(p_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{n_i}(p_i) \subseteq (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i) \cap R$ , the intersection of D is a dense subset of the space.

The reader should compare the following two theorems with those analogous theorems of Creede in [4].

THEOREM 2. A completely regular f.c. complete space X with a  $G_s$  diagonal is Čech complete.

**Proof.** Let Y be a  $T_2$  compact space such that X is a dense subspace of Y. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots$  be a sequence of open sets of X forming a local base at x such that the corresponding *f.c.* development is complete, and  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x_i)$  implies x = y. For each  $x \in X$  and positive integer i, let  $G_i(x)$  be an open set of Y such that  $G_i(x) \cap X = g_i(x)$ , and let  $H_i(x)$  be an open set of Y containing x such that  $\overline{H_i(x)} \subseteq G_i(x)$  (in Y). Notice  $\overline{H_i(x)} \cap \overline{X} \cap X \subseteq G_i(x) \cap X$ . For each positive integer i, let  $H_i = \bigcup \{H_i(x) \mid x \in X\}$ . Thus  $H_1, H_2,$  $H_3, \cdots$  is a sequence of open sets in Y such that  $X \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$ .

Assume  $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$ . For each *i*, let  $H_i(x_i)$  contain *p*. Let  $A_i = \overline{H_i(x_i) \cap X} \cap X$ . Since X is dense in Y,  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \cdots$  is a sequence of nonempty closed sets of X with the finite intersection property such that for each  $i, A_i \subseteq g_i(x_i)$ . Thus there is a point x of X such that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ . Assume  $x \neq p$ . For each *i*, let  $R_i$  be an open set of Y containing p such that  $\overline{R_i}$  (in Y) does not contain x and  $\overline{R_i} \subseteq H_i(x_i)$ . Let  $B_i = \overline{R_i \cap X} \cap X$ . As before,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  contains some point k of X. But  $\{x, k\} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x_i)$ , so x = k. However,  $x \neq k$  since  $x \notin \overline{R_i}$  and  $k \in B_i \subseteq \overline{R_i}$ . Thus it must be that x = p and  $p \in X$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i = X$ .

THEOREM 3. A Čech complete first countable space X is f.c. complete.

*Proof.* Let Y be a  $T_2$  compact space such that X is a  $G_3$  set in Y. Let  $P_1, P_2, \cdots$  be a sequence of open sets of Y such that  $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots$  be a sequence of

open sets of X forming a local base at x, such that for each i,  $\overline{g_{i+1}(x)} \subseteq g_i(x)$ . For each  $x \in X$  and positive integer i, let  $G_i(x)$  be an open set in Y such that  $g_i(x) = G_i(x) \cap X$ , and let  $H_i(x)$  be an open set of Y containing x such that  $\overline{H_i(x)} \subseteq G_i(x) \cap P_i$  and  $\overline{H_{i+1}(x)} \subseteq H_i(x)$ . Thus  $h_1(x), h_2(x), \cdots$  is a local base at x in X where for each  $i, h_i(x) =$   $H_i(x) \cap X$ . For each positive integer i, let  $H_i = \{h_n(x) \mid n \ge i, x \in X\}$ . Thus  $H_1, H_2, H_3, \cdots$  is an f.c. development for X.

Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \cdots$  be a monotonically decreasing sequence of closed sets of X such that for each  $i, A_i \subseteq h_{n_i}(x_i) \in H_i$ . The sequence  $Cl Y A_1$ ,  $Cl Y A_2, Cl Y A_3, \cdots$ , where  $Cl Y A_i$  denotes the closure in Y of  $A_i$ , is a sequence of closed sets in Y with the finite intersection property; hence, there is a point y of Y such that  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Cl Y A_i$ . For each  $i, Cl Y A_i \subseteq Cl Y(H_{n_i}(x_i) \cap X) \subseteq P_i$ . Thus  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$  and hence  $y \in X$ . Thus  $H_1, H_2, H_3, \cdots$  is a complete development for X.

In first countable spaces, the concepts of f.c. completeness and f.c. semicompleteness are related to Frolic's concept of countable completeness [6] and de Groot's concept of countable subcompactness [7]. To avoid confusion the term "countable Čech-completeness", coined by Lutzer and Aarts [1] will be used for the term "countable completeness".

