Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MAXIMAL CONNECTED HAUSDORFF SPACES

J. PELHAM THOMAS

Vol. 57, No. 2 February 1975

MAXIMAL CONNECTED HAUSDORFF SPACES

J. PELHAM THOMAS

A nowhere neighborhood nested space is one in which no point has a local base which is linearly ordered by set inclusion. An MI space is one in which every dense subset is open. In this paper we show that every Hausdorff topology without isolated points has a nowhere neighborhood nested refinement. We show that every maximal connected Hausdorff topology is MI and nowhere neighborhood nested, and that every connected, but not maximal connected, Hausdorff topology has a connected, but not maximal connected, nowhere neighborhood nested refinement. Every connected Hausdorff topology has a connected, MI, nowhere neighborhood nested refinement.

In [4] the author raised the question of the existence of non-trivial maximal connected Hausdorff spaces. The question remains open.

A topology \mathcal{I}' on a set X is said to be finer than, or to be a refinement of, a topology \mathcal{I} on X if $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}'$. It is said to be strictly finer than \mathcal{I} , if, in addition, we have $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}'$ We say that (X, \mathcal{I}) (and by abuse of language, \mathcal{I}) is maximal connected, if (X, \mathcal{I}) is connected and whenever \mathcal{I}' is strictly finer than \mathcal{I} , (X, \mathcal{I}') is not connected. An MI space (see [2]) is one is which every dense subset is open.

The following result is in the authors thesis [5].

Theorem 1. Every maximal connected space is an MI space.

An irresolvable space is one which does not have a dense subset whose complement is also dense. Anderson [1] has shown that every connected Hausdorff space has a connected irresolvable refinement. If in his proof of his Theorem 1, in the fourth paragraph, we simply choose D to be an R^* -dense set which is not R^* open, we will have proved

THEOREM 2. Let τ be an infinite cardinal number. Let R be a connected topology for X with $\Delta(R) \geq \tau$, where $\Delta(R)$ denotes the dispersion character, or minimum cardinality of an open set of R. Then there exists a connected MI refinement R^* of R with $\Delta(R^*) \geq \tau$.

DEFINITION 1. Let (X, \mathcal{I}) be a topological space, $x \in X$. If there is a "local" base at x which is linearly ordered under set

inclusion ($V \leq W$ if $W \subset V$), we say \mathcal{I} is neighborhood nested at x. If \mathcal{I} is not neighborhood nested at any point of X, we say \mathcal{I} is nowhere neighborhood nested, abbreviated n.n.n.

LEMMA 1. Let $(X\mathcal{T})$ be a Hausdorff space, $x \in X$. If there is a base $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ at x which is linearly ordered under set inclusion, then there is a base $\{W_k\}_{k \in K}$ at x which is well ordered under set inclusion and such that if δ , $\sigma \in K$, $\delta < \sigma$, then $\operatorname{Int}(W_{\delta} - W_{\sigma}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Lemma 1. First recall that (1) every totally ordered set has a cofinal well ordered subset (2) every well ordered set has a cofinal subset which is order isomorphic with a regular cardinal A. Next, using A, one can easily construct sets with the desired properties.

COROLLARY. If there is an ordered local base at x, x is adherent to a set S of isolated points (isolated in S).

Proof. Choose one member of Int $(W_{\iota} - W_{\iota+1})$ for each ι .

THEOREM 3. Every Hausdorff topology without isolated points has an n.n.n. refinement. Every connected, Hausdorff topology has a connected n.n.n. refinement. Every connected, Hausdorff, but not n.n.n. topology has a connected n.n.n. refinement which is not maximal connected.

Proof of Theorem 3. For a space (X, \mathcal{F}) , denote by \mathcal{F}' the topology on X which has as a base $\mathcal{F} \cup \{D \cap T \mid T \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \operatorname{Int}_{(X,\mathcal{F})} D \text{ is dense in } (X,\mathcal{F})\}$. Then one can show that (X,\mathcal{F}) and (X,\mathcal{F}') have the same open-and-closed subsets by appealing to the following fact: if D is a dense subset of (X,\mathcal{F}) and $U,V\in\mathcal{F}$ with $U\cap V\neq\emptyset$, then $U\cap V\cap D\neq\emptyset$. Using Lemma 1, (X,\mathcal{F}') , and, some (or all) nowhere dense subsets of (X,\mathcal{F}) , one obtains the statements of Theorem 3.

The following theorem is an immediate corollary to Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. Every maximal connected Hausdorff topology is n.n.n.

