

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **PRODUCT INTEGRALS AND THE SOLUTION OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS**

JON CRAIG HELTON

# PRODUCT INTEGRALS AND THE SOLUTION OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

JON C. HELTON

**Functions are from  $R$  to  $N$  or  $R \times R$  to  $N$ , where  $R$  denotes the set of real numbers and  $N$  denotes a normed complete ring. If  $\beta > 0$ ,  $H$  and  $G$  are functions from  $R \times R$  to  $N$ ,  $f$  and  $h$  are functions from  $R$  to  $N$ , each of  $H$ ,  $G$  and  $dh$  has bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  and  $|H| < 1 - \beta$  on  $[a, b]$ , then the following statements are equivalent:**

- (1)  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ , each of  $\int_a^b H$ ,  $\int_a^b G$  and  
(LR)  $\int_a^b (fG + fH)$  exists and

$$f(x) = h(x) + (LR) \int_a^x (fG + fH)$$

for  $a \leq x \leq b$ , and

- (2) each of  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j)$ ,  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  and

$$(R) \int_x^y dh \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j\right) {}_s\Pi^y(1 + G) \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j\right)$$

exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = & h(a) {}_a\Pi^x(1 + G) \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j\right) \\ & + (R) \int_a^x dh \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j\right) {}_s\Pi^x(1 + G) \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j\right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $a \leq x \leq b$ .

**This result is obtained without requiring the existence of integrals of the form**

$$\int_a^b \left| G - \int G \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b |1 + G - \Pi(1 + G)| = 0.$$

This article is part of a sequence of results on the solution of integral equations initiated by two papers by H. S. Wall [28][29] on continuous continued fractions and harmonic matrices. He studied certain techniques for solving integral equations which are associated with product integration and his results have been extended in various directions by J. S. MacNerney [18][19][20][21][22], J. W. Neuberger

[24][25][26], T. H. Hildebrandt [13], J. R. Dorroh [4], B. W. Helton [5][6][7], D. B. Hinton [14], J. V. Herod [11], C. W. Bitzer [2][3], D. L. Lovelady [16][17] and J. A. Reneke [27]. The results here connect closely with those of B. W. Helton [5, §5, pp. 307–315].

B. W. Helton [5, Theorem 5.1, p. 310] solved the integral equation

$$(a) \quad f(x) = h(x) + (LR) \int_a^x (fG + fH)$$

by using product integral techniques. In his development, the existence of integrals of the form

$$(b) \quad \int_a^b \left| G - \int G \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b |1 + G - \Pi(1 + G)| = 0$$

plays an important part. For real valued functions, A. Kolmogoroff [15, p. 669] has shown that if  $\int_a^b G$  exists, then  $\int_a^b \left| G - \int G \right|$  exists and is zero. Further, W. D. L. Appling [1, Theorem 2, p. 155] and B. W. Helton [5, Theorem 4.1, p. 304] have shown that there exist other classes of functions such that the existence of  $\int_a^b G$  is sufficient to assure that  $\int_a^b \left| G - \int G \right|$  exists and is zero. Also, B. W. Helton [5, Theorem 4.2, p. 305] has shown that for some settings the existence of  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  is sufficient to assure that  $\int_a^b |1 + G - \Pi(1 + G)|$  exists and is zero. However, it has been shown by W. D. L. Appling [1, Theorem 2, p. 155] and the author [8, pp. 153–154] that the existence of  $\int_a^b G$  and  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  is not sufficient to imply the existence of the integrals in (b). In the following, we solve the integral equation in (a) without requiring the existence of the integrals in (b).

All integrals and definitions are of the subdivision-refinement type, and functions are from either  $R$  to  $N$  or  $R \times R$  to  $N$ , where  $R$  denotes the set of real numbers and  $N$  denotes a ring which has a multiplicative identity element represented by 1 and a norm  $|\cdot|$  with respect to which  $N$  is complete and  $|1| = 1$ . Lower case letters are used to denote functions from  $R$  to  $N$ , and capital letters are used to denote functions from  $R \times R$  to  $N$ . Unless noted otherwise, functions on  $R \times R$  are assumed to be defined only for elements  $\{a, b\}$  of  $R \times R$  such that  $a < b$ . If  $D = \{x_q\}_{q=0}^n$  is a subdivision of  $[a, b]$ , then  $D(I) = \{[x_{q-1}, x_q]\}_{q=1}^n$ ,  $f_q = f(x_q)$  and  $G_q = G(x_{q-1}, x_q)$ . Further,  $\{x_{qr}\}_{r=0}^{n(q)}$  represents a subdivision of  $[x_{q-1}, x_q]$  and  $G_{qr} = G(x_{q,r-1}, x_{qr})$ .