The phrase "C is a centered system on X" means "C is a collection of subsets of X such that for any finite  $C_0 \subseteq C$ ,  $\bigcap C_0 \neq \emptyset$ ". A collection F of nonempty subsets of X is called a regular filterbase [7] if whenever  $F_1, F_2 \in F$ , some  $F_3 \in F$  has  $\overline{F}_3 \subseteq F_1 \cap F_2$ . A regular space X is countably Čech-complete [6] if there is a sequence  $\{\hat{B}_n\}$  of open bases for X such that if  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots$  and if the sequence  $\{B_{n_k}\}$ , where  $B_{n_k} \in \hat{B}_{n_k}$  forms a centered system, then  $\bigcap \{\overline{B}_{n_k}\} = \emptyset$ . A regular space is countably subcompact [7] with respect to a base B of open sets provided that any countable regular filterbase  $F \subseteq B$  has  $\bigcap F \neq \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM 4.** In regular first countable spaces, the following implications hold:

(1) f.c. completeness  $\implies$  (2) countable Cech-completeness  $\implies$  (3) f.c. semicompleteness.

None of the above implications are reversible. In Moore spaces, countable Čech-completeness  $\Leftrightarrow$  More completeness  $\Leftrightarrow$  f.c. completeness [1], and Rudin completeness  $\Leftrightarrow$  f.c. semicompleteness. M. E. Rudin's example [5] of a Rudin complete space that is not Moore complete shows (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). The following example shows (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

EXAMPLE A. The Sorgenfrey line is the topological space S of

real numbers topologized by taking sets of the form [a, b) to be basic open sets. Let  $D_1, D_2, D_3, \cdots$  be a monotonic sequence of open sets on the line such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i = I$ , where I is the set of irrationals. Let  $r_1, r_2, r_3, \cdots$  denote the rationals. For each  $x \in I$ , let  $\{[x, x_i]\}$  be a sequence of open sets closing on x such that for each  $i, x_i$  is a rational not in  $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_i\}$ . For each rational x, let  $\{[x, x_i]\}$  be a sequence of open sets closing on x such that for each  $i, x_i$  is a rational not in  $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_i\}$ . For each rational x, let  $\{[x, x_i]\}$  be a sequence of open sets closing on x such that for each  $i, x_i$  is a rational, and  $[x, x_i) \cap (\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_i\} - \{x\}) = \emptyset$ .

For each positive integer n, let  $B_n = \{[x, x_i)/x \in S, i \ge n\}$ . Notice that if r is a rational there exists an n such that for  $i \ge n$ ,  $r \in g \in B_i$ implies  $g = [r, x_i)$  for some  $x_i$ . Let  $\{[p_i, q_i)\}$  be a centered sequence such that for each i,  $[p_i, q_i) \in B_i$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap \{[p_i, q_i]\}$ . Due to the construction of  $\{B_n\}, x \in \bigcap \{[p_i, q_i)\}$ . Thus S is a first countable, countably Čech-complete space.

Now let  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \cdots$  represent any *f.c.* development for *S*. There must exist a monotonically decreasing sequence having the following properties. (1) the *n*th term of *S* belongs to  $G_n$  and contains its left endpoint,  $p_n$ , and (2)  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \cdots$  is an increasing sequence converging to a number *x* on the line. The sequence  $\{[p_i, x)\}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence of closed sets such that the *n*th term is a subset of some  $g \in G_n$ , but has no common part. Thus *S* is not *f.c.* complete.

The following theorems follow readily.

THEOREM 5. Any open subspace of an f.c. complete (f.c. semicomplete) is f.c. complete (f.c. semicomplete).

THEOREM 6. A  $G_s$  subspace of a regular f.c. complete space is f.c. complete.

In Moore spaces, Rudin completeness  $\Leftrightarrow$  countable subcompactness. In regular first countable spaces, countable subcompactness implies *f.c.* semicompleteness. In [1], Lutzer and Aarts use the term "completeness property" for any property implying the Baire property. Of the several completeness properties they examine, all but countable subcompactness and subcompactness are such that a space may have the property locally but fail to have it globally. The proof they give showing that if a regular space is locally countably subcompact then it is countably subcompact may be slightly altered to yield this theorem.

THEOREM 7. If a regular first countable space is locally f.c. complete, then it is f.c. semicomplete.