THEOREM 5. Every Hausdorff connected topology \mathcal{T}_1 has a Hausdorff, connected, MI, n.n.n. refinement \mathcal{T}_3 .

Proof. By Theorem 2, \mathcal{J}_1 has a connected Hausdorff MI refinement \mathcal{J}_2 . By Theorem 3, \mathcal{J}_2 has a connected, Hausdorff,

n.n.n. refinement \mathcal{I}_3 . It is easy to see that every refinement of an MI topology is MI. Thus, \mathcal{I}_3 meets the required conditions.

REFERENCES

- 1. D. R. Anderson, On connected irresolvable Hausdorff spaces, Proceedings of the American Math. Soc., 16, No. 3 (1965), 463-466.
- 2. E. Hewitt, A problem of set theoretic topology, Duke Math, J., 10 (1943), 309-333.
- 3. K. Padmavally, An example of a connected irresolvable Hausdorff space, Duke Math. J., 20 (1953), 513-520.
- 4. J. Pelham Thomees, Maximal connected topologies, J. of Australian Math. Soc., 8, Part 4, (1968), 700-705.
- 5. ——, Maximal Topological Spaces (Thesis), University of South Carolina, (1965).

Received December 16, 1974. Thanks are due to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

WESTERN CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270,

Manufactured and first issued in Japan

3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 57, No. 2 February, 1975

Norman Larrabee Alling, On Cauchy's theorem for real algebraic curves with boundary	315			
Daniel D. Anderson, A remark on the lattice of ideals of a Prüfer domain	323			
Dennis Neal Barr and Peter D. Miletta, A necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness of				
solutions to two point boundary value problems	325			
Ladislav Beran, On solvability of generalized orthomodular lattices				
L. Carlitz, A three-term relation for some sums related to Dedekind sums	339			
Arthur Herbert Copeland, Jr. and Albert Oscar Shar, <i>Images and pre-images of localization</i>				
maps	349			
G. G. Dandapat, John L. Hunsucker and Carl Pomerance, Some new results on odd perfect				
numbers	359			
M. Edelstein and L. Keener, Characterizations of infinite-dimensional and nonreflexive				
spaces	365			
Francis James Flanigan, On Levi factors of derivation algebras and the radical embedding				
problem	371			
Harvey Friedman, Provable equality in primitive recursive arithmetic with and without				
induction	379			
Joseph Braucher Fugate and Lee K. Mohler, <i>The fixed point property for tree-like continua with</i>				
finitely many arc components	393			
John Norman Ginsburg and Victor Harold Saks, Some applications of ultrafilters in				
topology	403			
Arjun K. Gupta, Generalisation of a "square" functional equation				
Thomas Lee Hayden and Frank Jones Massey, Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups	423			
V. Kannan and Thekkedath Thrivikraman, <i>Lattices of Hausdorff compactifications of a locally</i>				
compact space	441			
J. E. Kerlin and Wilfred Dennis Pepe, <i>Norm decreasing homomorphisms</i> between group				
algebras	445			
Young K. Kwon, Behavior of Φ-bounded harmonic functions at the Wiener boundary	453			
Richard Arthur Levaro, Projective quasi-coherent sheaves of modules	457			
Chung Lin, Rearranging Fourier transforms on groups	463			
David Lowell Lovelady, An asymptotic analysis of an odd order linear differential equation	475			
Jerry Malzan, On groups with a single involution	481			
J. F. McClendon, Metric families.	491			
Carl Pomerance, On multiply perfect numbers with a special property	511			
Mohan S. Putcha and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Polynomial constraints for finiteness of</i>	~ 10			
semisimple rings	519			
Calvin R. Putnam, Hyponormal contractions and strong power convergence	531			
Douglas Conner Ravenel, Multiplicative operations in BP*BP	539			
Judith Roitman, Attaining the spread at cardinals which are not strong limits	545			
Kazuyuki Saitô, Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras	553			
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth				
manifolds	559			
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere	563			
Mark Lawrence Teply, Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property	575			
J. Pelham Thomas, Maximal connected Hausdorff spaces	581			
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, Concerning σ-homomorphisms of Riesz spaces	585			
Rangachari Venkataraman, Compactness in abelian topological groups	591			
William Charles Waterhouse, Basically bounded functors and flat sheaves	597			
David Westreich, Bifurcation of operator equations with unbounded linearized part	611			
William Robin Zame, Extendibility, boundedness and sequential convergence in spaces of				
holomorphic functions	619			