The statement that  $\int_a^b G$  exists means there exists an element  $L$  of  $N$  such that, if  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists a subdivision  $D$  of  $[a, b]$  such that if  $J$  is a refinement of  $D$ , then

$$\left| L - \sum_{J(I)} G \right| < \epsilon.$$

The statement that  ${}_a\Pi^b(1 + G)$  exists means there exists an element  $L$  of  $N$  such that, if  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists a subdivision  $D$  of  $[a, b]$  such that if  $J$  is a refinement of  $D$ , then

$$\left| L - \prod_{J(I)} (1 + G) \right| < \epsilon.$$

The statement  $(LR) \int_a^b (fG + fH)$  exists means  $\int_a^b C$  exists, where

$$C(r, s) = f(r)G(r, s) + f(s)H(r, s).$$

We adopt the conventions that

$$\int_a^a G = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad {}_a\Pi^a(1 + G) = 1.$$

Further,

$$\sum_{q=i}^j G_q = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{q=i}^j (1 + G_q) = 1,$$

where  $i > j$ .

The statements that  $G$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ ,  $G \in OP^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $G \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  mean there exist a subdivision  $D$  of  $[a, b]$  and a number  $B$  such that if  $\{x_q\}_{q=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D$ , then

- (1)  $|G_q| < B$  for  $q = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,
- (2)  $|\prod_{q=i}^j (1 + G_q)| < B$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , and
- (3)  $\sum_{q=1}^n |G_q| < B$ ,

respectively. Similarly, statements of the form  $|G| < \beta$  are to be interpreted in terms of subdivisions and refinements. Observe that every function in  $OB^\circ$  is also in  $OP^\circ$ .

The statement that  $G \in OM^*$  on  $[a, b]$  means  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  and if  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists a subdivision  $D$  of  $[a, b]$  such that if  $\{x_q\}_{q=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D$  and  $0 \leq p < q \leq n$ , then

$$|{}_x\Pi^{x_q}(1 + G) - \Pi_{i=p+1}^q(1 + G_i)| < \epsilon.$$

Also,  $G \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  only if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p^+} G(p, x)$ ,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow p^-} G(x, p)$ ,  $\lim_{x, y \rightarrow p^+} G(x, y)$  and  $\lim_{x, y \rightarrow p^-} G(x, y)$  exist for  $a \leq p \leq b$ , and  $G \in OA^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  only if  $\int_a^b G$  exists and  $\int_a^b \left| G - \int G \right|$  exists and is zero. For additional background with respect to this paper, see work by B. W. Helton [5][6] and J. S. MacNerney [20]. Further, additional background on product integration is given by P. R. Masani [23].

LEMMA 1. *If  $G$  is a function from  $R \times R$  to  $N$  and  $G \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\int_a^b G$  exists if and only if  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  [10, Theorem 4].*

LEMMA 2. *If  $H$  and  $G$  are functions from  $R \times R$  to  $N$ ,  $H \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $G \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  and either  $\int_a^b G$  exists or  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$ , then  $\int_a^b HG$  and  $\int_a^b GH$  exist and  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + HG)$  and  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + GH)$  exist for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  [10, Theorem 5].*

LEMMA 3. *If  $G$  is a function from  $R \times R$  to  $N$ ,  $G \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  and  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$ , then  $G \in OM^*$  on  $[a, b]$  [10, Theorem 1].*

LEMMA 4. *If  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $H$  is a function from  $R \times R$  to  $N$  and  $H \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , then there exist a subdivision  $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^p$  of  $[a, b]$  and a sequence  $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^p$  such that if  $1 \leq j \leq p$  and  $t_{j-1} < x < y < t_j$ , then*

$$|H(x, y) - k_j| < \epsilon$$

[6, Lemma, p. 498].

LEMMA 5. *If  $H$  and  $G$  are functions from  $R \times R$  to  $N$ ,  $H \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $G \in OA^\circ$  and  $OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , then  $HG \in OA^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  [6, Theorem 2, p. 494].*

LEMMA 6. *If  $F$  and  $U$  are functions from  $R \times R$  to  $N$ ,  $F$  and  $U$  are in  $OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $F \in OA^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + U)$  exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  and*

$$(R) \int_x^y F {}_x\Pi^y(1 + U)$$

exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$ , then

$$\int_a^b \left| (R) \int_x^y F_s \Pi^y(1+U) - F(x, y) \right|$$

exists and is zero [5, Lemma, p. 307].