It would be interesting to know if in first countable spaces, f.c.

semicompleteness implies countable subcompactness.

Some technical definitions are needed for the following theorems.

DEFINITIONS. (a) If  $G = G_1, G_2, G_3, \cdots$  is a sequence of open sets, the sequence  $g_1, g_2, \cdots$  is a nested sequence (g-sequence) wrt G if and only if for each  $i, g_i \in G_i$  and contains  $\overline{g_{i+1}}(g_i \in G_i$  and contains  $g_{i+1})$ . (b) The set sequence  $g_1, g_2, \cdots$  is adjacent to the set M if and only if for each  $i, g_i \cap M \neq \emptyset$ . (c) The set sequences  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  and  $k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdots$  are mutually separated if and only if for some  $i, g_i \cap$  $k_i = \emptyset$ . (d) The open set D covers the set sequence  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  if and only if for some  $i, g_i \subseteq D$ .

THEOREM 8. Each  $T_2$  first countable space is a dense subspace of an f.c. complete space.

Proof. Let X be a first countable space. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots$  be a monotonically decreasing sequence of open sets forming a local base at x, and let  $G = G_1, G_2, G_3, \cdots$  be the corresponding f.c. development. The statement that  $g_1, g_2, \cdots$  is an f-sequence means for each  $n, g_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n g'_i$  for some sequence  $g'_1, g'_2, g'_3, \cdots$  where for each  $i, g'_i \in G_i$ . If each of  $g = g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  and  $k = k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdots$  is an f-sequence then we will say  $g \sim k$  if and only if for each positive integer n there exist positive integers i and j such that  $k_i \subseteq g_n$  and  $g_j \subseteq k_n$ . If g is an f-sequence, let g' denote  $\{k \mid g \sim k\}$ . Let  $X' = \{g' \mid g \text{ is an } f$ -sequence such that each term of g is non-empty}. For each open set D, let  $D' = \{g' \mid D \text{ covers } g\}$ . Let  $0 = \{D' \mid D \text{ is open in } X\}$  be a basis for a topology g on X.

The ordered pair  $(X', \Omega)$  is a topological space. X is a dense subspace of X' because X is homeomorphic to the subspace  $\{I(x)' \mid x \in$ X,  $I(x) = g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots \}$ . X' is first countable. For each  $I' \in X'$ , where  $I = g_1, g_2, \cdots$ , and for each positive integer n, let  $D_n(I') = g_n$ . Thus  $D_1(I')', D_2(I')', D_3(I')', \cdots$  forms a local base at I' in X'.

X' is f.c. complete. For each positive integer *i*, let  $G'_i = \{D_n(I')' \mid n \geq i, I' \in X'\}$ . Let  $M_i, M_2, M_3, \cdots$  be a monotonically decreasing sequence of closed sets of X' such that for each *i*,  $M_i \subseteq D_{n_i}(y'_i)'$  for some  $D_{n_i}(y'_i)' \in G'_i$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} D_{n_i}(y'_i)' \neq \emptyset$  for each *m*, then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} D_{n_i}(y'_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Now for each *i*,  $D_{n_i}(y'_i) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{n_i} g_k(y_i)$  for some sequence  $g_1(y_i), g_2(y_i), g_3(y_i), \cdots$  where  $g_k(y_i) \in G_k$ . Thus  $D_{n_i}(y'_i) \subseteq g_i(y_i)$  since  $n_i \geq i$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} D_{n_i}(y_i) \neq \emptyset$  for each *m*, then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} g_i(y_i) \in G_i$ , so  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{1} g_i(y_i), \bigcap_{i=1}^{2} g_i(y_i), \bigcap_{i=1}^{3} g_i(y_i), \cdots$  is an *f*-sequence. Let *J* denote this *f*-sequence and examine any open set *D'* of *X'* containing *J'*. *D* contains  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} g_i(y_i)$  for some *k*. So *D* contains  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} D_{n_i}(y'_i)$ , and thus *D'* contains  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} D_{n_i}(y'_i)'$  and  $M_k$ . *J'* is thus a point or limit point of  $M_n$  for each *n*, and hence  $J' \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$ .

Thus,  $G'_1, G'_2, G'_3, \cdots$  is an *f.c.* development for X'.

