Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF AN ODD ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

DAVID LOWELL LOVELADY

Vol. 57, No. 2

February 1975

AN ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF AN ODD ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

DAVID LOWELL LOVELADY

Let q be a continuous function from $[0, \infty)$ to $(0, \infty)$, and let n be a positive integer. With respect to the equation $u^{(2n+1)} + qu = 0$, we study the relationship between the existence of oscillatory solutions and the asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions.

There is no additional hypothesis on q which will ensure that every solution of

$$(1) u^{(2n+1)} + qu = 0$$

is oscillatory. In particular, it follows from a result of P. Hartman and A. Wintner [5] that there is a solution u of (1) such that

$$(2) \qquad (-1)^k u^{(k)}(t) > 0$$

whenever $t \ge 0$ and $0 \le k \le 2n$. We shall call a solution of u of (1) strongly decreasing if and only if there is $c \ge 0$ such that (2) is true whenever $t \ge c$ and $0 \le k \le 2n$. Since we know that (1) has a strongly decreasing solution, the best result one can hope for in an oscillation theorem is that every eventually positive solution of (1) is strongly decreasing. G. V. Anan'eva and V. I. Balaganskii [1] (see also C. A. Swanson [7, p. 175]) have shown that if

$$\int_0^\infty t^{2n-1}q(t)dt = \infty$$

then every eventually positive solution of (1) is strongly decreasing. Our first result extends this.

THEOREM 1. If (3) fails and the second order equation

(4)
$$w''(t) + \frac{1}{(2n-2)!} \left(\int_t^{\infty} (s-t)^{2n-2} q(s) ds \right) w(t) = 0$$

is oscillatory, then every eventually positive solution of (1) is strongly decreasing.

Although the conclusion of Theorem 1 limits the asymptotic behavior of nonoscillatory solutions of (1) (if u is nonoscillatory then either u or -u is eventually positive), it does not in fact ensure the existence of oscillatory solutions.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that every eventually positive solution of (1) is strongly decreasing. Then if u is a solution of (1), and if any of u, u', u'', \cdots , $u^{(2n)}$ has a zero in $[0, \infty]$, u is oscillatory.

COROLLARY 1. With the hypotheses of Theorem 2, the solution space Q of (1) has a basis each member of which is oscillatory, and Q has a 2n-dimensional subspace each member of which is oscillatory.

COROLLARY 2. With the hypotheses of Theorem 1, the conclusions of Corollary 1 hold.

Finally, we offer a comparison theorem.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that p is a continuous function from $[0, \infty)$ to $(0, \infty)$ with $p(t) \ge q(t)$ whenever $t \ge 0$. Suppose also that every eventually positive solution of (1) is strongly decreasing. Then every eventually positive solution of

$$(5) u^{(2n+1)} + pu = 0$$

is strongly decreasing.

A. C. Lazer has shown [6, Theorem 1.2] that in the third order case the existence of a nontrivial oscillatory solution of (1) implies that every eventually positive solution of (1) is strongly decreasing. The following example shows that this is not true in general.

EXAMPLE. Suppose 1 < r < 2. Now

$$r(r-1)(r-2)(r-3)(r-4) > (r+2)(r+1)r(r-1)(r-2)$$
 ,

so $\alpha > \gamma$, where

$$\alpha = \min \{ r(r-1)(r-2)(r-3)(r-4) : 1 \le r \le 2 \}$$

and

$$\gamma = \min \{ (r+2)(r+1)r(r-1)(r-2) : 1 \le r \le 2 \}$$

= $\min \{ r(r-1)(r-2)(r-3)(r-4) : 3 \le r \le 4 \}.$

Let n = 2, and, noting that α and γ are negative, let β be a positive number such that $\alpha > -\beta < \gamma$. Let q be given by $q(t) = \beta(t+1)^{-5}$. The polynomial equation

(6)
$$r(r-1)(r-2)(r-3)(r-4) + \beta = 0$$

has two complex roots, so (1) has nontrivial oscillatory solutions. On the other hand, (6) has a solution r in the interval (3, 4), and u, given by $u(t) = (t + 1)^r$, satisfies (1) and is not strongly decreasing. The example is complete.

LEMMA. Suppose u is a solution of (1), $c \ge 0$, and $(-1)^k u^{(k)}(c) > 0$ for $k = 0, \dots, 2n$. Then (2) is true for $0 \le t \le c$ and $k = 0, \dots, 2n$.