The main result now follows.

**THEOREM.** *If  $\beta > 0$ ,  $H$  and  $G$  are functions from  $R \times R$  to  $N$ ,  $f$  and  $h$  are functions from  $R$  to  $N$ , each of  $H$ ,  $G$  and  $dh$  is in  $OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $|H| < 1 - \beta$  on  $[a, b]$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1)  *$f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ , each of  $\int_a^b H$ ,  $\int_a^b G$  and*

$$(LR) \int_a^b (fG + fH)$$

*exists and*

$$f(x) = h(x) + (LR) \int_a^x (fG + fH)$$

*for  $a \leq x \leq b$ , and*

(2) *each of  ${}_x \Pi^y(1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty H^j)$ ,  ${}_x \Pi^y(1 + G)$  and*

$$(R) \int_x^y dh \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty H^j \right) {}_s \Pi^y(1 + G) \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty H^j \right)$$

*exists for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = & h(a) {}_a \Pi^x(1 + G) \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty H^j \right) \\ & + (R) \int_a^x dh \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty H^j \right) {}_s \Pi^x(1 + G) \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty H^j \right) \end{aligned}$$

*for  $a \leq x \leq b$ .*

Before proving the theorem, we point out the results of considering left and right integrals, respectively. If  $H \equiv 0$ , then we have the integral equation

$$(a) \quad f(x) = h(x) + (L) \int_a^x fG.$$

This equation involves only a left integral, and its solution is

$$(b) \quad f(x) = h(a)_a \Pi^x (1 + G) + (R) \int_a^x dh_s \Pi^x (1 + G).$$

On the other hand, if  $G \equiv 0$ , then we have the integral equation

$$(c) \quad f(x) = h(x) + (R) \int_a^x f G.$$

This equation involves only a right integral, and its solution is

$$(d) \quad f(x) = h(a)_a \Pi^x \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \right) + (L) \int_a^x dh_r \Pi^x \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \right).$$

If  $z$  is in  $N$  and  $|z| < 1$ , then  $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z^j$  exists and is  $(1 - z)^{-1}$ . Thus, in (d) and in the theorem itself, it is possible to substitute  $(1 - H)^{-1}$  for  $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j$ . To obtain some feeling for why invertibility-related conditions are placed on  $H$  but not on  $G$ , consider the first approximations to equations (a) and (c). For (a), we have that

$$f(x) \doteq h(x) + f(a) G(a, x);$$

while for (c), we have that

$$f(x) \doteq h(x) + f(x) H(a, x),$$

and hence that

$$f(x) \doteq h(x) [1 - H(a, x)]^{-1}.$$

For additional discussion of product integrals, inverses and integral equations, the reader is referred to papers by J. V. Herod [12] and the author [9].

The main result is now established.

*Proof.* To simplify notation in the following work, we use the interval functions  $T$ ,  $U$  and  $V$  to denote

$$(1 + G) \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \right),$$

$$G + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j + G \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j$$

and

$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j,$$

respectively. Further, we use  $C$  to denote the interval function

$$C(r, s) = f(r)G(r, s) + f(s)H(r, s).$$

*Proof* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Since  $\int_a^b H$  exists and  $H \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , it follows that  $H \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , and hence,  $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ . Thus, the existence of

$$\int_a^b H \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \right) = \int_a^b \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j$$

follows from Lemma 2. Therefore, the existence of  ${}_x\Pi^y V$  for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  follows from Lemma 1. Also, Lemma 1 implies the existence of  ${}_x\Pi^y(1 + G)$  for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  from the existence of  $\int_a^b G$ . Lemma 2 can be used to establish the existence of  $\int_a^b G \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j$ . Therefore, since each of

$$\int_a^b G, \int_a^b \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b G \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j$$

exists, we have that  $\int_a^b U$  exists, and thus, the existence of  ${}_x\Pi^y T$  for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  can be established by applying Lemma 1. Finally, since  $V(r, s), \Pi^y T$  is in  $OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , the existence of

$$(R) \int_x^y dh V_s \Pi^y T$$

for  $a \leq x < y \leq b$  can be obtained from the existence of  $\int_a^b dh$  through the use of Lemma 2.

Suppose  $a \leq x \leq b$ . We now show that

$$f(x) = h(a) {}_a\Pi^x T + (R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T.$$

If  $a = x$ , the result follows immediately. Therefore, suppose  $a < x$ .



Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $|H| < 1 - \beta$  on  $[a, x]$ ,  $G, H$  and  $dh$  are in  $OB^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$  and  $f$  and  $V$  are bounded on  $[a, x]$ , there exist a subdivision  $D_1$  of  $[a, x]$  and a number  $B$  such that if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_1$ , then

- (1)  $|H_i| < 1 - \beta$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,
- (2)  $\sum_{i=1}^n |dh_i V_i| < B$ ,
- (3)  $\sum_{i=1}^n |C_i V_i| < B$ ,
- (4)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left[ \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_i \right| < B$ , and
- (5)  $|V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k| < B$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Since  ${}_r\Pi^s T$  exists for  $a \leq r \leq s \leq x$  and  $U \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$ , it follows from Lemma 3 that there exists a subdivision  $D_2$  of  $[a, x]$  such that if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_2$  and  $0 \leq p < q \leq n$ , then

- (1)  $|{}_x\Pi^q T - \prod_{i=p+1}^q T_i| < \epsilon(16B)^{-1}$ ,
- (2)  $|\prod_{i=p+1}^q T_i - {}_x\Pi^q T| < \epsilon(16B)^{-1}$ , and
- (3)  $|h(a)_a\Pi^x T - h(a)\prod_{i=1}^n T_i| < \epsilon/4$ .

Since  $(R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T$  exists, there exists a subdivision  $D_3$  of  $[a, x]$  such that if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_3$ , then

$$\left| (R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T - \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_{i, x_i} \Pi^x T \right| < \epsilon/8.$$

Since  $V(r, s)_s \Pi^x T$  is in  $OL^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$ , it follows from Lemma 4 that there exist a subdivision  $D_4 = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^p$  of  $[a, x]$  and a sequence  $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^p$  such that if  $1 \leq j \leq p$  and  $t_{j-1} < r < s < t_j$ , then

$$|V(r, s)_s \Pi^x T - k_j| < \epsilon(16B)^{-1}.$$

Since  $C \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$  and  $\int_a^x C$  exists, there exist subdivisions  $\{r_j\}_{j=0}^{p+1}$  and  $\{s_j\}_{j=0}^{p+1}$  of  $[a, x]$  such that

- (1)  $t_{j-1} < r_j < s_j < t_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , and
- (2)  $\sum_{k=1}^{n(j)} \left| C_{jk} - \int_{x_{j,k-1}}^{x_{jk}} C \right| < \epsilon[8B(p+1)]^{-1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p+1$  and

each refinement  $\{x_{jk}\}_{k=0}^{n(j)}$  of  $\{s_{j-1}, t_{j-1}, r_j\}$ .

Further, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , there exist subdivisions  $E_j$  of  $[r_j, s_j]$  such that if  $F_j$  is a refinement of  $E_j$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \left| \sum_{F_j(I)} C - \int_{r_j}^{s_j} C \right| |k_j| < \epsilon/8.$$

Let  $D$  denote the subdivision

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^4 D_i \cup \{r_j\}_{j=0}^{p+1} \cup \{s_j\}_{j=0}^{p+1} \bigcup_{j=1}^p E_j$$

of  $[a, x]$ , and suppose  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D$ . For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , let  $K_j$  be the set such that  $i \in K_j$  only if  $r_j < x_i \leq s_j$ . Let  $K$  and  $L$  denote the sets

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^p K_j \quad \text{and} \quad \{i\}_{i=1}^n = \bigcup_{j=1}^p K_j,$$

respectively.

We now establish two inequalities that are necessary to complete the proof. First,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T - \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_{i x_i} \Pi^x T - \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| \\ & \quad + \left| (R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T - \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_{i x_i} \Pi^x T \right| \\ & < \sum_{i=1}^n |dh_i V_i| \left| x_i \Pi^x T - \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| + \epsilon/8 \\ & < B[\epsilon(16B)^{-1}] + \epsilon/8 < \epsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

Second,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{i \in K} \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \in L} \left| C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right| \left| V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| \\ & < \left| \sum_{i \in K} \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| \\ & \quad + (p+1)\{\epsilon[8B(p+1)]^{-1}\}B \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{i \in K} \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_{i x_i} \Pi^x T \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i \in K} \left| \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_i \right| \left| \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k - x_i \Pi^x T \right| \\ & \quad + \epsilon/8 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& < \left| \sum_{i \in K} \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_{i, x_i} \Pi^x T \right| \\
& \quad + 2B[\epsilon(16B)^{-1}] + \epsilon/8 \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^p \left| \sum_{i \in K_j} \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_{i, x_i} \Pi^x T \right| + \epsilon/4 \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^p \left| \sum_{i \in K_j} \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] k_j \right| \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{i \in K_j} \left| C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right| |V_{i, x_i} \Pi^x T - k_j| + \epsilon/4 \\
& < \sum_{j=1}^p \left| \sum_{i \in K_j} C_i - \int_{\eta}^{s_j} C \right| |k_j| \\
& \quad + 2B[\epsilon(16B)^{-1}] + \epsilon/4 \\
& < \epsilon/8 + 3\epsilon/8 = \epsilon/2.
\end{aligned}$$