THEOREM 9. Each regular  $T_2$  space X is a dense subspace of a  $T_2$  f.c. semicomplete space.

*Proof.* For each  $x \in X$ , let  $I(x) = g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots$  be a nested sequence of open sets forming a local base at x. Let  $G = G_1, G_2, G_3, \cdots$  be the corresponding *f.c.* development for X.

If I is a nested sequence wrt G, let  $I' = \{K \mid K \text{ is a nested sequence} wrt G and <math>K \sim I\}$  (refer to the technical definitions and the proof of Theorem 8). Notice that  $x \neq y$  implies I(x) and I(y) are mutually separated.

Let K denote a maximal collection of mutually separated nested sequences wrt G such that for each x,  $I(x) \in K$ . \*Let  $K' = \{k' \mid k \in K\}$ . If D is an open set, let  $D' = \{k' \mid D \text{ covers } k \text{ and } k \in K\}$ . Let  $B = \{D' \mid D \text{ is open in } X\}$  be a basis for a topology  $\Omega$  on K'. X is a dense subspace of the  $T_2$  space  $(K', \Omega)$ .

K' is first countable. For each  $I' \in K'$ , where  $I = g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$ , and each positive integer n, let  $D_n(I') = g_n$ . Thus  $D_1(I'), D_2(I'), \cdots$  forms a local base at I' in K'.

K' is f.c. semicomplete. Examine the f.c. development for K',  $G' = G'_{i}, G'_{2}, \cdots$ , where for each  $i, G'_{i} = \{D_{n}(I')' \mid n \geq i, I' \in K'\}$ . Let  $M = D_{n_{1}}(I'_{1})', D_{n_{2}}(I'_{2})', \cdots$  be a nested sequence wrt G', i.e., for each  $i, \overline{D_{n_{i}+1}(I'_{i+1})'} \subseteq C_{n_{i}}(I'_{i})'$  and  $D_{n_{i}}(I'_{i})' \in G'_{i}$ . Now for each  $i, D_{n_{i}}(I'_{i})' = g_{m_{i}}(x_{i})'$ for some  $g_{m_{i}}(x_{i}) \in G_{i}$ . Since  $\overline{g_{m_{i}+1}(x_{i+1})'} \subseteq g_{m_{i}}(x_{i})'$  in K', then  $\overline{g_{m_{i}+1}(x_{i+1})} \subseteq g_{m_{i}}(x_{i})$  in X. Hence,  $g_{m_{1}}(x_{1}), g_{m_{2}}(x_{2}), g_{m_{3}}(x_{3}), \cdots$  is a nested sequence wrt G in X. Since K is maximal, there is an element  $I = g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, \cdots$  of K such that for each  $k, g_{m_{k}}(x_{k}) \cap g_{k} \neq \emptyset$ . Let D' be an open set of K' containing I'. D contains  $g_{i}$  for some i, and in fact D contains  $g_{k}$  for  $k \geq i$ . Thus for all  $k, D \cap g_{m_{k}}(x_{k}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $D' \cap g_{m_{k}}(x'_{k})' =$   $D' \cap D_{n_{k}}(I')' \neq \emptyset$ . So  $I' \in \overline{D_{n_{k}}(I'_{k})'}$  for each positive integer k. Thus  $I' \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{n_{i}}(I'_{i})'$ . Hence, G' is an f.c. semicomplete development for K'.

DEFINITION 3. Property R. A  $T_2$  regular first countable space has property R provided that there exists an *f.c.* development  $G = G_1, G_2, G_3, \cdots$  and a collection K of mutually separated nested sequences wrt G such that: (1) for each  $x \in X$ , some element of K forms a local base at x, (2) if g is a nested sequence wrt G, there is an element k of K such that g and k are not mutually separated, and (3) if the open set D covers the sequence  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  of K, there is an integer n such that D covers any element k of K adjacent to  $g_n$ .

THEOREM 10. A  $T_2$  regular first countable space X with property

R is a dense subspace of a  $T_2$  regular f.c. semicomplete space.

*Proof.* Let G and K respectively be the *f.c.* development and collection as assured by property R. That X is a dense subspace of a  $T_2$  *f.c.* semicomplete space can be seen by applying the part of the proof of Theorem 9 following \*, using K as defined here.