Proof. Let v be given on [-c, 0] by v(t) = u(-t). If k = 0, ..., 2n + 1 then $v^{(k)}(t) = (-1)^k u^{(k)}(-t)$, so

$$v^{(2n+1)}(t) - q(-t)v(t) = 0$$

and $v^{(k)}(-c) > 0$ for $-c \leq t \leq 0$ and $k = 0, \dots, 2n$. Thus

(7)
$$v(t) = v(-c) + \sum_{m=1}^{2m} \frac{(t+c)^m}{m!} v^{(m)}(-c) + \int_{-c}^t \frac{(t-s)^{2m}}{(2n)!} q(-s)v(s) ds$$

if $-c \leq t \leq 0$. But clearly the solution of (7) is positive, so v(t) > 0if $-c \leq t \leq 0$. Now, if $k = 0, \dots, 2n$ and $-c \leq t \leq 0$,

$$egin{aligned} &v^{(k)}(t) = v^{(k)}(-c) + \sum\limits_{m=k+1}^{2n} rac{(t+c)^{m-k}}{(m-k)!} v^{(m)}(-c) \ &+ \int_{-s}^t rac{(t-s)^{2n-k}}{(2n-k)!} q(-s) v(s) ds \ , \end{aligned}$$

so $v^{(k)}(t) > 0$, i.e., $(-1)^k u^{(k)}(-t) > 0$. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that (3) fails. We shall show that if there is an eventually positive solution of (1) which is not strongly decreasing then (4) is nonoscillatory. Let u be an eventually positive solution of (1) which is not strongly decreasing. Find $a \ge 0$ such that u(t) > 0 if $t \ge a$. Now $u^{(2n+1)} < 0$ on $[a, \infty)$, so $u^{(2n)}$ is eventually one-signed. Since $u^{(2n)}$ is eventually one-signed, $u^{(2n-1)}$ is eventually one-signed. Continuing this, we see that there is $c \ge a$ such that none of $u, u', \dots, u^{(2n)}$ has a zero in $[c, \infty)$. Let j be the largest integer such that $u^{(i)} > 0$ on $[c, \infty)$ if $i \le j$ (we write $u = u^{(0)}$). Note that $j \ne 2n + 1$. Now $u^{(j+1)} < 0$ on $[c, \infty)$, so $u^{(j)}$ is bounded. Thus, if $j \le k \le 2n, u^{(k)}u^{(k+1)} < 0$ on $[c, \infty)$. But $u^{(2n+1)} < 0$, so if $j \le k \le 2n$ then $u^{(k)} > 0$ on $[c, \infty)$ if k is even and $u^{(k)} < 0$ on $[c, \infty)$ if k is odd. Since $u^{(j+1)} < 0$ (recall how j was chosen), this says j + 1 is odd and j is even. By hypothesis, $j \ne 0$. Suppose j < 2n. Now

$$-u^{(j+1)}(t) = \frac{1}{(2n-j-1)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{2n-j-1} q(s) u(s) ds$$

if $t \ge c$. Also, $u^{(j-1)}$ is increasing on $[c, \infty)$ since $u^{(j)} > 0$, so, if $s \ge t \ge c$,

$$\begin{split} u(s) &\geq \frac{1}{(j-2)!} \int_{s}^{s} (s-\hat{\xi})^{j-2} u^{(j-1)}(\hat{\xi}) d\hat{\xi} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(j-2)!} \int_{t}^{s} (s-\hat{\xi})^{j-2} u^{(j-1)}(\hat{\xi}) d\hat{\xi} \\ &\geq \frac{u^{(j-1)}(t)}{(j-2)!} \int_{t}^{s} (s-\hat{\xi})^{j-2} d\hat{\xi} = \frac{u^{(j-1)}(t)}{(j-1)!} (s-t)^{j-1} \,. \end{split}$$

Since $(2n - j - 1)! (j - 1)! \leq (2n - 2)!$, this says

(8)
$$-u^{(j+1)}(t) \ge \frac{u^{(j-1)}(t)}{(2n-2)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{2n-2} q(s) ds ,$$
$$u^{(j+1)}(t)/u^{(j-1)}(t) \le -\frac{1}{(2n-2)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{2n-2} q(s) ds$$

if $t \ge c$. Let v be given on $[c, \infty)$ by $v = u^{(j)}/u^{(j-1)}$, and note that v > 0 on $[c, \infty)$. Now if t > c,

$$v'(t) = u^{(j+1)}(t)/u^{(j-1)}(t) - v(t)^2$$
 ,

so (8) says

(9)
$$v'(t) + v(t)^2 \leq -\frac{1}{(2n-2)!} \int_t^{\infty} (s-t)^{2n-2} q(s) ds$$
.

But a classical result of M. Bôcher [2], [3] (see also C. de la Vallée Poussin [8], A. Wintner [9], C. A. Swanson [7, Theorem 2.15, p. 63], and W. A. Coppel [4, Theorem 4, p. 6]) says that the existence of a positive solution of (9) implies that (4) is nonoscillatory. The proof is complete, if j < 2n.