If we employ the iterative technique used by B. W. Helton [5, p. 311], we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C - C_i \right] V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \\
&\quad + h(a) \prod_{i=1}^n T_i + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| h(a)_a \Pi^x T + (R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T - f(x) \right| \\
& \leq \left| h(a)_a \Pi^x T - h(a) \prod_{i=1}^n T_i \right| \\
& \quad + \left| (R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T - \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ C_i - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} C \right] V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right| \\
& < \epsilon/4 + \epsilon/4 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (1) implies (2).

*Proof* (2)→(1). It follows from the bounded variation of the various functions involved that  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$ . Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\int_a^b \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j = \int_a^b H \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \right)$$

exists. Recall that  $(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j)^{-1}$  exists and is  $1 - H$ . Thus, since  $1 - H \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that  $\int_a^b H$  exists. Further, it follows from Lemma 1 that  $\int_a^b G$  exists. The existence of  $\int_a^b C$  now follows from the existence of  $\int_a^b G$  and  $\int_a^b H$  by applying Lemma 2.

Suppose  $a \leq x \leq b$ . We now show that

$$f(x) = h(x) + (LR) \int_a^x (fG + fH).$$

If  $a = x$ , the result follows immediately. Therefore, suppose  $a < x$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exist a subdivision  $D_1$  of  $[a, x]$  and a number  $B$  such that if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_1$ , then

- (1)  $|H_i| < 1 - \beta$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,
- (2)  $\sum_{i=1}^n |G_i| < B$ ,
- (3)  $\sum_{i=1}^n |H_i| < B$ ,
- (4)  $\sum_{i=1}^n |dh_i V_i| < B$ , and
- (5)  $|\sum_{x_p} \Pi^{x_q} T| < B$  for  $0 \leq p < q \leq n$ .

Since  $\int_a^x G$  exists and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, b]$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that  $\int_a^x G \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j$  exists. Thus, the existence of  $\int_a^x U$  follows from the existence of

$$\int_a^x G, \int_a^x \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^x G \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} H^j.$$

Therefore,

$$, \Pi' (1 + U) = , \Pi' T$$

exists for  $a \leq r \leq t \leq x$  by Lemma 1. Now, it follows from Lemma 3 that  $U \in OM^*$  on  $[a, x]$ . Hence, there exists a subdivision  $D_2$  of  $[a, x]$  such that if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_2$  and  $0 \leq p < q \leq n$ , then

$$\left| f(a)_{x_p} \Pi^{x_q} T - f(a) \prod_{i=p+1}^q T_i \right| < \epsilon(6B)^{-1}.$$

Since  $dh$  is in  $OA^\circ$  and  $OB^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$  and  $V \in OL^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$ , it follows from Lemma 5 that  $dh V \in OA^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$ . Thus, since  $U \in OB^\circ$  on  $[a, x]$  and  ${}_s\Pi' T$  exists for  $a \leq s < t \leq x$ , it follows from Lemma 6 that

$$\int_a^x \left| (R) \int_a^v dh V_s \Pi^v T - dh(v) V(u, v) \right| = 0.$$

From the existence of this integral and the fact that  $U \in OM^*$  on  $[a, x]$ , it follows that there exists a subdivision  $D_3$  of  $[a, x]$  such that if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_3$  and  $0 \leq p < q \leq n$ , then

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \left| (R) \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dh V_s \Pi^{x_i} T - dh_i V_i \right| < \epsilon(12B^2)^{-1}, \text{ and}$$

$$(2) \quad \left| {}_{x_p} \Pi^{x_q} T - \prod_{k=p+1}^q T_k \right| < \epsilon(12B^2)^{-1}.$$