We will now see that the space K' is regular. Let D' be an open set containing I' of K', where  $I = g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$ . Thus D covers I, and there is an integer n such that if  $k = k_1, k_2, \cdots$  of K is adjacent to  $g_n$ , then D covers k. Let k' be a limit point of  $D_n(I')' = g'_n$ . Thus  $D_1(k')', D_2(k')', \cdots$  is adjacent to  $D_n(I')'$  and so  $k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdots$  is adjacent to  $g_n$ . Thus D covers k, and  $k' \in D'$ . So  $\overline{D_n(I')'} \subseteq D'$ .

THEOREM 11. Each  $T_2$  regular f.c. semicomplete space X has property R.

*Proof.* Let  $G = G_1, G_2, \cdots$  be an *f.c.* semicomplete development for X. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $I(x) = g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots$  where for each *i*,  $g_i(x) \in G_i$ , and I(x) forms a local base at x. Let  $K = \{I(x) \mid x \in X\}$ .

Let  $g = g_1, g_2, \cdots$  be a nested sequence wrt G. Thus for some  $x, x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i$ . So  $I(x) \in K$  and g and I(x) are not mutually separated.

Let D be an open set covering I(x) of K. Let n be an integer such that  $\overline{g_n(x)} \subseteq D$ . Thus if I(y) is adjacent to  $g_n(x)$ ,  $y \in \overline{g_n(x)}$  and thus,  $y \in D$ . Thus D covers I(y). This completes the proof that X satisfies property R.

DEFINITION. If X is a topological space, the statement that G is a nested development for X means that (1) for each positive integer  $n, G_n$  is an open cover of X containing  $G_{n+1}$  as a subcover and (2) if U is an open set and p is any point of U there is an integer n such that  $p \in g \in G_n$  implies  $g \subseteq U$ .

DEFINITION. The topological space X is a Moore space if and only if it is a regular  $T_2$  space with a nested development.

DEFINITION. A complete (semicomplete) Moore space is a Moore space with a nested development G having the property that if  $M_1, M_2, M_3, \cdots$  is a sequence of sets such that for each  $n, M_n$  is a closed set containing  $M_{n+1}$  and  $M_n$  is a subset of some element  $g_n$  of  $G_n$  ( $M_n$  is an element of  $G_n$  and contains  $\overline{M_{n+1}}$ ), then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i \neq \emptyset$ . Such a development is called a complete (semicomplete) nested development.

Every complete Moore space is semicomplete, but the converse is not true [5]. Not every Moore space is a dense subspace of a complete Moore space [5]. In [9], Whipple provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a Moore space to be completable. Not every Moore space is a dense subspace of a semicomplete Moore space [5]. In his thesis for the University of Iowa, Alzoobaee provides a sufficient condition but it is not known if this condition is necessary for a Moore space to be semicompletable. The following definition and theorem provide a necessary and sufficient condition.

DEFINITION 4. The Moore space X satisfies Axiom K provided that there exists a nested development Q and a collection K of mutually separated g-sequences wrt Q such that: (1) for each  $x \in X, x \in \cap g$ for some g of K, (2) if d is a nested sequence wrt Q, there is an element g of K such that d and g are not mutually separated, and (3) if the open set D covers the sequence d of K, there is an integer n such that if  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  is an element of K and  $g_n$  covers d, then D covers any element k of K adjacent to  $g_n$ . (The reader should refer to definitions a, b, and c for explanation of above terms.)

THEOREM 12. A Moore space X satisfying Axiom K is a dense subspace of a semicomplete Moore space.

*Proof.* Let X be a Moore space with  $Q = Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \cdots$  and K defined as in Definition 4. Form the topological space  $(K', \Omega)$  as in the paragraph following \* in the proof of Theorem 5, using Q for G.