Suppose j = 2n. Now

$$u^{(2n)}(t) \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)u(s)ds$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{(2n-2)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \left(\int_{s}^{s} (s-\xi)^{2n-2} u^{(2n-1)}(\xi)d\xi\right)ds$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{(2n-2)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \left(\int_{t}^{s} (s-\xi)^{2n-2} u^{(2n-1)}(\xi)d\xi\right)ds$$

if $t \ge c$. But this and standard iteration methods say that there is a continuously differentiable function w from $[c, \infty)$ to $[u^{(2n-1)}(c), \infty)$ such that $w(c) = u^{(2n-1)}(c)$ and

$$w'(t) = \frac{1}{(2n-2)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) \left(\int_{t}^{s} (s-\xi)^{2n-2} w(\xi) d\xi \right) ds$$

if $t \ge c$. But w clearly satisfies (4) on $[c, \infty)$, and can be extended to a nonoscillatory solution of (4) on $[0, \infty)$, so the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let u be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). If u is eventually negative, we may replace u by -u, so we assume that u is eventually positive. Now there is $c \ge 0$ such that (2) holds whenever $t \ge c$ and $k = 0, \dots, 2n$. Now our lemma says that if $k = 0, \dots, 2n$ then $u^{(k)}$ has no zeroes in [0, c] and thus has no zeros at all. The proof is complete.

Proof of Corollary 1. If k is an integer in [1, 2n + 1], let z_k be the solution of (1) such that $z_k^{(j)}(0) = 0$ if $j \neq k - 1$ and $z_k^{(k-1)}(0) = 1$. Clearly $\{z_1, \dots, z_{2n+1}\}$ is a basis for Q, and Theorem 3 says that each z_k is oscillatory. Also, if u is in the 2n-dimensional subspace spanned by $\{z_2, \dots, z_{2n+1}\}$, then u(0) = 0 so u is oscillatory. The proof is complete.

Corollary 2 is now immediate from Theorem 2 and Corollary 1.

Proof of Theorem 3. We shall assume the existence of an eventually positive solution of (5) which is not strongly decreasing, and show the existence of an eventually positive solution of (1) which is not strongly decreasing. Let v be an eventually positive solution of (5) which is not strongly decreasing. Let $c \ge 0$ be such that none of $v, v', \dots, v^{(2n)}$ has a zero in $[c, \infty)$, and let j be the largest integer such that $v^{(i)} > 0$ on $[c, \infty)$ if $i \le j$. By hypothesis, $j \ne 0$, and we know that j is even. Now

$$v^{(j)}(t) \ge rac{1}{(2n-j)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{2n-j} p(s) v(s) ds$$

if $t \geq c$, and

$$v(t) \ge v(c) + rac{1}{(j-1)!} \int_{c}^{t} (t-s)^{j-1} v^{(j)}(s) ds$$

if $t \geq c$, so

(10)
$$v(t) \ge v(c) + \frac{1}{(j-1)!(2n-j)} \int_{s}^{t} (t-s)^{j-1} \left(\int_{s}^{\infty} (\xi-s)^{2n-j} p(\xi) v(\xi) d\xi \right) ds$$
$$\ge v(c) + \frac{1}{(j-1)!(2n-j)!} \int_{s}^{t} (t-s)^{j-1} \left(\int_{s}^{\infty} (\xi-s)^{2n-j} q(\xi) v(\xi) d\xi \right) ds$$

if $t \ge c$. Now (10) and standard iteration techniques say that there is a continuous function u from $[c, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that $u(t) \le v(t)$ whenever $t \ge c$ and such that

(11)
$$u(t) = v(c) + \frac{1}{(j-1)!(2n-j)!} \int_{s}^{t} (t-s)^{j-1} \left(\int_{s}^{\infty} (\xi-s)^{2n-j} q(\xi) u(\xi) d\xi \right) ds$$

if $t \ge c$. The fact that u has only nonnegative values, together with (11), says $u(t) \ge v(c)$ whenever $t \ge c$; in particular, u has no zeros in $[c, \infty)$. Differentiation of (11) yields that u satisfies (1) on $[c, \infty)$, and u' > 0 on (c, ∞) , i.e., (2) is not true for k = 1 and t > c. Clearly u can be extended to a solution of (1) on $[0, \infty)$, and this solution is eventually positive but not strongly decreasing. The proof is complete.

References

1. G. V. Anan'eva and V. I. Balaganskii, Oscillation of the solutions of certain differential equations of high order, Uspehi Mat. Nauk., 14 (85) (1959), 135-140.