Thus, if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_1 \cup D_3$  and  $0 < p \leq n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (R) \int_a^{x_p} dh V_s \Pi^{x_p} T - \sum_{i=1}^p dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^p T_k \right| \\ & \leq \left| (R) \int_a^{x_p} dh V_s \Pi^{x_p} T - \sum_{i=1}^p dh_i V_{i x_i} \Pi^{x_p} T \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \left| dh_i V_i \right| \left| {}_{x_i} \Pi^{x_p} T - \prod_{k=i+1}^p T_k \right| \\ & < \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \left[ (R) \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dh V_s \Pi^{x_i} T - dh_i V_i \right] {}_{x_i} \Pi^{x_p} T \right| \\ & \quad + B[\epsilon(12B^2)^{-1}] \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \left| (R) \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dh V_s \Pi^{x_i} T - dh_i V_i \right| \left| {}_{x_i} \Pi^{x_p} T \right| + \epsilon(12B)^{-1} \\ & < B[\epsilon(12B^2)^{-1}] + \epsilon(12B)^{-1} = \epsilon(6B)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the existence of the integrals involved that there exists a subdivision  $D_4$  of  $[a, x]$  such that if  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D_4$ , then

$$\left| f(a) \prod_{i=1}^n T_i - f(a)_a \Pi^x T \right| < \epsilon/6$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k - (R) \int_a^x dh V_s \Pi^x T \right| < \epsilon/6.$$

Let  $D$  denote the subdivision  $\cup_{i=1}^4 D_i$  of  $[a, x]$ . Suppose  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  is a refinement of  $D$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=m}^n T_i &= 1 + \sum_{i=m}^n \left( \prod_{k=m}^{i-1} T_k \right) U_i \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=m}^n \left( \prod_{k=m}^{i-1} T_k \right) G_i + \sum_{i=m}^n \left( \prod_{k=m}^i T_k \right) H_i. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \sum_{k=i+1}^n \left( \prod_{j=i+1}^{k-1} T_j \right) G_k \\ = \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} T_k \right] G_i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \sum_{k=i+1}^n \left( \prod_{j=i+1}^k T_j \right) H_k \\ = \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^i T_k \right] H_i. \end{aligned}$$

These identities can be established by induction and are used in subsequent manipulations.

We now work out a further identity to aid in establishing the

existence of the desired integral. By employing the previously stated identities, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(a) \prod_{i=1}^n T_i + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \\
&= f(a) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} T_k \right) G_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^i T_k \right) H_i \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=i+1}^n \left( \prod_{j=i+1}^{k-1} T_j \right) G_k + \sum_{k=i+1}^n \left( \prod_{j=i+1}^k T_j \right) H_k \right] \\
&= f(a) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} T_k \right) G_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^i T_k \right) H_i \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \sum_{k=i+1}^n \left( \prod_{j=i+1}^{k-1} T_j \right) G_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \sum_{k=i+1}^n \left( \prod_{j=i+1}^k T_j \right) H_k \\
&= f(a) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} T_k \right) G_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^i T_k \right) H_i \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i H_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} T_k \right] G_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^i T_k \right] H_i \\
&= f(a) \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} T_k \right) G_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^i T_k \right) H_i \right] \\
&\quad + h(x) - h(a) + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} T_k \right] G_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^i dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^i T_k \right] H_i \\
&= f(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} T_k \right) G_i + f(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^i T_k \right) H_i \\
&\quad + h(x) + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} T_k \right] G_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^i dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^i T_k \right] H_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by employing the identity developed in the preceding paragraph, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| h(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})G_i + \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)H_i - f(x) \right| \\
 & < \left| h(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})G_i + \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)H_i \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \left[ f(a) \prod_{i=1}^n T_i + \sum_{i=1}^n dh_i V_i \prod_{k=i+1}^n T_k \right] \right| + \epsilon/6 + \epsilon/6 \\
 & = \left| h(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_{i-1})G_i + \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)H_i \right. \\
 & \quad - \left\{ f(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} T_k \right) G_i + f(a) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{k=1}^i T_k \right) H_i \right. \\
 & \quad + h(x) + \sum_{i=2}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} T_k \right] G_i \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \sum_{j=1}^i dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^i T_k \right] H_i \right\} \left| \right| + \epsilon/3 \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=2}^n \left| f(a) {}_a\Pi^{x_{i-1}} T - f(a) \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} T_k \right| |G_i| \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| f(a) {}_a\Pi^{x_i} T - f(a) \prod_{k=1}^i T_k \right| |H_i| \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=2}^n \left| (R) \int_a^{x_{i-1}} dh V_v \Pi^{x_{i-1}} T - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} T_k \right| |G_i| \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| (R) \int_a^{x_i} dh V_v \Pi^{x_i} T - \sum_{j=1}^i dh_j V_j \prod_{k=j+1}^i T_k \right| |H_i| \\
 & \quad + \epsilon/3 \\
 & < B[\epsilon(6B)^{-1}] + B[\epsilon(6B)^{-1}] + B[\epsilon(6B)^{-1}] + B[\epsilon(6B)^{-1}] \\
 & \quad + \epsilon/3 \\
 & = \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(LR) \int_a^x (fG + fH)$  exists and is  $f(x) - h(x)$ . Hence, (2) implies (1).