For each  $k' \in K'$ , where  $k = k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdots$ , let  $g_n(k') = k_n$ . As before,  $g_1(k')', g_2(k')', \cdots$  forms a local base at k' in K'. For each positive integer n, let  $Q'_n = \{g_i(k')' \mid i \ge n, k' \in K'\}$ .  $Q' = Q'_1, Q'_2, Q'_3, \cdots$ is a nested development for K'. Let D' be an open set containing k' of K'. Thus D covers  $k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdots$  of K. There is an integer nsuch that if  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  is an element of K and  $g_n$  covers  $k_1, k_2, k_3,$  $\cdots$ , then D covers any element  $m_1, m_2, m_3, \cdots$  of K adjacent to  $g_n$ . Let d' be an element of  $Q'_n$  containing k'. Now  $d = g_t(j')$  for some positive integer  $t \ge n$  and  $j \in K$ . Also  $g_t(j') = j_t$  for some  $j_1, j_2, j_3, \cdots$ K. Thus  $j_t$  covers k. Assume h', where  $h = h_1, h_2, h_3, \cdots$ , is a point of limit point of d', i.e., of  $j'_i$ . Thus  $g_1(h')', g_2(h')', g_3(h')', \cdots$  is adjacent to  $j'_t$ , and hence  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \cdots$  is adjacent  $j_t$ . Thus D must cover  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \cdots$  and  $h' \in D'$ . This shows that K' is regular.

From techniques used in the proof of Theorem 5, we see that K' is  $T_2$ , contains X as a dense subspace, and the development Q is a semicomplete nested development for K'. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 13. A dense subspace of a semicomplete Moore space S satisfies Axiom K.

**Proof.** In Theorem 2 of [5], M. E. Rudin proves the following result. If S is a Moore space with nested development G, S' is a subspace of S and G' is a nested development for S', there are sequences  $T_1, T_2, T_3, \cdots$  and  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \cdots$  such that (1)  $T_i$  and  $Q_i$  are subcollections of  $G_i$  and  $G'_i$  containing  $T_{i+1}$  and  $Q_{i+1}$  respectively covering S', (2) if  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \cdots$  is a sequence such that for each i,  $q_i \in Q_i$  and contains  $q_{i+1}$ , then there are sequences  $w_1, w_2, \cdots$  of increasing integers and  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  of regions such that for each i,  $g_i \in T_i$  and contains  $\overline{g_{i+1}}$  and  $\overline{q_{w_i}}$  (in S), and (3) if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots$  is a sequence such that for each i,  $x_i \in T_i$  and contains  $x_{i+1}$ , there are sequences  $z_1, z_2, z_3, \cdots$  of increasing integers and  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \cdots$  of regions such that for each i,  $h_i \in Q_i$  and contains  $\overline{h_{i+1}}$  and  $\overline{x_{z_i}} \cap S'$ .

Let S' be a dense subspace of a semicomplete Moore space S. Let M be a semicomplete nested development for S. Let  $G_1 = M_1$ . For each positive integer  $n \ge 2$ , let  $G_n = \{g \mid g \in M_n \text{ and } g \text{ is a subset}$ of some element of  $G_{n-1}\}$ . Thus  $G = G_1, G_2, G_3, \cdots$  is also a semicomplete nested development for S. Let G' be the corresponding development for S'. Applying the preceding theorem to S, G, S', and G', we get the sequences  $T = T_1, T_2, T_3, \cdots$  and  $Q = Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \cdots$ . Notice that Q is a nested development for S'.

For each element x of S such that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} ClS q_i$  for some sequence  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \cdots$  where  $q_i \in Q_i$  and  $q_{i+1} \subseteq q_i$ , let  $d_x$  denote one such sequence,  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \cdots$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \cdots$  and  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \cdots$  be sequence such that  $g_i(x) \in T_i$  and  $g_i(x)$  contains  $\overline{g_{i+1}(x)}$  and  $\overline{q_{w_i}}$  in S. Notice  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x)$ . Now, let  $z_1, z_2, z_3, \cdots$  and  $h(x) = h_1(x), h_2(x), \cdots$ be sequences such that  $h_i(x) \in Q_i$ , contains  $\overline{h_{i+1}(x)}$  and  $\overline{g_{z_i}} \cap S'$ . For each n, x is a point or limit point of  $h_n(x)$  in S, since for each  $k \ge n$ ,  $g_{z_k}(x)$  contains x and intersects  $h_n(x)$ .