2. M. Bôcher, Application of a method of d'Alembert to the proof of Sturm's theorems of comparison, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1 (1900), 414-420.

3. ____, Nonoscillatory linear differential equations of the second order, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 7 (1900-01), 333-340.

4. W. A. Coppell, *Disconjugacy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics #220, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

5. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, Linear differential and difference equations with monotone solutions, Amer. J. Math., **75** (1953), 731-743.

6. A. C. Lazer, The behavior of solutions of the differential equation y''' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, Pacific J. Math., 17 (1966), 435-466.

7. C. A. Swanson, Comparison and Oscillation Theory of Linear Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1968.

8. C. de la Valée Poussin, Sur l'équation différentielle linéaire du second ordre, J. Math. Pures Appl., (9) 8 (1929), 125-144.

9. A. Wintner, On the nonexistence of conjugate points, Amer. J. Math., 73 (1951), 368-380.

Received October 29, 1974 and in revised form March 4, 1975.

THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 57, No. 2 February, 1975

Norman Larrabee Alling, <i>On Cauchy's theorem for real algebraic curves with boundary</i>	315
Dennis Neal Barr and Peter D. Miletta, A necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness of	323
solutions to two point boundary value problems	325
Ladislav Beran, On solvability of generalized orthomodular lattices	331
L. Carlitz, A three-term relation for some sums related to Dedekind sums	339
Arthur Herbert Copeland, Jr. and Albert Oscar Shar, Images and pre-images of localization	
maps	349
G. G. Dandapat, John L. Hunsucker and Carl Pomerance, <i>Some new results on odd perfect numbers</i> .	359
M. Edelstein and L. Keener, <i>Characterizations of infinite-dimensional and nonreflexive</i>	
spaces	365
Francis James Flanigan, On Levi factors of derivation algebras and the radical embedding	
problem	371
Harvey Friedman, <i>Provable equality in primitive recursive arithmetic with and without induction</i> .	379
Joseph Braucher Fugate and Lee K. Mohler. <i>The fixed point property for tree-like continua with</i>	
finitely many arc components	393
John Norman Ginsburg and Victor Harold Saks. Some applications of ultrafilters in	
topology	403
Ariun K. Gupta. <i>Generalisation of a "square" functional equation</i>	419
Thomas Lee Havden and Frank Jones Massey. <i>Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups</i>	423
V. Kannan and Thekkedath Thrivikraman, <i>Lattices of Hausdorff compactifications of a locally</i>	
compact space	441
J. E. Kerlin and Wilfred Dennis Pepe, <i>Norm decreasing homomorphisms between group</i>	445
Young K Kwon Rehavior of Φ -bounded harmonic functions at the Wiener boundary	453
Richard Arthur Levaro, Projective quasi-coherent sheaves of modules	457
Chung Lin Rearranging Fourier transforms on groups	463
David Lowell Loweledy. An asymptotic analysis of an odd order linear differential equation	475
Jarry Malzan, On groups with a single involution	481
I E McClendon Metric families	401
Corl Domerance. On multiply perfect numbers with a special property	511
Mohan S. Dutcha and Adil Mohamed Vacub. <i>Polynomial constraints for fuiteness of</i>	511
semisimple rings	519
Colvin P. Putnam Hunonormal contractions and strong nowar convergence	531
Douglas Conner Devenal Multiplicative operations in BD*BD	530
Judith Poitman Attaining the ground at earlingle which are not strong limite	545
Judin Kolunan, Analining the spread at cardinals which are not strong timus	545
Kamumulti Saitâ Canuna of * automombiana and imaniant mana of you Numumu da dama	552
Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras	553
Kazuyuki Saitô, <i>Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von</i> Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth</i>	553
Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds	553 559
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrance Taply. Seminring singe with the singular splitting property. 	553 559 563 575
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property L Palham Thomas Maximal connected Hausdooff mages. 	553 559 563 575 581
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property	553 559 563 575 581
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property	553 559 563 575 581 585
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property J. Pelham Thomas, Maximal connected Hausdorff spaces Charles Thomas Tucker, II, Concerning σ-homomorphisms of Riesz spaces Rangachari Venkataraman, Compactness in abelian topological groups 	553 559 563 575 581 585 591
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property	553 559 563 575 581 585 591 597
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property J. Pelham Thomas, Maximal connected Hausdorff spaces Charles Thomas Tucker, II, Concerning σ-homomorphisms of Riesz spaces William Charles Waterhouse, Basically bounded functors and flat sheaves David Westreich, Bifurcation of operator equations with unbounded linearized part 	 553 559 563 575 581 585 591 597 611
 Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property	 553 559 563 575 581 585 591 597 611 619