B. W. Helton states three additional theorems on the solution of integral equations by product integration [5, Theorems 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, pp.



313–314]. The techniques used in the present paper to avoid requiring the existence of the integrals

$$\int_a^b \left| G - \int G \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b |1 + G - \Pi(1 + G)| = 0$$

can also be applied to these results.

## REFERENCES

1. W. D. L. Appling, *Interval functions and real Hilbert spaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, (2) **11** (1962), 154–156.
2. C. W. Bitzer, *Stieltjes-Volterra integral equations*, Illinois J. Math., **14** (1970), 434–451.
3. ———, *Convolution, fixed point, and approximation in Stieltjes-Volterra integral equations*, J. Australian Math. Soc., **14** (1972), 182–199.
4. J. R. Dorroh, *Integral equations in normed Abelian groups*, Pacific J. Math., **13** (1963), 1143–1158.
5. B. W. Helton, *Integral equations and product integrals*, Pacific J. Math., **16** (1966), 297–322.
6. ———, *A product integral representation for a Gronwall inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **23** (1969), 493–500.
7. ———, *Solutions of  $f(x) = f(a) + (RL) \int_a^x (fH + fG)$  for rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (1970), 735–742.
8. J. C. Helton, *An existence theorem for sum and product integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **39** (1973), 149–154.
9. ———, *Product integrals and inverses in normed rings*, Pacific J. Math., **51** (1974), 155–166.
10. ———, *Mutual existence of sum and product integrals*, Pacific J. Math., **56** (1975), 495–516.
11. J. V. Herod, *Solving integral equations by iteration*, Duke Math. J., **34** (1967), 519–534.
12. ———, *Multiplicative inverses of solutions for Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **22** (1969), 650–656.
13. T. H. Hildebrandt, *On systems of linear differential-Stieltjes-integral equations*, Illinois J. Math., **3** (1959), 352–373.
14. D. B. Hinton, *A Stieltjes-Volterra integral equation theory*, Canad. J. Math., **18** (1966), 314–331.
15. A. Kolmogoroff, *Untersuchungen über den Integralbegriff*, Math. Ann., **103** (1930), 654–696.
16. D. L. Lovelady, *Perturbations of solutions of Stieltjes integral equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **155** (1971), 175–187.
17. ———, *Multiplicative integration of infinite products*, Canad. J. Math., **23** (1971), 692–698.
18. J. S. MacNerney, *Stieltjes integrals in linear spaces*, Ann. of Math., (2) **61** (1955), 354–367.
19. ———, *Continuous products in linear spaces*, J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc., **71** (1955), 185–200.
20. ———, *Integral equations and semigroups*, Illinois J. Math., **7** (1963), 148–173.
21. ———, *A linear initial-value problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **69** (1963), 314–329.
22. ———, *A nonlinear integral operation*, Illinois J. Math., **8** (1964), 621–638.
23. P. R. Masani, *Multiplicative Riemann integration in normed rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **61** (1947), 147–192.
24. J. W. Neuberger, *Continuous products and nonlinear integral equations*, Pacific J. Math., **8** (1958), 529–549.
25. ———, *Concerning boundary value problems*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 1385–1392.
26. ———, *A generator for a set of functions*, Illinois J. Math., **9** (1965), 31–39.

- 27. J. A. Reneke, *A Product integral solution of a Stieltjes-Volterra integral equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 621–626.
- 28. H. S. Wall, *Concerning continuous continued fractions and certain systems of Stieltjes integral equations*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, (2) **2** (1953), 73–84.
- 29. ———, *Concerning harmonic matrices*, Arch. Math., **5** (1954), 160–167.

Received December 13, 1973 and in revised form February 19, 1974.