If  $x \neq y$  and  $h_x$  and  $h_y$  exist, then h(x) and h(y) are mutually separated. Let  $D_x$  and  $D_y$  be mutually separated open sets in Scontaining x and y respectively. Let n be a positive integer such that any element of  $G_n$  containing x is a subset of  $D_x$  and any element of  $G_n$  containing y is a subset of  $D_y$ . Let m > n + 1. Now  $x \in \overline{h_m(x)}$ and  $h_m(x) \in G'_m$ ;  $y \in \overline{h_m(y)}$  and  $h_m(y) \in G'_m$ . In S,  $\overline{h_m(x)}$  is a subset of some element of  $G_{m-1}$  containing x and  $\overline{h_m(y)}$  is a subset of some element of  $G_{m-1}$  containing y. Thus  $h_m(x) \subseteq D_x$  and  $h_m(y) \subseteq D_y$ . So  $h_m(x) \cap h_m(y) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $K = \{h(x) \mid x \in S, h(x) \text{ exists}\}$ . Thus K is a collection of mutually separated g-sequences wrt Q, where Q is a nested development for S'.

To examine #3 of Axiom K, let D be an open set of S' covering  $h(x) = h_1(x), h_2(x), h_3(x), \cdots$  of K. Let m be the maximal open set in

S such that  $m \cap S' = D$ . D contains  $h_n(x)$  for some n. Thus D contains  $\overline{g_{z_n}(x)} \cap S'$ , and m contains  $g_{z_n}(x)$ . Thus m contains x. Let k be a positive integer such that  $x \in g \in G_k$  implies  $g \subseteq m$ . Let j > k + 1. Let  $h_1(y), h_2(y), h_3(y), \cdots$  be an element of K such that  $h_j(y)$  covers h(x). Since  $x \in \overline{h_i(x)}$  in S, for each  $i, x \in \overline{h_i(y)}$  in S. Since  $\overline{h_j(y)}$  in S is a subset of some element g of  $G_{j-1}$ , then  $x \in g$  and  $g \subseteq m$ . Thus  $\overline{h_j(y)} \subseteq m$  in S, and  $y \in m$ . Now let  $h_1(p), h_2(p), h_3(p), \cdots$  be an element of K adjacent to  $h_j(y)$ . The point p is an element of  $\overline{h_i(p)}$  in S for each i. Let  $m_1, m_2, m_3, \cdots$  be a sequence such that for each  $i, m_i \in G_i$  and contains  $\overline{h_{i+1}(p)}$  in S. Thus for each  $i, m_i \cap h_j(y) \neq \emptyset$ , since  $h_{i+1}(p) \cap h_j(y) \neq \emptyset$ . So  $p \in \overline{h_j(y)}$  in S. Since  $\overline{h_j(y)} \subseteq m$ ,  $p \in m$ . Thus for some i, m contains  $h_i(p)$ . Hence D contains  $h_i(p)$  and covers h(p).

To examine #2 of Axiom K, let  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \cdots$  be a nested sequence wrt Q in S'. Thus for each  $n, q_n \in Q_n$  and contains  $\overline{q_{n+1}}$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \cdots$  and  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \cdots$  be such that  $g_n \in T_n$  and  $g_n \supseteq \overline{g_{n+1}}$  and  $\overline{q_{w_n}}$ in S. Since G is a semicomplete development for S, there is an x such that  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i$ . Thus for each  $i, x \in \overline{q_i}$  in S since for  $j \ge i g_j$  contains x and intersects  $q_i$ . Thus  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{q_i}$  in S, where  $q_i \in Q_i$  and  $q_i$  contains  $q_{i+1}$ . Examine h(x). Let  $q_1(x)', q_2(x)', q_3(x)', \cdots$  be the defining sequence for h(x), i.e.,  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} q_i(x)'$  in S and  $q_i(x)' \in Q_i$  and  $q_{i+1}(x)' \subseteq q_i(x)'$ . The sequence  $w_1, w_2, w_3, \cdots$  and  $g_1(x), g_2(x), g_3(x), \cdots$  were chosen such that  $g_n(x) \supseteq \overline{g_{n+1}(x)}$  and  $\overline{q_{w_n}(x)'}$  in S. Since for each  $n, x \in g_n(x)$  and  $\overline{x \in q_n(x)}$ in S, then  $g_n(x) \cap q_n(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $h_n(x)$  contains  $\overline{g_{z_n}(x)} \cap S'$ , we have  $h_n(x) \cap q_{z_n}(x) \neq \emptyset$ , and hence,  $h_n(x) \cap q_n(x) \neq \emptyset$  for each n. Thus there is an element h(x) of K such that h(x) and  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \cdots$  are not mutually separated.

This completes the proof that a Moore space is semicompletable if and only if it satisfies Axiom K.

#### References

1. J. M. Arts and D. J. Lutzer, Completeness properties designed for recognizing Baire spaces, to appear.

2. O. H. Alzoobaee, Completions of Moore spaces, Thesis, University of Iowa, 1962.

3. S. Armentrout, *Completing Moore spaces*, Topology Conference, Arizona State University, 1967.

4. G. D. Creede, *Embedding of complete Moore spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 28 (1971), 609-612.

5. M. E. Estill (= M. E. Rudin), Concerning abstract spaces, Duke Math. J., **17** (1950), 317-327.

6. Z. Frolik, Baire spaces and some generalizations of complete metric spaces, Czech Math. J., **11** (1961), 237-247.

7. J. De Groot, Subcompactness and the Baire category theorem, Indag. Math., 25 (1963), 761-767.

8. R. L. Moore, Foundations of Point Set Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 13, New York, 1932.

9. K. E. Whipple, Cauchy sequences in Moore spaces, Pacific J. Math., 18 (1966), 191-199.

Received March 30, 1973. This paper was written while the author was under the direction of Professor Phillip L. Zenor.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

K. YOSHIDA

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

B. H. NEUMANN

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

F. WOLF

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF UTAH UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY \* \* \* UNIVERSITY OF OREGON AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY OSAKA UNIVERSITY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 55, No. 2 October, 1974

Walter Allegretto, On the equivalence of two types of oscillation for elliptic operators	319
Edward Arthur Bertram, A density theorem on the number of conjugacy classes in	517
finite groups	329
Arne Brøndsted, On a lemma of Bishop and Phelps	335
Jacob Burbea, <i>Total positivity and reproducing kernels</i>	343
Ed Dubinsky, <i>Linear Pincherle sequences</i>	361
Benny Dan Evans, <i>Cyclic amalgamations of residually finite groups</i>	371
Barry J. Gardner and Patrick Noble Stewart, <i>A "going down" theorem for certain</i>	571
reflected radicals	381
Jonathan Light Gross and Thomas William Tucker, <i>Quotients of complete graphs:</i>	001
revisiting the Heawood map-coloring problem	391
Sav Roman Harasymiv, <i>Groups of matrices acting on distribution spaces</i>	403
Robert Winship Heath and David John Lutzer, <i>Dugundji extension theorems for</i>	
linearly ordered spaces	419
Chung-Wu Ho, Deforming p. l. homeomorphisms on a convex polygonal	
2-disk	427
Richard Earl Hodel, <i>Metrizability of topological spaces</i>	441
Wilfried Imrich and Mark E. Watkins, On graphical regular representations of	
cyclic extensions of groups	461
Jozef Krasinkiewicz, <i>Remark on mappings not raising dimension of curves</i>	479
Melven Robert Krom, Infinite games and special Baire space extensions	483
S. Leela, Stability of measure differential equations	489
M. H. Lim, <i>Linear transformations on symmetric spaces</i>	499
Teng-Sun Liu, Arnoud C. M. van Rooij and Ju-Kwei Wang, On some group algebra	
modules related to Wiener's algebra M <sub>1</sub>	507
Dale Wayne Myers, The back-and-forth isomorphism construction	521
Donovan Harold Van Osdol, <i>Extensions of sheaves of commutative algebras by</i>	521
nontrivial kernels	531
Alan Rahilly, <i>Generalized Hall planes of even order</i>	543
Joylyn Newberry Reed, On completeness and semicompleteness of first countable	552
spaces	553
Alan Schwartz, Generalized convolutions and positive definite functions associated with general orthogonal series	565
Thomas Jerome Scott, <i>Monotonic permutations of chains</i>	583
Eivind Stensholt, An application of Steinberg's construction of twisted groups	595
Yasuji Takeuchi, <i>On strongly radicial extensions</i>	619
William P. Ziemer, Some remarks on harmonic measure in space	629
	639
John Grant, Corrections to: "Automorphisms definable by formulas"	039
Peter Michael Rosenthal, <i>Corrections to: "On an inversion for the general</i> Mehler Fock transform pair"	640
Mehler-Fock transform pair"	640 640
Carl Clifton Faith, <i>Corrections to: "When are proper cyclics injective"</i>	640