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY



## CONTENTS

Zvi Artstein and John A. Burns, <i>Integration of compact set-valued functions</i> .....	297
J. A. Beachy and W. D. Blair, <i>Rings whose faithful left ideals are cofaithful</i> .....	1
Mark Benard, <i>Characters and Schur indices of the unitary reflection group [321]<sup>3</sup></i> .....	309
H. L. Bentley and B. J. Taylor, <i>Wallman rings</i> .....	15
E. Berman, <i>Matrix rings over polynomial identity rings II</i> .....	37
Simeon M. Berman, <i>A new characterization of characteristic functions of absolutely continuous distributions</i> .....	323
Monte B. Boisen, Jr. and Philip B. Sheldon, <i>Pre-Prüfer rings</i> .....	331
A. K. Boyle and K. R. Goodearl, <i>Rings over which certain modules are injective</i> .....	43
J. L. Brenner, R. M. Crabwell and J. Riddell, <i>Covering theorems for finite nonabelian simple groups. V</i> .....	55
H. H. Brungs, <i>Three questions on duo rings</i> .....	345
Iracema M. Bund, <i>Birnbaum-Orlicz spaces of functions on groups</i> ....	351
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions between 2-manifolds of higher topological type</i> .....	361
J. K. Finch, <i>The single valued extension property on a Banach space</i> .....	61
J. R. Fisher, <i>A Goldie theorem for differentially prime rings</i> .....	71
Eric M. Friedlander, <i>Extension functions for rank 2, torsion free abelian groups</i> .....	371
J. Froemke and R. Quackenbusch, <i>The spectrum of an equational class of groupoids</i> .....	381
B. J. Gardner, <i>Radicals of supplementary semilattice sums of associative rings</i> .....	387
Shmuel Glasner, <i>Relatively invariant measures</i> .....	393
G. R. Gordh, Jr. and Sibe Mardešić, <i>Characterizing local connectedness in inverse limits</i> .....	411
S. Graf, <i>On the existence of strong liftings in second countable topological spaces</i> .....	419
S. Gudder and D. Strawther, <i>Orthogonally additive and orthogonally increasing functions on vector spaces</i> .....	427
F. Hansen, <i>On one-sided prime ideals</i> .....	79
D. J. Hartfiel and C. J. Maxson, <i>A characterization of the maximal monoids and maximal groups in <math>\beta x</math></i> .....	437
Robert E. Hartwig and S. Brent Morris, <i>The universal flip matrix and the generalized faro-shuffle</i> .....	445

John Allen Beachy and William David Blair, <i>Rings whose faithful left ideals are cofaithful</i> .....	1
Herschel Lamar Bentley and Barbara June Taylor, <i>Wallman rings</i> .....	15
Elizabeth Berman, <i>Matrix rings over polynomial identity rings. II</i> .....	37
Ann K. Boyle and Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Rings over which certain modules are injective</i> .....	43
J. L. Brenner, Robert Myrl Cranwell and James Riddell, <i>Covering theorems for finite nonabelian simple groups. V</i> .....	55
James Kenneth Finch, <i>The single valued extension property on a Banach space</i> .....	61
John Robert Fisher, <i>A Goldie theorem for differentiably prime rings</i> .....	71
Friedhelm Hansen, <i>On one-sided prime ideals</i> .....	79
Jon Craig Helton, <i>Product integrals and the solution of integral equations</i> .....	87
Barry E. Johnson and James Patrick Williams, <i>The range of a normal derivation</i> .....	105
Kurt Kreith, <i>A dynamical criterion for conjugate points</i> .....	123
Robert Allen McCoy, <i>Baire spaces and hyperspaces</i> .....	133
John McDonald, <i>Isometries of the disk algebra</i> .....	143
H. Minc, <i>Doubly stochastic matrices with minimal permanents</i> .....	155
Shahbaz Noorvash, <i>Covering the vertices of a graph by vertex-disjoint paths</i> .....	159
Theodore Windle Palmer, <i>Jordan *-homomorphisms between reduced Banach *-algebras</i> .....	169
Donald Steven Passman, <i>On the semisimplicity of group rings of some locally finite groups</i> .....	179
Mario Petrich, <i>Varieties of orthodox bands of groups</i> .....	209
Robert Horace Redfield, <i>The generalized interval topology on distributive lattices</i> .....	219
James Wilson Stepp, <i>Algebraic maximal semilattices</i> .....	243
Patrick Noble Stewart, <i>A sheaf theoretic representation of rings with Boolean orthogonalities</i> .....	249
Ting-On To and Kai Wing Yip, <i>A generalized Jensen's inequality</i> .....	255
Arnold Lewis Villone, <i>Second order differential operators with self-adjoint extensions</i> .....	261
Martin E. Walter, <i>On the structure of the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra</i> .....	267
John Wermer, <i>Subharmonicity and hulls</i> .....	283
Edythe Parker Woodruff, <i>A map of <math>E^3</math> onto <math>E^3</math> taking no disk onto a disk</i> .....	291